



**Minnesota Public Utilities
Commission
Decisions
PUC Agenda Meeting**

121 7th Place East
Suite 350
Saint Paul, MN 55101-2147

Thursday, April 14, 2016

9:30 AM

Large Hearing Room

INTRODUCTION

ORAL ARGUMENT ITEMS

DECISION ITEM

1. ** E015/M-15-875 **Minnesota Power**
In the Matter of Minnesota Power's Petition for Approval of Credit to Customers.

Should the Commission approve Minnesota Power's proposed credit refund to customers? (PUC: **Alonso, Krishnan**)

Refund allocation approved; reimbursement request denied; filings required.

DELIBERATION ITEM

2. ** E999/CI-03-802; **All Commission-Regulated Electric Utilities**
E999/AA-12-757;
E999/AA-13-599;
E999/AA-14-579

In the Matter of an Investigation into the Appropriateness of Continuing to Permit Electric Energy Cost Adjustments;
In the Matter of the Review of the 2011-2012 Annual Automatic Adjustment Reports for All Electric Utilities;
In the Matter of the Review of the 2012-2013 Annual Automatic Adjustment Reports for All Electric Utilities;
In the Matter of the Review of the 2013-2014 Annual Automatic Adjustment Reports for All Electric Utilities.

1. Should the Commission accept the electric utilities' annual automatic adjustment (AAA) reports for fiscal-years 2012, 2013, and 2014?
2. Should the Commission accept the Minnesota Department of Commerce's uncontested comments, conclusions and recommendations for fiscal-years 2012, 2013, and 2014?

3. Should the Commission defer taking action on Xcel's recovery of replacement powers costs during the unplanned, forced outage of Sherco Unit 3?
4. How should the Commission address requests for recovery of replacement power costs charged through the FCA during unplanned, forced outages?
 - a. Reporting Requirements
 - b. Sharing Lessons Learned
 - c. Contractor Accountability for Replacement Power Costs & Supplier Warranties
5. Should the Commission require investor-owned electric utilities (IOUs) to obtain Business Interruption Insurance (BII)?
6. Should the Commission disallow fifty percent (or \$37,085) of the difference between OTP's May 2013 Revenue Sufficiency Guaranty (RSG) charges and the average RSG monthly charges for this time period?
7. Were Minnesota Power's rail transportation costs for fiscal-year 2014 reasonable?
8. Should the electric IOUs' fuel clause adjustment mechanisms be reformed, and if so, how, and what are the next steps?
9. Should the Commission close its investigation into the appropriateness of continuing to permit electric energy cost adjustments, Docket No. E-999/CI-03-802? (PUC: **Harding, Bender, Alonso**)

A notice will be issued separately that suggests oral argument times and procedures.

Accept AAA reports/uncontested recommendations. Defer action on Sherco 3 energy replacement costs. Accept OTP's explanation of RSG costs/payments, and disallow 50% of the increase. Defer action on MP's 2013-14 coal procurements; approve MP's other coal procurements. Solicit DoC proposal on energy cost recovery.

ADJOURNMENT

* One star indicates agenda item is unusual but is not disputed.

** Two stars indicate a disputed item or significant legal or procedural issue to be resolved. (Ex Parte Rules apply)

Please note: For the complete record, please see eDockets