

January 13, 2014

Burl W. Haar
Executive Secretary
Minnesota Public Utilities Commission
121 7th Place East, Suite 350
St. Paul, Minnesota 55101-2147

RE: **Response Comments of the Minnesota Department of Commerce, Division of Energy Resources**
Docket No. G011/M-13-670

Dear Dr. Haar:

On August 1, 2013, Minnesota Energy Resources Corporation - (MERC or the Company) filed a change in demand entitlement petition (petition) for its customers served off of the Northern Natural Gas Co. (NNG or Northern) Purchased Gas Adjustment (PGA) system (MERC-NNG) but agreed to provide updated information on November 1, 2013. On October 3, 2013, the Minnesota Department of Commerce, Division of Energy Resources (Department) filed its Comments recommending that the Commission:

- allow MERC to recover storage gas costs through the commodity portion of the PGA, rather than the demand portion;
- accept MERC-NNG's peak-day analysis with the caveat that the Department cannot fully verify the results of MERC's analysis as mentioned herein;
- accept MERC-NNG's proposed level of demand entitlement; and
- allow the proposed recovery of associated demand costs effective November 1, 2013.

Additionally, the Department requested that MERC confirm in Reply Comments, the change in TFX5 Max and Discount demand levels. The Department also requested that MERC explain in Reply Comments the differences in Firm Deferred Delivery (FDD) storage contract reservation and capacity amounts shown in DOC Attachment 1.

On October 31, 2013, MERC filed a response to the Department's two requests. Regarding the change in TFX5 demand levels, MERC stated that its petition was incorrect due to a problem with a formula. According to the Company, MERC had contracted with Northern for 1,800 MMBtu of discounted TFX5 (1,601 MMBtu for MERC-PNG NNG's PGA and 199 MMBtu for MERC-NMU's PGA). However, the discounted TFX5 was overstated in MERC-PNG NNG's PGA by 199 MMBtu. Although not mentioned by MERC in its response, TFX5 at the maximum rate was correspondingly understated by 199 MMBtu. The Department notes that the Company corrected these errors in its November 2013 MERC-NNG PGA. Since the errors caused an under-recovery rather than an over-recovery by the Company, the Department concludes that the under-recovery should be accounted for in the 2014 true up.

Regarding the Department's second request, MERC explained the differences in FDD storage contract reservation and capacity amounts shown in the Department's October 3, 2013 Attachment 1.

On November 1, 2013 MERC filed a Revised Petition updating information and explaining the reason for a new capacity addition purchased since MERC's August 1 petition:

In addition, NNG held an open season in October 2013 for capacity on their constrained line [*sic*] Tomah line segment, in which MERC submitted a proposal for 7,500 dth/day capacity during the winter period. Based on the results of the open season, MERC was awarded 2,900 Mcf/day¹ capacity during the winter (November through March). The Tomah line segment supplies gas to the Rochester area, where MERC is expecting significant growth.

This increase in entitlement increases the reserve margin from 3.09 to 4.27 percent and appears reasonable considering MERC expects significant growth in Rochester.

Also, in a January 8, 2014 telephone conversation with Company personnel, the Department requested an update on Northern's reallocation of MERC's TF-12 Base (B) and Variable (V) service.² Northern increased TF-12 B by 2,109 MMBtu and decreased TF-12V by the same amount. Thus, there is no change in the total level of entitlement. This change will be implemented in MERC's February 2014 PGA.³

Based on MERC's Reply Comments and Revised Petition, the Department updated DOC Attachments 1 and 2 and added DOC Attachment 3. Below, the Department updates its Tables 1 through 4 as filed on October 3, 2013.

¹ The Department notes that the pipeline sells capacity on a dekatherm (dth) or MMBtu basis rather than Mcf.

² NNG annually reallocates TF-12 B and V entitlements (B/V split) on or about November 1 based on the utility's previous May through September usage.

³ According to the Company, it did not receive the reallocation in time for implementation in the January 2014 PGA.

Table 1

The Company's Proposed Total Entitlement Changes	
Type of Entitlement	Proposed Changes: increase (decrease) (dth)
TF 12 Base and Variable	763
TF5	(763)
TFX5 (Max Rate)	0
TFX5 (Discount Rate)	0
NNG Zone Gas Daily Delivery (GDD) Call Option	20,000
8-1-13 Total Entitlement Net Change	20,000
11-1-13 Update TFX5 (Max Rate)	2,900
1-8-14 Update TF12 B	2,109
1-8-14 Update TF12 V	(2,109)
11-1-13 Total Entitlement Net Change	22,900

Table 2

Filing	Previous Entitlement (Dkt)	Proposed Entitlement (Dkt)	Entitlement Changes (Dkt)	Change From Previous Year (%)
Aug. 1, 2013	233,485	253,485	20,000	8.57%
Nov. 1, 2014	233,485	256,385	22,900	9.81%

Table 3

Filing	Previous Design Day (Dkt)	Previous Design Day (Dkt)	Proposed Design Day (Dkt)	Design Day Changes (Dkt)	Change From Previous Year (%)
Aug. 1, 2013	225,883	225,883	245,878	19,995	8.85%
Nov. 1, 2014	225,883	225,883	245,878	19,995	8.85%

Table 4

Filing	Total Entitlement (Dkt)	Total Entitlement (Dkt)	Design-day Estimate (Dkt)	Difference (Dkt)	Reserve Margin %	% Change From Previous Year
Aug. 1, 2013	253,485	253,485	245,878	7,607	3.09%	-0.28%
Nov. 1, 2014	253,485	253,485	256,385	10,507	4.27%	0.91%

In DOC Attachment 3, the Department compared MERC-NNG's October 2013 PGA to a projected November 2013 PGA as a means of highlighting its changes in demand costs.⁴ The Company's revised demand entitlement proposal would result in the following annual demand cost impacts:⁵

- annual bill increase of \$0.0209 related to demand costs, or approximately 1.23 percent, for the average General Service customer consuming 71 Dkt annually; and
- no demand cost impacts related to MERC-NNG's interruptible rate classes.

The Department continues make the same recommendations as in its October 3, 2013 Comments stated above and is available to answer any questions that the Commission may have.

Sincerely,

/s/ MICHELLE ST. PIERRE
Financial Analyst

MS/sm
Attachment

⁴ MERC also provides this comparison in its Attachment 4, page 1.

⁵ The rate impacts do not include the TF12 B/V split.

Heating Season	Number of Firm Customers			Design Day Requirement			Total Entitlement + Peak Shaving			Reserve Margin	
	(1) No. of Design Day Customers	(2) Change from Previous Year	(3) % Change From Previous Year	(4) Design Day (Mcf)	(5) Change from Previous Year	(6) % Change From Previous Year	(7) Total Entitlement (Mcf)*	(8) Change from Previous Year	(9) % Change From Previous Year	(10) Reserve Margin	(11) % of Reserve Margin ((7)-(4))/(4)
2013-2014	178,578	1,641	0.93%	245,878	19,995	8.85%	256,385	22,900	9.81%	4.27%	
2012-2013	176,937	1,696	0.97%	225,883	(9,172)	-3.90%	233,485	-12,500	-5.08%	3.37%	
2011-2012	175,241	-786	-0.45%	235,055	16,842	7.72%	245,985	-15,690	-6.00%	4.65%	
2010-2011	176,027	799	0.46%	218,213	(9,827)	-4.31%	261,675	7,000	2.75%	19.92%	
2009-2010	175,228	1,266	0.73%	228,040	(19,148)	-7.75%	254,675	4,227	1.69%	11.68%	
2008-2009	173,962	1,846	1.07%	247,188	23,434	10.47%	250,448	0	0.00%	1.32%	
2007-2008	172,116	7,063	4.28%	223,754	1,635	0.74%	250,448	2036	0.82%	11.93%	
2006-2007	165,053			222,119			248,412			11.84%	
Average:			1.14%			1.69%			0.57%	8.62%	

Columns (1) and (4) were provided by MERC in Attachment 1, page 3.

Firm Peak Day Sendout

Heating Season	(11) Number of Peak Day Customers	(12) Firm Peak Day Sendout (Mcf)	(13) Change from Previous Year	(14) % Change From Previous Year	(15) Excess/Def. per Cust. [(7)-(4)]/(1)	(16) Design Day per Customer (4)/(1)	(17) Entitlement per Customer (7)/(1)	(18) Peak Day Sendout per PD Customer (12)/(11)**
2013-2014	unknown	unknown	unknown	unknown	0.06	1.38	1.44	unknown
2012-2013	176,937	unknown	#VALUE!	#VALUE!	0.04	1.28	1.32	#VALUE!
2011-2012	175,241	unknown	#VALUE!	#VALUE!	0.06	1.34	1.40	#VALUE!
2010-2011	176,027	unknown	#VALUE!	#VALUE!	0.25	1.24	1.49	#VALUE!
2009-2010	175,228	unknown	#VALUE!	#VALUE!	0.15	1.30	1.45	#VALUE!
2008-2009	173,962	unknown	#VALUE!	#VALUE!	0.02	1.42	1.44	#VALUE!
2007-2008	172,116	unknown	#VALUE!	#VALUE!	0.16	1.30	1.46	#VALUE!
2006-2007	165,053	unknown	#VALUE!	#VALUE!	0.16	1.35	1.51	#VALUE!
Average:				#VALUE!	0.11	1.33	1.44	#VALUE!

* MERC-PNG-NNG added to MERC-NMU-NNG areas from DOC's prior Attachment 2 for each company.

** The number of design day customers are used when the number of firm peak day customers is unknown (18-19).

OES Attachment 3
Rate Impact of MERC-Northern PGA System Proposed Demand Entitlement Changes

1) General Service - Residential: Avg. Annual Use: 71 Mcf								
Recovery	Last Base Cost of Gas G011/MR-10 978	Last Demand Change Jan. '13 M-12-1193	Most Recent PGA 10/1/13	Nov-13 PGA with Demand Changes	% Change From Last Rate Case	% Change From Last Demand Filing	% Change From Last PGA	\$ Change From Last PGA
Commodity Rate***	\$4.7461	\$3.4651	\$3.7392	\$4.0475	-14.72%	16.81%	8.25%	\$0.3083
Demand Rate	\$1.6894	\$1.8818	\$1.6968	\$1.7177	1.68%	-8.72%	1.23%	\$0.0209
Margin	\$1.9754	\$1.9417	\$1.9754	\$1.9754	0.00%	1.74%	0.00%	\$0.0000
Total Recovery	\$8.4109	\$7.2886	\$7.4114	\$7.7406	-7.97%	6.20%	4.44%	\$0.3292
Avg. Annual Bill*	\$597.17	\$517.49	\$526.21	\$549.58	-7.97%	6.20%	4.44%	\$23.3732
Effect of proposed commodity change on average annual bills:								\$21.89
Effect of proposed demand change on average annual bills:								\$1.48
2) Small Volume Interruptible: Avg. Annual Use: 4,034 Mcf \$23.3732								
Recovery	Last Base Cost of Gas G011/MR-10 978	Last Demand Change Jan. '13 M-12-1193	Most Recent PGA 10/1/13	Aug-13 PGA with Demand Changes	% Change From Last Rate Case	% Change From Last Demand Filing	% Change From Last PGA	\$ Change From Last PGA
Commodity Rate***	\$4.7461	\$3.4651	\$3.7392	\$4.0475	-14.72%	16.81%	8.25%	\$0.3083
Demand Rate	\$0.0000	\$0.0000	\$0.0000	\$0.0000	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	\$0.0000
Margin	\$1.0647	\$1.2781	\$1.0647	\$1.0647	0.00%	-16.70%	0.00%	\$0.0000
Total Recovery	\$5.8108	\$4.7432	\$4.8039	\$5.1122	-12.02%	7.78%	6.42%	\$0.3083
Avg. Annual Bill*	\$23,440.77	\$19,134.07	\$19,378.93	\$20,622.61	-12.02%	7.78%	6.42%	\$1,243.68
Effect of proposed commodity change on average annual bills:								\$1,243.68
Effect of proposed demand change on average annual bills:								\$0.0000
3) Large Volume Interruptible: Avg. Annual Use: 20,096 Mcf								
Recovery	Last Base Cost of Gas G011/MR-10 978	Last Demand Change Jan. '13 M-12-1193	Most Recent PGA 10/1/13	Aug-13 PGA with Demand Changes	% Change From Last Rate Case	% Change From Last Demand Filing	% Change From Last PGA	\$ Change From Last PGA
Commodity Rate***	\$4.7461	\$3.4651	\$3.7392	\$4.0475	-14.72%	16.81%	8.25%	\$0.3083
Demand Rate	\$0.0000	\$0.0000	\$0.0000	\$0.0000	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	\$0.0000
Margin	\$0.3568	\$0.3554	\$0.3248	\$0.3248	-8.97%	-8.61%	0.00%	\$0.0000
Total Recovery	\$5.1029	\$3.8205	\$4.0640	\$4.3723	-14.32%	14.44%	7.59%	\$0.3083
Avg. Annual Bill*	\$102,547.88	\$76,776.77	\$81,670.14	\$87,865.74	-14.32%	14.44%	7.59%	\$6,195.60
Effect of proposed commodity change on average annual bills:								\$6,195.60
Effect of proposed demand change on average annual bills:								\$0.00
4) Small Volume Firm: Avg. Annual Use: 4,800 Mcf Avg. Annual CD Volumes: 25 Mcf								
Recovery	Last Base Cost of Gas G011/MR-10 978	Last Demand Change Jan. '13 M-12-1193	Most Recent PGA 10/1/13	Aug-13 PGA with Demand Changes	% Change From Last Rate Case	% Change From Last Demand Filing	% Change From Last PGA	\$ Change From Last PGA
Commodity Rate***	\$4.7461	\$3.4651	\$3.7392	\$4.0475	-14.72%	16.81%	8.25%	\$0.3083
Demand Rate	\$19.5620	\$19.3628	\$19.4140	\$18.8796	-3.49%	-2.50%	-2.75%	(\$0.5344)
Comm. Margin	\$1.0647	\$1.2781	\$1.0647	\$1.0647	0.00%	-16.70%	0.00%	\$0.0000
SV Dem. Margin	\$2.3000	\$1.9695	\$2.3000	\$2.3000	0.00%	16.78%	0.00%	\$0.0000
Total Commodity Cost	\$5.8108	\$4.7432	\$4.8039	\$5.1122	-12.02%	7.78%	6.42%	\$0.3083
Total Demand Cost	\$21.8620	\$21.3323	\$21.7140	\$21.1796	-3.12%	-0.72%	-2.46%	(\$0.5344)
Avg. Annual Bill*	\$28,438.39	\$23,300.67	\$23,601.57	\$25,068.05	-11.85%	7.59%	6.21%	\$1,466.4800
Effect of proposed commodity change on average annual bills:								\$1,479.84
Effect of proposed demand change on average annual bills:								(\$13.36)
5) Large Volume Firm: Avg. Annual Use: 14,841 Mcf Avg. Annual CD Units: 75 Mcf								
Recovery	Last Base Cost of Gas G011/MR-10 978	Last Demand Change Jan. '13 M-12-1193	Most Recent PGA 10/1/13	Aug-13 PGA with Demand Changes	% Change From Last Rate Case	% Change From Last Demand Filing	% Change From Last PGA	\$ Change From Last PGA
Commodity Rate***	\$4.7461	\$3.4651	\$3.7392	\$4.0475	-14.72%	16.81%	8.25%	\$0.3083
Demand Rate	\$19.5620	\$19.3628	\$19.4140	\$18.8796	-3.49%	-2.50%	-2.75%	(\$0.5344)
Comm. Margin	\$0.3568	\$0.3554	\$0.3568	\$0.3568	0.00%	0.39%	0.00%	\$0.0000
LV Dem. Margin	\$2.3000	\$1.5319	\$2.3000	\$2.3000	0.00%	50.14%	0.00%	\$0.0000
Total Commodity Cost	\$5.1029	\$3.8205	\$4.0960	\$4.4043	-13.69%	15.28%	7.53%	\$0.3083
Total Demand Cost	\$21.8620	\$20.8947	\$21.7140	\$21.1796	-3.12%	1.36%	-2.46%	(\$0.5344)
Avg. Annual Bill*	\$77,371.79	\$58,267.14	\$62,417.29	\$66,952.69	-13.47%	14.91%	7.27%	\$4,535.4003
Effect of proposed commodity change on average annual bills:								\$4,575.48
Effect of proposed demand change on average annual bills:								(\$40.08)

Customer Class	Commodity Change (\$/Mcf)	Commodity Change (Percent)	Demand Change (\$/Mcf)	Demand Change (Percent)	Total Change (\$/Mcf)	Total Change (Percent)
All Firm	\$0.3083	8.25%	\$0.0209	1.23%	0.3292	4.44%
Sm Vol Inter. Service	\$0.3083	8.25%	\$0.0000	0.00%	0.3083	6.42%
Lrg Vol Inter. Service	\$0.3083	8.25%	\$0.0000	0.00%	0.3083	7.59%
Sm Vol Joint Service	\$0.3083	8.25%	(\$0.5344)	-2.75%	0.3083	6.42%
Lrg Vol Joint Service	\$0.3083	8.25%	(\$0.5344)	-2.75%	0.3083	7.53%

* The average annual bill shown does not include customer charges.
 ** The total change for Joint customers includes only commodity change since not all joint customers purchase CD units.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Sharon Ferguson, hereby certify that I have this day, served copies of the following document on the attached list of persons by electronic filing, certified mail, e-mail, or by depositing a true and correct copy thereof properly enveloped with postage paid in the United States Mail at St. Paul, Minnesota.

**Minnesota Department of Commerce
Response Comments**

Docket No. G011/M-13-670

Dated this 13th day of **January 2014**

/s/Sharon Ferguson

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
Michael	Ahern	ahern.michael@dorsey.com	Dorsey & Whitney, LLP	50 S 6th St Ste 1500 Minneapolis, MN 554021498	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_13-670_M-13-670
Julia	Anderson	Julia.Anderson@ag.state.mn.us	Office of the Attorney General-DOC	1800 BRM Tower 445 Minnesota St St. Paul, MN 551012134	Electronic Service	Yes	OFF_SL_13-670_M-13-670
Michael	Bradley	mike.bradley@lawmoss.com	Moss & Barnett	Suite 4800 90 S 7th St Minneapolis, MN 55402-4129	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_13-670_M-13-670
Sharon	Ferguson	sharon.ferguson@state.mn.us	Department of Commerce	85 7th Place E Ste 500 Saint Paul, MN 551012198	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_13-670_M-13-670
Daryll	Fuentes	N/A	USG	550 W. Adams Street Chicago, IL 60661	Paper Service	No	OFF_SL_13-670_M-13-670
Burl W.	Haar	burl.haar@state.mn.us	Public Utilities Commission	Suite 350 121 7th Place East St. Paul, MN 551012147	Electronic Service	Yes	OFF_SL_13-670_M-13-670
Richard	Haubensak	RICHARD.HAUBENSAK@CONSTELLATION.COM	Constellation New Energy Gas	Suite 200 12120 Port Grace Boulevard La Vista, NE 68128	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_13-670_M-13-670
Amber	Lee	alee@briggs.com	Briggs and Morgan	2200 IDS Center 80 South 8th Street Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_13-670_M-13-670
John	Lindell	agorud.ecf@ag.state.mn.us	Office of the Attorney General-RUD	1400 BRM Tower 445 Minnesota St St. Paul, MN 551012130	Electronic Service	Yes	OFF_SL_13-670_M-13-670
Brian	Meloy	brian.meloy@leonard.com	Leonard, Street & Deinard	150 S 5th St Ste 2300 Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_13-670_M-13-670

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
Andrew	Moratzka	apmoratzka@stoel.com	Stoel Rives LLP	33 South Sixth Street Suite 4200 Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_13-670_M-13-670
Eric	Swanson	eswanson@winthrop.com	Winthrop Weinstine	225 S 6th St Ste 3500 Capella Tower Minneapolis, MN 554024629	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_13-670_M-13-670
Gregory	Walters	gjwalters@minnesotaenergyresources.com	Minnesota Energy Resources Corporation	3460 Technology Dr. NW Rochester, MN 55901	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_13-670_M-13-670