



Minnesota Public Utilities Commission

PUC Agenda Meeting

Thursday, December 21, 2023

10:00 AM

INTRODUCTION

Chris Clark Recognition and Remarks

DECISION ITEMS

The following items will not be heard before 10:30 AM

1. [Details 2021-291](#)

** E002/GR-21-630

Northern States Power Company d/b/a Xcel Energy

In the Matter of the Application by Northern States Power Company d/b/a Xcel Energy for Authority to Increase Rates for Electric Service in the State of Minnesota.

1. Should the Commission approve Xcel Energy's plan to implement the Interim Rate Refund?
2. Should the Commission approve Xcel Energy's Financial and Rate Design Schedules and Riders?
3. Should the Commission approve Xcel Energy's Customer Notice and Tariff Sheets? (PUC: Manning, Marcus, Pham)

Attachments:

[Briefing Papers](#)

[Decision Options](#)

2. [Details 2023-200](#)

- * G002/M-23-77; Xcel Energy-Gas;
- G008/M-23-79; CenterPoint Energy;
- G011/M-23-80; Minnesota Energy Resources Co.;
- G004/M-23-78; Great Plains;
- G022/M-23-81 Greater Minnesota Gas

In the Matter of Xcel Energy’s, Center Point Energy’s, Greater Minnesota Gas’, Great Plains’, and Minnesota Energy Resources’ Service Quality Report.

Should the Commission accept Xcel Energy’s, CenterPoint Energy’s, Greater Minnesota Gas’, Great Plains’, and Minnesota Energy Resources’ 2022 Service Quality Reports? (PUC: **Harsch**)

Attachments: [Briefing Papers](#)

3. [Details 2023-202](#)

- * G002,G022,G004, All Natural Gas Companies
- G011,G008/CI-22-548

In the Matter of an Exploration of Comparative Performance Metrics and Improvements to Natural Gas Service Quality Reports. (PUC: **Harsch**)

Attachments: [NGWG Final Report Docket 23-548](#)
[Docket 22-548 Tuma DO](#)

4. [Details 2023-201](#)

- * E002/TL-22-132 Xcel Energy

In the Matter of the Route Permit Application for the Minnesota Energy Connection Project in Sherburne, Stearns, Kandiyohi, Wright, Meeker, Chippewa, Yellow Medicine, Renville, Redwood, and Lyon counties in Minnesota.

1. Should the Commission accept the route permit application as substantially complete and authorize review under the full permitting process?
2. What actions, if any, should the Commission take concerning the hearing process and other procedural items?
3. Should the Commission appoint an advisory task force?
4. Should the Commission authorize the Executive Secretary to issue an authorization to the applicant to initiate consultation with the Minnesota State Historic Preservation Office? (PUC: **Ek**)

Attachments: [Briefing Papers](#)

ADJOURNMENT

*** One star indicates that an agenda item is not disputed.**

**** Two stars indicate that an agenda item is disputed and there may be legal, procedural, or policy issues to be resolved.**

***** Three stars indicate a complex or lengthy disputed agenda item that may have significant legal, procedural, or policy issues to be resolved.**

Please note: For the complete record, please see eDockets.