



414 Nicollet Mall  
Minneapolis, MN 55401

April 30, 2021

—Via Electronic Filing—

Will Seuffert  
Executive Secretary  
Minnesota Public Utilities Commission  
121 7<sup>th</sup> Place East, Suite 350  
St. Paul, MN 55101

RE: REQUEST FOR CHANGE IN SPENT-FUEL STORAGE TECHNOLOGY  
PRAIRIE ISLAND FUEL STORAGE  
DOCKET NO. E002/CN-08-510

Dear Mr. Seuffert:

Northern States Power Company, doing business as Xcel Energy, submits the enclosed Request for Change in Spent-Fuel Storage Technology, informing the Minnesota Public Utilities Commission (Commission) of a planned change regarding the Certificate of Need authorizing spent fuel storage at the Prairie Island Nuclear Generating Plant at the Independent Spent Fuel Storage Installation.

We have electronically filed this document with the Minnesota Public Utilities Commission, and copies have been served on the parties on the attached service list. Please contact me at [bria.e.shea@xcelenergy.com](mailto:bria.e.shea@xcelenergy.com) or (612) 330-6064 if you have any questions regarding this filing.

Sincerely,

/s/

BRIA E. SHEA  
DIRECTOR, REGULATORY AND STRATEGIC ANALYSIS

Enclosure  
c: Service List

STATE OF MINNESOTA  
BEFORE THE  
MINNESOTA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

Katie J. Sieben	Chair
Valerie Means	Commissioner
Matthew Schuerger	Commissioner
Joseph K. Sullivan	Commissioner
John A. Tuma	Commissioner

IN THE MATTER OF THE PETITION OF  
NORTHERN STATES POWER COMPANY  
D/B/A XCEL ENERGY FOR  
CERTIFICATION OF NEED FOR  
ADDITIONAL DRY CASK STORAGE AT  
PRAIRIE ISLAND NUCLEAR GENERATING  
PLANT

DOCKET NO. E002/CN-08-510

**REQUEST FOR CHANGE IN SPENT-  
FUEL STORAGE TECHNOLOGY**

**INTRODUCTION**

Northern States Power Company, doing business as Xcel Energy (Xcel Energy or the Company), submits this Request for Change in Spent-Fuel Storage Technology, informing the Minnesota Public Utilities Commission (Commission) of a planned change regarding the Certificate of Need authorizing spent fuel storage at the Prairie Island Nuclear Generating Plant at the Independent Spent Fuel Storage Installation.

Specifically, the Certificate of Need, approved in 2009, authorizes the Company to store spent fuel using a specific number of a specific type of storage cask called a TN-40, which was the best available storage technology at the time. Since the Certificate of Need was issued, however, other spent-fuel storage casks approved by the United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) have become more cost effective. Additionally, since those proceedings, several private storage facilities have sought approval from the NRC to temporarily store used fuel away from reactor sites until the federal government takes possession of the fuel. And neither of these proposed facilities is designed to include the TN-40 cask in their initial license.

As a result, we believe it is in our customers' interest to allow the Company to consider NRC-approved storage alternatives that will lower costs and potentially facilitate earlier shipments of spent fuel to offsite locations. We, therefore, propose to change storage technology, replacing the Certificate of Need's authorization to acquire TN-40 casks with an authorization to utilize any NRC-approved storage technology.

Approving this change will allow the Company to select the most economical technology and facilitate shipment to an offsite location at the earliest possible date.

We are asking the Commission approve this request prior to our selection of a particular cask technology so that we can run a competitive bid process designed to obtain the best option for our customers and stakeholders. By changing the authorization to any NRC-approved technology, we can ensure the broadest range of options is considered and the optimal technology is selected.

To be clear, we are not asking to run the Prairie Island plant any longer than currently authorized, nor are we seeking approval of an operational change for the plant. The new storage casks will facilitate the storage of an equivalent number of spent-fuel assemblies so will not expand the authorized storage. Through this filing, we seek only a determination from the Commission that the use of NRC-approved cask designs other than the existing TN-40 casks currently in use does not require recertification.

This request is consistent with Minnesota rules regarding changes in size, type, or timing of facilities authorized for construction pursuant to a previously-issued Certificate of Need. Under these rules, the Commission is authorized to consider whether such a change can be made without recertification. Without this proposed change, the Company will be required to acquire TN-40 casks. Because, as discussed below, allowing consideration of a broader array of NRC-approved cask technology is in our customers' interest, we request the Commission approve this proposed change without requiring recertification.

## **I. SUMMARY OF FILING**

A one-paragraph summary is attached to this filing pursuant to Minn. R. 7829.1300, subp. 1.

## **II. SERVICE ON OTHER PARTIES**

Pursuant to Minn. R. 7829.1300, subp. 2, the Company has served a copy of this filing on the Office of the Attorney General – Antitrust and Utilities Division. A summary of the filing has been served on all parties on the enclosed service list.

## **III. GENERAL FILING INFORMATION**

Pursuant to Minn. R. 7829.1300, subp. 3, the Company provides the following information.

**A. Name, Address, and Telephone Number of Utility**

Northern States Power Company doing business as:  
Xcel Energy  
414 Nicollet Mall  
Minneapolis, MN 55401  
(612) 330-5500

**B. Name, Address, and Telephone Number of Utility Attorney**

Matt Harris  
Lead Assistant General Counsel  
Xcel Energy  
414 Nicollet Mall, 401 – 8<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Minneapolis, MN 55401  
(612) 330-7641

**C. Date of Filing**

The date of this filing is April 30, 2021.

**D. Statute Controlling Schedule for Processing the Filing**

Commission Rules define this filing as a “miscellaneous filing” under Minn. R. 7829.0100, subp. 11 since no determination of Xcel Energy’s overall revenue requirement is necessary. In the absence of an alternative schedule, Minn. R. 7829.1400, subp. 1 and 4 permit comments in response to a miscellaneous filing to be filed within 30 days and reply comments to be filed no later than 10 days thereafter.

We note that, in general, modifications to certificates of need are governed by a different schedule. For example, under Minn. R. 7849.0400, Subp. 2(H):

*If an applicant determines that a change in size, type, timing, or ownership . . . is necessary for a large generation or transmission facility previously certified by the commission, the applicant must inform the commission of the desired change and the reasons for the change. A copy of applicant’s submission to the commission must be sent to each intervenor in the certificate of need hearing proceeding on the facility. Intervenors may comment on the proposed change within 15 days of being notified of the change. The commission shall evaluate the reasons for and against the proposed change and, within 45 days of receipt of the request, notify the applicant whether the change is*

*acceptable without recertification.*

Minn. R. 7851.0400 and Minn. R. 7853.0800 provide similar procedural schedules for modifications of certificates of need for gas storage and pipelines, and petroleum facilities, respectively.

Minn. R. 7855, however, which provides applicable rules for certificates of need for nuclear waste storage, among other things, does not include a particular rule governing modifications to covered facilities. Without such a rule, the Commission may want to consider this filing under the miscellaneous filing procedures under Minn. R. 7829.0100, or it may wish to do so under the analogous certificate of need rules.

**E. Utility Employee Responsible for Filing**

Bria E. Shea  
Director, Regulatory & Strategic Analysis  
Xcel Energy  
414 Nicollet Mall, 401 – 7<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Minneapolis, MN 55401  
(612) 330-6064

**IV. MISCELLANEOUS INFORMATION**

Pursuant to Minn. R. 7829.0700, the Company requests that the following persons be placed on the Commission's official service list for this proceeding:

Matt Harris  
Lead Assistant General Counsel  
Xcel Energy  
414 Nicollet Mall, 401 – 8<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Minneapolis, MN 55401  
[Matt.b.harris@xcelenergy.com](mailto:Matt.b.harris@xcelenergy.com)

Lynnette Sweet  
Regulatory Administrator  
Xcel Energy  
414 Nicollet Mall, 401 – 7<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Minneapolis, MN 55401  
[regulatory.records@xcelenergy.com](mailto:regulatory.records@xcelenergy.com)

Any information requests in this proceeding should be submitted to Ms. Sweet at the Regulatory Records email address above.

**V. DESCRIPTION AND PURPOSE OF FILING**

**A. Overview**

The Company is seeking a determination from the Commission that the use of designs certified by the NRC under their rules for dry cask storage contained in 10 CFR Part 72 (other than the existing TN-40 casks currently in use) does not require recertification under Minn. Stat. 216B.243. Developments in dry cask storage technology since the Certificate of Need approval in 2009 suggest other available technologies may be in the best interest of our customers and other stakeholders by reducing costs and facilitating shipment to an offsite facility at the earliest date possible. Our initial estimate is that the hardware costs for alternate cask designs is approximately 40-50% of the cost of TN-40 casks.

The storage capacity of alternate cask designs varies slightly from the TN-40 cask, generally holding 37 rather than 40 spent-fuel assemblies. As a result, in order to store the amount of spent-fuel assemblies authorized under the Certificate of Need using an alternate cask design, we likely would need one additional cask. Given the substantially lower cost of alternate designs, however, we expect changing technologies would be cost effective and beneficial for our customers.

## **B. Basis for Existing Certificate of Need**

Dry cask storage at Prairie Island was initially approved by the Commission in 1992 (Docket No. E002/CN-91-19). The cask design considered in this proceeding was a steel cask design with a bolted lid and redundant metallic seals designed by the Transnuclear Corporation (TN-40 cask). The Company selected the TN-40 cask<sup>1</sup> after a detailed evaluation of the available cask technologies and determining it was the best option for the storage facility. While individual TN-40 casks were understood to be more expensive than the other designs available at the time, the higher capacity of the TN-40 (40 fuel assemblies vs 24) made up for this difference and resulted in a lower overall cost.

The Company then applied for a license with the NRC and a Certificate of Need from the Commission. The NRC issued Prairie Island a site-specific license<sup>2</sup> in 1993 for up to 48 casks. The Certificate of Need was approved in 1992 for the use of 17 TN-40 casks.

In 2009, the Commission approved a request to increase the number of casks to 64 in order to allow plant operation to the 2033/2034 timeframe under Docket E002/CN-08-510. The request for up to 64 casks was based on the TN-40 cask capacity combined with fuel management plans for the two reactors, which determines the

---

<sup>1</sup> There are two variations of the TN-40 cask approved and in use at Prairie Island, the TN-40 and TN-40HT. Both are referred to as “TN-40” in this petition.

<sup>2</sup> The NRC rules granting a general license for reactor sites to use pre-certified casks was not available at that time.

amount of additional storage that was required. When this request was made and approved, the Company and Commission determined that the TN-40 design was still the best technology to use at Prairie Island.

### **C. Changed Circumstances**

In the years since the 2009 approval, several developments have occurred that suggest a different dry cask technology may be the best option for the future. They are related to cost increases in TN-40 fabrication compared to competing designs as well as developments in the licensing of proposed offsite storage facilities. Each of these is described below.

#### *1. Cost of Cask Technologies*

When the TN-40 was initially selected for use at Prairie Island, it was determined to be the most economical choice of the cask designs available at that time. While the per unit cost was somewhat higher than the competing designs, the larger capacity (40 used fuel assemblies versus 24) made the TN-40 the best choice. The TN-40 is an all metal bolted lid design dry cask technology. In this design, the thick-walled steel cask provides both confinement of the fuel and shielding from the radiation emitted from the fuel. Competing dry cask systems use a thinner walled steel canister with a welded lid for confinement and partial radiation shielding, which is then placed in a thick walled concrete vault or overpack that provides the bulk of the radiation shielding.

For many years, the TN-40 was the best overall technology, in spite of increasing costs, in part due to the initial cost that would be incurred in changing technologies. This was true in 2009, as shown during the proceedings for the Company's request for a Certificate of Need. Due to a number of factors that have changed since the Certificate of Need proceedings, however, we no longer believe that the TN-40 bolted cask design is the most economical technology for storing used fuel at Prairie Island. These factors include the relative fabrication costs of different storage technologies, increased capacity of competing welded canister based systems, which now can hold up to 37 spent-fuel assemblies, and the increased use of welded canister dry cask systems. We discuss each factor in turn below.

#### *2. Relative Fabrication Costs*

Fabrication costs of the TN-40 bolted cask design relative to other technologies have risen to the point that we believe it is prudent to consider other designs for future storage. We believe this to be true based on our experience with actual TN-40

fabrication costs, as well as recent dry cask storage costs for the canister-based system used at our Monticello plant.

There are several reasons for the increase in TN-40 fabrication cost relative to canister-based systems. The TN-40 design incorporates both the confinement and radiation shielding aspects into a single, 10.5-inch-thick walled steel component, resulting in a final assembly weighing 100 tons. This requires a specialized facility to handle and fabricate such a large and heavy component. There are a limited number of facilities capable of manufacturing a component of this size.

In contrast, a typical canister-based system uses a confinement shell less than one-inch thick. This is then placed in a concrete overpack or storage module that provides the majority of the radiation shielding. Fabrication of the relatively thin-walled and much lighter canisters requires less infrastructure at a fabricator and results in lower costs. There are also far more facilities that are capable of manufacturing this type of component, leading to greater price competition. As mentioned above, all of these systems are certified for safe storage by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC).

### *3. Increased capacity of canister systems*

When the TN-40 was selected, canister-based system designs were limited in capacity to 24 fuel assemblies of the type used at Prairie Island. The 40-fuel assembly capacity of the TN-40 provided a significant benefit in overall cost due to the smaller number of casks required to provide the needed storage. Since that timeframe, canister-based systems have made advances in capacity and are now capable of storing between 32 and 37 Prairie Island fuel assemblies. This increased capacity is a notable advance and significantly reduces the previous cost advantage of the TN-40.

### *4. Widespread use of canister systems*

Canister-based storage systems have now been adopted by the nuclear industry as the standard way to store used fuel. Prairie Island is the only remaining site in the United States using the TN-40 design, and no other sites are currently ordering or loading a bolted cask design similar to the TN-40. Being an outlier in design reduces efficiencies in fabrication expense, loading operations, and technology advances available to sites using the far more common canister-based systems. Advances in canister system loading operations (welding, testing, etc.) can be shared throughout sites using these systems and are not available or applicable to the TN-40 design.



## **D. Potential for Off-Site Shipment**

There are currently two applications for Consolidated Interim Storage Facilities (CISF) under active review by the NRC. These are private ventures designed to temporarily store used fuel away from reactor sites until the federal government takes possession of the fuel as mandated by the Nuclear Waste Policy Act. One of these facilities is proposed by Interim Storage Partners LLC (ISP), a joint venture of Waste Control Specialists LLC (WCS) and Orano CIS LLC (a subsidiary of Orano USA), at a site in Andrews County, Texas, adjacent to WCS's existing low-level radioactive waste and hazardous waste storage and disposal facilities. The second facility is proposed by Holtec International (Holtec) to construct and operate the HI-STORE CISF, in Lea County, New Mexico. Both facility applications are advancing in the NRC process and expect to receive a Safety Evaluation Report and final Environmental Impact Statement from the NRC sometime in 2021.

Each of these proposed CISF applications are based on a specific welded canister design for storage. Their license applications under review request permission for specific designs, and do not include the TN-40 cask. An amendment to their license would be required in order to store a TN-40 cask in the future.

## **E. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Regulations**

The dry cask storage site at Prairie Island has a site-specific license<sup>3</sup> issued by the NRC under 10 CFR Part 72. This license allows up to 64 TN-40 casks to be stored in the facility. Although changes to most aspects of the license generally require NRC review and approval, use of a different NRC-certified cask design would not require prior NRC approval.

The NRC has a general license process where a cask design receives an NRC Certificate of Compliance allowing for use at nuclear sites without further review and approval by the NRC. Any reactor site licensed by the NRC under either 10 CFR Part 50 or Part 52 is granted a General License to store used fuel in a Certified cask without further NRC approval, including Prairie Island. An example of this is the Monticello dry cask storage site, which uses the Certified NUHOMS storage system. Prior to use of a Certified cask a site must notify the NRC and document a thorough evaluation that the use is consistent with the conditions of the NRC approval.

A change of technology at Prairie Island would be implemented using a cask certified by the NRC. The site would be required to notify the NRC and perform the

---

<sup>3</sup> NRC Materials License SNM-2506

evaluation described above prior to use. No NRC approval would be required beyond the existing cask Certificate of Compliance issued to the cask design. In addition to being licensed for on-site storage, any cask selected would be designed for transportation to an offsite location as well.

## **F. Requested Change to Certificate of Need**

In 2009, the Commission authorized an additional 35 casks, for a total of 64, providing the Company with “sufficient capacity to hold the additional spent fuel that the Prairie Island Plant would generate if Units 1 and 2 continued to operate until 2033 and 2034, respectively.”<sup>4</sup> Based on the assumption of the continued use of the TN-40 cask design, this equates to a capacity of 2,560 spent-fuel assemblies.<sup>5</sup> The Company seeks to maintain this authorized capacity for spent fuel storage, but requests elimination of any tie to a specific number of casks or a specific type of cask design. We are not requesting approval of any operational or life change—only a change in the type of storage technology we are authorized to pursue for storing spent-fuel assemblies at the plant. This would allow the Company to bid for and select the best cask technology for future storage.

The Company would select from NRC-certified cask designs based on considerations including price and compatibility with potential or real offsite storage facilities. The designs envisioned are similar to the design previously approved by the Commission for use at Monticello. They consist of a welded, sealed metal canister stored in an overpack that protects the metal canister and provides the bulk of the shielding from radiation. These systems are certified by the USNRC for both on-site storage and transportation. These metal canisters can be transferred to a shipping overpack and placed directly on a rail car for offsite transport to another facility.

Following the selection of a particular cask technology, the Company would provide the Commission with information regarding its selection process. This information would include the technologies considered and details regarding how the Company selected a particular cask type, including cost.

This change is consistent with state law. Under Minn. Stat. §116C.776, “[i]f the Public Utilities Commission determines that casks or other containers that allow for transportation as well as storage of spent nuclear fuel exist and are economically feasible for storage and transportation of spent fuel generated by the Prairie Island

---

<sup>4</sup> *Order Accepting Environmental Impact Statement, and Granting Certificates of Need and Site Permit With Conditions* at 7, Dec. 18, 2009, Dockets Nos. E-002/CN-08-509, E-002/CN-08-510, E-002/GS-08-690.

<sup>5</sup> See *Application to the Minnesota Public Utilities Commission for Certificates of Need for the Prairie Island Nuclear Generating Plant for Additional Dry Cask Storage* Docket No. E002/CN-08-510 and *Extended Power Uprate* Docket No. E002/CN-08-509, at 5-12, May 16, 2008.

nuclear power generating plant, the Commission shall order their use to replace use of the casks that are only usable for storage, but not transportation.” In the event that alternative casks to the TN-40 design are used, Minn. Stat. § 116C.776 requires—consistent with the Company’s proposal herein—“that the total cask storage capacity . . . not exceed the capacity of the TN-40 casks authorized under section 116C.77.” This statute recognizes (1) that other cask designs may have less capacity than the TN-40, (2) that spent-fuel storage authorization under a certificate of need is based on the amount of storage required to support plant operations through a specific time period, not a set number of casks, and (3) that cost-effective alternatives to the TN-40 casks that facilitate transportation and storage of spent fuel are preferred.

Minn. Stat. § 116C.777, also is instructive. That statute requires that spent fuel be “moved immediately upon the availability of another site for storage of the spent fuel that is not located on Prairie Island or at Monticello.” This language is designed to ensure movement of spent fuel to an offsite location at the earliest possible time. As noted earlier, two potential offsite facilities are under NRC review and could be licensed in 2021. Neither of these facilities are designed to accept the TN-40 cask in their initial license. Allowing the use of alternative technologies will support this goal by allowing the use of casks that have the ability to ship directly offsite at the earliest possible date.

## **CONCLUSION**

For the foregoing reasons, we respectfully request that the Commission determine the Company’s proposed change to use alternative NRC-approved spent-fuel containers is acceptable without recertification.

Dated: April 30, 2021

Northern States Power Company

STATE OF MINNESOTA  
BEFORE THE  
MINNESOTA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

Katie J. Sieben	Chair
Valerie Means	Commissioner
Matthew Schuerger	Commissioner
Joseph K. Sullivan	Commissioner
John A. Tuma	Commissioner

IN THE MATTER OF THE PETITION OF  
NORTHERN STATES POWER COMPANY  
D/B/A XCEL ENERGY FOR  
CERTIFICATION OF NEED FOR  
ADDITIONAL DRY CASK STORAGE AT  
PRAIRIE ISLAND NUCLEAR GENERATING  
PLANT

DOCKET NO. E002/CN-08-510

**REQUEST FOR CHANGE IN SPENT-  
FUEL STORAGE TECHNOLOGY**

**SUMMARY OF FILING**

Please take notice that on April 30, 2021, Northern States Power Company doing business as Xcel Energy filed a Request for Change in Spent-Fuel Storage Technology, informing the Minnesota Public Utilities Commission of a planned change regarding the Certificate of Need authorizing spent fuel storage at the Prairie Island Nuclear Generating Plant at the Independent Spent Fuel Storage Installation.

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Lynnette Sweet, hereby certify that I have this day served copies of the foregoing document on the attached lists of persons.

xx by depositing a true and correct copy thereof, properly enveloped  
with postage paid in the United States mail at Minneapolis, Minnesota

xx electronic filing

**Docket Nos.        E002/CN-08-510**  
**XCEL ENERGY'S MISCELLANEOUS SERVICE List**

Dated this 30<sup>th</sup> day of April 2021

/s/

---

Lynnette Sweet  
Regulatory Administrator

[illegible]

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
Generic Notice	Residential Utilities Division	residential.utilities@ag.state.mn.us	Office of the Attorney General-RUD	1400 BRM Tower 445 Minnesota St St. Paul, MN 551012131	Electronic Service	Yes	OFF_SL_8-510_1
Will	Seuffert	Will.Seuffert@state.mn.us	Public Utilities Commission	121 7th Pl E Ste 350  Saint Paul, MN 55101	Electronic Service	Yes	OFF_SL_8-510_1
Janet	Shaddix Elling	jshaddix@janetshaddix.com	Shaddix And Associates	7400 Lyndale Ave S Ste 190  Richfield, MN 55423	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_8-510_1
Lynnette	Sweet	Regulatory.records@xcelenergy.com	Xcel Energy	414 Nicollet Mall FL 7  Minneapolis, MN 554011993	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_8-510_1

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
Alison C	Archer	aarcher@misoenergy.org	MISO	2985 Ames Crossing Rd  Eagan, MN 55121	Electronic Service	No	GEN_SL_Northern States Power Company dba Xcel Energy-Elec_Xcel Misc Electric
James J.	Bertrand	james.bertrand@stinson.com	STINSON LLP	50 S 6th St Ste 2600  Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	GEN_SL_Northern States Power Company dba Xcel Energy-Elec_Xcel Misc Electric
James	Canaday	james.canaday@ag.state.mn.us	Office of the Attorney General-RUD	Suite 1400 445 Minnesota St. St. Paul, MN 55101	Electronic Service	No	GEN_SL_Northern States Power Company dba Xcel Energy-Elec_Xcel Misc Electric
John	Coffman	john@johncoffman.net	AARP	871 Tuxedo Blvd.  St. Louis, MO 63119-2044	Electronic Service	No	GEN_SL_Northern States Power Company dba Xcel Energy-Elec_Xcel Misc Electric
Generic Notice	Commerce Attorneys	commerce.attorneys@ag.state.mn.us	Office of the Attorney General-DOC	445 Minnesota Street Suite 1400  St. Paul, MN 55101	Electronic Service	No	GEN_SL_Northern States Power Company dba Xcel Energy-Elec_Xcel Misc Electric
Riley	Conlin	riley.conlin@stoel.com	Stoel Rives LLP	33 S. 6th Street Suite 4200 Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	GEN_SL_Northern States Power Company dba Xcel Energy-Elec_Xcel Misc Electric
Brooke	Cooper	bcooper@allete.com	Minnesota Power	30 W Superior St  Duluth, MN 558022191	Electronic Service	No	GEN_SL_Northern States Power Company dba Xcel Energy-Elec_Xcel Misc Electric
George	Crocker	gwilic@nawo.org	North American Water Office	PO Box 174  Lake Elmo, MN 55042	Electronic Service	No	GEN_SL_Northern States Power Company dba Xcel Energy-Elec_Xcel Misc Electric
John	Farrell	jfarrell@ilsr.org	Institute for Local Self- Reliance	2720 E. 22nd St Institute for Local Self- Reliance Minneapolis, MN 55406	Electronic Service	No	GEN_SL_Northern States Power Company dba Xcel Energy-Elec_Xcel Misc Electric
Sharon	Ferguson	sharon.ferguson@state.mn.us	Department of Commerce	85 7th Place E Ste 280  Saint Paul, MN 551012198	Electronic Service	No	GEN_SL_Northern States Power Company dba Xcel Energy-Elec_Xcel Misc Electric



First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
Edward	Garvey	edward.garvey@AESLconsulting.com	AESL Consulting	32 Lawton St  Saint Paul, MN 55102-2617	Electronic Service	No	GEN_SL_Northern States Power Company dba Xcel Energy-Elec_Xcel Miscl Electric
Michael	Hoppe	lu23@ibew23.org	Local Union 23, I.B.E.W.	445 Etna Street Ste. 61 St. Paul, MN 55106	Electronic Service	No	GEN_SL_Northern States Power Company dba Xcel Energy-Elec_Xcel Miscl Electric
Alan	Jenkins	aj@jenkinsatlaw.com	Jenkins at Law	2950 Yellowtail Ave.  Marathon, FL 33050	Electronic Service	No	GEN_SL_Northern States Power Company dba Xcel Energy-Elec_Xcel Miscl Electric
Richard	Johnson	Rick.Johnson@lawmoss.com	Moss & Barnett	150 S. 5th Street Suite 1200 Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	GEN_SL_Northern States Power Company dba Xcel Energy-Elec_Xcel Miscl Electric
Sarah	Johnson Phillips	sarah.phillips@stoel.com	Stoel Rives LLP	33 South Sixth Street Suite 4200 Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	GEN_SL_Northern States Power Company dba Xcel Energy-Elec_Xcel Miscl Electric
Mark J.	Kaufman	mkaufman@ibewlocal949.org	IBEW Local Union 949	12908 Nicollet Avenue South  Burnsville, MN 55337	Electronic Service	No	GEN_SL_Northern States Power Company dba Xcel Energy-Elec_Xcel Miscl Electric
Thomas	Koehler	TGK@IBEW160.org	Local Union #160, IBEW	2909 Anthony Ln  St Anthony Village, MN 55418-3238	Electronic Service	No	GEN_SL_Northern States Power Company dba Xcel Energy-Elec_Xcel Miscl Electric
Peder	Larson	plarson@larkinhoffman.com	Larkin Hoffman Daly & Lindgren, Ltd.	8300 Norman Center Drive Suite 1000 Bloomington, MN 55437	Electronic Service	No	GEN_SL_Northern States Power Company dba Xcel Energy-Elec_Xcel Miscl Electric
Douglas	Larson	dlarson@dakotaelectric.com	Dakota Electric Association	4300 220th St W  Farmington, MN 55024	Electronic Service	No	GEN_SL_Northern States Power Company dba Xcel Energy-Elec_Xcel Miscl Electric
Kavita	Maini	kmmaini@wi.rr.com	KM Energy Consulting, LLC	961 N Lost Woods Rd  Oconomowoc, WI 53066	Electronic Service	No	GEN_SL_Northern States Power Company dba Xcel Energy-Elec_Xcel Miscl Electric

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
Pam	Marshall	pam@energycents.org	Energy CENTS Coalition	823 7th St E  St. Paul, MN 55106	Electronic Service	No	GEN_SL_Northern States Power Company dba Xcel Energy-Elec_Xcel Misl Electric
Joseph	Meyer	joseph.meyer@ag.state.mn.us	Office of the Attorney General-RUD	Bremer Tower, Suite 1400 445 Minnesota Street St Paul, MN 55101-2131	Electronic Service	No	GEN_SL_Northern States Power Company dba Xcel Energy-Elec_Xcel Misl Electric
Stacy	Miller	stacy.miller@minneapolismn.gov	City of Minneapolis	350 S. 5th Street Room M 301 Minneapolis, MN 55415	Electronic Service	No	GEN_SL_Northern States Power Company dba Xcel Energy-Elec_Xcel Misl Electric
David	Moeller	dmoeller@allete.com	Minnesota Power	30 W Superior St  Duluth, MN 558022093	Electronic Service	No	GEN_SL_Northern States Power Company dba Xcel Energy-Elec_Xcel Misl Electric
Andrew	Moratzka	andrew.moratzka@stoel.com	Stoel Rives LLP	33 South Sixth St Ste 4200  Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	GEN_SL_Northern States Power Company dba Xcel Energy-Elec_Xcel Misl Electric
David	Niles	david.niles@avantenergy.com	Minnesota Municipal Power Agency	220 South Sixth Street Suite 1300 Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402	Electronic Service	No	GEN_SL_Northern States Power Company dba Xcel Energy-Elec_Xcel Misl Electric
Carol A.	Overland	overland@legalelectric.org	Legalelectric - Overland Law Office	1110 West Avenue  Red Wing, MN 55066	Electronic Service	No	GEN_SL_Northern States Power Company dba Xcel Energy-Elec_Xcel Misl Electric
Generic Notice	Residential Utilities Division	residential.utilities@ag.state.mn.us	Office of the Attorney General-RUD	1400 BRM Tower 445 Minnesota St St. Paul, MN 551012131	Electronic Service	No	GEN_SL_Northern States Power Company dba Xcel Energy-Elec_Xcel Misl Electric
Kevin	Reuther	kreuther@mncenter.org	MN Center for Environmental Advocacy	26 E Exchange St, Ste 206  St. Paul, MN 551011667	Electronic Service	No	GEN_SL_Northern States Power Company dba Xcel Energy-Elec_Xcel Misl Electric
Richard	Savelkoul	rsavelkoul@martinsquires.com	Martin & Squires, P.A.	332 Minnesota Street Ste W2750  St. Paul, MN 55101	Electronic Service	No	GEN_SL_Northern States Power Company dba Xcel Energy-Elec_Xcel Misl Electric

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
Will	Seuffert	Will.Seuffert@state.mn.us	Public Utilities Commission	121 7th PI E Ste 350  Saint Paul, MN 55101	Electronic Service	No	GEN_SL_Northern States Power Company dba Xcel Energy-Elec_Xcel Miscl Electric
Ken	Smith	ken.smith@districtenergy.com	District Energy St. Paul Inc.	76 W Kellogg Blvd  St. Paul, MN 55102	Electronic Service	No	GEN_SL_Northern States Power Company dba Xcel Energy-Elec_Xcel Miscl Electric
Byron E.	Starns	byron.starns@stinson.com	STINSON LLP	50 S 6th St Ste 2600  Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	GEN_SL_Northern States Power Company dba Xcel Energy-Elec_Xcel Miscl Electric
James M	Strommen	jstrommen@kennedy-graven.com	Kennedy & Graven, Chartered	150 S 5th St Ste 700  Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	GEN_SL_Northern States Power Company dba Xcel Energy-Elec_Xcel Miscl Electric
Eric	Swanson	eswanson@winthrop.com	Winthrop & Weinstine	225 S 6th St Ste 3500 Capella Tower Minneapolis, MN 554024629	Electronic Service	No	GEN_SL_Northern States Power Company dba Xcel Energy-Elec_Xcel Miscl Electric
Lynnette	Sweet	Regulatory.records@xcelenergy.com	Xcel Energy	414 Nicollet Mall FL 7  Minneapolis, MN 554011993	Electronic Service	No	GEN_SL_Northern States Power Company dba Xcel Energy-Elec_Xcel Miscl Electric
Thomas	Tynes	jjazynka@energyfreedomcoalition.com	Energy Freedom Coalition of America	101 Constitution Ave NW Ste 525 East  Washington, DC 20001	Electronic Service	No	GEN_SL_Northern States Power Company dba Xcel Energy-Elec_Xcel Miscl Electric
Lisa	Veith	lisa.veith@ci.stpaul.mn.us	City of St. Paul	400 City Hall and Courthouse 15 West Kellogg Blvd. St. Paul, MN 55102	Electronic Service	No	GEN_SL_Northern States Power Company dba Xcel Energy-Elec_Xcel Miscl Electric
Joseph	Windler	jwindler@winthrop.com	Winthrop & Weinstine	225 South Sixth Street, Suite 3500  Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	GEN_SL_Northern States Power Company dba Xcel Energy-Elec_Xcel Miscl Electric

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
Patrick	Zomer	Pat.Zomer@lawmoss.com	Moss & Barnett a Professional Association	150 S. 5th Street, #1200  Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	GEN_SL_Northern States Power Company dba Xcel Energy-Elec_Xcel Misl Electric