



# Minnesota Public Utilities Commission

## PUC Agenda Meeting

---

Thursday, August 11, 2022

9:00 AM

---

### INTRODUCTION

### DISCUSSION ITEM

#### [Details 2022-143](#)

\* **(FERC) RM21-17-000**      **Federal Energy Regulatory Commission**

In the Matter of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) Proposed Rulemaking on Building for the Future Through Electric Regional Transmission Planning and Cost Allocation and Generator Interconnection.

1. Should the Minnesota Public Utilities Commission file comments in FERC Docket No. RM21-17-000?
2. Should the Minnesota Public Utilities Commission support comments by Organization of MISO States (OMS) in FERC Docket No. RM21-17-000?
3. Should the Minnesota Public Utilities Commission delegate its final approval of OMS comments in FERC Docket No. RM21-17-000 to Commissioner Sullivan?
4. Should the Minnesota Public Utilities Commission delegate its approval of subsequent OMS comments in FERC Docket No. RM21-17-000 to Commissioner Sullivan? (PUC: **Ham**)

**Attachments:**

[Briefing Papers](#)

### DELIBERATION ITEMS

1. [Details 2021-080](#)

\*\* **G008/M-21-138**      **CenterPoint Energy Resources Corp., d/b/a**  
**CenterPoint Energy Minnesota Gas**

In the Matter of the Petition of CenterPoint Energy for Approval of a Recovery Process for Cost Impacts Due to February Extreme Gas Market Conditions.

Should the Commission adopt the recommendations in the ALJ's Report? If not, what disallowance(s) should be approved? (PUC: **Alonso**)

**Attachments:**

[briefing papers.pdf](#)

[Decision Option-Chair Sieben](#)

[Deliberation Outline](#)

**2. [Details 2021-083](#)****\*\* G004/M-21-235****Great Plains Natural Gas Co., a Division of  
Montana-Dakota Utilities Co. (Great Plains, Company)**

In the Matter of the Petition by Great Plains Natural Gas Co., a Division of Montana-Dakota Utilities Co., for Approval of Rule Variances to Recover High Natural Gas Costs from February 2021.

Should the Commission adopt the recommendations in the ALJ's Report? If not, what disallowance(s) should be approved? (PUC: **Manning**)

**Attachments:**[briefing papers](#)[Decision Option-Chair Sieben](#)[Deliberation Outline](#)**3. [Details 2022-132](#)****\*\* G008/M-21-611****Minnesota Energy Resources Corporation**

In the Matter of the Petition of Minnesota Energy Resources Corporation for Approval of a Recovery Process for Cost Impacts Due to February Extreme Gas Market Conditions.

Should the Commission adopt the recommendations in the ALJ's Report? If not, what disallowance(s) should be approved? (PUC: **Worlobah**)

**Attachments:**[briefing papers.pdf](#)[Decision Option-Chair Sieben](#)[Deliberation Outline](#)**4. [Details 2022-133](#)****\*\* G002/CI-21-610****Northern States Power Company, d/b/a Xcel Energy**

In the Matter of the Petition of Northern States Power Company, d/b/a Xcel Energy to Recover February 2021 Natural Gas Costs.

Should the Commission adopt the recommendations in the ALJ's Report? If not, what disallowance(s) should be approved? (PUC: **Larson**)

**Attachments:**[briefing papers.pdf](#)[Decision Option-Chair Sieben](#)[Deliberation Outline](#)**5. [Details 2021-039](#)****\*\* G999/CI-21-135;  
G004/M-21-235;  
G002/CI-21-610;  
G011/CI-21-611****All Natural Gas Utilities**

In the Matter of a Commission Inquiry into the Impact of Severe Weather in February 2021 on Minnesota Natural Gas Utilities and Customers;  
In the Matter of the Petition by Great Plains Natural Gas Co., a Division of Montana-Dakota Utilities Co., for Approval of Rule Variances to Recover High Natural Gas Costs from February 2021;  
In the Matter of Petition of Northern States Power Company d/b/a Xcel Energy to Recover February 2021 Natural Gas Costs;  
In the Matter of the Petition of Minnesota Energy Resources Corporation for Approval of a Recovery Process for Cost Impacts Due to February Extreme Gas Market Conditions.

Should classes that have not already had their recovery period for February 2021 extraordinary gas costs extended have their recovery period extended? If so, should the new recovery period be 63 months or a different time period? (PUC: **Alonso**)

**Attachments:**

[briefing papers.pdf](#)

[Decision Option-Chair Sieben](#)

[Deliberation Outline](#)

## ADJOURNMENT

\* One star indicates agenda item is unusual but is not disputed.

\*\* Two stars indicate a disputed item or significant legal or procedural issue to be resolved. (Ex Parte Rules apply)

Please note: For the complete record, please see eDockets