Sibley Wind Project

Sibley County, MN

Comment on Minnesota Public Utilities Commission

IP-6666/WS-08-208

This is in response to the open comment period on the wind project under construction in Sibley County MN. I believe the project is not in violation of the State permit. The project started construction in Oct. of 2013 and then was delayed by severe weather which stopped construction that fall. Throughout the winter and early spring the Opposition group voiced concerns that we hadn't done an avian study and the project was going to kill Eagles. The project management in discussions with the PUC decided to do a spring and Fall Avian study even though it wasn't required in the State permit. This study has just been finished and will have the final published results in Dec. 2014. With the study completed, we can now go back to completing the construction of the project and finally see it commissioned in 2015..

I would like to know why the Opposition group never voiced any complaints during the two public meetings and review periods when the State Permit was granted and just started their vendetta opposing the project after shareholders and Wesco Wind have spent millions of dollars in development and transmission upgrades?

This project will bring in \$75,000 to \$90,000 dollars in production tax revenue to the County and Township for as long as the project is producing power. It will employ at least 3 full time technicians and several dozen construction workers during the construction period. Not to mention all the supplies, concrete and gravel purchased locally.

I would urge the Commission to continue to support this clean, cheap, renewable source of electrical energy for the region.

Thanks. and Cell

Wayne Hesse – President

Southwest Wind Consulting LLC.

1756 County Hwy. 7 – Tyler, MN. 56178

Sibley Wind Project

Sibley County, MN

Comment on Minnesota Public Utilities Commission

IP-6666/WS-08-208

Dear honorable commissioners;

This letter is in response to the open comment period on the 20 MW Sibley Wind Substation wind project under construction in Sibley County MN. I believe the project is not in violation of the State permit. The project started construction in Oct. of 2013 and then was delayed by severe weather which stopped construction that fall. We have opinions from both Foley Lardner, a major Renewable law firm and Deloitte (accounting/advisory firm) that the project has met all conditions under the IRS statute on continuous construction. The Opposition group had voiced concerns that we hadn't done an Avian study and the project was going to kill Eagles; to show a good faith gesture, along with the state it was determined that the avian study needed to do this Avian study. The project then engaged Westwood, a Minnesota Environmental Engineering firm to complete a spring and fall Avian study and that we would hold up on the concrete construction until the results were known even though it wasn't required in the State permit to do this Avian study. This study has just been finished and will have the final published results the first of December 2014 from Westwood.

WESCO Wind has spent millions of dollars to get the project built and we have in place the plan to complete the construction in 2015. These dollars spent were because of the state permit and to have it jeopardized now after willingly going through the Avian study would be a travesty.

In addition, this project will bring in \$75,000 to \$90,000 dollars in production tax revenue to the County and Township for as long as the project is producing power. It will employ at least 3 full time technicians and several dozen construction workers during the construction period. Not to mention all the construction supplies, concrete and gravel purchased locally.

I respectfully ask the Commission to continue to support this clean, cheap, renewable source of electrical energy for the local community that will benefit from this power.

Thanks,

Steven G. Estes

President

WESCO Wind

Comment on Minnesota Public Utilities Commission IP-6666/WS- 08-208

This is in response to the Open Comment Period on the wind project in that is under construction in the County. I (we) believe that the project State permit should stay in place as the project had a timely start as verified by the Opposition in their responses to the MPUC. The Developer has been slowed by severe weather and then by deciding to do an avian study that was not required of them, but the Opposition asked for. I (we) feel that the Developer has answered all questions raised and should be allowed to complete the avian study. Then at that point continue construction. The project will help control the cost of electrical power in the community, create jobs and be very beneficial for the area.

Steven M Hesse 2801 1404 St Tyler MN 56178

11/30/2014

two My Have

Comment on Minnesota Public Utilities Commission IP-66666/WS- 08-208

This is in response to the Open Comment Period on the wind project in that is under construction in the County. I (we) believe that the project State permit should stay in place as the project had a timely start as verified by the Opposition in their responses to the MPUC. The Developer has been slowed by severe weather and then by deciding to do an avian study that was not required of them, but the Opposition asked for. I (we) feel that the Developer has answered all questions raised and should be allowed to complete the avian study. Then at that point continue construction. The project will help control the cost of electrical power in the community, create jobs and be very beneficial for the area.

Mark A. Hesse 28928 530 th Ave. Mt. Lake, MN. 56159 Mark a. Hesse 11/30/2014

Comment on Minnesota Public Utilities Commission IP-6666/WS- 08-208

This is in response to the Open Comment Period on the wind project in that is under construction in the County. I (we) believe that the project State permit should stay in place as the project had a timely start as verified by the Opposition in their responses to the MPUC. The Developer has been slowed by severe weather and then by deciding to do an avian study that was not required of them, but the Opposition asked for. I (we) feel that the Developer has answered all questions raised and should be allowed to complete the avian study. Then at that point continue construction. The project will help control the cost of electrical power in the community, create jobs and be very beneficial for the area.

Dallas St Francin 546 S. Tylers St Tyler ma 52178

Comment on Minnesota Public Utilities Commission IP-6666/WS- 08-208

This is in response to the Open Comment Period on the wind project in that is under construction in the County. I (we) believe that the project State permit should stay in place as the project had a timely start as verified by the Opposition in their responses to the MPUC. The Developer has been slowed by severe weather and then by deciding to do an avian study that was not required of them, but the Opposition asked for. I (we) feel that the Developer has answered all questions raised and should be allowed to complete the avian study. Then at that point continue construction. The project will help control the cost of electrical power in the community, create jobs and be very beneficial for the area.

Linda Hesse 28928 530 Aue, Mountain Lake, MN Stol59 Sunda A: Hesse 11-30-14

Comment on Minnesota Public Utilities Commission IP-6666/WS- 08-208

Kayla Vos 360 willow Street

THER MN SOME

Comment on Minnesota Public Utilities Commission IP-6666/WS- 08-208

This is in response to the Open Comment Period on the wind project in that is under construction in the County. I (we) believe that the project State permit should stay in place as the project had a timely start as verified by the Opposition in their responses to the MPUC. The Developer has been slowed by severe weather and then by deciding to do an avian study that was not required of them, but the Opposition asked for. I (we) feel that the Developer has answered all questions raised and should be allowed to complete the avian study. Then at that point continue construction. The project will help control the cost of electrical power in the community, create jobs and be very beneficial for the area.

Mindi Eve Hesse 250101 390th Ave Lamberton, Mn. SLOIS? Mindi Hesso 11-30-14

Comment on Minnesota Public Utilities Commission IP-6666/WS- 08-208

This is in response to the Open Comment Period on the wind project in that is under construction in the County. I (we) believe that the project State permit should stay in place as the project had a timely start as verified by the Opposition in their responses to the MPUC. The Developer has been slowed by severe weather and then by deciding to do an avian study that was not required of them, but the Opposition asked for. I (we) feel that the Developer has answered all questions raised and should be allowed to complete the avian study. Then at that point continue construction. The project will help control the cost of electrical power in the community, create jobs and be very beneficial for the area.

Mathin Hesse 313 W. Blue St. Comfrey, MN. 56019

Comment on Minnesota Public Utilities Commission IP-6666/WS- 08-208

This is in response to the Open Comment Period on the wind project in that is under construction in the County. I (we) believe that the project State permit should stay in place as the project had a timely start as verified by the Opposition in their responses to the MPUC. The Developer has been slowed by severe weather and then by deciding to do an avian study that was not required of them, but the Opposition asked for. I (we) feel that the Developer has answered all questions raised and should be allowed to complete the avian study. Then at that point continue construction. The project will help control the cost of electrical power in the community, create jobs and be very beneficial for the area.

Daniel Alan Hesse 2506 1 390th Ave Lamberton, MN 56152

11-29-14

Comment on Minnesota Public Utilities Commission IP-6666/WS- 08-208

This is in response to the Open Comment Period on the wind project in that is under construction in the County. I (we) believe that the project State permit should stay in place as the project had a timely start as verified by the Opposition in their responses to the MPUC. The Developer has been slowed by severe weather and then by deciding to do an avian study that was not required of them, but the Opposition asked for. I (we) feel that the Developer has answered all questions raised and should be allowed to complete the avian study. Then at that point continue construction. The project will help control the cost of electrical power in the community, create iobs and be very beneficial for the area.

Sut Twice

Scott Lawrine Sol & Tylin St Tylin MN Sol18

Comment on Minnesota Public Utilities Commission IP-6666/WS- 08-208

This is in response to the Open Comment Period on the wind project in that is under construction in the County. I (we) believe that the project State permit should stay in place as the project had a timely start as verified by the Opposition in their responses to the MPUC. The Developer has been slowed by severe weather and then by deciding to do an avian study that was not required of them, but the Opposition asked for. I (we) feel that the Developer has answered all questions raised and should be allowed to complete the avian study. Then at that point continue construction. The project will help control the cost of electrical power in the community, create jobs and be very beneficial for the area.

* Kristopher Vos 360 Willow St. Tyler MM Water

Comment on Minnesota Public Utilities Commission IP-6666/WS-08-208

This is in response to the Open Comment Period on the wind project in that is under construction in the County. I (we) believe that the project State permit should stay in place as the project had a timely start as verified by the Opposition in their responses to the MPUC. The Developer has been slowed by severe weather and then by deciding to do an avian study that was not required of them, but the Opposition asked for. I (we) feel that the Developer has answered all questions raised and should be allowed to complete the avian study. Then at that point continue construction. The project will help control the cost of electrical power in the community, create jobs and be very beneficial for the area.

Anna Hesse 2801 140th St Tyler, MN 576178 11-30-14

Comment on Minnesota Public Utilities Commission IP-6666/WS-08-208

I (we) are responding to the Open Comment Period as to whether the Developer of the wind project has conformed to the 'continuous construction' provision of the State permit. It is my (our) understanding that the Developer has a valid Road Agreement in place with Sibley County. The Developer has asked and has been granted by the county an extension on some aspects of the county Agreement due to the severe weather conditions and performing an avian study. This Avian study was completed in order to satisfy the demands of the "opposition group"; which is just now being completed. I (we) believe the county Board has studied and understands the issue. I (we) agree with the county Board and based on that fact feel the MPUC permit should stay in place.

James Cart 56524 330# St. LaFayette Mr. 56054 507-276-3180

Comment on Minnesota Public Utilities Commission IP-6666/WS- 08-208

I (we) are responding to the Open Comment Period as to whether the Developer of the wind project has conformed to the 'continuous construction' provision of the State permit. It is my (our) understanding that the Developer has a valid Road Agreement in place with Sibley County. The Developer has asked and has been granted by the county an extension on some aspects of the county Agreement due to the severe weather conditions and performing an avian study. This Avian study was completed in order to satisfy the demands of the "opposition group"; which is just now being completed. I (we) believe the county Board has studied and understands the issue. I (we) agree with the county Board and based on that fact feel the MPUC permit should stay in place.

ZZMA Street MIL 56054 7-276-7817

Comment on Minnesota Public Utilities Commission IP-6666/WS-08-208

I (we) are responding to the Open Comment Period as to whether the Developer of the wind project has conformed to the 'continuous construction' provision of the State permit. It is my (our) understanding that the Developer has a valid Road Agreement in place with Sibley County. The Developer has asked and has been granted by the county an extension on some aspects of the county Agreement due to the severe weather conditions and performing an avian study. This Avian study was completed in order to satisfy the demands of the "opposition group"; which is just now being completed. I (we) believe the county Board has studied and understands the issue. I (we) agree with the county Board and based on that fact feel the MPUC permit should stay in place.

Brail believel 11-30-14 Saylored

Comment on Minnesota Public Utilities Commission IP-6666/WS- 08-208

I (we) are responding to the Open Comment Period as to whether the Developer of the wind project has conformed to the 'continuous construction' provision of the State permit. It is my (our) understanding that the Developer has a valid Road Agreement in place with Sibley County. The Developer has asked and has been granted by the county an extension on some aspects of the county Agreement due to the severe weather conditions and performing an avian study. This Avian study was completed in order to satisfy the demands of the "opposition group"; which is just now being completed. I (we) believe the county Board has studied and understands the issue. I (we) agree with the county Board and based on that fact feel the MPUC permit should stay in place.

11:29 2014 10 Bulan 59856 226thSt, Orne 11 Streeter Balac Gibbon MN 55335

Comment on Minnesota Public Utilities Commission IP-6666/WS- 08-208

I (we) are responding to the Open Comment Period as to whether the Developer of the wind project has conformed to the 'continuous construction' provision of the State permit. It is my (our) understanding that the Developer has a valid Road Agreement in place with Sibley County. The Developer has asked and has been granted by the county an extension on some aspects of the county Agreement due to the severe weather conditions and performing an avian study. This Avian study was completed in order to satisfy the demands of the "opposition group"; which is just now being completed. I (we) believe the county Board has studied and understands the issue. I (we) agree with the county Board and based on that fact feel the MPUC permit should stay in place.

Renal Shuavened PO Box 317 Gibbon MN 55335

Sibley County, MN

Comment on Minnesota Public Utilities Commission IP-6666/WS- 08-208

(Mos Schwalzlock 11-29-14 785 Burd Gal Seffor, Mm. 55335

Comment on Minnesota Public Utilities Commission IP-6666/WS-08-208

(we) are responding to the Open Comment Period as to whether the Developer of the wind project has conformed to the 'continuous construction' provision of the State permit. It is my (our) understanding that the Developer has a valid Road Agreement in place with Sibley County. The Developer has asked and has been granted by the county an extension on some aspects of the county Agreement due to the severe weather conditions and performing an avian study. This Avian study was completed in order to satisfy the demands of the "opposition group"; which is just now being completed. I (we) believe the county Board has studied and understands the issue. I (we) agree with the county Board and based on that fact feel the MPUC permit should stay in place.

Roburg Man 11-29-14 P.O. Box 117 Gibbon Ma. 55325

Sibley County, MN

Comment on Minnesota Public Utilities Commission (P-6666/WS- 08-208

Dreg burger 11-29-14 67739 520th St. FX. SILA 55333 Sibley Cty.

Comment on Minnesota Public Utilities Commission IP-6666/WS-08-208

I (we) are responding to the Open Comment Period as to whether the Developer of the wind project has conformed to the 'continuous construction' provision of the State permit. It is my (our) understanding that the Developer has a valid Road Agreement in place with Sibley County. The Developer has asked and has been granted by the county an extension on some aspects of the county Agreement due to the severe weather conditions and performing an avian study. This Avian study was completed in order to satisfy the demands of the "opposition group"; which is just now being completed. I (we) believe the county Board has studied and understands the issue. I (we) agree with the county Board and based on that fact feel the MPUC permit should stay in place.

Duane Grams 11-29-14 719 Birch Ave Giobow Mr.

Sibley County, MN

Comment on Minnesota Public Utilities Commission IP-6666/WS- 08-208

Jemy Schungwick 11-29-14 665 & Bradkey Ave Gibbon, Mr. 55335

Sibley County, MN

Comment on Minnesota Public Utilities Commission IP-6666/WŞ- 08-208

11-29-14

1007 Ely Ave Gibbon Mr 53335 507-276-3190

Sibley County, MN

Comment on Minnesota Public Utilities Commission IP-6666/WS- 08-208

7-7-10-12

Sibley County, MN

Comment on Minnesota Public Utilities Commission IP-6666/WS- 08-208

This is in response to the Open Comment Period on the wind project in that is under construction in the County. I (we) believe that the project State permit should stay in place as the project had a timely start as verified by the Opposition in their responses to the MPUC. The Developer has been slowed by severe weather and then by deciding to do an avian study that was not required of them, but the Opposition asked for. I (we) feel that the Developer has answered all questions raised and should be allowed to complete the avian study. Then at that point continue construction. The project will help control the cost of electrical power in the community, create jobs and be very beneficial for the area.

11/29/14 Wanter Acar

59293 226 " ST - GIBBON, MN 55335

Sibley County, MN

Comment on Minnesota Public Utilities Commission IP-6666/WS-08-208

67275 520th St. Far Fat MN 11-29-19 55332

Sibley County, MN

Comment on Minnesota Public Utilities Commission IP-6666/WS- 08-208

This is in response to the Open Comment Period on the wind project in that is under construction in the County. I (we) believe that the project State permit should stay in place as the project had a timely start as verified by the Opposition in their responses to the MPUC. The Developer has been slowed by severe weather and then by deciding to do an avian study that was not required of them, but the Opposition asked for. I (we) feel that the Developer has answered all questions raised and should be allowed to complete the avian study. Then at that point continue construction. The project will help control the cost of electrical power in the community, create jobs and be very beneficial for the area.

1/29/14 amande Melle 59293 226# St. Gibbon MN 55335

Comment on Minnesota Public Utilities Commission IP-6666/WS- 08-208

I (we) are responding to the Open Comment Period as to whether the Developer of the wind project has conformed to the 'continuous construction' provision of the State permit. It is my (our) understanding that the Developer has a valid Road Agreement in place with Sibley County. The Developer has asked and has been granted by the county an extension on some aspects of the county Agreement due to the severe weather conditions and performing an avian study. This Avian study was completed in order to satisfy the demands of the "opposition group"; which is just now being completed. I (we) believe the county Board has studied and understands the issue. I (we) agree with the county Board and based on that fact feel the MPUC permit should stay in place.

Jen Bar 67275 520 St Fairfox Mor 5332 11-29-19

_۔

No. 7220

Sibley County, MN

Comment on Minnesota Public Utilities Commission IP-6666/WS- 08-208

Parl Schyerk 11-29-2014

252 E 12th 5t. Gibbon, MN 55335

Comment on Minnesota Public Utilities Commission IP-6666/WS- 08-208

I (we) are responding to the Open Comment Period as to whether the Developer of the wind project has conformed to the 'continuous construction' provision of the State permit. It is my (our) understanding that the Developer has a valid Road Agreement in place with Sibley County. The Developer has asked and has been granted by the county an extension on some aspects of the county Agreement due to the severe weather conditions and performing an avian study. This Avian study was completed in order to satisfy the demands of the "opposition group"; which is just now being completed. I (we) believe the county Board has studied and understands the issue. I (we) agree with the county Board and based on that fact feel the MPUC permit should stay in place.

Mary Austal 11-30-14 665 1/2 Bradleyane Dibbon, mn. 55335