

Minnesota Public Utilities Commission

Decisions

Wednesday, December 22, 202110:00 AMOnline via Webex

INTRODUCTION

DECISION ITEMS

P6541/RV-21-771 Silv Communications, Inc. In the Matter of the Revocation of Silv Communications, Inc.'s Certificate of Authority.

(PUC: Fournier; DOC: Dietz)

Note: Silv Communications filed a letter with the Oregon PUC on December 31, 2020 stating "Silv Communications, Inc. . . . will be closing permanently at the end of January 2021 due to the present circumstances that our nation is facing with this pandemic."

Revoked certificate of authority.

 2.
 ** P405,407,5316/CI-21-150
 Frontier Communications of Minnesota, Inc.;

 Citizens Telecommunications Company of Minnesota, LLC;

Frontier Communications of America, Inc.

In the Matter of a Commission Inquiry into Frontier Communications' Virtual Separation Analysis.

Should the Commission Reconsider Its September 29, 2021 Order in This Matter? (PUC: **Fournier**)

The Commission has the authority to accept or decline a petition for reconsideration **with or without** a hearing or oral argument (Minnesota Rules 7829.3000, Subpart 6) In other words, a decision on a petition for reconsideration can be made without taking oral comments at the Commission meeting.

Denied reconsideration.

3. PULLED

** P421/C-20-432

Qwest Corporation d/b/a CenturyLink QC-("CenturyLink")

In the Matter of Formal Complaint Regarding the Services Provided by the Qwest Corporation, d/b/a CenturyLink in Minnesota, on Behalf of the Communications Workers of America.

How should the Commission Proceed in this Matter? (PUC: Fournier)

Pulled.

4. * E,G999/CI-08-133 All Electric and Gas Utilities with Approved DSM Financial Incentives

In the Matter of Commission Review of Utility Performance Incentives for Energy Conservation Pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 216B.241 Subd. 2c.

Should the Commission take action on the Department of Commerce's recommendation to extend implementation of a low-income shared-savings mechanism until 2023 for the 2024-2026 triennial Conservation Improvement Program financial incentive mechanism? (See December 9, 2020 Order, Order Point 3). (PUC: Rosier; DOC: Davis, Fryer)

Granted extension.

5. ** E002/M-21-433 Northern States Power Company d/b/a Xcel Energy In the Matter of the Petition for a Proposed Tariff Modification for a Nonstandard Provision to the Uniform Statewide Contract for Cogeneration and Small Power Production.

Should the Commission approve Xcel Energy's proposed tariff modification to Section 9-12.1 to allow a customer who is not the landlord and Distributed Energy Resource owner to receive net metering benefits for applicable onsite DER projects without signing a Uniform Statewide Contract? (PUC: **Rosier**)

Tabled for additional public comment.

6. ** E015/M-21-257 Minnesota Power

In the Matter Minnesota Power's Electric Vehicle Charging Infrastructure Investment.

Should the Commission clarify its order as request by Minnesota Power? (PUC: **Terwilliger**)

Denied clarification.

7. ** E002/CN-21-668 Northern States Power Company d/b/a Xcel Energy

In the Matter of the Application of Northern States Power Company d/b/a Xcel Energy for a Certificate of Need for Additional Dry Cask Storage at the Monticello Nuclear Generating Plant Independent Spent Fuel Storage Installation in Wright County.

- 1. Does the certificate of need application contain the information required under Minnesota Rules 7855.0230 to 7855.0280 and 7855.0600 to 7855.0670?
- 2. Are there any contested issues of fact with respect to the representations made in the application?
- 3. Should the application be evaluated using the Commission's informal process or referred to the Office of Administrative Hearings for contested case proceedings?
- 4. What are the implications, if any, on the timing and procedures to be used in processing this application in relation to Xcel Energy's pending 2020-2034 Upper Midwest Integrated Resource Plan in Docket 19-368? (PUC: Kaluzniak)

Referred matter to OAH and established deadlines.

ADJOURNMENT

* One star indicates agenda item is unusual but is not disputed.

** Two stars indicate a disputed item or significant legal or procedural issue

to be resolved. (Ex Parte Rules apply)

Please note: For the complete record, please see eDockets