

Minnesota Public Utilities Commission Decisions

121 7th Place East Suite 350 Saint Paul, MN 55101-2147

PUC Agenda Meeting

Thursday, April 9, 2015 9:30 AM Large Hearing Room

INTRODUCTION

ORAL ARGUMENT ITEMS

DELIBERATION ITEMS

DECISION ITEMS

1. * P6014/RV-15-53 FTTH Communications, LLC

In the Matter of the Revocation of FTTH Communications, LLC's Certificate of Authority. (PUC: Oberlander; DOC: Linscheid) NOTE: The Company did not file reply comments. Staff supports the Department's recommendation to revoke the Company's authority.

Authority revoked.

2. * P5692/PA-15-238; MegaPath Corporation; P5692/RL-15-82; MegaPath Cloud Company

P5692.6857/PA-14-817

In the Matter of the Joint Application of MegaPath Corporation and MegaPath Cloud Company for Approval to Assign a Certificate of Authority and to Transfer Certain Assets. (PUC: Oberlander; DOC: Linscheid) NOTE: Staff supports the Department's recommendation to approve the transfer in Docket 15-238, and to close Dockets 14-817 and 15-82, with conditions.

Transfer approved.

3. E243,E106/SA-15-94 Grand Rapids Public Utilities

Commission;

Lake Country Power

Joint Request of the Grand Rapids Public Utilities Commission and Lake Country Power to Modify Electric Service Territory Boundaries. (PUC: Rebholz; DOC: Lusti)

Transfer approved.

4. ** G002/M-14-336 Northern States Power Company d/b/a

Xcel Energy

In the Matter of Northern States Power Company's Request for

Approval of a Gas Utility Infrastructure Cost (GUIC) Rider.

Should the Commission grant the petition for reconsideration of its January 27, 2015 Order as requested by the Office of the Attorney General - Residential Utilities and Antitrust Division? (PUC: **Dasinger, Morrissey, Brill**)

The Commission has the authority to accept or decline a petition for reconsideration **with or without** a hearing or oral argument. (Minnesota Rules 7829.3000, Subpart 6) In other words, a decision on a petition for reconsideration can be made without taking oral comments at the Commission meeting.

Petition for reconsideration denied.

5. ** E002/M-14-1057 Northern States Power Company d/b/a Xcel Energy

In the Matter of a Petition by Xcel Energy for Approval of an Amendment to an Electric Service Agreement with Terning Seeds.

Should the Commission approve the Electric Service Agreement (ESA) between Xcel and Terning Seeds? (PUC: Mackenzie, Rebholz, Gonzalez)

No action taken.

6. ** E002/CN-12-1240; Northern States Power Company d/b/a

Xcel Energy

E002/M-14-788;

E002/M-14-789

In the Matter of the Petition of Northern States Power Company, d/b/a Xcel Energy, for Approval of Competitive Resource Acquisition Proposal and Certificate of Need;

In the Matter of a Draft Purchase Power Agreement with Geronimo Wind Energy, LLC, d/b/a Geronimo Energy, LLC;

In the Matter of Draft Purchase Power Agreements with Calpine Corporation, Invenergy Thermal Development and Proposed Price Terms for Black Dog Unit 6.

Should the Commission take any action on the petitions for rehearing, reconsideration or clarification? (PUC: **Stalpes, DeBleeckere, Ham**)

The Commission has the authority to accept or decline a petition for reconsideration with or without a hearing or oral argument. (Minnesota Rules 7829.3000, Subpart 6) In other words, a decision on a petition for reconsideration can be made without taking oral comments at the Commission meeting.

Recon denied except to correct statement of Black Dog 6 price

term.

The following items will not be heard before 11:00 AM.

7. * IP6943/GS-15-33 North Star Solar PV LLC

In the Matter of the Combined Application of North Star Solar PV LLC for a Site Permit and Route Permit for the North Star Solar Electric Power Generating Plant and Associated 115 kV High-Voltage Transmission Line in Chisago County, Minnesota.

Should the Commission accept, conditionally accept, or reject the combined site and route permit application? What actions should the Commission take regarding other procedural items? (PUC: **Ek**)

Application accepted as substantially complete; public advisor designated; variance granted; and comments requested.

8. ** IP6941/GS-14-1052 Marshall Solar, LLC

In the Matter of the Application of Marshall Solar, LLC for a Site Permit for the Marshall Solar Energy Project and Associated Facilities in Lyons County.

Should the Commission accept, conditionally accept, or reject the site permit application? What actions should the Commission take regarding other procedural items? (PUC: **DeBleeckere**)

Application accepted as substantially complete; public advisor designated; matter referred to OAH; variance granted; and comments requested.

9. ** IP6666/WS-08-208 Sibley Wind Substation, LLC In the Matter of the Application of Sibley Wind Substation, LLC for a Large Wind Energy Conversion System in Sibley County.

What action should the Commission take regarding the alleged site permit violations? (PUC: **DeBleeckere**)

Filings required.

ADJOURNMENT

- * One star indicates agenda item is unusual but is not disputed.
- ** Two stars indicate a disputed item or significant legal or procedural issue to be resolved. (Ex Parte Rules apply)

Please note: For the complete record, please see eDockets