

Thursday, December 18, 2014

9:30 AM

Large Hearing Room

# INTRODUCTION

### **ORAL ARGUMENT ITEMS**

## **DELIBERATION ITEMS**

## **DECISION ITEMS**

1.

IP-6853,866/CN-11-471 Black Oak Wind, LLC; Getty Wind, LLC

In the Matter of the Application of Black Oak Wind, LLC and Getty Wind Company, LLC for a Certificate of Need for up to 82 MW Large Energy Facility in Stearns County.

Should the Commission grant the request to extend the certificate of need in- service date? Should the Commission grant the requests for intervention and a contested case proceeding? (PUC: **DeBleeckere**)

#### Attachments: Briefing Papers

Denied petitions for contested case hearing and intervention; granted petition to extend in-service date.

2.

\*\* PL9/CN-13-153 Enbridge Energy, Limited Partnership In the Matter of the Application of Enbridge Energy, Limited Partnership for a Certificate of Need for the Line 67 Station Upgrade Project - Phase 2 in Kittson, Red Lake, Cass, and St. Louis Counties, Minnesota.

Should the Commission reconsider its November 7, 2014 Order Granting a Certificate of Need for the Project? (PUC: **Kaluzniak**)

The Commission has the authority to accept or decline a petition for reconsideration **with or without** a hearing or oral argument. (Minnesota Rules 7829.3000, Subpart 6) In other words, a decision on a petition for reconsideration can be made without taking oral comments at the Commission meeting.

Attachments: Briefing Papers

Denied petition for reconsideration.

3.

# \*\* G011/GR-13-617 Minnesota Energy Resources Corporation

### (MERC)

In the Matter of a Petition by Minnesota Energy Resources Corporation for Authority to Increase Natural Gas Rates in Minnesota.

Should the Commission reconsider and/or clarify its October 28, 2014 Findings of Fact, Conclusions, and Order? (PUC: **Bender, Brill, Kaml, Schwieger**)

The Commission has the authority to accept or decline a petition for reconsideration **with or without** a hearing or oral argument. (Minnesota Rules 7829.3000, Subpart 6) In other words, a decision on a petition for reconsideration can be made without taking oral comments at the Commission meeting.

#### Attachments: Briefing Papers

### Denied petitions for reconsideration.

4.

\*\* G002/M-14-336 Northern States Power Company d/b/a

### Xcel Energy

In the Matter of Northern States Power Company's Request for Approval of a Gas Utility Infrastructure Cost (GUIC) Rider.

Should the Commission approve the proposed GUIC rider? Should the Commission approve the use of a different rate of return? Should the Commission cap the amount of capital costs that can be recovered through the GUIC rider? (PUC: **Dasinger, Morrissey, Brill**)

Attachments: Briefing Papers

Approved GUIC rider as modified; required filings.

## ADJOURNMENT

\* One star indicates agenda item is unusual but is not disputed.

\*\* Two stars indicate a disputed item or significant legal or procedural issue to be resolved. (Ex Parte Rules apply)

Please note: For the complete record, please see eDockets