



Minnesota Public Utilities Commission

PUC Agenda Meeting

Thursday, May 22, 2025

10:00 AM

INTRODUCTION

DECISION ITEMS

1. [Details 2025-043](#)

* **G002/M-24-369**

Northern States Power Co. d/b/a Xcel Energy

In the Matter of Xcel Energy Gas' Petition for Approval of a Gas Utility Infrastructure Cost Rider True-up Report for 2023, Updated Costs for 2024, Revenue Requirements for 2025, and Revised Adjustment Factors.

1. Should the Commission approve Xcel Energy's proposed 2025 Gas Utility Infrastructure Cost Rider revenue requirement and adjustment factors?
2. Should the Commission accept Xcel Energy's GUIC Rider 2023 True-up Report? (PUC: **Willette**)

Attachments:

[Briefing Papers](#)

2. [Details 2024-210](#)

* **E002/M-24-353**

Northern States Power Co. d/b/a Xcel Energy

In the Matter of Xcel Energy's Petition for approval of its 2025 Renewable Energy Standard Rider revenue requirements.

1. Should the Commission accept Xcel Energy's 2025 Renewable Energy Standard Rider Petition and approve its associated revenue requirements?
2. Should the Commission approve Xcel Energy's proposal to establish a regulatory liability for flowback of Investment Tax Credits related to the Sherco Battery project? (PUC: **Mohamed**)

Attachments:

[Briefing Papers](#)

3. [Details 2025-077](#)**** E002/M-24-432****Northern States Power Co. d/b/a Xcel Energy**

In the Matter of a Peak Time Rebate Program for Xcel Energy to Further the Commission's Advanced Rate Design Efforts in Docket No. E002/CI-24-115.

1. What process should the Commission use to review Xcel's Peak Time Rebate (PTR) proposal and its proposal to seek cost recovery through its Energy Conservation and Optimization (ECO) portfolio?
2. Is inclusion in Xcel's ECO portfolio the appropriate regulatory pathway for determining program design, terms and conditions, cost recovery, and reporting for the PTR program?
3. Do any aspects of the proposed PTR program require Commission approval, such as a customer service agreement, standard terms and conditions, or other program components that would be included in the utility's tariff?
4. If Commission review of the proposal, or specific elements of the proposal, is appropriate or required, what process should the Commission use to complete its evaluation? (PUC: **Ricker**)

Attachments:[Briefing Papers](#)**4. [Details 2025-076](#)****** G999/CI-24-202**

**CenterPoint Energy Resources Corp, d/b/a
CenterPoint Energy Minnesota Gas;
Great Plains Natural Gas Co.;
Greater Minnesota Gas, Inc.;
Minnesota Energy Resources Corp.;
Northern States Power Co., d/b/a Xcel Energy**

In the Matter of Establishing Standardized Reporting Requirements For Any Gas Utility Providing Renewable Natural Gas Interconnection Services.

What action should the Commission take on Staff's Straw Proposal for Standardized Reporting Requirements for RNG interconnection services? (PUC: **Nikitas**)

Attachments:[Briefing Papers](#)

5. [Details 2024-009](#)**** E017-M-23-338 Otter Tail Power Co.**

In the Matter of Commission Consideration and Determination of Otter Tail Power Company's Plan to Meet the Small-Scale SES Compliance.

Should the Commission approve Otter Tail's plan to achieve future SES compliance with the small-scale solar carve-out requirement? (PUC: **Brown-Huss**)

Attachments: [Briefing Papers](#)

6. [Details 2025-002](#)*** IP7138/ESS-24-294; Spearmint Energy (Midwater BESS, LLC)
IP7138/TL-24-295**

In the Matter of the Application of Midwater BESS, LLC for a site permit for the up to 150 MW Midwater Energy Storage project in Freeborn County, Minnesota.

In the Matter of the Application of Midwater BESS, LLC for a route permit for the 161kV transmission line for the Midwater Energy Storage project in Freeborn County, Minnesota.

1. What action should the Commission take concerning site and route alternatives to be evaluated in the environmental assessment?
2. What actions, if any, should the Commission take concerning other procedural items? (PUC: **Harvieux**)

Attachments: [Briefing Papers](#)

ADJOURNMENT

*** One star indicates that an agenda item is not disputed.**

**** Two stars indicate that an agenda item is disputed and there may be legal, procedural, or policy issues to be resolved.**

***** Three stars indicate a complex or lengthy disputed agenda item that may have significant legal, procedural, or policy issues to be resolved.**

Please note: For the complete record, please see eDockets.