



February 26, 2026

Christina K. Brusven

Re: Docket No. IP7013/TL-25-389

Enclosed is the Minnesota Public Utilities Commission Information Requests 1-4. Please e-file the Company's response by March 4, 2026. Please indicate where, if at all, your responses include trade secret information.

Respectfully submitted,

Cezar Panait

Cezar Panait
Regulatory Engineer
Minnesota Public Utilities Commission

This question is:

Trade Secret
 Public

**State of Minnesota
Public Utilities Commission
Utility Information Request**

Docket Number: IP7013/TL-25-389

Date of Request: 2/26/2025

Requested From: Richard Davis

Response Due: 3/4/2025

Analyst Requesting Information:

Type of Inquiry:

<input type="checkbox"/>	Financial	<input type="checkbox"/>	Rate of Return	<input type="checkbox"/>	Rate Design
<input type="checkbox"/>	Engineering	<input type="checkbox"/>	Forecasting	<input type="checkbox"/>	Conservation
<input type="checkbox"/>	Cost of Service	<input type="checkbox"/>	CIP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Other:

If you believe your responses are proprietary, please indicate.

Request Number 1	Please provide a detailed summary of the discussions applicants have had with Jeffrey and Dana Scholl?
Request Number 2	Please provide a detailed summary of the discussions applicants have had with officials of the Cedar Lutheran Church?
Request Number 3	A. For the route portion paralleling 40 th Avenue, did the applicant consider continuing south of the proposed line to the first field line and then turning west along field lines south of the peaker plant, turning north on the west side of the proposed substation addition? B. Please provide a cost estimate of this alternative? C. Include discussion of any potential construction or routing constraints with coming into the peaker plant from the south. D. Please provide detailed summary of any discussions applicants had with landowners in this area?
Request Number 4	Please provide a detailed summary of the discussions applicants have had with Bruce and Mynet Anderson regarding a fieldline alternative behind their property?