

414 Nicollet Mall Minneapolis, MN 55401

November 14, 2014

-Via Electronic Filing-

Burl W. Haar Executive Secretary Minnesota Public Utilities Commission 121 7th Place East, Suite 350 St. Paul, Minnesota 55101

RE: SUPPLEMENTAL REPLY COMMENTS GAS UTILITY INFRASTRUCTURE COST RIDER DOCKET NO. G002/M-14-336

Dear Dr. Haar:

Northern States Power Company, doing business as Xcel Energy, submits Comments addressing the October 27, 2014 Reply Comments of the Office of the Attorney General-Antitrust and Utilities Division (OAG) regarding our August 1, 2014 Petition requesting approval of recovery of gas utility infrastructure costs (GUIC) through a GUIC Rider pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 216B.1635. In response to the OAG's Reply Comments, on November 7, 2014 the Department of Commerce, Division of Energy Resources (Department) submitted Comments offering further discussion of its review of our Petition to ensure the Commission has an accurate record. We appreciate the Department's continued recommendations that the Commission approve our Petition.

To clarify the record for the Commission, in these Comments, we respond to four points raised in the OAG's Reply Comments.

1. Recovery through the GUIC Rider

The OAG takes the position that the Company should not recover GUIC costs through a rider, but rather in a general rate case. While acknowledging that § 216B.1635 provides statutory authority for the Company to recover gas utility infrastructure costs through a rider, the OAG states that a rate case provides the best mechanism to protect ratepayers. The OAG states that a general rate case allows thorough discovery, an in-depth analysis, and consideration of the revenue requirements of all costs.

The OAG takes specific issue with the two deferred accounting Orders¹ and the corresponding costs associated with the Company's gas pipeline integrity management plans that we included in our Petition. The OAG argues that the Company is essentially seeking reconsideration of those two Orders rather than seeking recovery of the deferred costs in our next general rate case, and that such recovery "requires extensive disclosures for the purpose of determining whether the deferred costs are recoverable."²

Both the 2015 forecasted costs and the Commission approved deferred costs are appropriate for GUIC Rider recovery. We seek recovery of the deferred costs through a rider because the deferred costs are consistent with the eligibility requirements as set forth in the recently amended GUIC statute, § 216B.1635. The deferred costs relate to assessments, reassessments, and other work necessary to determine the need for replacement or modification of the gas infrastructure. Additionally, our Petition includes, and the Department reviewed in its investigation, all the information those Orders required submitted when the Company seeks recovery of the deferred costs.

The Company has provided extensive information regarding the deferred costs, as well as the forecasted 2015 costs. In both dockets in which the Commission granted deferred accounting, the Commission required that the Company file a summary of deferred costs 60 days in advance of a filing requesting recovery of these costs. The purpose of this requirement is to ensure sufficient time for review of the deferred costs in a later rate proceeding. Therefore, on April 24, 2014, the Company's deferred gas utility infrastructure costs. Also in the compliance filing, we stated our intention to file this Petition for approval to establish a Gas Utility Infrastructure Cost Rider and recover both 2015 forecast costs, as well as previously deferred costs. In our August 1, 2014 Petition, we provided substantial information regarding TIMP and DIMP project need and descriptions, forecasted 2015 costs, deferred costs, O&M costs, and the revenue requirements.

In addition to the Company's authority in statute to seek cost recovery through a rider mechanism, we note additional customer benefits that flow from exercising this authority. Were the Company to seek a rate increase through a general rate case, the request would include additional costs not reflected in our current Petition. This is because there are other costs which drive our revenue deficiency excluded from this rider Petition.

¹ Order Approving Deferred Accounting for Costs to Comply with Gas Pipeline Safety Programs, Docket No. G002/M-12-248 (Jan. 28, 2013); Order Granting Deferred Accounting Treatment, Docket No. G002/M-10-422 (Jan. 12, 2011).

² OAG Comments at p. 7.

Along with the information provided in our April 24, 2014 pre-filing and August 1, 2014 GUIC Petition, the Company also issued a press release discussing the request, including project details, proposed 2015 cost recovery level, and residential customer bill impacts.

The Department's November 7 Comments in Response to the OAG's Reply Comments further detail the in-depth review and analysis that the Department conducted. We appreciate the Department's substantive and thorough investigation and ultimate approval recommendation.

2. Previous GUIC Statute

The OAG questions why the Company did not seek recovery of the deferred costs under the previous initially enacted 2005 GUIC Statute at the time we requested deferred accounting. The 2005 version of the GUIC statute did not provide for recovery of the gas infrastructure costs previously deferred and currently being sought in this Petition. Rather, the previous statute allowed for recovery of costs related to the relocation of natural gas facilities, while the recently amended language allows recovery of the modification and replacement of infrastructure, and also assessments, reassessments, and other work necessary to determine the need for replacement or modification of the gas infrastructure. Therefore, the 2005 version of the GUIC statute did not provide for recovery of the costs included in the deferral Orders.

3. Cost of Equity

The Company does not support the OAG's recommendation regarding the return on equity. The Company's actual weather normalized returns filed in each jurisdictional annual report since our last gas rate case demonstrate that we have not over earned the authorized return established in the Company's last case.

As stated in our October 23, 2014 Reply Comments, the Company continues to support use of a 7.56 percent weighted average cost of capital (WACC). The debt rates used in the Company's figure are supported in our current electric rate case, and the Department agrees that the WACC is reasonable.

4. Rate Design

The OAG recommends allocating the GUIC Rider costs to class based on the class apportionment of revenues from the Company's last gas rate case, instead of the Class Cost of Service Study (CCOSS) based rate base allocator that the Company recommends. As explained in the Company's Petition, the rate base allocator is most appropriate because it closely resembles how these costs would be assigned to class as part of base rates in a natural gas rate case. The Company does not have a CCOSS at the ordered level of revenue, but as shown in the following table, there is very little difference between the proposed and final level of rate base.

Base Docket No. G002/GR-09-1153					
Proposed (\$000)	440,597				
Approved (\$000)	438,315				
Difference (\$000)	-2,282				
	-0.5%				

In response to the OAG, the Company has also prepared an allocation for comparison purposes based on the overall base revenue apportionment, which is how the Company has allocated interim increases in gas rate cases. The table below shows the class allocations for the Company's rate base allocation method as proposed, as well as the overall base revenue apportionment. The average monthly bill impact for a typical residential customer is similar in both cases, as shown at the bottom of the table.

Class Apportionment							
		e Allocation ny Proposal)	Base Revenue Apportionment				
	0⁄0	Millions of \$	0⁄0	Millions of \$			
Residential	72.9%	\$10.876	67.1%	\$10.047			
Commercial Firm	16.4%	\$2.450	21.3%	\$3.177			
Comm Dmd Billed	1.0%	\$0.151	2.1%	\$0.314			
Interruptible	3.2%	\$0.471	5.7%	\$0.845			
Transportation	6.7%	\$0.997	3.8%	\$0.562			
Total	100.0%	\$14.945	100.0%	\$14.945			
Typical Residential Bill Impact	3%	\$2.22	3%	\$2.05			

In conclusion, we clarify that the Company is authorized through the recently amended GUIC statute to seek recovery of gas infrastructure costs through a rate rider, and we note that the Company has provided sufficient information on its deferred and forecasted costs on which the Commission can make its determination. We note that we support the Department's suggested WACC rate of 7.56 percent, but we do not support the OAG's recommendation of a lower rate of return on equity. Finally, we note that the Company's proposed rider rate design closely resembles the cost allocations that would be used in a natural gas rate case, and is therefore appropriate for the proposed rider.

We have electronically filed this document with the Commission, and copies have been served on the parties on the attached service list. Please contact me at (612) 330-6613 or <u>amy.a.liberkowski@xcelenergy.com</u> if you have any questions regarding this matter.

SINCERELY,

/s/

Amy A. Liberkowski Manager, Regulatory Analysis

c: Service List

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Tiffany R. Hughes, hereby certify that I have this day served copies or summaries of the foregoing document on the attached list(s) of persons.

- xx by depositing a true and correct copy thereof, properly enveloped with postage paid in the United States Mail at Minneapolis, Minnesota
- xx electronic filing

Docket No. G002/M-14-336

Dated this 14th day of November 2014

/s/

Tiffany R. Hughes Records Analyst

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
Christopher	Anderson	canderson@allete.com	Minnesota Power	30 W Superior St Duluth, MN 558022191	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_14-336_M-14-336
Julia	Anderson	Julia.Anderson@ag.state.m n.us	Office of the Attorney General-DOC	1800 BRM Tower 445 Minnesota St St. Paul, MN 551012134	Electronic Service	Yes	OFF_SL_14-336_M-14-336
Alison C	Archer	alison.c.archer@xcelenerg y.com	Xcel Energy	414 Nicollet Mall FL 5 Minneapolis, MN 55401	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_14-336_M-14-336
Gail	Baranko	gail.baranko@xcelenergy.c om	Xcel Energy	414 Nicollet Mall7th Floor Minneapolis, MN 55401	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_14-336_M-14-336
William A.	Blazar	bblazar@mnchamber.com	Minnesota Chamber Of Commerce	Suite 1500 400 Robert Street Nor St. Paul, MN 55101	Electronic Service th	No	OFF_SL_14-336_M-14-336
Michael	Bradley	mike.bradley@lawmoss.co m	Moss & Barnett	150 S. 5th Street, #1200 Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_14-336_M-14-336
George	Crocker	gwillc@nawo.org	North American Water Office	PO Box 174 Lake Elmo, MN 55042	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_14-336_M-14-336
Jeffrey A.	Daugherty	jeffrey.daugherty@centerp ointenergy.com	CenterPoint Energy	800 LaSalle Ave Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_14-336_M-14-336
lan	Dobson	ian.dobson@ag.state.mn.u s	Office of the Attorney General-RUD	Antitrust and Utilities Division 445 Minnesota Street, BRM Tower St. Paul, MN 55101	Electronic Service 1400	No	OFF_SL_14-336_M-14-336
Rebecca	Eilers	rebecca.d.eilers@xcelener gy.com	Xcel Energy	414 Nicollet Mall, 7th Floor Minneapolis, MN 55401	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_14-336_M-14-336

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
Sharon	Ferguson	sharon.ferguson@state.mn .us	Department of Commerce	85 7th Place E Ste 500 Saint Paul, MN 551012198	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_14-336_M-14-336
Edward	Garvey	garveyed@aol.com	Residence	32 Lawton St Saint Paul, MN 55102	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_14-336_M-14-336
Benjamin	Gerber	bgerber@mnchamber.com	Minnesota Chamber of Commerce	400 Robert Street North Suite 1500 St. Paul, Minnesota 55101	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_14-336_M-14-336
Elizabeth	Goodpaster	bgoodpaster@mncenter.or g	MN Center for Environmental Advocacy	Suite 206 26 East Exchange Str St. Paul, MN 551011667	Electronic Service eet	No	OFF_SL_14-336_M-14-336
Todd J.	Guerrero	todd.guerrero@kutakrock.c om	Kutak Rock LLP	Suite 1750 220 South Sixth Stree Minneapolis, MN 554021425	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_14-336_M-14-336
Burl W.	Haar	burl.haar@state.mn.us	Public Utilities Commission	Suite 350 121 7th Place East St. Paul, MN 551012147	Electronic Service	Yes	OFF_SL_14-336_M-14-336
Annete	Henkel	mui@mnutilityinvestors.org	Minnesota Utility Investors	413 Wacouta Street #230 St.Paul, MN 55101	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_14-336_M-14-336
Sandra	Hofstetter	N/A	MN Chamber of Commerce	7261 County Road H Fremont, WI 54940-9317	Paper Service	No	OFF_SL_14-336_M-14-336
Michael	Норре	il23@mtn.org	Local Union 23, I.B.E.W.	932 Payne Avenue St. Paul, MN 55130	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_14-336_M-14-336
Eric	Jensen	ejensen@iwla.org	Izaak Walton League of America	Suite 202 1619 Dayton Avenue St. Paul, MN 55104	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_14-336_M-14-336

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
Richard	Johnson	Rick.Johnson@lawmoss.co m	Moss & Barnett	150 S. 5th Street Suite 1200 Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_14-336_M-14-336
Michael	Krikava	mkrikava@briggs.com	Briggs And Morgan, P.A.	2200 IDS Center 80 S 8th St Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_14-336_M-14-336
Amy	Liberkowski	amy.a.liberkowski@xcelen ergy.com	Xcel Energy	414 Nicollet Mall 7th Floor Minneapolis, MN 554011993	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_14-336_M-14-336
John	Lindell	agorud.ecf@ag.state.mn.us	Office of the Attorney General-RUD	1400 BRM Tower 445 Minnesota St St. Paul, MN 551012130	Electronic Service	Yes	OFF_SL_14-336_M-14-336
Eric	Lipman	eric.lipman@state.mn.us	Office of Administrative Hearings	PO Box 64620 St. Paul, MN 551640620	Electronic Service	Yes	OFF_SL_14-336_M-14-336
Matthew P	Loftus	matthew.p.loftus@xcelener gy.com	Xcel Energy	414 Nicollet Mall FL 5 Minneapolis, MN 55401	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_14-336_M-14-336
Pam	Marshall	pam@energycents.org	Energy CENTS Coalition	823 7th St E St. Paul, MN 55106	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_14-336_M-14-336
Mary	Martinka	mary.a.martinka@xcelener gy.com	Xcel Energy Inc	414 Nicollet Mall 7th Floor Minneapolis, MN 55401	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_14-336_M-14-336
David	Moeller	dmoeller@allete.com	Minnesota Power	30 W Superior St Duluth, MN 558022093	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_14-336_M-14-336
Andrew	Moratzka	apmoratzka@stoel.com	Stoel Rives LLP	33 South Sixth Street Suite 4200 Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_14-336_M-14-336

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
David W.	Niles	david.niles@avantenergy.c om	Minnesota Municipal Power Agency	Suite 300 200 South Sixth Stree Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_14-336_M-14-336
Richard	Savelkoul	rsavelkoul@martinsquires.c om	Martin & Squires, P.A.	332 Minnesota Street Ste W2750 St. Paul, MN 55101	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_14-336_M-14-336
James M.	Strommen	jstrommen@kennedy- graven.com	Kennedy & Graven, Chartered	470 U.S. Bank Plaza 200 South Sixth Stree Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_14-336_M-14-336
SaGonna	Thompson	Regulatory.Records@xcele nergy.com	Xcel Energy	414 Nicollet Mall FL 7 Minneapolis, MN 554011993	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_14-336_M-14-336