

# Minnesota Public Utilities Commission Decisions

121 7th Place East Suite 350 Saint Paul, MN 55101-2147

**PUC Agenda Meeting** 

Thursday, September 15, 2016

9:30 AM

Large Hearing Room

# INTRODUCTION

# **ORAL ARGUMENT ITEMS**

# **DELIBERATION ITEMS**

# **DECISION ITEMS**

1. \* P999/PR-16-8

Local Exchange Companies;
Eligible Telecommunications Carriers

In the Matter of Annual Certifications related to Eligible Telecommunications Carriers' (ETCs) Use of the Federal Universal Service Support required pursuant to C.F.R. §54.313.

Should the Commission approve all petitioning ETCs' requests for high cost support certification? (PUC: **McCarthy**)

Eligible Telecommunications Carrier list certified to the Federal Communications Commission. Minnesota Department of Commerce to investigate companies receiving Universal Service Fund High Cost Support exceeding \$100 per line per month.

2. \*\* E002/M-16-420; Xcel Energy E002/GR-15-826

In the Matter of the Petition of Northern States Power Company d/b/a Xcel Energy for Approval of a Revised Competitive Response Rider Tariff and a Revised Competitive Response Rider Agreement with Gerdau Ameristeel US, Inc.

In the Matter of the Application of Northern States Power Company for Authority to Increase Rates for Electric Service in the State of Minnesota.

Should the Commission approve Xcel Energy's revised Competitive Market Rider Tariff and Competitive Market Rider Agreement ("Agreement") with Gerdau Ameristeel US, Inc ("Gerdau")? Should the Commission exempt Gerdau from any interim rate increases proposed in Xcel's pending rate case, Docket No. E-002/GR-15-826? (PUC: Kaml)

#### Approved petition, with January 1, 2016 effective date.

\* E017/M-16-507 Otter Tail Power Company

In the Matter of the Petition of Otter Tail Power Company for Approval of an Electric Service Agreement with Potlatch Land & Lumber LLC (PUC: Kaml; DOC: Zajicek)

Approved petition and placeholder electric service agreement.

4. \*\* E015/M-16-564 Minnesota Power

In the Matter of a Revised Petition by Minnesota Power (MP) for a Competitive Rate for Energy-Intensive Trade-Exposed (EITE) Customers and an EITE Cost Recovery Rider.

- Should the Commission approve, modify, or reject Minnesota Power's proposed EITE Rate Rider pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 216B.1696?
- Should the Commission approve, modify, or reject Minnesota Power's proposed EITE Cost Recovery Rider at this time or defer the matter to Minnesota Power's next general rate case? (PUC: O'Grady, Kaml)

Rate approved, additional filings required.

5. \*\* E017/M-16-533 Otter Tail Power Company

In the Matter of a Petition by Otter Tail Power (OTP) for a Competitive Rate for Energy-Intensive Trade-Exposed (EITE) Customers and an EITE Cost Recovery Rider;

- Should the Commission approve, modify, or reject Otter Tail Power's proposed EITE Rate Rider pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 216B.1696?

Denied without prejudice; requirements set for revised petition.

# **ADJOURNMENT**

- \* One star indicates agenda item is unusual but is not disputed.
- \*\* Two stars indicate a disputed item or significant legal or procedural issue to be resolved. (Ex Parte Rules apply)

Please note: For the complete record, please see eDockets

3.