



Minnesota Public Utilities Commission

PUC Agenda Meeting

Thursday, November 16, 2023

10:00 AM

INTRODUCTION

DECISION ITEMS

1. [Details 2022-105](#)

**** P558,6995/M-22-221;** **LTD Broadband;**
P6995/M-21-133 **Minnesota Rural Electric Association;**
 Minnesota Telecom Alliance

In the Matter of a Petition to Initiate a Proceeding to Revoke the Expanded Eligible Telecommunications Carrier (“ETC”) Designation of LTD Broadband, LLC (“LTD”) and Deny LTD’s Funding Certification for 2023.

1. Should the Commission lift the January 18, 2023, stay of this proceeding issued by the ALJ?
2. Should the Commission grant the MREA and MTA’s (Petitioners’) motion to suspend LTD’s Rural Digital Opportunity Fund ETC designation previously granted by the Commission? (PUC: **Fournier, McShane**)

Attachments: [Briefing Papers](#)

2. [Details 2020-126](#)

*** E017/M-20-181** **Otter Tail Power Company**

In the Matter of Otter Tail Power Company’s Electric Vehicle Direct Current Fast Charging (DCFC) General Service - Time of Day Pilot Rates.

Should the Commission approve Otter Tail Power Company’s proposed modifications to the Time-of-Day Pilot rates for its Electric Vehicle Direct Fast Charging General Service? (PUC: **Terwilliger**; DOC: Bahn)

Attachments: [Commission Staff Memo and Decision Options](#)

3. [Details 2014-031](#)

**** E002/M-13-867** **Northern States Power Company d/b/a Xcel Energy**

In the Matter of the Petition of Xcel Energy For Approval of its Proposed Community Solar Garden Program.

Should the Commission reconsider taking no action on the change to the definition of

the Standard Bill Credit in section 9, revised sheet no. 69 of Xcel’s tariffs? (PUC: Strauss)

The Commission has the authority to accept or decline a petition for reconsideration **with or without** a hearing or oral argument (Minnesota Rules 7829.3000, Subpart 6). In other words, a decision on a petition for reconsideration can be made without taking oral comments at the Commission meeting.

Attachments: [Briefing Papers](#)

4. [Details 2023-166](#)

**** E002,E017,ET2,E015, Xcel Energy;
ET10/CN-22-538; Great River Energy;
E002,E017,ET2,E015, Minnesota Power;
ET10/TL-23-159 Otter Tail Power Company;
Western Minnesota Municipal Power Agency**

In the Matter of the Application for a Certificate of Need for the Big Stone South-Alexandria-Big Oaks Transmission Project;
In the Matter of the Application for a Route Permit for the Alexandria to Big Oaks 345-kV Transmission Project in Central Minnesota.

1. Should the Commission accept the certificate of need application as substantially complete and authorize review using the Commission’s informal process or refer the matter to the Office of Administrative Hearings for contested case proceedings?
2. Should the Commission accept the route permit application as substantially complete and authorize review under the alternative permitting review process?
3. Should the Commission direct that the certificate of need and route permit applications be processed jointly (i.e., joint public information meetings, joint public hearings, and an environmental assessment in lieu of an environmental report)?
4. What actions should the Commission take concerning the public hearing process and other procedural items?
5. Should the Commission appoint an advisory task force?
6. Should the Commission direct the Executive Secretary to issue an authorization to the applicant to initiate consultation with the Minnesota State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO)? (PUC: Ek)

Attachments: [Briefing Papers](#)

ADJOURNMENT

*** One star indicates that an agenda item is not disputed.**

**** Two stars indicate that an agenda item is disputed and there may be legal, procedural, or policy issues to be resolved.**

***** Three stars indicate a complex or lengthy disputed agenda item that may have**

**significant legal, procedural, or policy issues to be resolved.
Please note: For the complete record, please see eDockets.**