

MICHAEL J. AHERN (612) 340-2881 FAX (612) 340-2643 ahern.michael@dorsey.com

July 8, 2013

### **VIA ELECTRONIC FILING**

Burl W. Haar Executive Secretary Minnesota Public Utilities Commission 121 Seventh Place East, Suite 350 St. Paul, MN 55101

> Re: Minnesota Energy Resources Corporation's (MERC's) 2012 Annual Service Quality Report (Report) Docket No. G007, 011/M-13-355 Reply Comments

Dear Dr. Haar:

On June 27, 2013, the Department of Commerce, Division of Energy Resources filed Comments recommending that the Minnesota Public Utilities Commission (Commission) accept MERC's Report pending the provision of additional information in MERC's Reply Comments. The specific information the Department requested is provided in detail in the following pages.

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely yours,

/s/ Michael J. Ahern

Michael J. Ahern

#### STATE OF MINNESOTA

#### **BEFORE THE MINNESOTA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION**

Beverly Jones Heydinger J. Dennis O'Brien David C. Boyd Nancy Lange Betsy Wergin

Chair Commissioner Commissioner Commissioner

In the Matter of the Review of Minnesota Energy Resources Corporation's (MERC's) 2012 Annual Service Quality Report Docket No. G007, 011/M-13-355

#### **REPLY COMMENTS OF MINNESOTA ENERGY RESOURCES CORPORATION**

Minnesota Energy Resources Corporation (MERC) submits the attached Reply Comments in response to the June 27, 2013, Minnesota Department of Commerce, Division of Energy Resources (Department) Comments in this docket. In its Comments, the Department recommended that MERC provide additional information in its Reply Comments, specifically:

- A. an explanation for the large increase in meters not read for 6-12 months at the end of 2012;
- B. an explanation detailing why the average commercial installation time increased from 2011 to 2012 and why April's average commercial response time was significantly longer than other months in 2012;
- C. a full explanation of why meter adjustment and service quality complaints increased between 2011 and 2012. Specifically, MERC should address whether the increase in complaints, in particular service quality, resulted from additional changes in how the Company classifies complaints or whether those complaints are due to operational or other issues;
- D. a full explanation as to why the percentage of complaints resolved upon initial inquiry decreased from 2011 to 2012. Specifically, MERC should address whether the decrease

in complaints resolved upon initial inquiry was due changes in the way the Company processes disputes or whether the increase was due to some other issue;

- E. a copy of MERC's May 1, 2012 customer complaint report required by Minnesota Rule
  7820.0500
- F. a detailed explanation of each unusual service interruption, as defined in the Department's comments on the Company's 2011 Service Quality Report; including, what caused the service interruption and why the event impacted several customers or lasted for an extended period of time;
- G. further information regarding the residential explosion that occurred on September 15,
  2012 and updates on the status and findings of the investigation;
- H. an explanation detailing why monthly O&M expenses in October 2012 were noticeably different than the monthly average.

Below, MERC discusses the additional information requested by the Department.

### A. The large increase in meters not read for 6-12 months at the end of 2012

With the warmer than normal weather in 2012, MERC was able to perform the annual farm tap inspections earlier in the year, with the annual readings taken at the time of the inspection. Typically, these inspections are performed throughout the summer. With the readings being done earlier in the year, MERC experienced more accounts having 6-12 month reads. Farm tap accounts provide their own monthly readings with MERC being required to perform an annual read.

## B. Why the average commercial installation time increased from 2011 to 2012 and why April's average commercial response time was significantly longer than other months in 2012

In reviewing these applications, it appears several of these requests — while ready for service — decided to wait until there was not a winter construction charge. The date was not updated which resulted in the appearance of service extensions being delayed.

C. Why meter adjustment and service quality complaints increased between 2011 and 2012. Specifically, whether the increase in complaints, in particular service quality, resulted from additional changes in how the Company classifies complaints or whether those complaints are due to operational or other issues

MERC initiated a new customer complaint tracking system late in 2011. Prior to that

time, complaints to the Call Center were manually tracked and MERC felt not as accurate as a

more automated process. Now the customer service representative needs to complete a pop up

window before moving in the system. This consists of indicating whether the call is regarding a

complaint and if so, what type of complaint. There is also an automated follow up that requires

the customer service representative to provide the required reporting information. MERC does

not believe there were any more particular types of complaints in 2012, but rather more

accurate reporting.

## D. Why the percentage of complaints resolved upon initial inquiry decreased from 2011 to 2012. Specifically, whether the decrease in complaints resolved upon initial inquiry was due changes in the way the Company processes disputes or whether the increase was due to some other issue

As explained above, MERC believes the complaint reporting is now more accurate than

in the past and it would be difficult to compare with previous years.

## E. A copy of MERC's May 1, 2012 customer complaint report required by Minnesota Rule 7820.0500

A copy of MERC's May 1, 2012 customer complaint report is attached (Attachment A).

# F. Explanation of each unusual service interruption, as defined in the Department's comments on the Company's 2011 Service Quality Report; including, what caused the service interruption and why the event impacted several customers or lasted for an extended period of time

Attachment B to these reply comments provides additional information regarding the

twelve "unusual service interruptions" identified, including what caused the service interruption

(where known), and why the event impacted several customers or lasted for an extended period

of time.

## G. Further information regarding the residential explosion that occurred on September 15, 2012 and updates on the status and findings of the investigation

The investigation of the incident of September 15, 2012 at 213 Elm Street, Tracy, MN is not complete. Post-incident inspections and testing show that there were no natural gas leaks from jurisdictional piping or equipment.

## H. Why monthly O&M expenses in October 2012 were noticeably different than the monthly average

In September 2012, MERC booked the performance incentive payout to its third party billing and call center vendor, Vertex. This resulted in an accrual being booked in October which overstated the October O&M. There was a reversal done in November which resulted in that month's O&M being less than other months. When averaging October and November you will note the amounts are similar to the other months.

DATED this 8th day of July, 2013.

Respectfully submitted, DORSEY & WHITNEY LLP

<u>/s/ Michael J. Ahern</u> Michael J. Ahern 50 South Sixth Street Minneapolis, MN 55402 (612) 340-2881

Attorney for Minnesota Energy Resources Corporation

Attachment A

Attachment A



Minnesota Public Utilities Commission

**Consumer Affairs Office** 121 7th Place East #350 St. Paul, MN 55101-2147

Number

Unresolved

0

ANNUAL SUMMARY OF CUSTOMER COMPLAINTS For Year End 2012 Due May 1st Docket 377 In accordance with MINN. Reg. PSC 284

Name of Utility: Minnesota Energy Resources Address: 2665 145TH STREET WEST, ROSEMOUNT, MN Prepared By: Nancy Lilienthal Phone: 651-322-8902

Residential				Com	mercial/Indust	Interruptible			
Number	Number	Number		Number	Number	Number		Number	Number
Received	Resolved	Unresolved		Received	Resolved	Unresolved		Received	Resolved

I.	Co	mplaint Ty
	Α.	Service
	_	

B. Billing	
C. Rates	
D. Rules	
TOTAL COMPLAINTS	

plaint Type									
ervice	1040	1040		51	51				
illing	199	199		20	20				
ates	280	280		21	21				
ules	224	224		29	29				
COMPLAINTS	1743	1743	0	121	121	0	0	0	

	1	2	3
JAN	73	17	
FEB	181	15	
MAR	383	44	
APR	632	78	
MAY	1688	70	
JUNE	1536	71	
JULY	1477	30	
AUG	594	18	
SEPT	270	7	
OCT	169	16	
NOV	13	3	
DEC	13	8	
TOTAL	7,029	377	0

NUMBER OF DISCONNECTS

FOR NON-PAYMENT (By Month)

	Commercial/	
Residentia	al Industrial	Interruptible

II. A. Number of Disconnections for Nonpayment

B. Number of Escrow Forms Filed (per PSC Rule 302G)

III. A. Total Number of Customers (year end)

B. Number of Customer's Added During Year

7,029	377	0
0	0	0
191,448	21,331	461
965	-29	-47

1. Residential

2 Commercial/Industrial

3. Interruptible

Attachment B

Date	Address	Cause (System issue, MERC employee/contractor, or other)	Number of customers affected	Outage Duration	Cause of unusual service interruption; explanation of length and number of customers affected
1/7/2012	120 N Dugan Welcome	Other	1	1200	An individual hit a meter set with his car, causing damage and a leak. The service interruption occurred on a Saturday night. The business affected was closed over the weekend and a crew repaired it the next business day.
4/30/2012	310 Brown St Jackson	Other	1	1311	A third party-contractor was using a boring machine, pulling back pipe. Contactor did not verify the depth of the boring machine reamer and struck the underside of a service line, forcing the pipe upward and breaking the pipe at the threaded connection. The service interruption occurred at approximately 9pm. The area was made safe and a repair crew repaired the following day.
4/30/2012	50940 Miller Highway Hermantown	Other	100	540	On April 30, 2012 a contractor working on a sewer project hit a service tee on a 2 inch PE main breaking the service tee off the main and allowing gas to blow. The main was squeezed off upstream of the damage, resulting in an interruption of natural gas service to one hundred customers. After repairs were completed the main was placed back in-service and service restored to the impacted customers.
7/2/2012	111 Benjamin Jackson	Other	3	1140	An unknown service line was hit during third party contractor boring. The service line was not on maps and the meter inside the home had not been in service for years. The service interruption occurred at

## Attachment B—Unusual Service Interruptions

					approximately 4pm. The area was evacuated and made safe by 9pm and a repair crew restored service the following morning.
7/9/2012	418 Weaver St Welcome	Other	9	1020	A contractor was installing sewer and water north of a gas main and service tee. As the contractor was completing work for the day, he smelled gas and notified the fire department and MERC. It was discovered there was a leak from the service tee. The service interruption occurred around 7 pm. A construction crew made the area safe and completed repairs to the tee and services the next day.
7/12/2012	1156 River Rd Windom	Other	1	1200	A service line was pulled out because it was not supported during installation of a water line. The service interruption occurred at approximately 4pm. The area was made safe and repairs were made the next day.
7/24/2012	1208 River Rd Windom	Other	2	1020	A service line was pulled while a new water main was being installed. The root cause was determined to be a failure to hand dig while excavating the area. The service interruption occurred at approximately 4pm. The area was made safe and repairs were made the next day.
8/8/2012	1408 Pahama Ct Rochester	Other	14	240	Contractor severed dead end main resulting in service being lost to 14 customers.
8/24/2012	Golf Course and Horseshoe Rd Cloquet	Other	74	245	On August 21, 2012 a contractor working on a road rebuild project hit a 2 inch PE main. In order to safely repair the damage, the main was squeezed off. Seventy-four customers downstream of the squeeze

					point were impacted by a natural gas service interruption. Service to impacted customers was restored after repairs were completed on the damaged main.
9/8/2012	218 N Chatfield St Dover	Other	267	390	Contractor severed main feed serving Dover, requiring turning gas off to the town. The contractor was determined to be at fault.
9/14/2012	2nd St & 9th Ave Rochester	Other	19	45	Contractor severed dead end main resulting in service being lost to 19 customers.
9/27/2012	908 Milwaukee Lakefield	Other	1	1080	Operator for GM Contracting pulled the service line from the main while digging in sewer lines. Service interruption occurred at approximately 3pm. Temporary repairs were made on September 27 and service line and main repair was completed on September 28.

## AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE

STATE OF MINNESOTA ) ) ss COUNTY OF HENNEPIN )

Kristin M. Stastny hereby certifies that on the 8th day of July, 2013, on behalf of Minnesota Energy Resources Corporation (MERC) she electronically filed a true and correct copy of these Reply Comments on <u>www.edockets.state.mn.us</u>. Said documents were also served via U.S. mail and electronic service as designated on the attached service list.

<u>/s/ Kristin M. Stastny</u> Kristin M. Stastny

Subscribed and sworn to before me This 8th Day of July, 2013.

<u>/s/ Alice Jaworski</u> Notary Public, State of Minnesota

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
Michael	Ahern	ahern.michael@dorsey.co m	Dorsey & Whitney, LLP	50 S 6th St Ste 1500 Minneapolis, MN 554021498	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_13-355_M-13-35
Julia	Anderson	Julia.Anderson@ag.state.m n.us	Office of the Attorney General-DOC	1800 BRM Tower 445 Minnesota St St. Paul, MN 551012134	Electronic Service	Yes	OFF_SL_13-355_M-13-355
Michael	Bradley	bradleym@moss- barnett.com	Moss & Barnett	4800 Wells Fargo Ctr 90 S 7th St Minneapolis, MN 55402-4129	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_13-355_M-13-355
Sharon	Ferguson	sharon.ferguson@state.mn .us	Department of Commerce	85 7th Place E Ste 500 Saint Paul, MN 551012198	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_13-355_M-13-355
Daryli	Fuentes	N/A	USG	550 W. Adams Street Chicago, IL 60661	Paper Service	No	OFF_SL_13-355_M-13-355
Burl W.	Haar	burl.haar@state.mn.us	Public Utilities Commission	Suite 350 121 7th Place East St. Paul, MN 551012147	Electronic Service	Yes	OFF_SL_13-355_M-13-355
Richard	Haubensak	RICHARD.HAUBENSAK@ CONSTELLATION.COM	Constellation New Energy Gas	Suite 200 12120 Port Grace Boulevard La Vista, NE 68128	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_13-355_M-13-355
Amber	Lee	lee.amber@dorsey.com	Briggs and Morgan	2200 IDS Center 80 South 8th Street Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_13-355_M-13-355
John	Lindell	agorud.ecf@ag.state.mn.us	Office of the Attorney General-RUD	1400 BRM Tower 445 Minnesota St St. Paul, MN 551012130	Electronic Service	Yes	OFF_SL_13-355_M-13-355
Brian	Meloy	brian.meloy@leonard.com	Leonard, Street & Deinard	150 S 5th St Ste 2300 Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_13-355_M-13-355

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
Andrew	Moratzka	apmoratzka@stoel.com	Stoel Rives LLP	33 South Sixth Street Suite 4200 Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_13-355_M-13-355
Eric	Swanson	eswanson@winthrop.com	Winthrop Weinstine	225 S 6th St Ste 3500 Capella Tower Minneapolis, MN 554024629	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_13-355_M-13-355
Gregory	Walters	gjwalters@minnesotaenerg yresources.com	Minnesota Energy Resources Corporation	3460 Technology Dr. NW Rochester, MN 55901	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_13-355_M-13-355