

# Minnesota Public Utilities Commission Decisions

121 7th Place East Suite 350 Saint Paul, MN 55101-2147

**PUC Agenda Meeting** 

Friday, June 12, 2015 9:30 AM Large Hearing Room

# INTRODUCTION

# **ORAL ARGUMENT ITEMS**

# **DELIBERATION ITEMS**

# **DECISION ITEMS**

# 1. G008/AI-15-50 CenterPoint Energy

In the Matter of the Petition of CenterPoint Energy Minnesota Gas for Approval of a Change in Scope to its Master Service Agreement with CenterPoint Energy Services Inc.

Should the Commission approve CenterPoint Energy's request to change the scope of its agreement with CenterPoint Energy Services Inc. to include certain call center and related customer service and support functions previously provided by CenterPoint energy Minnesota Gas? (PUC: Bender; DOC: St. Pierre)

#### Agreement approved.

### 2. \* G008/M-14-561 CenterPoint Energy

In the Matter of CenterPoint's Request for a Change in Demand Units Effective November 1, 2014.

Should the Commission approve CenterPoint's proposed level of demand entitlement effective November 1, 2014? Should the Commission approve CenterPoint's proposed allocation of the fixed costs of two new storage contracts? Should the Commission grant CenterPoint a variance to Minn. Rules, Part 7825.2700, Subp. 5, to allow for a one-month delay in implementing rate case test year demand volumes? (PUC: **Bender**)

#### Demand entitlement approved and variance granted.

#### 3. \* G022/M-14-651 Greater Minnesota Gas, Inc.

In the Matter of Petition by Greater Minnesota Gas, Inc. for Approval by the Minnesota Public Utilities Commission for a Change in Contract Demand Entitlement Units Effective November 1, 2014.

(PUC: Bender; DOC: Heinen) NOTE: Staff agrees with the recommendations contained in the Department's April 1, 2015 Response Comments.

## Demand entitlements approved.

# 4. \* G011/M-14-660; Minnesota Energy Resources Corporation G011/M-14-661

In the Matter of a Petition by Minnesota Energy Resources
Corporation (MERC-Northern Natural Gas (NNG)) for Approval of
Changes in Contract Demand Entitlements for the 2014-2015 Heating
Season Supply Plan effective November 1, 2014;
In the Matter of a Petition by Minnesota Energy Resources
Corporation (MERC-Consolidated) for Approval of Changes in
Contract Demand Entitlements for the 2014-2015 Heating Season
Supply Plan effective November 1, 2014.

Should the Commission approve MERC's proposed demand entitlement capacity (levels) and cost changes to meet its Design Day and Reserve Margin requirements as described in the listed dockets, effective November 1, 2014? (PUC: **Brill, Harding**)

# Demand entitlements approved.

\*\* G011/PA-14-664 Minnesota Energy Resources Corporation
In the Matter of a Request for Approval of the Merger Agreement
between Integrys Energy Group, Inc. and Wisconsin Energy
Corporation

Should the Commission request additional comments? Is the proposed sale consistent with the public interest? Should the Commission approve the proposed sale? If the Commission approves the sale, should there be any conditions? (PUC: Kaml, Alonso, Bender, Twite)

#### Merger approved with conditions.

# 6. \*\* E,G002/CI-02-2034; Northern States Power Company dba Xcel Energy

#### E,G002/M-12-383

In the Matter of the Petition of Northern States Power Company for Approval of Amendments to its Natural Gas and Electric Service Quality Tariffs, and an Investigation and Audit of the Company's Service Quality Reporting.

- (i) Does the Commission have jurisdiction over this matter?
- (ii) Are there reasonable grounds to investigate the allegation?
- (iii) If the Commission chooses to investigate the complaint, what procedure should be used to do so? (PUC: Fournier, Rebholz)

## Investigation opened.

\* E999/R-13-729 All Electric

In the Matter of Possible Amendments to Rules Governing Cogeneration and Small Power Production, Minnesota Rules, Chapter 7835.

Whether to adopt the attached proposed rules as published in the *State Register*. (PUC: **Kahlert**)

Rules adopted.

7.

# **ADJOURNMENT**

- \* One star indicates agenda item is unusual but is not disputed.
- \*\* Two stars indicate a disputed item or significant legal or procedural issue to be resolved. (Ex Parte Rules apply)

Please note: For the complete record, please see eDockets