



# Minnesota Public Utilities Commission

## Decisions

---

Thursday, September 6, 2018

9:30 AM

Large Hearing Room

---

### INTRODUCTION

### DECISION ITEMS

2.     **\*\* E002/M-15-111;**                   **Xcel Energy;**  
       **E017/M-15-112 ;**                 **Otter Tail Power Company;**  
       **E015/M-15-120**                 **Minnesota Power**  
       In the Matter of Electric Vehicle Charging Tariffs.

What action should the Commission take on Minnesota Power's tariff revision request?  
What action should the Commission take on the utilities annual EV Charging Tariff reports? (PUC: **Terwilliger**)

**Attachments:**     [Briefing Papers](#)

**Accepted 2017 EV reports; required cost breakdown in future EV reports; approved MP's proposed changes to EV tariff**

3.     **\*\* E999/M-18-205;**                   **Electric Utilities Subject to Minnesota's**  
       **E999/M-13-542**                   **Solar Energy Standard, Minn. Stat.**  
                                              **§216B.1691, Subd. 2f**

In the Matter of Utilities' Annual Reports on Progress in Achieving the Solar Energy Standard;  
In the Matter of the Implementation of Solar Energy Standards Pursuant to 2013 Amendments to Minnesota Statutes, Section 216B.1691.

What action should the Commission take on the utilities' fifth annual solar energy standard reports?  
Should the Commission issue any clarification on system eligibility dates for solar generating facilities? (PUC: **Terwilliger**)

**Attachments:**     [Briefing Papers](#)

**Accepted 2016 SES reports; clarified meaning of Order point 1 from 4-25-14 order as referring to shelf life; required compliance filing updating 2017-2018 SES report to include all eligible solar facilities and associated SRECs.**

1.     **\* E015/M-18-401**                   **Minnesota Power**

In the Matter of Minnesota Power's Petition for Approval of the 10 MW Blanchard Solar Power Purchase Agreement.

Should the Commission approve the 10 MW Blanchard Solar Project PPA as a reasonable and prudent way for the Company to meet its obligations under Minn. Stat. § 216B.1691, subd. 2f.?

Should the Commission authorize recovery the PPA costs through Commission-approved methods for solar expenditures? (PUC: **Stalpes**)

**Attachments:**    [Briefing Papers](#)

**Approved project; authorized recovery of PPA costs; and required MP to discuss production modeling with DOC in next IRP**

### ADJOURNMENT

**\* One star indicates agenda item is unusual but is not disputed.**

**\*\* Two stars indicate a disputed item or significant legal or procedural issue to be resolved. (Ex Parte Rules apply)**

**Please note: For the complete record, please see eDockets**