

Minnesota Public Utilities Commission Decisions

121 7th Place East Suite 350 Saint Paul, MN 55101-2147

PUC Agenda Meeting

Thursday, January 14, 2016

9:30 AM

Large Hearing Room

INTRODUCTION

ORAL ARGUMENT ITEMS

DELIBERATION ITEMS

DECISION ITEMS

1. PULLED

* P3113/RV-14-785; Telecare, Inc.

P999/M-14-979

In the Matter of the Revocation of Telecare, Inc.'s Certificate of Authority;

In the Matter of the Petition to Order Discontinuance of Service to Revoked Carriers and Carriers that have Relinquished their Certificates of Authority. (PUC: McCarthy; DOC: Linscheid) NOTE: Staff supports the Department's recommendation.

Pulled.

2. ** P6954/NA-15-847; Alliance Connect, LLC; P6954,6452/PA-15-893 LightEdge Solutions, Inc.;

LH Telecom, Inc.

In the Matter of the Application of Alliance Connect, LLC to obtain Commission Approval to Provide Local Resale and Interexchange Telecommunications Services;

In the Matter of the Join Application of LightEdge Solutions, Inc., LLI Telecom, Inc. and Alliance Connect, LLC to Transfer Assets and Customers.

Should the Commission modify its New Authority Order and its Asset Transfer Order as recommended by the Minnesota Department of Commerce? (PUC: **O'Grady**)

15-847 - Modified and limited cert. of authority to provision of niche services in requested exchanges; eliminate condition to obtain an approved 911 plan and obtain approved interconnection

agreement;

15-893 - Eliminated condition to obtain an approved 911 plan, collect and remit 911 fees, and obtain approved interconnection agreement; eliminated conditions requiring LighEdge/LH Telecom to collect and remit 911 fees and the analytical statements regarding NXX codes.

E293,015/SA-15-989 City of Pierz;
Minnesota Power

In the Matter of the Joint Request of the City of Pierz and Minnesota Power, Collectively the Parties, for Approval of a Compensation and Service Territory Agreement. (PUC: Fournier; DOC: Lusti)

Approved.

3.

5.

6.

* E015/AI-15-712 Minnesota Power
In the Matter of Minnesota Power's (MP's) Petition for Approval of

Affiliate Interests Between ALLETE, Inc. and ALLETE Clean Energy - New Lease Agreement. (PUC: Kaml; DOC: Campbell)

Approved new lease agreement with requirements regarding terms used and termination notices to be provided; Required information to be provided in Co.'s next rate case.

** G011/M-15-895 Minnesota Energy Resources Corporation
In the Matter of a Petition by Minnesota Energy Resources
Corporation (MERC) for Evaluation and Approval of Rider Recovery
for its Rochester Natural Gas Extension Project.

Should the Commission accept, accept and hold this petition in abeyance, or reject without prejudice MERC's petition for Phase II cost recovery of its Rochester natural gas extension project? If accepted, should the petition be referred to the Minnesota Office of Administrative Hearings for a contested case hearing or should the petition proceed with a Commission directed comment and reply process? Or, if accepted and this petition is held in abeyance, should the issues in this docket be referred to the OAH for inclusion in MERC's pending general rate case, Docket No. 15-736? If referred to the Minnesota Office of Administrative Hearings (OAH), what should be the scope of the investigation? If accepted, should there be public hearings? (PUC: **Brill**)

Accepted petition as substantially complete and referred to the Office of Administrative hearings, requesting, to the extent practicable, an ALJ report no later than November 30, 2016.

* G011/GP-15-858 Minnesota Energy Resources Corporation
In the Matter of the Application of Minnesota Energy Resources
Corporation for a Route Permit for the Rochester Natural Gas

Pipeline Project in Olmsted County.

Should the Commission accept the application as complete? What action should the Commission take regarding other procedural matters? (PUC: **Kaluzniak**)

Accepted the application as complete.

7. * ET6/TL-14-665 Minnkota Power Cooperative, Inc.
In the Matter of the Application of Minnkota Power Cooperative, Inc.
for a Route Permit for the Clearbrook-Clearbrook West 115 kV
Transmission Line and Substation Project in Clearwater County.

Should the Commission accept the Application as complete? What action should the Commission take regarding other procedural matters? (PUC: **DeBleeckere**)

Accepted the application as complete.

* E015/TL-14-977 Minnesota Power

In the Matter of the Application of Minnesota Power for a Route

Permit for the 16 Line Reroute Project in St. Louis County, Minnesota.

Should the Commission find that the environmental assessment and the record created at the public hearing adequately address the issues identified in the scoping decision? Should the Commission adopt the Administrative Law Judge Report? Should the Commission issue a route permit identifying a specific route and permit conditions for the 16 Line Reroute High Voltage Transmission Line Project? (PUC: Kaluzniak)

Issued route permit.

ADJOURNMENT

- * One star indicates agenda item is unusual but is not disputed.
- ** Two stars indicate a disputed item or significant legal or procedural issue to be resolved. (Ex Parte Rules apply)

Please note: For the complete record, please see eDockets