

30 west superior street / duluth, minnesota 55802-2093 / fax: 218-723-3955/www.allete.com

Christopher D. Anderson Associate General Counsel 218-723-3961 e-mail canderson@allete.com

April 21, 2014

VIA ELECTRONIC FILING

Dr. Burl W. Haar Executive Secretary Minnesota Public Utilities Commission 121 7th Place East, Suite 350 St. Paul, MN 55101-2147

Re: In the Matter of Minnesota Power's 2013 Remaining Life Depreciation Petition Docket No. E015/D-13-275

Dear Dr. Haar:

On April 17, 2014, Commission Staff filed Briefing Papers, which on page 8 state:

Staff recommends the Commission ask the Company and the Department to clarify the agreement made between the two parties in Docket No. E-015/D-10-223 as the written record is limited in that case. On the one hand, the Company stated, "This plan will provide strategic context for developing the assertions and assumptions for the useful lives, decommissioning probabilities and salvage rates used in completing a meaningful study".

Minnesota Power is happy to clarify the context of that statement, which was made in our June 11, 2010 Responsive Comments in the 2010 Remaining Life Depreciation Petition (Docket No. E-015/D-10-223). The issue in that petition concerned the use of decommissioning probabilities, not decommissioning costs. Our response to the Department's (OES') comments were as follows:

Based upon OES' concerns, Minnesota Power agrees with OES' recommendation to revise the probability of decommissioning on Boswell Unit 4 from the 75% probability filed in the annual depreciation petition to the 50% OES recommends. Minnesota Power will use this decommissioning probability until such time as Minnesota Power develops or commissions a study to support its decommissioning probability assertions and makes such assertions and study available to the Minnesota Public Utilities Commission ("Commission") in a subsequent depreciation related filing.



Minnesota Power agrees with OES that the Company should perform a specific study to support the various assertions with respect to depreciation related items such as unit decommissioning probabilities. While OES recommends a date certain approach to this study, *Minnesota Power would propose completing such a study at a period of time subsequent to the submittal of the Company's next Integrated Resource Plan. This plan will provide a strategic context for developing the assertions and assumptions for the useful lives, decommissioning probabilities and salvage values used in completing a meaningful study. (Emphasis added).*

Accordingly, Minnesota Power did not have an agreement with the Department on obtaining an updated decommissioning study, or imply that one would be included in its next remaining life depreciation petition. This information was provided only in the context of decommissioning probabilities. Our comments proposed obtaining an updated study subsequent to our next Integrated Resource Plan – which would be our normal 5-year update. That next Integrated Resource Plan was the 2013 Plan. Therefore, the timing of the proposed study would be subsequent to the 2013 Integrated Resource Plan, and would coincide with our historical five year updated decommissioning study. This updated decommissioning study was filed with our 2014 remaining life depreciation petition.

The Commission order in the 2010 Remaining Life Depreciation Petition required Minnesota Power to "Conduct an external study for decommissioning to be submitted within 90 days of Minnesota Power's next integrated resource plan filing pursuant to Minn. Stat. Section 216B.2422". This was the 2011 Baseload Diversification Study Support filed by Minnesota Power in the Resource Plan docket.

Please contact me at the number above should you have any questions related to this matter.

Yours truly,

Christopher D. Anderson

kl

c: Service List

STATE OF MINNESOTA					
COUNTY OF ST. LOUIS)				

AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE VIA ELECTRONIC FILING

SS

Kristie Lindstrom of the City of Duluth, County of St. Louis, State of Minnesota, says that on the 21st day of April, 2014, she served Minnesota Power's Responsive Comments in Docket No. E015/D-13-275 to the Minnesota Public Utilities Commission and the Energy Resources Division of the Minnesota Department of Commerce via electronic filing. The remaining parties on the attached service list were served as so indicated on the list.

/s/ Kristie Lindstrom

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 21^{st} day of April, 2014.

/s/ Mary K Johnson

Notary Public - Minnesota My Commission Expires Jan. 31, 2016

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
Julia	Anderson		Office of the Attorney General-DOC	1800 BRM Tower 445 Minnesota St St. Paul, MN 551012134	Electronic Service	Yes	OFF_SL_13-275_Official
Debbra A	Davey	ddavey@allete.com	Minnesota Power	30 W Superior St Duluth, MN 55802	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_13-275_Official
Sharon	Ferguson	sharon.ferguson@state.mn .us	Department of Commerce	85 7th Place E Ste 500 Saint Paul, MN 551012198	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_13-275_Official
Burl W.	Haar	burl.haar@state.mn.us	Public Utilities Commission	Suite 350 121 7th Place East St. Paul, MN 551012147	Electronic Service	Yes	OFF_SL_13-275_Official
John	Lindell	agorud.ecf@ag.state.mn.us	Office of the Attorney General-RUD	1400 BRM Tower 445 Minnesota St St. Paul, MN 551012130	Electronic Service	Yes	OFF_SL_13-275_Official
David	Moeller	dmoeller@allete.com	Minnesota Power	30 W Superior St Duluth, MN 558022093	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_13-275_Official