

Item 4 of Commission's June 23, 2022, Agenda

Docket Nos. E-002/GR-12-961; E-002/GR-13-868; E-999/AA-13-599; E-999/AA-14-579; E-999/AA-16-523; E-999/AA-17-492; E-999/AA-18-373

Staff Revised Decision Option 9:

9. The Commission finds a genuine issue of material fact in dispute about whether Xcel Energy's Sherco 3 outage costs for the period November 2011 to October 2013 were reasonable and prudent, and if not, the amount of overcharges (plus interest) that should be returned to ratepayers.
 - a. The Commission refers this matter to the Minnesota Office of Administrative Hearings for a contested case proceeding where Xcel Energy bears the burden to establish that any or all of the outage costs were reasonably and prudently incurred, applying good utility practices.
 - b. If the Department deems it necessary, the Commission requests that the Department seek authorization to incur costs for any specialized services required to assist in this matter under Minn. Stat. § 216B.62.
 - c. The Commission delegates to its Executive Secretary to establish the schedule with the OAH to submit the report of the contested case.