



# Minnesota Public Utilities Commission

## PUC Agenda Meeting

---

Thursday, April 10, 2025

10:00 AM

---

### INTRODUCTION

### DECISION ITEMS

1. [Details 2024-195](#)

\*\* E017,ET10/TL-23-160

**Otter Tail Power Co.;**

**Western Municipal Power Agency**

In the Matter of the Application for a Route Permit for the Big Stone South to Alexandria 345- kV Transmission Project in West-Central Minnesota.

1. What action should the Commission take concerning route alternatives to be evaluated in the environmental impact statement?
2. What actions, if any, should the Commission take concerning other procedural items? (PUC: **Lobby**)

**Attachments:**

[Briefing Papers](#)

[Decision Options-Commissioner Tuma](#)

[Motion and Modified Options-Commissioner Tuma](#)

2. [Details 2024-077](#)

\*\* E002/CN-22-131;

**Northern States Power Co. d/b/a Xcel Energy**

**E002/TL-22-132**

In the Matter of the Applications of Xcel Energy for a Certificate of Need and Route Permit for the Minnesota Energy Connection Project in Sherburne, Stearns, Kandiyohi, Wright, Meeker, Chippewa, Yellow Medicine, Renville, Redwood, and Lyon counties in Minnesota.

1. Should the Commission adopt the administrative law judge's findings of fact, conclusions of law, and recommendation?
2. Should the Commission determine that the environmental impact statement is adequate?
3. Should the Commission grant a certificate of need for Xcel Energy's Minnesota Energy Connection Project?
4. Should the Commission grant a route permit for Xcel Energy's Minnesota Energy Connection Project? (PUC: **Ek**)

**Attachments:**[Briefing Papers](#)[Decision Option-Commissioner Tuma \(22-132\)](#)[Decision Alternative 10 A-Commissioner Tuma](#)[Decision Alternative 10 B-Commissioner Tuma](#)[Decision Alternative 10 C-Commissioner Tuma](#)[Decision Alternative 11.n-Commissioner Tuma](#)[Decision Alternative 11.o-Commissioner Tuma](#)[Decision Alternative 11.p-Commissioner Tuma](#)[Decision Alternative-Commissioner Ham](#)**ADJOURNMENT**

\* One star indicates that an agenda item is not disputed.

\*\* Two stars indicate that an agenda item is disputed and there may be legal, procedural, or policy issues to be resolved.

\*\*\* Three stars indicate a complex or lengthy disputed agenda item that may have significant legal, procedural, or policy issues to be resolved.

Please note: For the complete record, please see eDockets.