



**Minnesota Public Utilities
Commission
Decisions
PUC Agenda Meeting**

121 7th Place East
Suite 350
Saint Paul, MN 55101-2147

Thursday, August 11, 2016

9:30 AM

Large Hearing Room

INTRODUCTION

ORAL ARGUMENT ITEMS

DELIBERATION ITEMS

DECISION ITEMS

1. [Details](#)
[2013-240](#)
 - * **ET6675/TL-12-1337** **ITC Midwest LLC**
In the Matter of the Application of ITC Midwest LLC for a Route Permit for the Minnesota - Iowa 345 kV Transmission Line Project in Jackson, Martin, and Faribault Counties, Minnesota.
 - Should the Commission authorize the minor alteration request?
 - If the minor alteration is approved, should any conditions be required? (PUC: **Ek**)

Attachments: [Briefing Papers](#)

Minor alteration authorized

2. [Details](#)
[2016-146](#)
 - * **G008/PA-16-255** **CenterPoint Energy Minnesota Gas**
In the Matter of CenterPoint Energy Minnesota Gas' (CenterPoint Energy or the Company) Proposal to Sell Equipment from Coon Rapids Peaking Plant. (PUC: Lane; DOC: St. Pierre)

Attachments: [DOC Comments 6-27-16](#)

Sale approved and filing required

3. [Details](#)
[2014-243](#) * **G007,011/GR-10-977 Minnesota Energy Resources Corporation**
In the Matter of the Application by Minnesota Energy Resources Corporation for Authority to Increase Rates for Natural Gas Service in Minnesota.

Should the Commission accept Minnesota Energy Resources Corporation's annual revenue decoupling evaluation report for 2015, and approve Minnesota Energy Resources Corporation's revenue decoupling rate adjustments? (PUC: **Alonso**)

Attachments: [Briefing Papers](#)

Report accepted and filings required

4. [Details](#)
[2016-090](#) ** **E999/PR-16-09; All Electric Utilities**
E121/CG-16-240;
E123/CG-16-241;
E999/CI-16-512
In the Matter of Cogeneration and Small Power Production Filings;
In the Matter of a Request for Dispute Resolution by Keith Weber, the Qualifying Facility, with Meeker Cooperative Light and Power Association under the Cogeneration and Small Power Production Statute, Minn. Stat. §216B.164;
In the Matter of a Complaint of Larry Fagen against Minnesota Valley Cooperative Light & Power Association;
In the Matter of Order Opening Investigation, Delegating Authority, and Finding that Tariffs Must Be Approved Before Becoming Effective.

Whether the Commission should approve the Minnesota Rural Electric Association's (MREA) Petition for Clarification to the PUC's Order Opening Investigation, Delegating Authority and Finding that Tariffs Must be Approved Before Becoming Effective.
(PUC:**Gonzalez, Rebholz, Bahn**)

The Commission has the authority to accept or decline a petition for reconsideration with or without a hearing or oral argument (Minnesota Rules 7829.3000, Subpart 6). In other words, a decision on a petition for reconsideration can be made without taking oral comments at the Commission meeting.

Attachments: [Briefing Papers](#)

Petitions denied and rule varied

5. [Details](#)
[2016-143](#)

**** P6850/M-15-833**

WiMacTel, Inc

In the Matter of WiMacTel., Inc. dba Intelicall Operator Services
Revised Tariff.

- A. Should the Commission approve the petition of WiMacTel to increase surcharges and fees associated with its OSP A plan and to add new operator services rate plans ILDA5 and 12A with similar surcharges and fees?
- B. Are WiMacTel's practices with respect to rate disclosure, billing, pay phone signage, and tariffs in the public interest?
- C. What actions should the Commission take to ensure that WiMacTel's rate structure and rates are adequately disclosed to consumers in its tariff, on its pay phone signage, and on its bills, such that consumers are adequately informed prior to incurring WiMacTel charges? (PUC: **McCarthy**)

Attachments: [Briefing Papers](#)

Rejected WiMacTel's petition to increase rates; found practices regarding rate disclosure, billing, pay phone signage and tariffs unclear; required filing of updated tariff, billing, and pay phone signage and revised billing format; required amended rate structure; required filing of operator training materials; and other actions.

ADJOURNMENT

* One star indicates agenda item is unusual but is not disputed.

** Two stars indicate a disputed item or significant legal or procedural issue to be resolved. (Ex Parte Rules apply)

Please note: For the complete record, please see eDockets