

414 Nicollet Mall Minneapolis, MN 55401

September 8, 2022

—Via Electronic Filing—

Will Seuffert
Executive Secretary
Minnesota Public Utilities Commission
121 7th Place East, Suite 350
St. Paul, MN 55101

RE: Answer in Opposition to Sue Madson's Petition for Reconsideration Freeborn Wind Energy Project Docket No. IP-6946/WS-17-410

Dear Mr. Seuffert:

Northern States Power Company, doing business as Xcel Energy, submits this Answer in Opposition to the August 29, 2022 Petition for Reconsideration ("Petition") filed by Sue Madson. The Petition fails to identify any valid reason why the Minnesota Public Utilities Commission ("Commission") should amend or reconsider its August 8, 2022 Order ("Order") accepting Xcel Energy's post-construction noise study and the Department of Commerce, Energy Environmental Review and Analysis' ("EERA") compliance review. It should be denied.

Petitions for reconsideration are governed by Minn. Stat. § 216B.27, Subd. 3, which permits reconsideration of Commission decisions if they are "in any respect unlawful or unreasonable." Minn. R. 7829.3000 sets forth additional procedural requirements for petitions for reconsideration and requires that petitions "set forth specifically the grounds relied upon or errors claimed." Generally, the Commission will review petitions for reconsideration "to determine whether the petition (i) raises new issues, (ii) points to new and relevant evidence, (iii) exposes errors or ambiguities in the underlying order, or (iv) otherwise persuades the Commission that it should rethink its decision."<sup>1</sup>

As set out below, the Petition fails to satisfy this standard. The Petition provides no new issues or relevant facts nor identifies any errors or ambiguities in the Commission's Order weighing in favor of reconsideration. Moreover, the Petition provides no legal justification or other grounds for reaching a conclusion that the Commission erred in

1

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See, e.g., Order Denying Reconsideration, Denying Stay, and Approving Compliance Filings at 3 (Oct. 7, 2019), Docket No. E-002/M-18-643.

its decision. Finally, the Petition has not provided any persuasive information that would warrant reconsideration. For these reasons, the Commission should deny the Petition.

## I. Discussion

Ms. Madson argues that the Commission erred by accepting the Monitoring Study and EERA's compliance review and determining that the Project is in compliance with the Noise Standards and Site Permit. Ms. Madson lists a number of alleged errors in the Monitoring Study, EERA's compliance review, and the Commission's "fail[ure] to infer exceedances."<sup>2</sup>

The Petition repeats many of the allegations and arguments from Ms. Madson's May 24, 2022 comments regarding the exclusion of certain data and statements regarding the ground factor.<sup>3</sup> Xcel Energy addressed the substance of those allegations and arguments, including those related to the Freeborn Wind Post-Construction Sound Monitoring Protocol approved by the Department of Commerce staff and use of the binning method, in its June 3, 2022 Reply Comments and in oral arguments before the Commission on July 7, 2022.<sup>4</sup> Accordingly, Xcel Energy incorporates by reference its Reply Comments in response to Ms. Madson's Petition.

Ms. Madson argues that the Commission erred by not requiring Xcel Energy to produce the results of the informal noise screening measurements conducted prior to the Post-Construction Sound Monitoring Study filed on February 1, 2022 (Monitoring Study).<sup>5</sup> Ms. Madson has raised this request for the Commission's consideration several times throughout the course of this proceeding.<sup>6</sup> The Commission has already addressed and properly denied this request multiple times.<sup>7</sup> The Petition has not raised any new issues or identified any new evidence requiring reconsideration of this issue.

<sup>3</sup> See Petition at 7; see also Madson Comment and Request for Reports Curtailment Suspension (May 24, 2022).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See, e.g., Petition at 7-8.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> See Xcel Energy Reply Comments at 1-4 (June 3, 2022) and Order Accepting Post-Construction Noise Monitoring Report and Compliance Review at 4 (August 8, 2022); see also Xcel Energy Initial Comments – Post-Construction Noise Monitoring Study at 2 (May 27, 2022); EERA Compliance Review at 3-6 (May 2, 2022).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> See Petition at 2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> See, e.g., Petition at 12 ("The Commission is aware of our repeated attempts to gain this data."); Madson Comments (May 24, 2022); Madson and Association of Freeborn County Landowners Motion for Reconsideration (February 14, 2022).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> See, e.g., Order Accepting Post-Construction Noise Monitoring Report and Compliance Review at 6 (August 8, 2022); Order Denying Reconsideration (April 8, 2022); Order Denying Motions (January 24, 2022).

Ms. Madson also argues that the Commission erred by failing to order additional noise monitoring.<sup>8</sup> However, there is no evidence that the Project is out of compliance with the Noise Standards or the Site Permit. Further, Ms. Madson fails to demonstrate any discrepancies between the Monitoring Study and the Freeborn Wind Post-Construction Sound Monitoring Protocol approved by the Department of Commerce staff. Accordingly, there is no basis to order curtailment of any turbines, and the Commission properly concluded that no additional noise monitoring is necessary at this time. The Petition has not raised any new issues or identified any new evidence requiring reconsideration of this issue.

The Commission's Order accepting the Monitoring Study and EERA's compliance review and concluding that the Project is in compliance with the Noise Standards and Site Permit was based on a thorough review of the record and arguments of all parties. The Order was not erroneous and reconsideration is not appropriate.

## II. Conclusion

In sum, Ms. Madson's Petition for Reconsideration fails to raise any new issues, point to new or relevant evidence, or expose errors or ambiguities in the underlying order, and it should be denied.

We have electronically filed this document with the Minnesota Public Utilities Commission, and copies have been served on the parties on the attached service list. Please contact me at (612) 330-6064 or <a href="mailto:bria.e.shea@xcelenergy.com">bria.e.shea@xcelenergy.com</a> if you have any questions regarding this filing.

Sincerely,

/s/ Bria Shea

Bria Shea Regional Vice President, Regulatory Policy

c: Service List

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> See Petition at 3.

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

In the Matter of the Answer in Opposition to Sue Madson's Petition for Reconsideration Freeborn Wind Energy Project

## **PUC Docket No. IP-6946/WS-17-410**

- I, Malinda Maier, hereby certify that on the September 8, 2022, I have efiled, on behalf of Xcel Energy, a true and correct copy of the following documents with the Minnesota Public Utilities Commission:
  - 1. Answer in Opposition to Sue Madison's Petition for Reconsideration; and
  - 2. Certificate of Service.

A copy has also been served in accordance with the service list of record in this docket.

Executed on: September 8, 2022 Signed: /s/ Malinda M. Maier

Fredrikson & Byron, P.A. 200 South Sixth Street Suite 4000 Minneapolis, MN 55402

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
Lisa	Agrimonti	lagrimonti@fredlaw.com	Fredrikson & Byron, P.A.	200 South Sixth Street Suite 4000 Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-410_Official Service list Site permit
Christina	Brusven	cbrusven@fredlaw.com	Fredrikson Byron	200 S 6th St Ste 4000  Minneapolis, MN 554021425	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-410_Official Service list Site permit
Generic Notice	Commerce Attorneys	commerce.attorneys@ag.st ate.mn.us	Office of the Attorney General-DOC	445 Minnesota Street Suite 1400 St. Paul, MN 55101	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-410_Official Service list Site permit
Richard	Davis	Richard.Davis@state.mn.u s	Department of Commerce	85 7th Place East Suite 500 Saint Paul, MN 55101	Electronic Service	Yes	OFF_SL_17-410_Official Service list Site permit
Bret	Eknes	bret.eknes@state.mn.us	Public Utilities Commission	Suite 350 121 7th Place East St. Paul, MN 551012147	Electronic Service	Yes	OFF_SL_17-410_Official Service list Site permit
Sharon	Ferguson	sharon.ferguson@state.mn .us	Department of Commerce	85 7th Place E Ste 280  Saint Paul, MN 551012198	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-410_Official Service list Site permit
Sean	Gaston	sean.p.gaston@gmail.com		11133 850th Ave Glenville, MN 56036	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-410_Official Service list Site permit
Dorenne	Hansen	dhansen078@gmail.com		12174 840 Avenue Glenville, MN 56036-4481	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-410_Official Service list Site permit
Matthew B	Harris	matt.b.harris@xcelenergy.c om	XCEL ENERGY	401 Nicollet Mall FL 8  Minneapolis, MN 55401	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-410_Official Service list Site permit
Michael	Kaluzniak	mike.kaluzniak@state.mn.u s	Public Utilities Commission	Suite 350 121 Seventh Place Ea St. Paul, MN 55101	Electronic Service st	Yes	OFF_SL_17-410_Official Service list Site permit

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
Rhonda	LaVelle	rlavelle@kaaltv.com	KAAL-TV	1320 Salem Rd SW Rochester, MN 55902	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-410_Official Service list Site permit
Dan	Litchfield	DLitchfield@invenergyllc.co m	Invenergy LLC	One S Wacker Dr Ste 1800  Chicago, IL 60606	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-410_Official Service list Site permit
Sue L	Madson	sue_madson@hotmail.com		14806 830th Ave Glenville, MN 56036	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-410_Official Service list Site permit
Gregory	Merz	gregory.merz@lathropgpm.com	Lathrop GPM LLP	80 S 8th St Ste 500 Minneapolis, MN 55402-5383	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-410_Official Service list Site permit
Allie	Olson	aa_olson@hotmail.com		12225 810th Ave Glenville, MN 56036	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-410_Official Service list Site permit
Carol A.	Overland	overland@legalectric.org	Legalectric - Overland Law Office	1110 West Avenue Red Wing, MN 55066	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-410_Official Service list Site permit
Generic Notice	Residential Utilities Division	residential.utilities@ag.stat e.mn.us	Office of the Attorney General-RUD	1400 BRM Tower 445 Minnesota St St. Paul, MN 551012131	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-410_Official Service list Site permit
Richard	Savelkoul	rsavelkoul@martinsquires.c om	Martin & Squires, P.A.	332 Minnesota Street Ste W2750 St. Paul, MN 55101	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-410_Official Service list Site permit
Christine	Schwartz	Regulatory.records@xcele nergy.com	Xcel Energy	414 Nicollet Mall FL 7  Minneapolis, MN 554011993	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-410_Official Service list Site permit

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
Will	Seuffert	Will.Seuffert@state.mn.us	Public Utilities Commission	121 7th PI E Ste 350  Saint Paul,  MN  55101	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-410_Official Service list Site permit
Janet	Shaddix Elling	jshaddix@janetshaddix.co m	Shaddix And Associates	7400 Lyndale Ave S Ste 190 Richfield, MN 55423	Electronic Service		OFF_SL_17-410_Official Service list Site permit