



# Minnesota Public Utilities Commission

## PUC Agenda Meeting

---

Thursday, April 17, 2025

10:00 AM

---

### INTRODUCTION

### DECISION ITEMS

1. [Details 2021-039](#)

\*\* G999/CI-21-135;

G008/M-21-138;

**CenterPoint Energy Resources Corp. d/b/a  
CenterPoint Energy Minnesota Gas;**

G004/M-21-235;

**Great Plains Natural Gas Co.;**

G002/CI-21-610;

**Northern States Power Co. d/b/a Xcel Energy;**

G011/CI-21-611

**Minnesota Energy Resources Corp.**

In the Matter of a Commission Investigation into the Impact of Severe Weather in February 2021 on Impacted Minnesota Natural Gas Utilities and Customers;  
In the Matter of the Petition of CenterPoint Energy Minnesota Gas for Approval of a Recovery Process for Cost Impacts Due to February Extreme Gas Market Conditions;  
In the Matter of the Petition by Great Plains Natural Gas Co. for Approval of Rule Variances to Recover Natural Gas Costs from February 2021;  
In the Matter of the Petition of Xcel Energy to Recover February 2021 Natural Gas Costs;  
In the Matter of the Petition of Minnesota Energy Resources Corp. for Approval of a Recovery Process for Cost Impacts Due to February Extreme Gas Market Conditions.

1. Should the Gas Utilities' August 1, 2024 Annual Compliance Filings be accepted and approved?
2. Should the Commission make any changes to future compliance filing requirements? (PUC: **Andringa, Larson, Worlobah**)

**Attachments:**

[Briefing Papers](#)

**2. [Details 2024-070](#)****\*\* IP7093/PPL-22-422 Summit Carbon Solutions, LLC**

In the Matter of the Application of Summit Carbon Solutions, LLC, for a Routing Permit for the Otter Tail to Wilkin Carbon Dioxide Pipeline Project in Otter Tail and Wilkin Counties, Minnesota.

Should the Commission reconsider its February 21, 2025 Order Adopting Administrative Law Judge Report as Modified, Finding Environmental Impact Statement Adequate, and Issuing a Route Permit with Conditions? (PUC: **Janezich**)

The Commission has the authority to accept or decline a petition for reconsideration **with or without** a hearing or oral argument (Minnesota Rules 7829.3000, Subpart 6). In other words, a decision on a petition for reconsideration can be made without taking oral comments at the Commission meeting.

**Attachments:** [Briefing Papers](#)

**3. [Details 2025-055](#)*****PULLED*****\*\* ~~E017/M-24-404~~ Otter Tail Power Co.**

In the Matter of Otter Tail Power Co.'s Petition for Approval of the Solway and Abercrombie Solar Projects;

In the Matter of the Petition of Otter Tail Power Co. for Exemption from Certificate of Need for the 50 MW Solway Solar Project in Beltrami County, Minnesota.

1. Should the Commission approval Otter Tail Power's investments in the Solway and Abercrombie solar projects (Projects)?
2. Should the Commission determine that the Projects qualify for application toward OTP's Eligible Energy Technology Standard (EETS) and Carbon-Free Standard (CFS) obligations?
3. Should the Commission authorize future cost recovery of the Projects through the Renewable Resources Cost Recovery Rider (RRCR Rider) under Minn. Stat. § 216B.1645, subd. 2a, subject to Commission review and approval of specific costs to be presented in a future petition?
4. Should the Commission limit cost recovery to a capital cost cap? If so, how should the Commission set the cap?
5. Should the Commission authorize Otter Tail Power to charge its Minnesota ratepayers for North Dakota's share of the solar projects? (PUC: **Stalpes**)

**4. [Details 2025-056](#)****\*\* E002/C-25-76****Northern States Power Co. d/b/a Xcel Energy**

In the Matter of a Formal Complaint and Petition for Relief by SunShare LLC Against Northern States Power Co. d/b/a Xcel Energy Regarding Settlement Agreements.

Should the Commission investigate the Formal Complaint and Petition for Relief by SunShare LLC against Northern States Power Co. d/b/a Xcel Energy? (PUC: **Duran**)

**Attachments:**[Briefing Papers](#)

## ADJOURNMENT

\* One star indicates that an agenda item is not disputed.

\*\* Two stars indicate that an agenda item is disputed and there may be legal, procedural, or policy issues to be resolved.

\*\*\* Three stars indicate a complex or lengthy disputed agenda item that may have significant legal, procedural, or policy issues to be resolved.

Please note: For the complete record, please see eDockets.