



# Minnesota Public Utilities Commission

## PUC Agenda Meeting

---

Wednesday, January 11, 2023

9:00 AM

---

### INTRODUCTION

### DECISION ITEMS

1. [Details 2022-278](#)

\* E,G999/PR-22-13;  
E111/M-22-168;  
E015/M-22-163;  
E017/M-22-159;  
E002/M-22-162;  
G004/M-22-211;  
G022/M-22-193;  
G011/M-22-219;  
G002/M-22-210;  
G008/M-22-213

All Regulated Electric and Natural Gas Utilities

In the matter of the Annual Summary of Customer Complaints pursuant to MN. R. 7820.0500;

In the matter of Utility Annual Safety, Reliability, and Service Quality Report. (PUC: Dornfeld; DOC: Bologna; Skayer)

**Attachments:**

[DOC Comments 11-8-22](#)

[Ex Parte 12-14-22](#)

**2. [Details 2022-276](#)**

**\*\* G011/M-22-248; Minnesota Energy Resources Corporation;  
G022/M-22-249; Greater Minnesota Gas;  
G004/M-22-255; Great Plains Natural Gas Company;  
G008/M-22-256; CenterPoint Energy;  
G002/M-22-257 Xcel Energy - Gas**

In the Matter of Minnesota Energy Resources' 2019-2021 Evaluation of its Gas Affordability Program;

In the Matter of Greater Minnesota Gas, Inc.'s Gas Affordability Program Evaluation Report for 2019-2021;

In the Matter of Great Plains Natural Gas Company's Gas Affordability Program Evaluation Report for 2019-2021;

In the Matter of CenterPoint Energy Minnesota Gas' Evaluation of its Gas Affordability Program (GAP);

In the Matter of Northern States Power Company dba Xcel Energy's Gas Affordability Program Evaluation Report.

1. Should the Commission accept the Natural Gas Utilities' 2022 Gas Affordability Program (GAP) 3-year evaluation reports?
2. Should the Commission order Greater Minnesota Gas' GAP to become a permanent program?
3. Should the Commission approve Great Plains Natural Gas' revised tariff sheet?
4. Should the Commission approve Xcel Energy's proposal to discontinue the three-year evaluation reports? (PUC: **McShane**)

**Attachments:**

[briefing papers](#)

[CUB and ECC Alternative Decision Options 1-10-23](#)

**3. [Details 2022-277](#)**

**\*\* IP6981/CN-20-865; Dodge County Wind, LLC**  
**IP6981/WS-20-866;**  
**IP6981/TL-20-867**

In the Matter of the Application of Dodge County Wind, LLC for a Certificate of Need, a Site Permit, and a Route Permit for the up to 259 MW Large Wind Energy Conversion System and associated 161 kV Transmission Line in Dodge, Mower and Steele Counties, Minnesota

1. What action should the Commission take concerning route alternatives to be evaluated in the environmental assessment?
2. Should the Commission issue a draft site permit for the proposed Dodge County Wind Farm?
3. Should an advisory task force be appointed?
4. Should the certificate of need, site permit, or route permit applications be referred to the OAH for a contested case proceeding? (PUC: **Bruce**)

**Attachments:**

[briefing papers](#)

[Commissioner Tuma Decision Options - 1-10-23](#)

**4. [Details 2022-279](#)*****PULLED***

**\*\* ~~E002/M-22-170~~ Xcel Energy**

In the Matter of Xcel Energy's Petition for Approval of a Resiliency Service Program.

Should the Commission approve Xcel Energy's petition for a Resiliency Service Program? (PUC: **Noyce; Mezic**)

## **ADJOURNMENT**

**\* One star indicates agenda item is unusual but is not disputed.**

**\*\* Two stars indicate a disputed item or significant legal or procedural issue to be resolved. (Ex Parte Rules apply)**

**Please note: For the complete record, please see eDockets**