



# Minnesota Public Utilities Commission

## PUC Agenda Meeting

---

Thursday, September 12, 2024

10:00 AM

---

### INTRODUCTION

### DECISION ITEMS

1. [Details 2024-028](#)

**\*\* G008,002,011/CI-23-117;  
G999/CI-21-565**

**CenterPoint Energy Resources Corp., d/b/a  
CenterPoint Energy Minnesota Gas;  
Minnesota Energy Resources Corp.;  
Northern States Power Co. d/b/a Xcel Energy**

In the Matter of a Commission Investigation into Gas Utility Resource Planning;  
In the Matter of a Commission Evaluation of Changes to Natural Gas Utility Regulatory and Policy Structures to Meet State Greenhouse Gas Reduction Goals.

What filing requirements should the Commission adopt for Xcel Energy, CenterPoint Energy, and Minnesota Energy Resource Corporation's natural gas integrated resource plans (IRPs)? (PUC: **Dornfeld**)

**Attachments:** [Briefing Papers](#)

### The following items will not be heard before 12:30 PM

2. [Details 2023-149](#)

**\*\* ET002/GS-23-217**

**Northern States Power Co. d/b/a Xcel Energy**

In the Matter of the Application of Northern States Power Co. d/b/a Xcel Energy for a Site permit for the up to 250 MW Sherco 3 Solar Energy Generating System in Sherburne County, Minnesota.

Should the Commission reconsider its July 31, 2024 Order Approving and Issuing Site Permit? (PUC: **Harvieux**)

The Commission has the authority to accept or decline a petition for reconsideration **with or without** a hearing or oral argument (Minnesota Rules 7829.3000, Subpart 6). In other words, a decision on a petition for reconsideration can be made without taking oral comments at the Commission meeting.

**Attachments:** [Briefing Papers](#)

**3. [Details 2023-077](#)**

**\*\* IP7109/PPL-23-109**

**Magellan Pipeline Co., L.P.**

In the Matter of the Application of Magellan Pipeline Co., L.P. for a Route Permit for the Pipestone Reroute Project in Pipestone County, Minnesota.

1. Should the Commission adopt the administrative law judge's findings of fact, conclusions of law, and recommendation?
2. Should the Commission grant a pipeline route permit to Magellan Pipeline Co., L.P. for its proposed Pipestone Reroute Project?
3. If granted, which proposed route alternative best meets the route selection criteria in Minn. R. 7852.1900, and what, if any, conditions should be included in the route permit? (PUC: **Ek**)

**Attachments:**

[Briefing Papers](#)

[New Decision Option 1a-Commissioner Tuma](#)

## **ADJOURNMENT**

**\* One star indicates that an agenda item is not disputed.**

**\*\* Two stars indicate that an agenda item is disputed and there may be legal, procedural, or policy issues to be resolved.**

**\*\*\* Three stars indicate a complex or lengthy disputed agenda item that may have significant legal, procedural, or policy issues to be resolved.**

**Please note: For the complete record, please see eDockets.**