



Minnesota Public Utilities Commission

PUC Agenda Meeting

Thursday, August 20, 2026

10:00 AM

INTRODUCTION

DECISION ITEMS

1. [Details 2026-148](#)

* **IP7180/ESS-26-184** **LSP CG Storage, LLC**

In the Matter of the Application of LSP CG Storage for a Site Permit for the up to 80 MW Cottage Grove BESS Project in Cottage Grove, Washington County, Minnesota.

1. Should the Commission require an addendum to the Environmental Assessment (EA) for the project?
2. If an addendum is required, should the Commission authorize the Executive Secretary to approve the scope of an EA addendum?
3. If an addendum is required, should the Commission extend the six-month statutory deadline for the Commission to make a decision (not to exceed nine months)?
4. Should the Commission issue the draft site permit prepared by Energy Infrastructure Permitting Staff (PUC EIP staff), including the proposed special permit conditions?
5. Should the Commission request that an Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) from the Court of Administrative Hearings (CAH) conduct public hearings for the project and prepare a summary of public testimony as expeditiously as possible? (PUC: **Harvieux; Livingston**)

2. [Details 2025-225](#)

** **E015/CN-25-111** **Minnesota Power;
American Transmission Company**

In the Matter of the Application of Minnesota Power and American Transmission Company, LLC for a Certificate of Need for the Iron Range - St. Louis County - Arrowhead 345 kV Transmission Project.

1. Are there any contested issues of fact with respect to the representations made in the certificate of need application?
2. Are there other issues or concerns related to this matter? (PUC: **Maxon, Johnson**)

3. [Details 2025-219](#)**** E999/CI-19-704 All Electric Utilities**

In the Matter of an Investigation into Self-Commitment and Self-Scheduling of Large Baseload Generation Facilities.

1. Have the utilities provided adequate information in the 2026 compliance filings on self-commitment?
2. Should the utilities be required to provide additional information or take additional steps regarding self-commitment at this time?
3. Should the filing of this report be combined with the annual fuel-true up reporting, as recommended by Joint Parties in the December 29, 2025 letter?
4. Should the filing requirements for future years be modified? (PUC: **Manning, Andringa**)

4. [Details 2025-074](#)**** E002,PT7157/ City of Slayton;
SA-25-129 Northern States Power Co. d/b/a Xcel Energy**

In the Matter of the Petition of the City of Slayton for the Determination of Compensation for City Acquisition of Xcel Energy Electric Service Territory.

Should the Commission accept, reject, or modify the Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and Recommendations provided by the Court of Administrative Hearings? (PUC: **Noyce**)

The following items will not be heard before 12:30 PM

5. [Details 2021-331](#)**** E999/CI-16-521 Northern States Power Co. d/b/a Xcel Energy**

In the Matter of Updating the Generic Standards for the Interconnection and Operation of Distributed Generation Facilities Established Under Minn. Stat. § 216B.1611.

Should the Commission approve the Technical Subgroup's proposed changes to the Minnesota Distributed Energy Resource Technical Interconnection and Interoperability Requirements (TIIR) and the Minnesota Distributed Energy Resources Interconnection Process (MN DIP) regarding Battery Energy Storage Systems and Limited/Non-Export Systems? (PUC: **Duran**)

6. [Details 2026-146](#)

**** P407,405/DTL-26-266 Frontier Communications of Minnesota, Inc.;
Citizens Telecommunications Company of
Minnesota, LLC**

In the Matter of Frontier Communications of Minnesota, Inc. and Citizens Telecommunications Company of Minnesota, LLC for Approval of Proposed Customer Transition Plan.

How should the Commission proceed with Frontier Communications of Minnesota and Citizens Telecommunications Co. of Minnesota's proposed Customer Transition Plan petition? (PUC: **Brown-Huss**)

ADJOURNMENT

*** One star indicates that an agenda item is not disputed.**

**** Two stars indicate that an agenda item is disputed and there may be legal, procedural, or policy issues to be resolved.**

***** Three stars indicate a complex or lengthy disputed agenda item that may have significant legal, procedural, or policy issues to be resolved.**

Please note: For the complete record, please see eDockets.