

of tax in monthly PGA reports and AAA and PGA true-up reports; and required report.

4. **** G022/S-16-931 Greater Minnesota Gas, Inc.**
 In the Matter of the Petition of Greater Minnesota Gas, Inc. for Approval of 2017 Capital Structure and Permission to Issue Securities.

Should the Commission modify GMG's petition for approval of 2017 capital structure and permission to issue securities as recommended by the Department? (PUC: **Krishnan, Kaml**)

Allowed securities issuance with conditions.

5. * **G008/M-16-486; CenterPoint Energy;**
 * **G002/M-16-493; Xcel Energy;**
 **** G004/M-16-495 Great Plains Natural Gas**
 In the Matter of CenterPoint Energy's Evaluation of its 2016 Gas Affordability Program;
 In the Matter of Xcel Energy's Evaluation of its 2016 Gas Affordability Program;
 In the Matter of Great Plains Natural Gas' Evaluation of its 2016 Gas Affordability Program.

CenterPoint & Xcel Issues:

Should the Commission require the Companies to include additional customer arrears and disconnection analysis in future Annual Compliance and Periodic Evaluation Reports?

Great Plains Natural Gas Issues:

Should the Commission approve GPNG's proposed GAP surcharge?
 Should the Commission require the Company to conduct a full assessment of its current Program enrollment practices and any other changes the Company would like to assess within one year of the Commission's Order in this docket?
 Should the Commission require the Company to change its reporting practices based on a ten percent random sample basis to a full population basis and use the pre-Program baseline approach?

Common Issues:

Should the Commission approve the 2016 Evaluations of the Gas Affordability Programs (GAP)?
 Should the Commission authorize a three-year extension of the pilot Programs or make the Programs permanent?
 Should the Commission require compliance filings within 10 days of the Commission's Order?
 Should the Commission authorize the Gas Distribution Companies with GAPs and Interested Parties to reconvene the GAP Utility

Stakeholders Group to discuss if any changes should be made to the current Programs?

What role should interested parties, including the state agencies, have in this group? (PUC: **Schwieger**)

Accepted evaluations; changed reporting methods for GAP; approved surcharge requests; did not change enrollment practices or spending caps; required participation in stakeholder workgroup and report in 12 months; continued GAP without expiration date; required evaluation report by 5-31-19; required submission of revised tariffs.

ADJOURNMENT

* One star indicates agenda item is unusual but is not disputed.

** Two stars indicate a disputed item or significant legal or procedural issue to be resolved. (Ex Parte Rules apply)

Please note: For the complete record, please see eDockets