m

**Minnesota Public Utilities Commission** 

Decisions

Thursday, May 17, 20189:30 AMLarge Hearing Room

## INTRODUCTION

## **DECISION ITEMS**

## 1. \* G011/M-17-587; Minnesota Energy Resources Corporation (MERC) G011/M-17-588

In the Matter of the Petitions of Minnesota Energy Resources Corporation for Approval of a Change in Demand Entitlement for the Consolidated (17-587) and Northern Natural Gas (NNG) (17-588) PGA Areas.

Should the Commission approve MERC's proposed demand entitlement capacity (levels) and cost changes to meet Its Design Day and Reserve Margin requirements for the 2017-2018 Heating Season for Its Consolidated and NNG PGA areas, effective November 1, 2017? (PUC: **Brill**)

# Approved MERC –Consolidated and MERC-NNG's filings; granted request for advance approval of ANR storage contract.

2. \*\* E015/GR-16-664; Minnesota Power

# E015/D-17-118;

## E,G999/CI-17-895

In the Matter of the Application of Minnesota Power for Authority to Increase Rates for Electric Service in Minnesota;

In the Matter of Minnesota Power's 2017 Remaining Life Depreciation Petition; In the Matter of a Commission Investigation into the Effects on Electric and Natural Gas Utility Rates and Services of the 2017 Federal Tax Act.

- 1. Should the Commission reopen, reconsider and/or clarify its March 12, 2018 Findings of Fact, Conclusions, and Order?
- If the Commission reopens its March 12, 2018 Order in the rate case, should it also reopen its March 21 Depreciation Order (In the Matter of Minnesota Power's 2017 Remaining Life Depreciation Petition, Docket No. E-015/D-17-118)? (PUC: Bender, Bartusch, Schwieger, Krishnan, O'Grady, Harding)

The Commission has the authority to accept or decline a petition for reconsideration **with or without** a hearing or oral argument (Minnesota Rules 7829.3000, Subpart 6) In other words, a decision on a petition for reconsideration can be made without taking oral comments at the Commission meeting.

# Petition granted with regard to Boswell Depreciation, the current period tax expense, and certain clarifications; Petitions otherwise denied.

#### 3. \* IP6961/WS-17-597 Nobles 2 Power Partners, LLC

In the Matter of the Application of Nobles 2 Power Partners, LLC for a Site Permit for the up to 260 MW Nobles 2 Wind Project and Associated Facilities in Nobles County, Minnesota.

- 1. Should the Commission issue a preliminary draft site permit for the Nobles 2 Wind Project?
- 2. Should the Commission refer the matter to the OAH for a contested case hearing? (PUC: **Kaluzniak**)

#### Issued draft site permit.

#### 4. \* IP6984/WS-17-749 Flying Cow Wind, LLC

In the Matter of the Application of Flying Cow Wind, LLC for a Site Permit for the up to 152 MW Bitter Root Wind Project and Associated Facilities in Yellow Medicine County, Minnesota

Should the Commission issue a preliminary draft site permit for the Bitter Root Wind Project? (PUC: **Panait**)

#### Issued draft site permit.

#### 5. \* E999/M-17-377 Minnesota Transmission Owners

In the Matter of the 2017 Minnesota Biennial Transmission Projects Report.

Should the Commission accept the 2017 Biennial Transmission Projects Report? (PUC: **Panait**)

# Accepted 2017 Projects Report; Extended public participation variance for 2019 Report and imposed requirements.

#### 6. \* IP6985/TL-17-701 Blazing Star Wind Farm 2, LLC

In the Matter of the Application of Blazing Star Wind Farm 2, LLC for a 115-Kilovolt High Voltage Transmission Line in Lincoln County, Minnesota.

- 1. Should the Commission accept the route permit application as substantially complete?
- 2. What procedural process should the Commission authorize for evaluation of the route permit application?
- 3. Are there any other actions the Commission should take? (PUC: **DeBleeckere**)

## Accepted application as complete and set procedural requirements.

PUC Agenda Meeting		la Meeting	Decisions	May 17, 2018
7.	**	ET6657/WS-08-573 In the Matter of the Sit the Bent Tree Project i	<b>Wisconsin Power and Light Company</b> e Permit Issued to the Wisconsin Power and Light Co n Freeborn County.	ompany for
			e Commission take in light of the Confidential Settlem pril 19, 2018? (PUC: <b>DeBleeckere</b> )	lent
	R	esolved and dismiss	sed current complaints with conditions.	
8.	*		<b>Lake Benton Power Partners, LLC</b> rge Wind Energy Conversion System Site Permit for the Wind Facility in Lincoln County, Minnesota.	the 107.25
		Should the Commission	n amend the site permit as requested? (PUC: <b>Ek</b> )	
	Si	te Permit amendme	nt granted as modified.	
9.	**	IP6907/WS-13-258 In the Matter of the Sit Minnesota.	<b>Trimont Wind I, LLC</b> e Permit of Trimont Wind I, LLC in Martin and Jackso	on Counties,
		Should the Commissio	n amend the site permit as requested? (PUC: <b>DeBle</b>	eckere)
	Site Permit amendment granted as modified, filing required.			

# ADJOURNMENT

\* One star indicates agenda item is unusual but is not disputed.

\*\* Two stars indicate a disputed item or significant legal or procedural issue

to be resolved. (Ex Parte Rules apply)

Please note: For the complete record, please see eDockets