



Minnesota Public Utilities Commission

PUC Agenda Meeting

Thursday, October 3, 2024

10:00 AM

INTRODUCTION

DECISION ITEMS

1. [Details 2024-149](#)

- * E112,E016/SA-24-255 **East Central Energy;**
Northwestern Wisconsin Electric Co.

In the Matter of the Joint Request of East Central Energy and Northwestern Wisconsin Electric Co. to Update Electric Service Territory Records.

Should the Commission approve the Joint Request of East Central Energy and Northwestern Wisconsin Electric Co. to transfer electric service from Northwestern Wisconsin Electric Company to East Central Energy? (PUC: Noyce; DOC: Lieb)

Attachments: [DOC Comments 8-16-24](#)

2. [Details 2024-150](#)

- * E299,E132/SA-24-257 **Rochester Public Utilities;**
People's Energy Coop.

In the Matter of the Joint Request of Rochester Public Utilities and People's Energy Coop. to Update Electric Service Territory Records.

Should the Commission approve the Joint Request of Rochester Public Utilities and People's Energy Coop. to transfer electric service from People's to Rochester? (PUC: Noyce; DOC: Lieb)

Attachments: [DOC Comments 8-16-24](#)

3. [Details 2023-166](#)

- ** E002,E017,ET2,E015, **Northern States Power Co. d/b/a Xcel Energy;**
ET10/CN-22-538; **Great River Energy;**
E002,E017,ET2,E015, **Minnesota Power;**
ET10/TL-23-159 **Otter Tail Power Co.;**
Western Minnesota Municipal Power Agency

In the Matter of the Application for a Certificate of Need for the Big Stone South-Alexandria-Big Oaks Transmission Project;
In the Matter of the Application for a Route Permit for the Alexandria to Big Oaks

345-kV Transmission Project in Central Minnesota.

1. Should the Commission find that the environmental assessment and the record created at the public hearings adequately address the issues identified in the scoping decision?
2. Should the Commission grant a certificate of need for the Big Stone South-Alexandria-Big Oaks Transmission Project?
3. Should the Commission adopt the administrative law judge's findings of fact, conclusions of law, and recommendation?
4. Should the Commission grant a route permit for the Alexandria to Big Oaks 345 -kilovolt Transmission Project? (PUC: **Ek**)

Attachments: [REVISED Briefing Papers](#)

4. [Details 2024-092](#)

**** E002/CN-22-532; Northern States Power Co. d/b/a Xcel Energy
E002/TL-23-157**

In the Matter of the Application of Xcel Energy for a Certificate of Need and Route Permit for the Mankato - Mississippi 345 kV Transmission Line Project in Southeast Minnesota.

1. Are there any alternative routes or route segments that should be studied to mitigate potential impacts associated with the proposed project?
2. Are there any unique characteristics of the proposed routes or the project that should be considered? (PUC: **Panait**)

Attachments: [Briefing Papers](#)
[New Decision Option-Commissioner Tuma 22-532 23-157](#)
[Attachment to Decision Option-Commissioner Tuma](#)

5. [Details 2021-306](#)

**** E002/M-21-590 Northern States Power Co. d/b/a Xcel Energy**

In the Matter of Xcel Energy's Petition for Approval of a Power Purchase Agreement (PPA) between Northern States Power Company and St. Paul Cogeneration, LLC (SPC).

Should the Commission approve Xcel's proposed electrification project and the requested Power Purchase Agreement extension? (PUC: **Zhang**)

Attachments: [Briefing Papers](#)
[New Decision Option-Commissioner Tuma](#)
[Revised Decision Options](#)

ADJOURNMENT

* One star indicates that an agenda item is not disputed.

** Two stars indicate that an agenda item is disputed and there may be legal, procedural, or policy issues to be resolved.

*** Three stars indicate a complex or lengthy disputed agenda item that may have significant legal, procedural, or policy issues to be resolved.

Please note: For the complete record, please see eDockets.