



Minnesota Public Utilities Commission

PUC Agenda Meeting

Thursday, June 4, 2026

10:00 AM

INTRODUCTION

DECISION ITEMS

1. [Details 2026-083](#)

* **E015/M-25-433** **Minnesota Power**

In the Matter of Minnesota Power's Petition for approval of its 2026 rate adjustment mechanism under its Rider for Transmission Cost Recovery.

Should the Commission approve Minnesota Power's request of its 2026 rate adjustment mechanism under its Rider for Transmission Cost Recovery? (PUC: **Willette**)

Attachments: [Briefing Papers](#)

2. [Details 2025-052](#)

** **E015/RP-25-127** **Minnesota Power**

In the Matter of Minnesota Power's Application for Approval of its 2025-2039 Integrated Resource Plan.

What action should the Commission take, if any, regarding LPI's motion to compel Minnesota Power to provide responses to certain information requests? (PUC: **Ricker**)

Attachments: [Briefing Papers](#)

3. [Details 2024-018](#)

* **E002/RP-24-67** **Northern States Power Co. d/b/a Xcel Energy**

In the Matter of Northern States Power Company's, d/b/a as Xcel Energy, Petition for Approval of Generator Projects for MISO ERAS 2 Projects.

1. Should the Commission approve the acquisition and construction of the Company's proposed ERAS 2 projects?
2. Should the Commission approve Xcel's proposed cost recovery approach? (PUC: **Stalpes**)

Attachments: [Briefing Papers](#)

4. [Details 2026-084](#)

**** E015/CN-25-111; Minnesota Power;
E015/TL-25-112 American Transmission Company, LLC**

In the Matter of the Application of Minnesota Power and American Transmission Company, LLC for a Certificate of Need and Route Permit for the Iron Range - St. Louis County - Arrowhead 345 kV Transmission Project.

1. Should the Commission issue the draft route permit prepared by Public Utilities Commission Energy Infrastructure Permitting (PUC EIP) staff?
2. Should the Commission find that an Environmental Assessment addendum (EA) is required for the Project? And if so, should the Commission approve the scope of the EA addendum and Environmental Report (ER) recommended by PUC EIP staff?
3. Should the Commission refer the matter to the Court of Administrative Hearings (CAH) and request the appointment of an Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) to preside over public hearings and prepare Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and Recommendations on the merits of the proposed route permit application and any necessary route permit conditions?
4. Should the Commission extend the 216I statutory timeline from six months to a sufficient amount of time to make a decision on the certificate of need application and route permit application? (PUC: **Maxon, Johnson**)

Attachments: [Briefing Papers](#)

5. [Details 2025-191](#)

***** G999/CI-21-565 Northern States Power Co. db/a Xcel Energy;
Great Plains Natural Gas Co.;
CenterPoint Energy Resources Corp d/b/a
CenterPoint Energy Minnesota Gas;
Minnesota Energy Resources Corp.;
Greater Minnesota Gas, Inc.**

In the Matter of a Commission Evaluation of Changes to Natural Gas Utility Regulatory and Policy Structures to Meet State Greenhouse Gas Reduction Goals.

What action(s), if any, should the Commission take to modify existing gas line extension policies for rate regulated gas utilities? (PUC: **Dornfeld, Nikitas, MacCallum**)

Attachments: [Briefing Papers](#)
[Updated Preferred Decision Options - Commissioner Partridge](#)
[Corrected Compiled Decision Options](#)

ADJOURNMENT

* One star indicates that an agenda item is not disputed.

** Two stars indicate that an agenda item is disputed and there may be legal, procedural, or policy issues to be resolved.

*** Three stars indicate a complex or lengthy disputed agenda item that may have significant legal, procedural, or policy issues to be resolved.

Please note: For the complete record, please see eDockets.