



Minnesota Public Utilities Commission

PUC Agenda Meeting

Thursday, September 15, 2022

10:00 AM

INTRODUCTION

DECISION ITEMS

1. [Details 2022-179](#)

* E309,124/SA-22-281

**Shakopee Public Utilities Commission;
Minnesota Valley Electric Cooperative**

In the Matter of the Joint Petition for Approval of a Permanent Service Territory Transfer Between Shakopee Public Utilities Commission and Minnesota Valley Electric Cooperative. (PUC: Noyce; DOC: Cullen)

Attachments:

[DOC Comments 7-27-22](#)

2. [Details 2022-178](#)

* E015/M-11-409

Minnesota Power

In the Matter of Minnesota Power's Petition for Approval of a Rider for Customer Affordability of Residential Electricity (CARE).

Should the Commission accept Minnesota Power's Request to Modify its Customer Affordability Residential Electricity (CARE) Program? (PUC: McShane; DOC: Smetana)

Attachments:

[DOC DER Comments 8-24-22](#)
[Staff Revised Motion.pdf](#)

3. [Details 2022-172](#)

* G004/M-22-217

Great Plains Natural Gas Company

In the Matter of Great Plains Natural Gas Company's 2021 Conservation Improvement Program Tracker and Shared Savings Demand Side Management Incentive. (PUC: Dornfeld; DOC: Skayer, Winner)

Attachments:

[DOC Response to Reply Comments 8-8-22](#)

4. [Details 2022-173](#)

* **G008/M-22-215** **CenterPoint Energy**

In the Matter of CenterPoint Energy’s 2021 Conservation Improvement Program Status Report, 2021 Demand Side Management Financial Incentive, Conservation Improvement Program Tracker Report, and 2021 Conservation Cost Recovery Adjustment Aggregated Compliance Filing. (PUC: Dornfeld; DOC: Skayer, Winner)

Attachments: [DOC Comments 7-27-22](#)

5. [Details 2021-100](#)

** **E002/M-20-891** **Northern States Power Company, d/b/a Xcel Energy**

In the Matter of Xcel Energy’s Sherco Solar Project.

1. Should the Commission approve Xcel Energy’s acquisition and construction of the 460 MW Sherco Solar Project, subject to conditions?
2. Should the Commission authorize the Company to recover costs of the Project through the RES Rider?
3. Should the Commission determine that any future cost recovery is limited to the Minnesota jurisdictional allocators approved by the Commission? (PUC: **Stalpes, Duran, Mezic**)

Attachments: [Briefing Papers](#)
 [Staff Revised Motion.pdf](#)
 [Alternative Decision Option-Commissioner Tuma](#)

ADJOURNMENT

* One star indicates agenda item is unusual but is not disputed.

** Two stars indicate a disputed item or significant legal or procedural issue to be resolved. (Ex Parte Rules apply)

Please note: For the complete record, please see eDockets