



Minnesota Public Utilities Commission

PUC Agenda Meeting

Thursday, February 5, 2026

10:00 AM

INTRODUCTION

DECISION ITEMS

1. [Details 2026-003](#)

* **G008/M-25-43** **CenterPoint Energy**

In the Matter of CenterPoint Energy's 2024 Energy Conservation and Optimization Status Report, 2024 Demand Side Management Financial Incentive, 2024 ECO Tracker Report, and 2025/2026 Conservation Cost Recovery Adjustment.

Should the Commission clarify its November 20, 2025 Order? (PUC: **Winner**)

The Commission has the authority to accept or decline a petition for reconsideration **with or without** a hearing or oral argument (Minnesota Rules 7829.3000, Subpart 6). In other words, a decision on a petition for reconsideration can be made without taking oral comments at the Commission meeting.

Attachments: [Briefing Papers](#)

2. [Details 2026-006](#)

PULLED

** ~~**G022/GR-24-350**~~ ~~**Greater Minnesota Gas, Inc.**~~

In the Matter of the Application of Greater Minnesota Gas, Inc. for Authority to Increase Rates for Natural Gas Utility Service in Minnesota.

Should the Commission reopen, reconsider, and/or clarify its November 26, 2025 Findings of Fact, Conclusions, and Order? (PUC: Bonnett)

The Commission has the authority to accept or decline a petition for reconsideration **with or without** a hearing or oral argument (Minnesota Rules 7829.3000, Subpart 6). In other words, a decision on a petition for reconsideration can be made without taking oral comments at the Commission meeting.

3. [Details 2026-007](#)

- * **G011/M-25-68; Minnesota Energy Resources Corp.
G011/M-25-69**

In the Matter of Minnesota Energy Resources Corp.'s Petition for Approval of a Change in Demand Entitlement for its NNG System;

In the Matter of Minnesota Energy Resources Corp.'s Petition for Approval of a Change in Demand Entitlement for its Consolidated purchase gas adjustment.

1. Should the Commission approve Minnesota Energy Resources Corp.'s Petition for a Change in Demand Entitlement for its NNG System?
2. Should the Commission approve Minnesota Energy Resources Corp.'s Petition for a Change in Demand Entitlement for its Consolidated System? (PUC: **Worlobah**)

Attachments: [Briefing Papers \(NNG\)](#)
 [Briefing Papers \(Consolidated\)](#)

4. [Details 2026-010](#)

- * **G002/M-25-67 Northern States Power Co. d/b/a Xcel Energy**

In the Matter of the Petition of Xcel Energy for Approval of Changes in Contract Demand Entitlements.

Should the Commission approve Xcel Energy's Petition for a Change in Contract Demand Entitlements? (PUC: **Larson**)

Attachments: [Briefing Papers](#)

5. [Details 2026-011](#)

- * **G022/M-25-70 Greater Minnesota Gas, Inc.**

In the Matter of Greater Minnesota Gas, Inc.'s Petition for Change in Contract Demand Entitlement for the 2025-2026 Heating Season.

Should the Commission approve Greater Minnesota Gas, Inc.'s Petition for proposed change in contract demand entitlement—nt capacity, Design Day, and Reserve Margin for the 2025-2026 Heating Season, effective April 1, 2025? (PUC: **Larson**)

Attachments: [Briefing Papers](#)

6. [Details 2026-004](#)*** G004/M-25-71 Great Plains Natural Gas Co.**

In the Matter of Great Plains Natural Gas Co.'s Petition for Approval of Changes in Contract Demand Entitlement for the 2025-2026 Winter Heating Season.

Should the Commission approve Great Plains' proposed demand entitlement levels and cost changes? (PUC: **Andringa**)

Attachments: [Briefing Papers](#)

7. [Details 2026-005](#)*** G008/M-25-72 CenterPoint Energy Resources Corp.
 d/b/a CenterPoint Energy Minnesota Gas**

In the Matter of CenterPoint Energy Minnesota Gas' Request for Change in Demand Units.

Should the Commission approve CenterPoint Energy's request for a Change in Demand Units? (PUC: **Andringa**)

Attachments: [Briefing Papers](#)

The following item will not be heard before 11:30 AM

8. [Details 2024-092](#)***** E002/CN-22-532;****Northern States Power Co. d/b/a Xcel Energy****E002/TL-23-157**

In the Matter of the Application of Xcel Energy for a Certificate of Need and Route Permit for the Mankato - Mississippi 345 kV Transmission Line Project in Southeast Minnesota.

1. Should the Commission adopt the administrative law judge's findings of fact, conclusions of law, and recommendation?
2. Should the Commission determine that the environmental impact statement is adequate?
3. Should the Commission grant a certificate of need for Xcel Energy's Mankato to Mississippi River 345 kV Transmission Line Project?
4. Should the Commission issue a route permit for Xcel Energy's Mankato to Mississippi River 345 kV Transmission Line Project? (PUC: **Panait**)

Attachments:[Briefing Papers](#)[Draft Route Permit](#)[New Decision Option 12B - Commissioner Tuma](#)[Modified 9A, 9B; New 12A - Commissioner Tuma](#)**9. [Details 2026-018](#)****E999/CI-09-1449****All Electric Utilities**

In the Matter of an Investigation of Whether the Commission Should Take Action on Demand Response Bid Directly into MISO Markets by Aggregators of Retail Customers ("ARCs") under FERC Orders 719 and 719-A.

The Commission will **close** this portion of the meeting as permitted by Minnesota Statutes Section 13D.05 to discuss attorney-client privileged matters related to the January 26, 2026 Opinion of the Minnesota Court of Appeals related to the Commission's May 18, 2010 order regarding the bidding of demand response into wholesale markets by non-utility aggregators of retail customers of certain public utilities.

ADJOURNMENT

* One star indicates that an agenda item is not disputed.

** Two stars indicate that an agenda item is disputed and there may be legal, procedural, or policy issues to be resolved.

*** Three stars indicate a complex or lengthy disputed agenda item that may have significant legal, procedural, or policy issues to be resolved.

Please note: For the complete record, please see eDockets.