

Minnesota Public Utilities Commission Decisions

121 7th Place East Suite 350 Saint Paul, MN 55101-2147

PUC Agenda Meeting

Thursday, August 25, 2016 9:30 AM Large Hearing Room

INTRODUCTION

ORAL ARGUMENT ITEMS

DELIBERATION ITEMS

DECISION ITEMS

1. <u>Details</u> 2014-301

* IP6866/WS-11-831;

Getty Wind Project, LLC;

IP6853/WS-10-1240

In the Matter of the Application of Getty Wind Company, LLC for a Site Permit Transfer of the Getty Wind Project Large Wind Energy Conversion Site Permit in Stearns County.

Should the Commission grant the petition for a site permit transfer from Getty Wind Company, LLC to Black Oak Wind, LLC? (PUC: **DeBleeckere**)

Attachments: Briefing Papers

Granted petition; required transferee to notify local governmental units and project landowners of transfer and make compliance filing

2. <u>Details</u> 2016-154

E114,126/SA-16-480 Federated Rural

Federated Rural Electric Association; Nobles Cooperative Electric

In the Matter of the Joint Request of Federated Rural Electric Association and Nobles Cooperative Electric for Approval of an Agreement for Confirmation and Realignment of Electric Service Area to Make Changes to the Boundaries of the Assigned Service Area of Each Electric Utility. (PUC: Fournier; DOC: Lusti)

Attachments: DOC Comments 7-29-16

Approved request.

3. <u>Details</u> 2016-156

* E002/M-16-282

Northern States Power Company d/b/a

Xcel Energy

In the Matter of a Petition by Northern States Power Company, a Minnesota Corporation, for Approval of a Proposed 2015 Electric Demand Side Management Financial Incentive, the Conservation Cost Recovery Contained in its Conservation Improvement Program (CIP) Tracker Account for its Electric CIP, and a Proposed Electric CIP Adjustment Factor. (PUC: Fournier; DOC: Zajicek)

Attachments: DOC Comments 6-23-16

Approved petition.

4. <u>Details</u> 2016-157

G011/M-16-385 Minnesota Energy Resources Corporation
In the Matter of the Petition of Minnesota Energy Resources
Corporation for Approval of 2015 Conservation Improvement Program
Tracker Account, DSM Financial Incentive, and Conservation Cost
Recovery Adjustment Factor. (PUC: Fournier; DOC: Winner)

Attachments: DOC Comments & Attachment 7-26-16 PUBLIC

Approved petition.

5. <u>Details</u> 2016-153

** G008/M-16-366 CenterPoint Energy (CPE)
In the Matter of Center Point Energy's 2015 Demand Side
Management Financial Incentives and Annual Filing to Update the
CIP Rider.

- 1. Should the Commission approve an incentive of \$12,732,019 for CPE's 2015 CIP achievements?
- 2. Should the Commission approve CPE's 2015 CIP tracker account with a balance of \$2,932,026 as of December 31, 2015?
- 3. How should the carrying charge be calculated and what short-term cost of debt should be applied?
- 4. Should the Commission approve CPE's proposed bill message with the appropriate modifications to reflect an accurate effective date and gas CCRA Factor as determined by the Commission?
- At what level should the Commission set the conservation cost recovery adjustment (CCRA) beginning January 1, 2017? (PUC: Fournier)

Attachments: Briefing Papers

Revised Decision Options

Approved CIP filing with carrying-charge modification; required monthly status reports.

6. <u>Details</u> 2016-155 ** P421/AM-16-496 CenturyLink QC P421/AM-16-547

In the Matter of the Petition of CenturyLink QC to be Regulated Pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 237.025: Competitive Market Regulation (see also docket 16-547 for "Highly Sensitive Protected Data"); Repository for "Highly Sensitive Protected Data" subject to additional protection in Docket 16-496 (In the Matter of the Petition of CenturyLink QC to be Regulated Pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 237.025:

Competitive Market Regulation).

- 1) Should the Commission approve the Protective Order proposed by Century Link?
- Should the Commission, at this time, interpret Minn. Stat. § 237.025, Subd. 3, regarding the Commission's statutory deadline? (PUC: O'Grady)

Approved protective order; found that Commission must make final determination on petition within 180 days of the date all information required under subdivision 2 was submitted, as determined by Commission.

ADJOURNMENT

- * One star indicates agenda item is unusual but is not disputed.
- ** Two stars indicate a disputed item or significant legal or procedural issue to be resolved. (Ex Parte Rules apply)

Please note: For the complete record, please see eDockets