



Minnesota Public Utilities Commission

PUC Agenda Meeting

Thursday, March 27, 2025

10:00 AM

INTRODUCTION

DECISION ITEMS

1. [Details 2024-175](#)

**** E002,111,017,015/ All Rate-Regulated Electric Utilities
CI-24-200**

In the Matter of Impacts of the “Capacity” Definition in Minn. Stat. § 216.164 and Associated Rules on Net-metering Eligibility for Rate-Regulated Utilities.

Should the Commission reconsider its January 23, 2025 Order which delegated authority to the Executive Secretary to open a new rulemaking proceeding to clarify that “capacity,” as defined under Minn. Stat. § 216B.164, subd. 2a (c), for purposes of eligibility for net-metering in Minn. Stat. § 216B.164, subd. 3(d), is determined by, and measured at, the qualifying facility’s inverter or a power control system or supplemental device that controls production at the qualifying facility before the net-metered customer’s load? (PUC: **Brown-Huss**)

The Commission has the authority to accept or decline a petition for reconsideration **with or without** a hearing or oral argument (Minnesota Rules 7829.3000, Subpart 6). In other words, a decision on a petition for reconsideration can be made without taking oral comments at the Commission meeting.

Attachments:

[Briefing Papers](#)

[Amended Briefing Papers](#)

2. [Details 2024-186](#)**** G008/C-24-191****CenterPoint Energy Resources Corp. d/b/a
CenterPoint Energy Minnesota Gas**

In the Matter of the Consumer Appeal of Consumer Complaint 82340.

1. Should the Commission grant the Complainant's request to require CenterPoint to make adjustments to the Complainant's individual account?
2. Should the Commission require CenterPoint to bill customers for utility service and for unregulated products and services separately?
3. If combined billing is allowed, should the Commission require CenterPoint to modify its default order of allocating customer payments between charges for utility service and charges for unregulated business to prioritize all charges for utility service over any unregulated products or services?
4. Should the Commission require CenterPoint to make changes to its customer bills, website, and other communications to clarify the distinction between its regulated and unregulated offerings and to clarify its practices relating to disconnection, payment agreements, and billing? (PUC: **Moe, McShane**)

Attachments:[Briefing Papers](#)[New Decision Option 29-Chair Sieben](#)[Joint Parties Preferred DOs 3-26-2025](#)**3. [Details 2019-037](#)***** E002/GR-12-961;
E002/GR-13-868;
E999/AA-13-599;
E999/AA-14-579;
E999/AA-16-523;
E999/AA-17-492;
E999/AA-18-373****Northern States Power Co. d/b/a Xcel Energy**

In the Matter of the Application of Xcel Energy for Authority to Increase Rates for Electric Service in the State of Minnesota, et. al (Sherco 3 outage-related issues).

Should the Commission approve the timing and methodology proposed by Xcel Energy for Commission ordered refunds related to the 2011-2013 Sherco 3 outage? (PUC: **Bonnett**)

Attachments:[Briefing Papers](#)[Alternative Decision Option 032425 \(NSP\)](#)**ADJOURNMENT**

*** One star indicates that an agenda item is not disputed.**

**** Two stars indicate that an agenda item is disputed and there may be legal, procedural, or policy issues to be resolved.**

***** Three stars indicate a complex or lengthy disputed agenda item that may have significant legal, procedural, or policy issues to be resolved.**

Please note: For the complete record, please see eDockets.