Minnesota Public Utilities Commission

PUC Agenda Meeting

Friday, November 13, 2026 **10:00 AM**

INTRODUCTION

DECISION ITEMS

1. **Details 2026-156**

* **IP7178/ESS-26-158** **Mankato Energy Center, LLC**

In the Matter of the Application of Mankato Energy Center, LLC for the up to 30 Megawatt (MW) Mankato Battery Energy Storage System in Blue Earth County, Minnesota.

1. Should the Commission approve and adopt the applicant's proposed Findings of Fact and Conclusions for the project as amended by staff?
2. Should the Commission grant a site permit for the proposed project?
3. If granted, what additional conditions or requirements should be included in the site permit? (PUC: **Panait**)

Attachments:

Briefing Papers
Att A Table 1 - Summary Table of Staff Proposed Findings
Att C Staff Proposed Site Permit
Att D Complaint Handling Procedures for Permitted Energy Facilities
Att E Compliance Filing Procedures for Permitted Energy Facilities
Att F Site Permit Maps

2. **Details 2026-148**

* **IP7180/ESS-26-184** **LSP CG Storage, LLC**

In the Matter of the Application of LSP CG Storage for a Site Permit for the up to 80 MW Cottage Grove BESS Project in Cottage Grove, Washington County, Minnesota.

1. Should the Commission grant a site permit for the proposed project?
2. If granted, what additional conditions or requirements should be included in the site permit?
3. Should the Commission approve and adopt the applicants' proposed Findings of Fact and Conclusions to the extent they are consistent with the Commission's decision? (PUC: **Harvieux; Livingston**)

Attachments: Briefing Papers

3. **Details 2026-147**

* **IP7172/ESS-26-112** **Mayhew Lake Energy Storage, LLC**

In the Matter of the Application of Mayhew Lake Energy Storage, LLC for a Site Permit for the up to 100MW Mayhew Lake Battery Energy Storage Project in Benton County, Minnesota.

1. Should the Commission grant a site permit for the proposed project?
2. If granted, what additional conditions or requirements should be included in the site permit?
3. Should the Commission adopt PUC Staff's Proposed Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law to the extent they are consistent with the Commission's decision? (PUC: **Lobby**; **Weaver**)

Attachments: Briefing Papers
Tuma new DO - development agreement

4. **Details 2026-203**

* **G002/M-26-253** **Northern States Power Company d/b/a Xcel Energy**

In the Matter of Xcel Energy's Petition for Approval of a Renewable Natural Gas Interconnection Tariff.

Should the Minnesota Public Utilities Commission (Commission) approve, modify, or deny Xcel Energy's proposal to establish a Renewable Natural Gas (RNG) Interconnection Tariff and recover the costs of the purchase of gas through the Purchased Gas Adjustment (PGA)? (PUC:**Begg**; **Nikitas**)

Attachments: Briefing Papers

5. Details 2026-209

** **G002/M-26-36;** **Northern States Power Co. d/b/a Xcel Energy;**
G004/M-26-37; **Great Plains Natural Gas Co.;**
G008/M-26-38; **CenterPoint Energy Resources Corp. d/b/a**
G011/M-26-39; **CenterPoint Energy Minnesota Gas;**
G022/M-26-40 **Minnesota Energy Resources Corp.;**
Greater Minnesota Gas Inc.

In the Matter of Xcel Energy's 2025 Annual Gas Affordability Program (GAP) Report;
In the Matter of Great Plains Natural Gas' 2025 Annual GAP Report;
In the Matter of CenterPoint Energy's 2025 Annual GAP Report;
In the Matter of Minnesota Energy Resources' 2025 Annual GAP Report;
In the Matter of Greater Minnesota Gas' 2025 Annual GAP Report.

1. Should the Commission accept each of Natural Gas Utilities' 2025 Annual GAP annual reports?
2. Should the Commission approve Great Plains Natural Gas' proposal for automatic enrollment? (PUC: **McShane**, **Kennedy**)

Attachments: Briefing Papers
Partridge Preferred Decision Options
Partridge NEW DECISION OPTION 25

6. Details 2021-282

* **G999/CI-21-566** **CenterPoint Energy Resources Corp. d/b/a**
CenterPoint Energy Minnesota Gas;
Northern States Power Company d/b/a Xcel Energy,
Minnesota Energy Resources Corporation.

In the Matter of Establishing Frameworks to Compare Lifecycle Greenhouse Gas Emission Intensities of Various Resources, and to Measure Cost-Effectiveness of Individual Resources and of Overall Innovative Plans.

Should the Commission approve, modify, or deny the Joint Utilities' proposed modifications to the requirements from the Frameworks Order? (PUC: **Nikitas**)

Attachments: Briefing Papers

ADJOURNMENT

*** One star indicates that an agenda item is not disputed.**
**** Two stars indicate that an agenda item is disputed and there may be legal, procedural, or policy issues to be resolved.**
***** Three stars indicate a complex or lengthy disputed agenda item that may have significant legal, procedural, or policy issues to be resolved.**
Please note: For the complete record, please see eDockets.