October 23, 2023

Mr. Will Seuffert Executive Secretary Minnesota Public Utilities Commission 121 7<sup>th</sup> Place East, Suite 350 St. Paul, MN 55101

> Re: In the Matter of Commission Review of Utility Performance Incentives for Energy Conservation MPUC Docket No. E,G-999/CI-08-133

Dear Mr. Seuffert:

The Office of the Attorney General – Residential Utilities Division ("OAG") respectfully submits these comments in support of the Minnesota Department of Commerce's ("Department") proposed changes to the shared-savings demand-side-management financial incentive mechanism ("shared-savings incentive") for 2024–2026. At a high level, the Department's proposed changes to the shared-savings incentive would do the following:

- 1. Adopt the new "Minnesota Test" cost-effectiveness test that the Department has begun using to evaluate utility conservation-improvement programs ("CIP");
- 2. Adopt the same 3.3 percent societal discount rate that the Department uses as part of the Minnesota Test to evaluate utility CIP;
- 3. Lower the cap on utilities' maximum share of net benefits (the "Net Benefits Cap") from 10 percent to 3.4 percent; and
- 4. Lower the cap that is based on a percentage of a utility's annual CIP spending (the "CIP Expenditures Cap") from 30 percent to 15 percent, or 20 percent for utilities that achieve energy savings of at least 2 percent of retail sales (electric utilities) or 1.2 percent of retail sales (gas utilities).

The Department's proposal satisfies the criteria for conservation incentives under Minn. Stat. § 216B.16, subd. 6c, and the Commission should adopt it. The proposal is in the public interest for at least three reasons. First, the Department's proposal aligns the shared-savings incentive with the Minnesota Test and the policy preferences embedded in it. 1 Second, the reduced

<sup>1</sup> See In the Matter of 2024-2026 CIP Cost-Effectiveness Methodologies for Electric and Gas Investor-Owned Utilities, Docket No. E,G-999/CIP-23-46, Deputy Commissioner's Decision at 89–90 (Mar. 31, 2023) (cataloguing impacts included in Minnesota Test, including societal impacts).

Mr. Will Seuffert October 23, 2023 Page 2

Net Benefits Cap and CIP Expenditures Cap will help ensure that utilities do not receive a windfall purely due to the impact of a new cost-effectiveness test. Third, the cap adjustments will bring utilities' incentives in line with (and generally slightly below) the incentives they have received in recent years. A modest reduction in incentives is reasonable because Minnesota's incentives are higher than those of other states with high-performing energy conservation programs, and past reductions to shared-savings incentives have not led to reduced performance by utilities.

First, it is reasonable to align the cost-effectiveness test and discount rate used in the shared-savings incentive with the primary cost-effectiveness test and discount rate that the Department uses in evaluating utilities' CIP. Doing so will help ensure that utilities do not receive mixed messages about what kinds of conservation improvements, demand response, and efficient fuel switching Minnesota regulators wish to prioritize.

Second, if the Commission agrees that it is appropriate to adopt the cost-effectiveness test the Department uses to evaluate CIP, the Net Benefits Cap and CIP Expenditures Cap will also need to be adjusted. There are at least two reasons for this. First, the Minnesota Test, unlike the "Utility Cost Test" previously used to determine net benefits, assigns a monetary benefit to greenhouse-gas emissions reductions, among other societal impacts. Second, the 3.3 percent societal discount rate used with the Minnesota Test is much lower than the utility-specific discount rates previously used with the Utility Cost Test. All else equal, these two changes would more than double the total amount of financial incentive awarded in a given year<sup>2</sup>—without requiring a utility to achieve any additional energy savings. It would be unreasonable to award utilities more money for no additional performance, and the Department rightfully proposes reductions to both the Net Benefits Cap and the CIP Expenditures Cap to avoid this result.

Finally, the Department's specific reductions to the Net Benefits Cap and CIP Expenditures Cap are reasonable. The existing Net Benefits Cap is 10 percent, while the existing CIP Expenditures Cap is 30 percent. The new cap levels selected by the Department are calibrated to bring utilities' incentives slightly below the incentives they earned under the previous iteration of the incentive mechanism, on an absolute and dollars-per-unit-of-energy-saved basis.<sup>3</sup> A 10 percent share of net benefits calculated under the Utility Cost Test is equivalent to about a 4 percent share of net benefits calculated under the Minnesota Test.<sup>4</sup> And an 8.5 percent share of Utility-Cost-Test net benefits is equivalent to about a 3.4 percent share of Minnesota-Test net benefits.<sup>5</sup> Thus, a 3.4 percent Net Benefits Cap, combined with adopting the Minnesota Test, should yield a modest reduction in shared-savings incentives.

A modest reduction in incentives is appropriate because Minnesota's incentives have historically been, and remain, higher than those awarded in states with similarly strong utility conservation programs.<sup>6</sup> The Commission has been gradually ratcheting down the shared-savings

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Department Comments at 21 (Sept. 1, 2023).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> See id. at 24 (stating that "[o]n an incentives per first-year unit of energy saved basis, the average incentives paid goes down from \$0.039 per first-year kWh saved in the 2020-2022 period to \$0.031 per first-year kWh saved in the 2024-2026 triennial period for electric utilities" and from \$4.215 to \$3.518 per dekatherm for gas utilities); id. at 25 fig.11, 26 fig.12 (comparing electric and gas utilities' average annual incentives in 2020–2022 to their predicted average annual incentives in 2024–2026).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> *Id*. at 22.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> *Id*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> See id. at 35–39 (comparing Minnesota's incentive to other states').

Mr. Will Seuffert October 23, 2023 Page 3

incentive since its inception, yet this has not negatively impacted utilities' performance. Therefore, the Department's proposal will ensure that the incentive continues to encourage utility investment in cost-effective energy conservation—and will protect ratepayers from overpaying for that investment.

For the foregoing reasons, the Commission should adopt the Department's proposed changes to the shared-savings incentive mechanism.

Sincerely,

/s/ Peter G. Scholtz

PETER G. SCHOLTZ Assistant Attorney General

(651) 757-1473 (Voice) (651) 296-9663 (Fax) peter.scholtz@ag.state.mn.us

<sup>7</sup> See id. at 6 fig.1, 11 fig.5 (showing electric and gas savings increasing over 2006–2022 at the same time as incentives decreased).

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE** 

Re: In the Matter of Commission Review of Utility Performance Incentives for

**Energy Conservation** 

MPUC Docket No. E,G-999/CI-08-133

I, JUDY SIGAL, hereby certify that on the 23rd day of October, 2023, I e-filed with

eDockets a Letter of the Minnesota Office of the Attorney General—Residential Utilities

Division and served a true and correct copy of the same upon all parties listed on the attached

service list by e-mail, electronic submission, and/or United States Mail with postage prepaid, and

deposited the same in a U.S. Post Office mail receptacle in the City of St. Paul, Minnesota.

/s/ Judy Sigal

JUDY SIGAL

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
Michael	Ahern	ahern.michael@dorsey.co	Dorsey & Whitney, LLP	50 S 6th St Ste 1500	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_8-133_Official
		E		Minneapolis, MN 554021498			
Anjali	Bains	bains@fresh-energy.org	Fresh Energy	408 Saint Peter Ste 220	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_8-133_Official
				Saint Paul, MN 55102			
Christina	Brusven	cbrusven@fredlaw.com	Fredrikson Byron	60 S 6th St Ste 1500	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_8-133_Official
				Minneapolis, MN 55402-4400			
Generic Notice	Commerce Attorneys	commerce.attorneys@ag.st ate.mn.us	Office of the Attorney General-DOC	445 Minnesota Street Suite 1400	Electronic Service	Yes	OFF_SL_8-133_Official
				St. Paul, MN 55101			
Stacy	Dahl	sdahl@minnkota.com	Minnkota Power	5301 32nd Ave S	Electronic Service	ON	OFF_SL_8-133_Official
			Cooperative, IIIc.	Grand Forks, ND 58201			
Justin	Fay	fay @fresh-energy.org	Fresh Energy	408 St. Peter St Ste 220	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_8-133_Official
				St. Paul, MN 55102			
Sharon	Ferguson	sharon.ferguson@state.mn Department of Commerce	Department of Commerce	85 7th Place E Ste 280	Electronic Service	Yes	OFF_SL_8-133_Official
		27.		Saint Paul, MN 551012198			
Edward	Garvey	garveyed@aol.com	Residence	32 Lawton St	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_8-133_Official
				Saint Paul, MN 55102			
Metric	Giles	metriccsp@gmail.com	Community Stabiliza ion Project	501 Dale St N	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_8-133_Official
			Social Control of the	Saint Paul, MN 55101			
Jenny	Glumack	jenny@mrea.org	Minnesota Rural Electric Association	11640 73rd Ave N	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_8-133_Official
				Maple Grove, MN 55369			

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
Laura	Goldberg	lgoldberg@nrdc.org	es Defense		Electronic Service	ON	OFF_SL_8-133_Official
				Chicago, IL 60606			
Jason	Grenier	jgrenier@otpco.com	Otter Tail Power Company	215 South Cascade Street	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_8-133_Official
				Fergus Falls, MN 56537			
Jeffrey	Haase	jhaase@grenergy.com	Great River Energy	12300 Elm Creek Blvd	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_8-133_Official
				Maple Grove, MN 55369			
Tiana	Heger	theger@mnpower.com	Minnesota Power	30 W. Superior Street	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_8-133_Official
				Duluth, MN 55802			
Joe	Hoffman	ja.hoffman@smmpa.org	SMMPA	500 First Ave SW	Electronic Service	ON	OFF_SL_8-133_Official
				Rochester, MN 55902-3303			
Tina	Koecher	tkoecher@mnpower.com	Minnesota Power	30 W Superior St	Electronic Service	ON	OFF_SL_8-133_Official
				Duluth, MN 558022093			
Martin	Kushler	mgkushler@aceee.org		28003 Copper Creek Lane	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_8-133_Official
				Farmington Hills, MI 48331			
Discovery	Manager	discoverymanager@mnpo	Minnesota Power	30 W Superior St	Electronic Service	ON	OFF_SL_8-133_Official
				Duluth, MN 55802			
Andrew	Moratzka	andrew.moratzka@stoel.co	Stoel Rives LLP	33 South Sixth St Ste 4200	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_8-133_Official
		:		Minneapolis, MN 55402			

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
Ted	Nedwick	tnedwick@nhtinc.org	National Housing Trust	1101 30th Street NW Ste 100A	Electronic Service	No.	OFF_SL_8-133_Official
				Washington, DC 20007			
Samantha	Norris	saman hanorris@alliantene Interstate Power and Light rgy.com Company	Interstate Power and Light Company	200 1st Street SE PO Box 351	Electronic Service	ON	OFF_SL_8-133_Official
				Cedar Rapids, IA 524060351			
Audrey	Partridge	apartridge@mncee.org	Center for Energy and	212 3rd Ave. N. Suite 560	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_8-133_Official
				Minneapolis, MN 55401			
James	Phillippo	james.phillippo@wecenerg	Minnesota Energy	PO Box 19001	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_8-133_Official
				Green Bay, WI 54307-9001			
Lisa	Pickard	Iseverson@minnkota.com	Minnkota Power	5301 32nd Ave S	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_8-133_Official
			Cooperative	Grand Forks, ND 58201			
Scott	Reimer	reimer@federatedrea.coop	Federated Rural Electric Assoc.	77100 US Highway 71 PO Box 69 Jackson, MN 56143	Electronic Service	No.	OFF_SL_8-133_Official
Generic Notice	Residential Utilities Division	Residential Utilities Division residential.utilities@ag.stat e.mn.us	Office of the Attorney General-RUD	1400 BRM Tower 445 Minnesota St St. Paul, MN 551012131	Electronic Service	Yes	OFF_SL_8-133_Official
Michael	Sachse	michael.sachse@opower.c om	OPOWER	1515 N. Courthouse Rd, 8th Floor	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_8-133_Official
				Arlington, VA 22201			
Bruce	Sayler	bruces@connexusenergy.c   Connexus Energy	Connexus Energy	14601 Ramsey Boulevard	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_8-133_Official
				Ransey, MN 55303			

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
Christine	Schwartz	Regulatory.records@xcele	Xcel Energy	414 Nicollet Mall FL 7	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_8-133_Official
				Minneapolis, MN 554011993			
Will	Seuffert	Will.Seuffert@state mn.us	Public Utilities Commission	121 7 h PI E Ste 350	Electronic Service	Yes	OFF_SL_8-133_Official
				Saint Paul, MN 55101			
Jeffrey	Springer	jeff.springer@dairylandpow	Dairyland Power	3200 East Ave S	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_8-133_Official
			Cooperative	La Crosse, WI 54601			
Grey	Staples	gstaples@mendotagroup.c	The Mendota Group LLC	1830 Fargo Lane	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_8-133_Official
				Mendota Heights, MN 55118			
Analeisha	Vang	avang@mnpower.com	Minnesota Power	30 W Superior St	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_8-133_Official
				Duluth, MN 558022093			
Robert	Walsh	bwalsh@mnvalleyrec.com	Minnesota Valley Coop Light and Power	PO Box 248 501 S 1st St Montevideo, MN 56265	Electronic Service	No.	OFF_SL_8-133_Official
Ethan	Warner	ethan.warner@centerpoint	CenterPoint Energy	505 Nicollet Mall	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_8-133_Official
		50.60		Minneapolis, MN 55402			