



**Minnesota Public Utilities  
Commission  
Decisions  
PUC Agenda Meeting**

121 7th Place East  
Suite 350  
Saint Paul, MN 55101-2147

---

Thursday, October 13, 2016

9:30 AM

Large Hearing Room

---

**INTRODUCTION**

**ORAL ARGUMENT ITEMS**

**DECISION ITEMS**

1.                   \*   **G011/D-16-490**                   **Minnesota Energy Resources Corporation**  
In the Matter of the Petition of Minnesota Energy Resources Corporation for its Annual Review of Depreciation Rates for 2016.  
(PUC: Schwieger; DOC: Addonizio)

**Approved depreciation petition; required five-year depreciation study by June 1, 2017.**

2.                   **E002/M-12-1278**                   **Xcel Energy**  
In the Matter of Xcel's Request to Issue Renewable Development Fund Cycle 4 Requests for Proposals and Petition for Approval of a Standard Grant Contract.

Should the Commission accept the parties' agreement on royalty payments and approve the RDF grant contract between Xcel Energy and Barr Engineering (RD4-14)? (PUC: **Mackenzie**)

**Approved Renewable Development Fund grant contract; required further filings.**

3.                   \*\*   **E,G999/CI-08-133**                   **All Electric and Gas Utilities with Approved DSM Financial Incentives**

In the Matter of Commission Review of Utility Performance Incentives for Energy Conservation Pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 216B.241 Subd. 2c.

1. Should the Commission reconsider or reopen its August 5th Order?
2. If so, should the August 5th Order be modified? (PUC: **Fournier**)

Please Note: Minn. Rules 7829.3000, subp. 6, states that "[t]he commission shall decide a petition for rehearing, amendment,

vacation, reconsideration, or reargument with or without a hearing or oral argument. The commission may vacate or stay the order, or part of the order, that is the subject of the petition, pending action on the petition.

**Denied reconsideration.**

## **DELIBERATION ITEM**

4.                   \*\* E002/RP-15-21                   **Northern States Power Company d/b/a  
Xcel Energy**  
In the Matter of Xcel Energy's 2016-2030 Integrated Resource Plan.
- Should the Commission approve Xcel's 2016 Integrated Resource Plan?  
What modifications, if any, should be made to Xcel's proposed plan?  
When should Xcel Energy file its next resource plan? (PUC: **Stalpes,  
DeBleeckere**)
- Resource plan accepted as modified.**

## **ADJOURNMENT**

\* One star indicates agenda item is unusual but is not disputed.  
\*\* Two stars indicate a disputed item or significant legal or procedural issue to be resolved. (Ex Parte Rules apply)  
Please note: For the complete record, please see eDockets