

---

## APPENDIX C: EXISTING POWER SUPPLY

Minnesota Power (or the “Company”) has a diverse power supply portfolio that consists of power purchase agreements and Company-owned assets, as well as contract purchases from other entities. The following Parts of this appendix detail Minnesota Power’s existing power supply for the 2025-2039 Integrated Resource Plan (“2025 Plan”):

- Part 1 explains Minnesota Power’s mission and its reliability efforts to maintain the operational integrity of its generation resources throughout the 2025-2039 planning period. Part 1 also provides a description of each of these resources.
- Part 2 provides a summary of the Company’s power sales and purchases used to meet short and long-term load and capability needs.
- Part 3 summarizes Minnesota Power’s small power production as reported in the most recently completed Qualifying Facilities Report in accordance with Minnesota Rules 7835.1300 - 7835.1800.<sup>1</sup> This section also provides updated descriptions of existing distributed generation (“DG”) projects.

### A. Part 1: Generation Resources

Minnesota Power’s Generation Operations mission is to operate, maintain, and manage its generation assets in a manner that ensures reliability, meets customer expectations, protects people and the environment, and provides a fair return for the Company shareholders. This mission is the motivation behind maintaining the operational integrity of the Company’s generation resources and is supported by a comprehensive and system-wide reliability effort.

#### ***Reliability Focus***

Electric generating units serve a duty cycle that reflects their design, and the higher renewable portfolio demand for more flexible dispatch: baseload, intermediate load, and peak load. Preserving the usefulness of these assets requires capital investment and maintenance expenditures to sustain a unit’s economic viability, availability, and reliability for the duty cycle it is dispatched to serve. Minnesota Power generating units have traditionally served a baseload mission due to the large component of around-the-clock industrial service in the Company’s customer base, which is evidenced by the Company’s load factor of nearly 75 percent, one of the highest in the nation. Over time, the Company’s mission of serving its customers with large baseload generation has changed with the significant implementation of intermittent wind and solar generation already placed in service and additional planned wind and solar for the future across the Midcontinent Independent System Operator (“MISO”) footprint and within the Company’s system. For context, prior to 2030, Minnesota Power will have added approximately 1275 MW of wind power to its 1625 MW peak demand system.

Coupling the variable nature of renewable generation with low operating cost creates a potential need to decrease or take dispatchable generation offline during times when renewable generation is high, and market demands are low. The degree of impact to dispatchable resources depends upon how much renewable energy is being generated and system demand. Currently, the impact of weather on renewable generation can be handled by either backing down the Company’s dispatchable units to lower loads or taking dispatchable units off-line to make room for renewable generation. Significantly increasing the number of on/off cycles of dispatchable generating units to accommodate the availability of renewable generation will change the

---

<sup>1</sup> *In the Matter of Minnesota Power’s 2023 Qualifying Facilities Report*, Docket No. E-999/PR-24-09, Qualifying Facilities Report (March 1, 2024).



---

generation maintenance strategy due to thermal stresses, as well as the wear and tear of starting and stopping equipment. A generation plant's operating strategy requires maintenance to ensure that the generating units are available to meet customer demands during all operating conditions.

The Company continues to evolve maintenance programs to address impacts to generating unit operation, reliability, and maintenance costs while operating in a region where the generation fleet is continuing to evolve to increasingly cleaner energy sources. Due to retirement of coal generation across MISO, resource adequacy is requiring the remaining dispatchable fleet to have higher levels of performance to maintain reliability. Recent changes to the MISO resource adequacy construct emphasize the importance of generation being available through their capacity accreditation mechanisms. Maintenance programs to ensure the reliable operations of a generator are important and the power supply transitions to more intermittent resources and new carbon minimizing technologies. Minnesota Power continues to focus on reliability of the generation fleet, while maintaining compliance with all applicable regulations and environmental permits.

### ***Elements of Minnesota Power's Reliability Efforts***

#### ***Employee Training***

The Company provides specific system training when operational and maintenance criteria change as a result of policy changes, equipment replacement and/or control modifications as well as industry best practices. Through recent apprentice and training efforts, the majority of all generation job functions are shaped through State of Minnesota Department of Labor and Industry indentured apprenticeships. Minnesota Power also provides ongoing training to meet and exceed State of Minnesota boiler licensure coverage at all locations.

To ensure safe, efficient operations and maintenance ("O&M") of Minnesota Power wind resources, a combination of formal and on-the-job training is provided to technicians. Formal training establishes proper expectations and promotes positive work habits and practices while enhancing employees' technical knowledge of installed equipment. On-the-job training constitutes the majority of employees' development for improving needed skills for maintaining equipment safely and reliably. Technicians have received advanced, formal training in wind turbine blade repair and other component-specific systems, which allows the technicians to perform more advanced tasks onsite while reducing reliance on outside providers.

#### ***Capital Investment***

Minnesota Power continues to invest in base capital and asset preservation projects at its thermal and renewable sites to maintain the integrity of major unit components. Equipment including turbine, generator, boiler, auxiliaries, electrical infrastructure, control systems and pollution control equipment, solar electrical inverters and modules, wind turbine gearboxes, bearings and blading consistent with specifications from original equipment manufacturers ("OEM") and best practices learned across the industry. The Company also makes prudent capital investments at its hydro facilities to ensure safety and reliability of operation. These investments are made to dams, headwater reservoirs, and power houses. Hydro investments include maintenance and replacement of water control gates, gate hoist rehabilitation, concrete/structural rehabilitation, water conveyance structures such as flowlines and penstocks, head gates, as well as to the actual generating equipment.

#### ***Predictive Maintenance***

Minnesota Power continuously expands the use of predictive maintenance techniques to proactively respond to equipment condition trends and changes. Advanced condition monitoring



---

techniques leveraging modern technologies are utilized to drive best in class equipment life cycles and business decisions. Increasing the frequency of inspections and automated condition monitoring of equipment are cornerstones of the adopted operational strategy of reliability-centered principles and behaviors.

### *Inspections*

Routine engineering, insurance carrier, state and federal required inspections are made at each generating facility. Non-destructive techniques, including dye penetration, borescope analysis, disassembly, and visual inspection, along with wall thickness testing, provide important data. Coupled with maintenance trends and operating data, inspection results are used to make informed decisions. Minnesota Power performs continuous equipment condition monitoring as well as periodic inspections in line with industry best practice at generation sites. These tools are coupled with OEM guidance and can include but are not limited to online vibration monitoring and particle counters, quarterly oil sampling, monthly conditioned based monitoring meetings, weekly visual blade inspections, and annual blade and gearbox inspections at the wind sites. Our solar sites perform annual ground or aerial drone thermal inspections of the solar modules and electrical inspections and preventative maintenance on all electrical and tracker equipment. Each dam in the hydro system is routinely inspected by maintenance personnel and Minnesota Power engineers, with supplemental inspections by our federal regulators and Independent Consultants. The Company's surveillance and monitoring plans for each dam discuss the frequency of regular surveys, underwater inspections, and other specialty measurements following Federal Energy Regulatory Commission ("FERC") guidelines. FERC requires gate inspections every ten years.

### *Enhanced Monitoring*

Additional continuous monitoring equipment is provided to each generating unit on a prioritized basis. Plant distributed control systems, turbine supervisory systems, instrumentation replacement, flux probes and partial discharge equipment are frequently added to improve and monitor equipment conditions.

### *Internal/External Best Practices*

Continued internal sharing and external benchmarking of best operation and maintenance practices are considered and evaluated. Many skilled employees maintain and practice in licensed disciplines. The organization has a long history of partnering with others in the utility sector (EEI, EPRI, AEIC, etc.)<sup>2</sup> to better understand industry trends and ideas. Optimizing coal quality/fuel blending system-wide, installing static exciters, and installing advanced emission reduction technology are three examples of internal sharing where practices have been applied to multiple sites.

### *Efficiency Monitoring*

A long-standing efficiency metric that remains in place for all thermal power plants is heat rate. It is used to monitor the generating unit's efficiency on an on-going basis. Major maintenance projects such as boiler chemical cleaning, boiler maintenance, burner repairs, fuel delivery

---

<sup>2</sup> Edison Electric Institute ("EEI") provides public policy leadership, critical industry data, strategic business intelligence, conferences and forums, and products and services. The Electric Power Research Institute, Inc. ("EPRI") is an independent, nonprofit organization that conducts research, development and demonstration relating to the generation, delivery and use of electricity for the benefit of the public. The Association of Edison Illuminating Companies ("AEIC"), organized in 1885, focuses its energies on finding solutions to problems of mutual concern to electric utilities, worldwide.



---

repairs, and turbine overhauls are budgeted and scheduled to maintain or improve efficiency using heat rate as an indicator.

Prudent O&M along with capital expenditures support continued operations of the generating units. This includes, but is not limited to, the training and practices listed above which are examples of work that is performed to sustain the unit's reliability, availability, and environmental compliance.

### **Generation Resource Descriptions**

*Hibbard Renewable Energy Center ("HREC") — 60 MW Net Capability*

**Figure 1. Hibbard Renewable Energy Center**



Hibbard Renewable Energy Center Units 3 and 4 operate as energy resources for Minnesota Power's system and are located in Duluth, Minnesota. HREC is capable of burning wood and wood wastes, coal, and natural gas. Use of wood and wood waste fuels make much of the energy generated by HREC a qualified renewable energy product. HREC units have been providing a portion of the Company's regulated services and spinning reserves since 2004. HREC is capable of and originally designed for baseload operation and currently supports the system as a peaking facility for customers.

HREC provides an important outlet for unmerchantable roundwood affected by emerald ash borer, spruce budworm, and other pests. HREC is the only biomass facility north of the Twin Cities within an Emerald Ash Borer quarantine zone, allowing it to receive infested wood for combustion without a compliance agreement. This public service allows for beneficial use of otherwise unmerchantable feedstock.

Following their conversion to biomass fuel in the 1980s, the boilers at HREC exclusively supplied steam to the nearby paper mill. Since then, capital improvements have been completed to refurbish the facility to utility standards, and these boilers now provide steam that drives HREC Units 3 and 4 turbine generators based on market conditions. The Company intends to continue operating HREC for renewable energy and other ancillary services, along with being available to support regional and local reliability needs. HREC is also being positioned to once again provide steam sales to the Duluth Paper Mill (Sofidel) if additional steam is needed.



---

HREC boilers are fitted with electrostatic precipitators (“ESP”), a pollution control technology that will provide continued particulate emissions control during the operational life of the facility. HREC has increased the percentage of biomass to more than 90 percent of fuel supply and reduced the percentage of coal fueling for the boilers in order to comply with environmental regulations maximum-achievable control technology (“MACT”) and National Ambient Air Quality Standard (“NAAQS”). Capital improvements in recent years have focused on refurbishing the existing boilers, to improve their efficiencies, wood handling, and ash handling systems to manage the increased wood burn.

Current O&M practices will continue with routine maintenance inspections performed and corrective actions implemented as needed. Capital investments are continuously reviewed and prioritized across the generating fleet, including HREC, with a goal of maintaining current capacity in a manner that maintains reliability and availability throughout the current resource planning period.

#### *Hydro Resources — 120 MW Net Capability*


From its earliest days, Minnesota Power has used water to generate electricity and serve customers in northern Minnesota. Today, Minnesota Power is the largest hydro energy producer in the State, with generating capability of approximately 120 MW. The Company operates ten hydro stations on five rivers that are part of three main river systems in central and northern Minnesota – the Mississippi River, St. Louis River, and Kawishiwi River (Figure 2). The ten hydroelectric stations have been generating renewable power for more than 100 years. In addition to the ten hydro generating stations and associated reservoirs, the Company also maintains six headwater storage reservoirs. The Company operates its stations and reservoirs under seven federal licenses. FERC oversees dam safety, licensing, and compliance in the United States, and FERC Licenses specify operating parameters including water level and flow rate changes along with environmental and cultural protections and recreational opportunity requirements. Hydroelectric power will continue to be an important part of Minnesota Power’s **EnergyForward** strategy, and along with investments in wind, biomass, and solar energy, will help to build a cleaner and more sustainable energy future. The Company recently received \$3.1 million in awards from the U.S. Department of Energy (“DOE”) under the Bipartisan Infrastructure Law’s Maintaining and Enhancing Hydroelectricity Incentives program to maintain the Scanlon and Blanchard dams. The Company’s 1.6 MW Scanlon Hydro dam on the St. Louis River in Carlton County will receive \$1.21 million to replace the century-old gates and hoists for reliable, long-term operation and to provide reliable spill capacity for high-flow events. Minnesota Power’s 18 MW Blanchard Hydro dam on the Mississippi River near Royalton in Morrison County will receive \$1.9 million to overhaul and rewind one of three generators and to install new equipment to improve the station’s efficiency. Together, the awards reduce overall project costs by nearly one third for Minnesota Power customers.<sup>3</sup>

---

<sup>3</sup> Feds award Minnesota Power \$3.1 to upgrade Scanlon, Royalton dams, Duluth News Tribune, available at <https://www.duluthnewstribune.com/news/local/feds-award-minnesota-power-3-1-million-to-upgrade-scanlon-royalton-dams> (Sept. 13, 2024).



**Figure 2. Map of Minnesota Power Hydro Resources**



The facilities include<sup>4</sup>

- Little Falls Hydroelectric Station (Project #2532) — 4.6 MW
- Blanchard Hydroelectric Station (Project #346)— 18 MW
- Sylvan Hydroelectric Station (Project #2454) — 1.8 MW
- Pillager Hydroelectric Station (Project #2663) — 1.6 MW
- Prairie River Hydroelectric Station (Project #2361) — 1 MW

<sup>4</sup> Project numbers refer to FERC license project number.



- 
- St. Louis River System (Project #2360) — 88.6MW
    - Knife Falls Hydroelectric Station — 2.4 MW
    - Scanlon Hydroelectric Station — 1.6 MW
    - Thomson Hydroelectric Station — 72.6 MW
    - Fond du Lac Hydroelectric Station — 12.0 MW
  - Winton Hydroelectric Station (Project #469) — 4.0 MW

The five FERC Projects that have licenses expiring during the 15-year planning cycle of this 2025 Plan, representing about 80 percent of Minnesota Power's regulated hydroelectric capacity, are as follows:

- FERC Project No. 2361 Prairie River – expires 2024 – applied for license extension waiting on FERC approval
- FERC Project No. 2532 Little Falls – expires 2028.
- FERC Project No. 2454 Sylvan – expires 2028.
- FERC Project No. 2663 Pillager – expires 2028.
- FERC Project No. 2360 St. Louis River – expires 2035.

Minnesota Power has identified that the useful life for these units extend beyond the planning period. The Company will continue to assess the need for capital refurbishments to all hydro facilities and will re-license projects as necessary to continue to provide value to customers. The Company will continue to assess additional efficiency projects or bolt-on additions to its hydraulic generating fleet. All hydro assets are expected to be operated throughout the resource planning period. The useful economic operating life of Minnesota Power's hydroelectric facilities extends beyond the planning period for all units.<sup>5</sup>

The Company recently received \$3.1 million in awards from the DOE under the Bipartisan Infrastructure Law's Maintaining and Enhancing Hydroelectricity Incentives program to maintain the Scanlon and Blanchard dams. The Company's 1.6 MW Scanlon Hydro dam on the St. Louis River in Carlton County will receive \$1.21 million to replace the century-old gates and hoists for reliable, long-term operation and to provide reliable spill capacity for high-flow events. Minnesota Power's 18 MW Blanchard Hydro dam on the Mississippi River near Royalton in Morrison County will receive \$1.9 million to overhaul and rewind one of three generators and to install new equipment to improve the station's efficiency. Together, the awards reduce overall project costs by nearly one third for Minnesota Power customers.<sup>6</sup>

A further challenge to existing hydro generation on Minnesota Power's system is the cost of maintaining aging small generation facilities. Certain small generation stations are over a century old and require ongoing capital investment to ensure continued reliability of operations. The Company is exploring potential options for the future of these sites while also evaluating

---

<sup>5</sup> In previous IRPs, the hydroelectric facilities' remaining lives have been set based on the expiration of FERC licenses. Beginning with Minnesota Power's 2020 Remaining Life Depreciation Petition (Docket No. E-015/D-20-701), and going forward, all hydro facilities now reflect their projected operating lives.


<sup>6</sup> Feds award Minnesota Power \$3.1 to upgrade Scanlon, Royalton dams, Duluth News Tribune, available at <https://www.duluthnewstribune.com/news/local/feds-award-minnesota-power-3-1-million-to-upgrade-scanlon-royalton-dams> (Sep. 13, 2024).



innovative hydro generation development options and determining feasibility for these technologies.

## Wind Resources

**Figure 3. Minnesota Power Wind Resources**



### *Bison Wind Energy Center — 497 MW Net Capability*

#### Bison 1 Wind Facility (“Bison 1”) (82 MW)

Minnesota Power’s Bison 1 is located near Center, North Dakota and went into service in two phases during the time frame of 2010 through 2012, with the first phase consisting of sixteen 2.3 MW wind turbines and the second phase consisting of fifteen 3.0 MW wind turbines. The 2.3 MW turbines are geared units while the 3.0 MW turbines are direct-drive units without a gearbox.

Minnesota Power achieved delivery of the energy and accreditation of the capacity from this facility through its ownership of the high voltage direct current (“HVDC Line”) between Center, North Dakota and Hermantown, Minnesota. The current economic life of Bison 1 extends through 2045 for the Phase 1 installation and through 2046 for the Phase 2 installation, as summarized in the Minnesota Power’s 2024 Remaining Life Depreciation Petition.<sup>7</sup>

<sup>7</sup> *In the Matter of Minnesota Power’s 2024 Remaining Life Depreciation Petition*, Docket No. E-015/D-24-324, Petition (Sept. 24, 2024).



---

#### Bison 2 Wind Facility (“Bison 2”) (105 MW)

Minnesota Power’s Bison 2 is also located near Center, North Dakota, and went into service in 2012. The facility consists of 35, 3.0 MW direct-drive wind turbines. Like Bison 1, Minnesota Power achieved delivery of the energy and accreditation of the capacity from this facility through its ownership of the HVDC Line. The current economic life of Bison 2 will extend through 2047, as summarized in the Minnesota Power’s 2024 Remaining Life Depreciation Petition (Docket No. E-015/D-24-324).

#### Bison 3 Wind Facility (“Bison 3”) (105 MW)

Minnesota Power’s Bison 3 is located near Center, North Dakota and went into service in 2012. The facility consists of 35, 3.0 MW direct-drive wind turbines. The Company achieves delivery of the energy and accreditation of the capacity from this facility through its ownership of the HVDC Line. The current economic life of Bison 3 will extend through 2047, as summarized in Minnesota Power’s 2024 Remaining Life Depreciation Petition (Docket No. E-015/D-24-7324).

#### Bison 4 Wind Facility (“Bison 4”) (205 MW)

Minnesota Power’s Bison 4 is located near Center, North Dakota, and went into service in 2014. The facility consists of 64, 3.2 MW direct-drive wind turbines. Like the other three Bison units, the Company achieves delivery of the energy and accreditation of the capacity from this facility through its ownership of the HVDC Line. The current economic life of Bison 4 will extend through 2049, as summarized in Minnesota Power’s 2024 Remaining Life Depreciation Petition (Docket No. E-015/D-24-324).

#### *Taconite Ridge Wind Energy Center — 25 MW Net Capability*

Taconite Ridge Energy Center consists of 10, 2.5 MW wind turbines located on the Laurentian Divide in Mountain Iron, Minnesota, on United States Steel Corporation property. The wind facility was Minnesota Power’s first and began operation in 2008. The current economic life of Taconite Ridge Energy Center extends through 2043, as summarized in the Minnesota Power’s 2024 Remaining Life Depreciation Petition (Docket No. E-015/D-24-324).



---

## Natural Gas Generation Resources

*Laskin Energy Center (“Laskin” or “LEC”) — 98 MW Net Capability*

**Figure 4. Laskin Energy Center**



Laskin's two generating units, Laskin Units 1 and 2 (“LEC1&2”) located in Hoyt Lakes, Minnesota, are near identical boilers, similar in design and intended operation. The units are tangentially-fired steam generators and were both put into service in 1953. LEC1&2 each operate with a gross generation capability of approximately 52 MW gross (50 MW net) with 5 MW of existing station service steam to operate auxiliary equipment.

Originally known as the Aurora Steam Station, the facility was commissioned as a coal-fired facility in 1953 with a total station capability of 88 MW and was designed to serve the needs of an expanding taconite industry. LEC1&2 were uprated to the present capability in 1967 through boiler, control system, turbine, and generator upgrades.

In the spring of 2015, the facility was converted from coal to natural gas using the existing steam boilers, turbine generators, and auxiliary equipment.<sup>8</sup> When Laskin converted to natural gas its mission changed from baseload coal operations to being a peaking generator that provides energy during periods of high market prices and to support regional reliability as needed. The conversion also yielded significant greenhouse gas emissions savings at the facility, resulting in an electricity supply that is now over 40 percent less carbon intensive than original coal operations at Laskin, on a “pounds of carbon dioxide per heat input” basis.

### *Existing Emission Control Equipment*

Current operation and maintenance practices will continue with routine maintenance inspections performed and corrective actions implemented as needed. Capital investments are continuously reviewed and prioritized across the generating fleet, including LEC, with a goal of maintaining current capacity in a manner that maintains reliability and availability throughout the resource planning period.<sup>9</sup>

---

<sup>8</sup> *In the Matter of the Application of Minnesota Power for a Gas Pipeline Routing Permit for the Laskin Energy Center Natural Gas Pipeline Project*, Docket No. E-015/GP-13-978, Order Adopting Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order, Granting Partial Exemption, and Issuing Pipeline Route Permit with Conditions (May 12, 2024).

<sup>9</sup> These units have been well maintained through ongoing investments. The current economic life of LEC will extend through 2030, as is summarized in Minnesota Power's 2024 Remaining Life Depreciation



---

## ***Environmentally Compliant Coal Generation Resources***

### ***Boswell Energy Center (“BEC”) — Units 3 and 4 (“BEC3” and “BEC4”)***

**Figure 5. Boswell Energy Center**



BEC is Minnesota Power’s largest thermal facility and the only remaining baseload power generation. At its peak operation, BEC included four units with a total capacity of 1,070 megawatts. BEC Unit 3 (“BEC3”) and Unit 4 (“BEC4”) have been fueled by low mercury, low-sulfur Powder River Basin coal from Wyoming and Montana. The facility is located in Cohasset, Minnesota, where it currently employs approximately 165 full-time Minnesota Power employees at its two remaining operational units (BEC3 and BEC4, including the fuel handling operations onsite), and supports approximately 65 additional jobs from various supportive businesses, restaurants, and retailers in the community.<sup>10</sup>

Substantial investments have been made at the facility for environmental and efficiency related improvements since 2007, with the largest investment – the environmental retrofit of Unit 4 – completed in 2015.

#### ***Boswell Energy Center Unit 3 (“BEC3”) — 352 MW Net Capability***

BEC3 was placed in service in 1973. The unit is a tangentially-fired steam generator. In 2009, Minnesota Power replaced the original turbine with a more efficient design that can operate at 389 MW gross capability and 352 MW net output without increasing the steam flow or consuming additional fuel.

In combination with the turbine efficiency upgrade on the unit, a major environmental upgrade was completed at BEC3 in 2009 to meet state and federal environmental requirements. Following the retrofit, the unit now employs low NO<sub>x</sub> burners (“LNB”), over-fire air, and a selective catalytic reduction (“SCR”) system for NO<sub>x</sub> control, a spray tower absorber, which is also commonly

---

Petition. *In the Matter of Minnesota Power’s 2024 Remaining Life Depreciation Petition*, Docket No. E-015/D-24-324, Initial Filing (Sept. 24, 2024).

<sup>10</sup> The Northspan Group, Inc., Sparking Change Diversifying our regional Economy, [https://www.itascadv.org/media/userfiles/subsite\\_104/files/Northspan%20-%20Sparking%20Change.pdf](https://www.itascadv.org/media/userfiles/subsite_104/files/Northspan%20-%20Sparking%20Change.pdf). (Jan. 30, 2025).



---

referred to as wet flue gas desulfurization (“WFGD”) for SO<sub>2</sub> control, and an activated carbon injection system and fabric filter for mercury and particulate matter (“PM”) control.

BEC3 has historically operated at a high load factor, providing dispatchable energy in the Minnesota Power system and across MISO North. The Company analyzed the customer, community, and operational impacts of moving BEC3 to an economic dispatch operation. BEC3 was transitioned to an economic dispatch resource in 2021 and is required to cease coal operations by year end 2029. Several refueling options were evaluated at BEC3 to continue operating after ceasing coal, including refueling with natural gas and biomass. Based on the IRP analysis, Minnesota Power is recommending to begin the engineering and acquisition of materials required for a natural gas refuel of the unit by 2030. The IRP analysis showed benefits for customers to refuel with biomass. Minnesota Power is also recommending in the 2025 Plan additional investigation, including submitting a life-cycle analysis for biomass to be utilized as a net-carbon free source to meet the Minnesota Carbon-Free Electricity Standard (“CFS”). If net-carbon free status is achieved for biomass, and this refuel option continues to show economic benefits for customers, Minnesota Power will submit necessary permitting to advance the ability to co-fire biomass at BEC3.

The current economic life of BEC3 extends through 2035, as summarized in Minnesota Power’s 2024 Depreciation Petition (Docket No. E-015/D-24-324).

#### *BEC3 Existing Emission Control Equipment*

As described above, BEC3 underwent a complete environmental retrofit during the period of 2007 to 2009, installing the most state-of-the-art emission control equipment available. Actual emission reductions from these investments include an 87 percent reduction in NO<sub>x</sub>, 98 percent reduction in SO<sub>2</sub>, 94 percent reduction in PM, and 90 percent reduction in mercury. The following is a more detailed description of the equipment used for emissions control at BEC3.

#### NO<sub>x</sub> Control

BEC3 deploys new NO<sub>x</sub> reduction technologies by utilizing a SCR system. In this system, a reactor is utilized to remove the NO<sub>x</sub> from the flue gas with the use of ammonia as a reducing agent. The boiler flue gas enters the reactor, where ammonia, in conjunction with a specialized catalyst chemically transforms NO<sub>x</sub> that is formed in the combustion process into nitrogen gas and water vapor. SCR is “selective” in that it predominantly affects the oxides of nitrogen.

In addition to the SCR reactor, BEC3 also utilizes special designs of both LNB and over-fire air for NO<sub>x</sub> control similar to BEC4. BEC3’s LNB and over-fire air technology encompass a low NO<sub>x</sub> concentric firing system which maximizes the NO<sub>x</sub> reduction capabilities of the existing tangential firing systems in the boiler and a separated over-fire air windbox which works with the firing system to stage and separate the air and fuel mixture properly for maximum NO<sub>x</sub> reduction.

#### SO<sub>2</sub> Control

BEC3 currently utilizes a WFGD unit for SO<sub>2</sub> control. WFGD is a widely used technology for coal-fired utility boilers aimed at removing acid gases created in the coal combustion process. WFGD eliminates SO<sub>2</sub>, hydrochloric acid, hydrofluoric acid, and to some extent, sulfur trioxide through direct contact with the sorbent, an aqueous, finely ground limestone slurry which is sprayed into the rising flue gas in the vessel and collected at the bottom of the vessel after it has chemically transformed the acid gas into the material gypsum.



---

### PM and Mercury Control

BEC3 currently utilizes a fabric filter for control of PM in the combustion gases. In the distinctive design of the environmental control system at BEC3, the fabric filter also helps control mercury emissions through capture of a powdered activated carbon (“PAC”) sorbent which is injected into the ductwork upstream of the fabric filter to react with and capture the mercury in the flue gas.

### Operations and Maintenance

O&M practices will continue, consistent with the Company’s predictive and preventive maintenance programs, and will continue to apply to these advanced emission control systems, with maintenance inspections performed and corrective actions implemented as needed based on the operational needs of the facility in an economic dispatch mode. Capital investments will continue as appropriate in order to keep the unit used and useful to maintain capacity, reliability, safety, and dispatchability and to transition to natural gas operations in 2030.

#### *Boswell Energy Center Unit 4 (“BEC4”) —585 MW Net Capability (468 MP/117 WPPI)*

BEC4 was the final unit constructed at BEC and was placed in service in 1980. The unit is a tangentially-fired steam generator and has been wet-scrubbed until 2015 when a circulating dry scrubber (“CDS”) was commissioned. In 2010, after an increased number of forced outage incidents related to the original turbine, Minnesota Power replaced the original turbine with a more efficient design that is able to operate at over 635 MW gross capability and 585 MW net capability, without increasing the steam flow or consuming additional fuel. In essence, the Company added 50 MW, dispatchable, capacity and energy as a result of this efficiency improvement project with no incremental emissions.

BEC4 operates at a high load factor, providing dispatchable energy in the Minnesota Power system and MISO North. WPPI Energy jointly owns BEC4 with Minnesota Power with a 20 percent ownership stake. In the 2021 IRP, the Company announced it will cease Minnesota Power’s portion of coal operations at BEC4 by 2035. To ensure Minnesota Power meets the latest greenhouse gas requirements including EPA Rule 111(d) by 2030, Minnesota Power is recommending in the 2025 Plan to develop along with WPPI Energy a refueling alternative of BEC4 for operating capability of at least 40 percent natural gas, which will create additional emission reductions for the facility five years ahead of the Company’s cease coal plan

The current economic life of BEC4 extends through 2035, as summarized in Minnesota Power’s 2024 Remaining Life Depreciation Petition (Docket No. E-015/D-24-324).

#### *BEC4 Existing Emission Control Equipment*

BEC4 was originally constructed with first generation LNB and close-coupled over-fire air, and a then state-of-the-art wet spray tower absorber/particulate removal system. This system removes more than 85 percent of the SO<sub>2</sub> and over 97.5 percent of PM. Investments made in emission reduction technology since 2008 have resulted in continued improvements in emission reduction at BEC4. The following is a more detailed description of the equipment used for emissions control at BEC4.

### NO<sub>x</sub> Control

BEC4 deploys NO<sub>x</sub> reduction technologies by utilizing the Mobotec selective non-catalytic reduction (“SNCR”) system. This system, installed in 2008, includes a Rotamix technology. Within the Rotamix system for BEC4, 14 boiler injection ports are used to deliver urea into the boiler to chemically transform NO<sub>x</sub> that is formed in the combustion process into harmless nitrogen gas




and water vapor. In 2010, the Company further increased its effectiveness in preventing the formation of NO<sub>x</sub> with the replacement of the first generation LNB with new, state-of-the-art, LNB and separated over-fire air technology. In combination, these NO<sub>x</sub> controls provide an approximately 55 percent annual reduction in NO<sub>x</sub> emissions. Minnesota Power utilizes a combustion optimization neural network system, installed in 2010, to further optimize emission reduction performance.

#### SO<sub>2</sub>, PM, and Mercury Control (Alstom NID Technology)

BEC4 constructed a CDS in 2015 to replace the existing WFGD for SO<sub>2</sub> removal and the wet venturi scrubber for PM control. This new CDS also controls mercury emissions through a PAC injection system and fabric filter for mercury capture.

When the unit is operating at a high load profile, Minnesota Power can use as much as 400 gallons per minute of wastewater from the site, which is an environmental and cost benefit. This is a positive impact on the environment and lowers the production costs of the facility.

**Figure 6. CDS Flow Process Diagram**





---

### Powdered Activated Carbon

PAC systems, installed 2015, are a proven power plant mercury reduction technology that are able to achieve very high removal efficiencies (i.e., 90 percent). PAC is used to remove mercury from the flue gas. The injected carbon compound adsorbs the vaporized mercury from the flue gas and combines the mercury with carbon and fly ash particulate. The particulates are then captured by a fabric filter.

Minnesota Power is achieving at least 90 percent mercury removal at BEC4 using PAC in combination with a fabric filter, and this use of multiple emission control technologies to reduce mercury is consistent with the intent of Minn. Stat. § 216B.682, subd. 3(a) to “demonstrate that [Minnesota Power] has considered achieving the mercury emissions reduction required...through multiple pollutant control technology.” The Fabric Filter section provides additional detail about mercury emission reduction.

### Fabric Filter (Alstom NID Technology)

The fabric filter, installed in 2015, also commonly referred to as a “bag house,” is integral in optimizing mercury removal. When used in combination with PAC, a fabric filter is the most effective mechanism for capturing mercury. The fly ash and mercury particles form a cake on the filter bags. The PAC captures the mercury particles in the flue gas. Subsequently, the PAC particles are removed from the flue gas via the NID Fabric Filter (commonly referred to as a baghouse). In addition to removing fly ash and the mercury containing PAC particles, the particle caking of fly ash and PAC on the surface of the fabric filter bags allows for additional retention time in the flue gas stream that optimizes the amount of mercury each particle of PAC can capture. The mercury particles adhere to the fly ash and PAC matter instead of exiting the stack.

Fabric filters use fiberglass or other fabric bag materials to collect total filterable PM, fly ash, and mercury-laden carbon. The unique concept of combining use of the fabric filter with a CDS system is that a portion of the fly ash is recirculated to an absorber tower to assist in SO<sub>2</sub> removal. As the filters continue to collect additional fly ash, a portion is sent to storage/disposal. The system operates with a controlled loading of fly ash to optimize its performance.

### Byproduct Ash Handling System (“Ash System”)

Conversion of BEC4 to a retrofitted pollution equipment system changed the way fly ash and scrubber solids are managed at BEC. The BEC4 dry fly ash and scrubber solids are commingled and pneumatically conveyed from the BEC4 CDS to a fly ash silo that was constructed as part of the BEC CDS retrofit, and then transported to the BEC Dry Ash Landfill via truck for disposal with other dry coal combustion residuals (“CCRs”) from BEC. Upgrades to the dry ash handling infrastructure were constructed in order to accommodate the additional dry ash generated by the BEC4 CDS. The upgrades included expansion of the bottom ash foundation base layer in the landfill, anticipated more frequent final cover construction projects, a larger storm water sedimentation pond, landfill access ramp and haul road improvements to accommodate additional haul traffic, additional equipment to transport the increased fly ash volume, and additions to the ash maintenance building to accommodate additional staff and equipment. After the BEC4 retrofit was completed, some modifications in the way dry ash is managed at BEC have resulted in less ash being placed in the landfill, and build-out of the landfill is developing slower than expected. Those changes include the shutdown of BEC1&2, developing a beneficial use market for BEC3 fly ash resulting in almost 100 percent of the BEC3 fly ash being sent off site.



---

### BEC Bottom Ash Handling (Dry Bottom Ash)

In 2022, the installation of a dry bottom ash conversion project was completed. This technology, a submerged grit conveyor, was installed on both BEC3 and BEC4, where both units share an ash unloading building. This installation has eliminated the need for the BEC Bottom Ash Pond, which will be dewatered and closed.

### Operations and Maintenance

O&M practices, consistent with the Company's predictive and preventive maintenance programs, will continue to apply to these advanced emission control systems, with maintenance inspections performed and corrective actions implemented as needed. Capital investments will continue in order to keep the unit used and useful and to maintain capacity, reliability, safety, meet current carbon regulations, and dispatchability through the end of the unit's current economic life in 2035, as summarized in Minnesota Power's 2024 Remaining Life Depreciation Petition (Docket No. E-015/D-24-324).

### *Square Butte's Milton R. Young 2 — 450 MW Net Capability (430 MPC/20 MP)*


Milton R. Young 2 ("Young 2") lignite coal generating station in North Dakota operates as a baseload generation facility. Young 2 is owned by Square Butte Cooperative ("Square Butte"), managed by Minnkota Power Cooperative ("Minnkota") and provides energy sales to Minnesota Power and Minnkota. The Company's energy is transmitted via the HVDC Line running between the Square Butte Substation in Center, North Dakota and Minnesota Power's Arrowhead Substation near Duluth, Minnesota via the alternate current ("AC") transmission system. Minnkota's share is routed on a 345 kV line between Center, North Dakota to Grand Forks, North Dakota. Minnesota Power transmission system personnel have operated and maintained the HVDC Line since it was commissioned in May 1977. Beginning in 2006, Minnkota could exercise an option to reduce the Company's entitlement by approximately five percent annually, down to a 50 percent share. Minnkota exercised all available options and, as of January 1, 2009, both Minnkota and Minnesota Power were limited to 50 percent of Young 2 generation, or approximately 227.5 MW each.

In 2009, in a major move to accelerate Minnesota Power's strategy of reducing carbon emissions and expanding renewable wind energy development, the Company obtained approval from the Minnesota Public Utilities Commission ("Commission") to purchase the HVDC Line and phase out of the long-term contract to buy coal-based electricity from Square Butte (Docket No. E-015/PA-09-526).

Electricity generated at Young 2 is presently shared by Minnesota Power and Minnkota. Since 2014, the Company has been gradually reducing its 227.5 MW entitlement at Young 2, and by 2026 Minnesota Power will no longer take any of the Young 2 output for its customers. The gradual reduction of output taken by Minnesota Power from Young 2 is shown in Figure 7.



**Figure 7. Minnesota Power's share of Young 2 Phase-out: 2015-2026**



As operating agent, Minnkota is responsible for the operation and maintenance of Young 2. Minnesota Power's oversight through active participation on the operating committee ensures appropriate capital and O&M investments are being made to maintain long-term sustainability of the asset. Part of that effort includes upgrading the SO<sub>2</sub> and NO<sub>x</sub> environmental controls. Enhanced SO<sub>2</sub> scrubbing equipment was installed in the 2010 timeframe and for NO<sub>x</sub>, over-fire air was installed in 2007 and a SNCR system was installed in the 2010 timeframe. It is anticipated that Young 2 will continue to provide baseload generation to Minnesota Power through 2025, with the reductions as noted in Figure 7.

*Taconite Harbor Energy Center ("THEC") – Retired*

THEC is located near Schroeder, Minnesota, on the North Shore of Lake Superior, and was purchased from bankrupt LTV Steel Mining Co. in 2001. The three units at THEC were 75 MW tangentially-fired steam generators and were put into service in 1957, 1957, and 1967, respectively. These units each operated with a gross generation capability of 79 MW gross (75 MW net), with 4 MW of existing station service steam to operate auxiliary equipment.

**Figure 8. Taconite Harbor Energy Center**





---

Minnesota Power ceased coal-fired generation at THEC Unit 3 (“THEC3”) in 2015, and the unit was retired-in-place. THEC Unit 1 (“THEC1”) and Unit 2 (“THEC2”) were idled in the fall of 2016. After investigating several options for THEC, Units 1&2 were retired on March 31, 2023. The Attachment and Suspension to Retirement was approved by MISO effective September 2024.

## **Solar**

### *Camp Ripley 10 MW Solar Project*

To embark upon its first utility scale solar opportunity, the Company identified a partner with aligned goals for a renewable energy future. Minnesota Power partnered with the Minnesota National Guard and installed a 10 MW solar array at Camp Ripley, near Little Falls, Minnesota, in 2016. This unique partnership leveraged the Company’s energy expertise and Camp Ripley’s available land to make progress in meeting both Minnesota’s Solar Energy Standard (“SES”) and the Department of Defense’s cost savings and energy resiliency goals. In August 2014, the Company and the Minnesota National Guard entered into a multi-faceted Memorandum of Understanding, which includes an agreement to work together on conservation programs, the 10 MW Camp Ripley Solar Project, and backup generation technology. The 10 MW Camp Ripley Solar Project represents approximately one-third of the Company’s required solar generation to meet the SES, and at the time of construction was the largest solar project on any National Guard base in the nation.

**Figure 9. Camp Ripley Solar Project**





---

## B. Part 2: Wholesale Power Transactions

Part 2 of Appendix C presents summary information on power sales and purchases used to balance Minnesota Power's power supply; the Company uses a combination long-term and short-term power purchases to meet customer requirements. This section provides information on committed transactions, a current transaction summary and a list of planned transactions.

### ***Committed Transactions***

Minnesota Power has several committed and continuing wholesale capacity and energy transactions over the study period from mostly renewable resources, including wind, hydro, and solar. The capacity purchases and sales are characterized as energy only, capacity only, or capacity and energy. The term "capacity only" refers to a purchase or sale of accredited capacity according to accreditation processes defined by MISO. The term "energy only" refers to a purchase or sale of power that does not include any accredited capacity. The term "capacity and energy" refers to a purchase or sale of power including accredited capacity and associated energy.

### ***Current Transaction Summary***

#### *Capacity and Energy Purchases*

Manitoba Hydro Electric Board ("MHEB"): In May 2011, Minnesota Power entered into an agreement with MHEB for a 250 MW purchase of hydro generation beginning on June 1, 2020, and continuing through May 31, 2035 (Docket No. E-015/M-11-938).

Oliver I Wind: In July 2018, Minnesota Power entered into an amended purchase power agreement with Florida Power & Light ("FPL") for a 50.6 MW purchase from the Oliver County wind project beginning on July 9, 2020, and continuing through July 9, 2040 (Docket No. E-015/M-18-600).

Oliver II Wind: In July 2018, Minnesota Power entered into an amended agreement with Florida Power & Light ("FPL") for a 48 MW purchase from the Oliver County wind project beginning on May 6, 2020, and continuing through May 6, 2040 (Docket No. E-015/M-18-600).

Wing River Wind: Community Based Energy Development ("C-BED"): In April 2007, Minnesota Power entered into a power purchase agreement with Wing River for a 2.5 MW purchase beginning on November 1, 2007, and continuing through October 31, 2027.

US Solar: In March 2016, Minnesota Power entered into an agreement with US Solar for a 1 MW purchase from the Wrenshall Community Solar Garden beginning in December 2017 and continuing through November 2042. (Docket No. E-015/M-15-825).

Nobles 2 Wind: In May 2017, Minnesota Power entered into a power purchase agreement with Tenaska for a 250 MW purchase from the Nobles 2 wind project beginning December 2020 and continuing until December 2040 (Docket No. E-015/M-18-545).

The following three power purchase agreements are comprised of economic recovery projects that were accelerated to support the Company's clean energy strategy as well as assisting the communities that were impacted by COVID-19. These projects boosted the tax base, created local construction jobs, and utilized solar panels from a regional supplier.



---

Laskin Solar: In August 2022, Minnesota Power entered into a power purchase agreement with DLS – Laskin Project Co., LLC for a 5.6 MW AC solar purchase beginning July 1, 2023, and continuing through June 30, 2048 (Docket No. E-015/M-20-828).

Jean Duluth Solar: In August 2022, Minnesota Power entered into a power purchase agreement with DLS-Jean Duluth Project Co., LLC for 1.6 MW AC of solar beginning July 1, 2023, and completing June 30, 2048 (Docket No. E-015/M-20-828).

Sylvan Solar: In August 2022, Minnesota Power entered into a power purchase agreement with DLS-Sylvan Project Co., LLC for 15.2 MW AC of solar beginning July 1, 2023, and completing June 30, 2048 (Docket No. E-015/M-20-828).

#### *Capacity Only Purchases*

Rockgen: 25 MW: In June 2018, Minnesota Power entered into a power purchase agreement with Rock Gen for a 25 MW capacity purchase beginning June 1, 2019, and continuing through May 31, 2026.

#### *Energy Only Purchases*

MHEB: Up to 133 MW: In July 2014, Minnesota Power entered into an agreement with MHEB for up to 133 MW of energy purchase beginning on June 1, 2020, and continuing through May 31, 2040.

#### *Capacity Only Sales*

Basin Electric Cooperative (“Basin”): 100 MW: In July 2018, Minnesota Power entered into an agreement with Basin to sell 100 MW of capacity beginning June 1, 2025, and continuing through May 31, 2028.

Basin Electric Cooperative (“Basin”): 75 MW to 125 MW: In June 2017, Minnesota Power entered into an agreement with Basin to sell 75 MW to 125 MW of capacity beginning June 1, 2022, and continuing through May 31, 2025.

Great River Energy (“GRE”): 100 MW: In September 2020, Minnesota Power entered into an agreement with GRE to sell 100 of capacity MW beginning June 1, 2022, and continuing through May 31, 2025.

#### *Capacity and Energy Sales*

Oconto Electric Cooperative (“Oconto”): 25 MW: In March 2018, Minnesota Power entered into an agreement with Oconto to sell 25 MW of Energy and Capacity beginning January 1, 2019, and continuing through May 31, 2026.

Hibbing Public Utilities (“Hibbing”): 6 MW: In May 2022, Minnesota Power entered into an agreement with Hibbing to sell 6 MW of Energy and Capacity beginning Sep 1, 2022, and continuing through May 31, 2027.

#### *Energy Only Sales*

None at this time



---

### **C. Part 3: Small Power Production and Distributed Generation: Projects, Studies, and Demonstration Activity**

Part 3 of Appendix C summarizes Minnesota Power's small power production as reported in the most recently completed Qualifying Facilities Report in accordance with Minnesota Rules 7835.1300 - 7835.1800.<sup>11</sup> This section also provides updated descriptions of existing DG projects.

#### ***Distributed Generation Projects Overview***

Due to Minnesota Power's successful focus on solar energy through programs such as the SolarSense customer rebate program, income-qualified solar grant program and the Community Solar Garden ("CSG") pilot program, the Company has seen an exponential growth of customer-sited solar energy on its system. The Company's success has been driven by a focus on customer options, along with technology becoming more efficient and cost effective. As shown in Figure 10 below, the DG installations on Minnesota Power's system are highly concentrated in Duluth and the surrounding areas.


While smaller, customer-sited solar projects provide benefits in the form of electric bill savings for the participating customer, they are also more complex and costly than larger DG systems. The generation interconnection process is one of the more complicated interactions Minnesota Power has with its customers and requires a significant amount of coordination and communication between the customer, contractor, inspector, and utility. It is imperative that DG systems are installed in compliance with all utility, state, and federal requirements to ensure safe operation and to minimize any potential power quality concerns. As the volume of customer-sited solar systems increase throughout Minnesota Power's service territory, the system upgrades, required communications infrastructure, and interconnection processing times will also likely increase, adding to the complexity. The Company will continue to monitor impacts and benefits of both customer-sited distributed solar systems and more strategically located, larger scale systems targeting distribution feeders with a system need. Additional details on customer-site solar projects and Minnesota Power's involvement in community DG are provided in the remainder of this section.

---

<sup>11</sup> *In the Matter of Minnesota Power's 2023 Qualifying Facilities Report*, Docket No. E-999/PR-24-09, Qualifying Facilities Report (March 1, 2024).



**Figure 10. Capacity of Distributed Generation Projects**



### ***Distributed Generation and Small Power Production***

For the period of January 2023 through December 2023, as reported in the March 2024 Qualifying Facilities Report,<sup>12</sup> Minnesota Power had a total of 1,017 interconnected qualifying facilities under the net energy billing rate, 15 of which were wind and 1,002 of which were photovoltaic systems (see Table 1). This represents a total of approximately 15,622 kW of customer DG installations.

<sup>12</sup> *In the Matter of Minnesota Power's 2023 Qualifying Facilities Report*, Docket No. E-999/PR-24-09, Qualifying Facilities Report (March 1, 2024).



**Table 1. Minnesota Power Net Metering Customers**

<b>Net Metering Customers</b>			
	Total	Wind	Photovoltaic
Total Installations	1,017	15	1,002
Total Capacity (kW)	15,621.5	175.2	15,446.3
<b>Total Net Exports to Minnesota Power (kWh)</b>			
	Total	Wind	Photovoltaic
TOTAL	9,479,294	1,183	9,478,111
<b>Total Net Imports from Minnesota Power (kWh)</b>			
	Total	Wind	Photovoltaic
TOTAL	31,764,410	109,807	31,654,603
<b>Total Net Metered Electricity Purchased by Minnesota Power (kWh)</b>			
	Total	Wind	Photovoltaic
TOTAL	2,777,559	0	2,777,559

As is referenced in Minnesota Power's Qualifying Facilities Report, installations of net metered DG projects continue to be added each year. These projects receive a net energy billing rate. This rate applies to sellers with DG facilities rated at less than 1 MW. The net energy billing rate is generally provided in the form of a kWh credit or paid out at the average retail rate, based on the size of the DG facility.

### ***Customer Renewable Energy Programs***

Minnesota Power has a long-standing history of supporting customer-sited renewable energy goals. The SolarSense rebate program has provided incentives for customers to install solar systems on their homes or businesses since 2004. While that program has significantly increased the amount of solar DG in the Company's service territory since its inception, Minnesota Power recognizes that not all customers have the ability to install solar on their site. In order to better accommodate varying customer needs, Minnesota Power introduced a CSG Pilot Program and SolarSense Income Qualified ("IQ") Solar Grant Program (formerly named the Low Income or "LI" Solar Grant Program).



---

### ***Community Solar Garden Pilot Program***

The Company received final approval of the CSG Pilot Program on April 21, 2017.<sup>13</sup> The CSG Pilot Program was intentionally designed to provide flexibility and optionality for customers who wish to participate in solar programs but do not have a site that is well-suited for a solar installation. Customers offset their energy use through subscriptions made in 1-kilowatt (kW) blocks, with each block representing a portion of the total generating capacity of the solar garden. At the end of each month, Minnesota Power calculates the total amount of solar energy produced by the garden that month, divides that production by the total number of subscription blocks in the program (1,040), and credits customer bills according to their number of subscription blocks. The CSG Pilot Program consists of a 1 MW solar array in Wrenshall, Minnesota and a 40 kW solar array on one of the most heavily trafficked thoroughfares in Duluth, Minnesota. The fully subscribed program offers three convenient ways for customers to participate: a onetime upfront payment, a fixed monthly subscription fee or a per-kilowatt hour (“kWh”) charge.

### ***SolarSense Customer Solar Program***

Minnesota Power’s SolarSense program has long encouraged the adoption of customer-sited solar photovoltaic installations by reducing the large upfront cost of installing solar and making individual solar a more viable option for residential and commercial customers. Since its initial implementation in 2004, the program has continuously evolved to meet the needs of customers and to support a sustainable solar market in northern Minnesota. The Company received approvals to significantly expand the SolarSense Program, nearly tripling the number of incentives for customer-sited solar installations from 2017 through 2020.<sup>14</sup> This program expansion was critical to Minnesota Power’s compliance with the State of Minnesota’s Solar Energy Standard. In 2020, the Company modified the incentives for customer-sited solar installations from 2021-2024 to reflect successful compliance with the SES and lower observed installation costs.<sup>15</sup> On May 31, 2024, the Company filed a petition with the Commission for a three-year extension to the SolarSense program with modifications to increase equity within the program.<sup>16</sup> The proposal was approved by the Commission on January 8, 2025.

### ***SolarSense Income Qualified (“IQ”) Solar Grant Program***

Minnesota Power’s SolarSense IQ Solar Grant Program is the first of its kind in the state of Minnesota and aims to expand participation in solar programs to all customers by exploring innovative ways to overcome solar adoption challenges that many income-qualified customers face. These challenges included the large upfront cost of installing solar, home ownership status, physical condition of the home, split incentives inherent to a landlord/tenant arrangement, lack of information, and more. The Company has awarded 20 grants towards solar projects that directly benefit income-qualified customers.

---

<sup>13</sup> *In the Matter of a Petition by Minnesota Power for Approval of a Community Solar Garden Program, Eligibility of the Energy for Small Scale Solar Energy Standard Compliance, and Recovery Method for Program Cost Recovery*, Docket No. E-015/M-15-825, Order (April 21, 2017).

<sup>14</sup> *In the Matter of the Petition for Approval of Minnesota Power’s New SolarSense Customer Solar Program*, Docket No. E-015/M-16-485, Order Approving Program Changes, Denying Cost Recovery in Part, Requiring Annual Report, and Requiring Compliance Filing (Feb. 10, 2017).

<sup>15</sup> *In the Matter of the Petition for Approval of Minnesota Power’s New SolarSense Customer Solar Program*, Docket No. E-015/M-20-607, Petition (July 1, 2020).

<sup>16</sup> *In the Matter of Minnesota Power’s On-going Compliance of its SolarSense Program with Minnesota’s Solar Energy Standard*, Docket No. E-015/M-20-607, Annual Report and Proposed Program Modification (May 31, 2024).



---

### *American Indian Community Housing Organization*

The IQ Solar Grant Program funded a 14.4 kW solar array on the roof of the American Indian Community Housing Organization (“AICHO”) building in 2017. The AICHO building serves as a central hub for the Native American community in the region, providing housing services for people suffering from long-term homelessness, transitional housing for survivors of domestic abuse, and a 10-bed domestic violence shelter. The energy generated by the solar array directly serves the shelter as well as indirectly serves the tenants of the 29 apartments through powering offices and the auditorium which provide services to all residents.

**Figure 11. American Indian Community Housing Solar Project**



### *RREAL and Tri-County Community Action Project*

RREAL and Tri-County Community Action (“TCC”) installed a 20 kW solar array at TCC’s headquarters in Little Falls, Minnesota. Energy generated by the solar array benefits Low Income Home Energy Assistance Program (“LIHEAP”) eligible households in central Minnesota. Preference is given to disabled veterans for this project. The solar array was installed in 2019 utilizing a grant from the IQ Solar Grant Program and was expanded in 2020 with additional funds from the program.

### *Lincoln Park Solar Project*

Funds from the IQ Solar Grant Program were also used to fund a 40 kW solar array in the Lincoln Park neighborhood of Duluth, Minnesota. The project benefits the Minnesota Assistance Council of Veterans and Minnesota Power customers facing utility disconnection. Construction of the array was completed in 2020.



### *Skyridge Flats*

The Duluth Housing and Redevelopment Authority completed a 70-unit income-qualified senior living home in Duluth's Harbor Highlands development. A 40 kW solar array was installed with help of the IQ Solar Grant Program.

### *One Roof Community Housing*

One Roof has completed many projects in conjunction with the IQ Solar Grant Program. In 2024, One Roof was awarded funding for a group of 5 new construction single family homes in the West Duluth neighborhood. These projects are expected to be completed in 2025.

**Table 2. IQ Solar Grant Program Awarded Funds**

Year Completed	Project Organization	System Size	Funding amount	Status
2017	AICHO	16.5	\$26,400	Complete
2020	RREAL and TCCAP	34.4	\$92,170	Complete
2020	Lincoln Park Solar	38	\$96,430	Complete
2020	Habitat for Humanity	3.8	\$5,000	Complete
2021	RREAL Habitat	5.22	\$12,950	Complete
2021	One Roof 1	5.31	\$17,141	Complete
2021	One Roof 2	5.31	\$14,491	Complete
2021	One Roof 3	5.6	\$19,684	Complete
2022	Habitat-RREAL	5.31	\$18,125	Complete
2022	Habitat-RREAL	3.83	\$13,975	Complete
2022	Solar United Neighbors (SUN)	15.37	\$15,000	Complete
2022	Loaves and Fishes- Hannah House	4.06	\$17,250	Complete
2023	Green New Deal Housing	6.96	\$14,500	Complete
2024	One Roof- Plover Place	9	\$30,000	Complete
2023	North Shore Area Partners	22.8	\$30,000	Complete
2023	One Roof-LandTrust-Single Family	3.66	\$13,466	Complete
2023	One Roof-LandTrust-Single Family	5.12	\$18,419	Complete
2023	SUN- Single Family	2.9	\$13,514	Complete
2023	SUN- Single Family	7.79	\$28,439	Complete
2024	SUN-Single Family	9.02	\$30,000	Complete
2024	Single Family-Private	5.74	\$24,000	Complete
Expected 2025	Single Family-Private	5.85	\$17,000	Approved
2024	HRA-Skyridge Flats	40	\$30,000	Complete
Expected 2025	One Roof- 5 projects	25	\$61,000	Approved
Expected 2025	Single Family-Private	3.7	\$18,400	Approved
Expected 2025	SUN-Single Family	4.68	\$17,640	Approved
26	Total	294.9	\$694,993	
22	Total Complete	255.70	\$580,953	