



## **Batch E-Filing for Docket 21-823**

1. Maurice Spangler
2. Sharon Natzel

**From:** [Wufoo](#)  
**To:** [Staff, CAO \(PUC\)](#)  
**Subject:** Submitted Public Comment Form  
**Date:** Monday, March 13, 2023 3:52:56 PM

---

**This message may be from an external email source.**

Do not select links or open attachments unless verified. Report all suspicious emails to Minnesota IT Services Security Operations Center.

Name *	Maurice Spangler
Address	<input type="checkbox"/> 15995 Freedom Drive Park Rapids, MN 56470 United States
Phone Number	(218) 252-9513
Email	<a href="mailto:mauricespangler@gmail.com">mauricespangler@gmail.com</a>
Provide the docket's number.	PL-9/CN-2-823
Leave a comment on the docket. *	Please be sure that when Enbridge's line 3 fails, or becomes a liability to Enbridge, that all costs of cleaning up and removing the line, and all costs of dealing with oil spills, or any other costs will be borne by Enbridge, even if Enbridge declares bankruptcy, and not by Minnesota taxpayers.

Sharon M Natzel  
13623 County 20  
Park Rapids, MN 56470

3-12-2023

Minnesota Public Utilities Commission  
121 7<sup>th</sup> Place East, Suite 350  
St. Paul, MN 55101

Subject: PUC Docket Number: PL-9/CN-21-823 Decommissioning Trust Fund for Enbridge Energy, Limited Partnership Line 3 Replacement Pipeline

Dear PUC Commission,

Below are my comments on the "Topic for Comment" in PL-9/CN-21-823 Decommissioning Trust Fund on "What action should the Commission take with respect to the Draft Decommissioning Trust Fund Agreement filed by Enbridge Energy, Limited Partnership on January 3, 2023?"

- 1) The Introduction mentions on page 3, the expected 50-year life of Line 3 project in Minnesota. It would be helpful then to also reference that the Line 3 is now called Line 93 as of the specific date in 2021 that it occurred in MN in the USA so that there is no confusion between Line 93 in Canada completed in 2019 and Line 93 in MN.
- 2) In A. Table 1: Summary of Trust Agreement Terms & Conditions, it lists The Governing law and situs – South Dakota 7.1. The governing law and situs should be the State of Minnesota as the fund builds throughout its 50-year life. South Dakota's laws are different from the State of Minnesota laws. A continuous task force in the State of South Dakota devoted to trust issues as mentioned in "2. Non-charitable purpose trust" on page 12 implies that there are potential issues that will arise in South Dakota.
- 3) WSP comments as referenced on page 14 may not be available as they are not listed in the docket 21-823 for the public.

Sincerely,  
Sharon M. Natzel