Surrebuttal Testimony and Schedules Timothy J. O'Connor

Before the Minnesota Public Utilities Commission State of Minnesota

In the Matter of a Commission Investigation into Xcel Energy's Monticello Life Cycle Management/Extended Power Uprate Project and Request for Recovery of Cost Overruns

> MPUC Docket No. E002/CI-13-754 OAH Docket No. 48-2500-31139 Exhibit ___ (TJO-3)

OAG Testimony - Program Oversight

September 19, 2014

Table of Contents

Page

1	I. INTROI	DUCT	ION	1							
2	II. REBUTTAL TESTIMONY COMMITMENTS										
3	III. RESPO	ONSE	TO OAG TESTIMONY	3							
4	А.	Basis	of OAG's Rebuttal Testimony	3							
5	В.	Spec	ific Issues Described by Mr. Lindell	4							
6		1.	Management Criticism	4							
7		2.	Scoping Criticism	6							
8		3.	Initial Cost Estimate Criticisms	8							
9		4.	Complexity Criticisms	. 16							
10		5.	"Starts and Stops" Criticism	. 18							
11		6.	2011 Cost History	. 19							
12		7.	Project Management	. 22							
13		8.	Accounting Criticisms	23							
14	IV. CONC	LUSIC	DN	. 26							

1		I. INTRODUCTION
2		
3	Q.	PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND BUSINESS ADDRESS.
4	А.	My name is Timothy J. O'Connor. I am the Chief Nuclear Officer ("CNO")
5		for Northern States Power Company, a Minnesota corporation ("Xcel
6		Energy" or the "Company"). The Company is a wholly-owned utility
7		operating company subsidiary of Xcel Energy Inc. I am responsible for all
8		nuclear activities at the Monticello Nuclear Generating Plant ("the Plant" or
9		"Monticello") and the Prairie Island Nuclear Generating Plant ("Prairie
10		Island").
11		
12	Q.	HAVE YOU TESTIFIED PREVIOUSLY IN THIS PROCEEDING?
13	А.	Yes. I provided Direct Testimony, Exhibit (TJO-1) and Rebuttal
14		Testimony, Exhibit (TJO-2).
15		
16	Q.	WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY?
17	А.	The purpose of my Surrebuttal Testimony is to fulfill information
18		commitments I made in my Rebuttal Testimony and to address issues raised in
19		the August 26, 2014 Office of the Attorney General - Antitrust and Utilities
20		Division ("OAG") Rebuttal Testimony of Mr. John Lindell related to the
21		Company's prudent implementation of the Life-Cycle Management ("LCM")
22		and Extended Power Uprate ("EPU") program ("LCM/EPU Program",
23		"LCM/EPU Project", "Project", or "Program") at Monticello. Specifically, I
24		will address Mr. Lindell's opinion, based on the Direct Testimony of the
25		Department of Commerce, Division of Energy Resources' ("Department")
26		witnesses, that the Company mismanaged the LCM/EPU Program and his
27		conclusion that the Company should be denied recovery for Program costs as

a result of that mismanagement.¹ I read Mr. Lindell's Rebuttal Testimony to 1 2 conclude that because the Program costs were greater than our high-level 3 estimates evaluated during our Certificate of Need proceeding that there must 4 be imprudence. This is not an appropriate evaluation or conclusion. The Company provided extensive information in our Direct and Rebuttal 5 6 Testimony to explain why our final Program costs exceeded initial estimates. 7 8 **II. REBUTTAL TESTIMONY COMMITMENTS** 9 10 ARE THERE ANY COMMITMENTS YOU MADE IN YOUR REBUTTAL TESTIMONY Q. 11 THAT YOU WOULD LIKE TO ADDRESS AT THIS TIME? 12 Yes. In my Rebuttal Testimony, I committed to file an update on the final А. 13 costs for the Project. I provide this accounting information as Exhibit _____ 14 (TJO-3), Schedule 1 and include both the actual spend for August 31, 2014 15 and our projected spend through December 31, 2014. Actual spend for the 16 Program through August 31, 2014 was \$669.6 million. We forecast that the 17 final spend for the Program through December 31, 2014 will be \$663.4 18 million. The net difference in these amounts is what we are projecting for 19 credits and ascension closeout.

¹ Lindell Rebuttal at 13:4-26:10.

1 **III. RESPONSE TO OAG TESTIMONY** 2 3 **Basis of OAG's Rebuttal Testimony** A. 4 WHAT IS THE BASIS FOR MR. LINDELL'S REBUTTAL TESTIMONY CRITICIZING Q. 5 THE COMPANY'S ACTIONS IN DEVELOPING AND IMPLEMENTING THE 6 LCM/EPU PROGRAM? 7 А. Mr. Lindell's Rebuttal Testimony relies on the Direct Testimony of the 8 Department's Consultants Mr. Mark W. Crisp and Dr. William R. Jacobs 9 (collectively "Department's Consultants") and Department witness Ms. Nancy 10 A. Campbell. In response to the Company's Information Request No. 1, 11 attached as Exhibit ___ (TJO-3), Schedule 2, Mr. Lindell acknowledged that his 12 opinion that the Company mismanaged the Project and acted imprudently is 13 based on his review of the Department's Direct Testimony. In addition to 14 reviewing the Department's Direct Testimony, Mr. Lindell reviewed the 15 Company's initial filing and "information request responses from other parties in the case."² I note that the OAG did not request copies of any information 16 17 request responses that Xcel Energy provided to parties other than the OAG, 18 so I am not aware that he reviewed any of these Company responses. 19 The Company has already provided extensive Rebuttal Testimony, responding

The Company has already provided extensive Rebuttal Testimony, responding to Mr. Crisp's and Dr. Jacobs' Direct Testimony. Our Rebuttal Testimony covers all of the issues raised by Mr. Lindell's review of their testimony. My Surrebuttal Testimony summarizes the Company's prior positions and I reference prior testimony on these issues.

² It is unclear which information request responses Mr. Lindell refers to in his response attached as Exhibit _____ (TJO-3), Schedule 2.

1		B. Specific Issues Described by Mr. Lindell
2		1. Management Criticism
3	Q.	WHICH OF MR. CRISP'S CRITICISMS DOES MR. LINDELL DISCUSS FIRST?
4	А.	Mr. Lindell cites to Mr. Crisp's Direct Testimony and asserts that "Mr. Crisp
5		addressed NSP's management of the LCM/EPU Project and concluded that
6		NSP's failure to properly manage the Project resulted in the high cost
7		overruns and delays in implementing the Project."3
8		
9	Q.	WHAT IS THE COMPANY'S POSITION ON THIS CRITICISM?
10	А.	The Company disagrees with Mr. Lindell's criticism regarding the Company's
11		management of the Project. I note that neither the Department's Consultants
12		nor Mr. Lindell identify any specific actions the Company took as imprudent.
13		
14		Mr. Crisp's Direct Testimony is critical of the Company and generally asserts
15		that we did not do a good job of estimating the difficulty and cost of the
16		Program. He also asserts that certain decisions we made implementing the
17		Program, such as changing design vendors for certain modifications, must
18		have led to costs higher than our initial estimates. To his first criticism, I
19		agree that if we had done a better job of estimating, we would have had at
20		least somewhat lower cost increases, not because the overall costs would have
21		been less, but rather because our initial estimate would have been higher, thus
22		making the difference smaller.
23		
24		However, as described in my Direct and Rebuttal Testimony our estimation,
25		while low, was reasonable under the circumstances and there was no
26		reasonable basis for us to have developed an initial estimate that could

³ Lindell Rebuttal at 13:4-5.

approach the final costs we incurred. We had already included additional 1 2 costs for the complexity and challenges we foresaw when you compare our 3 initial estimate to other similar nuclear projects that had been completed by the time we estimated the cost of the Program.⁴ Company witness Mr. James 4 R. Alders also explained in his Rebuttal Testimony that even if we had 5 6 included a higher cost estimate in our Certificate of Need proceeding, we 7 would have supported the Project because of the long-term benefits continued 8 operation of Monticello provides to our customers.

9

10 On the Company's management of the overall initiative, Mr. Lindell repeats 11 selected criticisms from Mr. Crisp, expands upon them, and equates those 12 criticisms with imprudence. Mr. Lindell appears to expand upon Mr. Crisp's 13 criticism of our management of design vendors and his conclusion that the 14 program "suffered from a number of 'starts and stops"⁵ Both Mr. Crisp and Mr. Lindell assume cost increases are attributable to these changes.⁶ However, 15 as I describe, many of the changes they are critical of are ones we believe 16 17 either reduced our overall Program costs or prevented additional cost increases.⁷ 18

- 19
- 20

Q. DID THE COMPANY IMPLEMENT APPROPRIATE PROJECT MANAGEMENT?

A. Yes. Our view is that the Company established project management processes
 appropriate to the circumstances. As the complexity of the Program
 increased, the Company adapted its practices to address those evolving
 circumstances.⁸ The fact that the Company faced challenges and modified its

⁴ O'Connor Rebuttal at Table 3.

⁵ Crisp Direct at 20:7-9.

⁶ Crisp Direct at 20:19-21; Lindell Rebuttal at 17-18.

⁷ O'Connor Rebuttal at 61:13-62:3 and 62:14-17.

⁸ O'Connor Direct at 58-92; O'Connor Rebuttal at 36-80.

1 procedures as the Program progressed through the study, design, and 2 implementation phases of this six-year initiative are not indications of 3 imprudent project management. Company witness Mr. Richard J. Sieracki 4 provides further support for the proposition that evolving management 5 structures over the course of a long project is both normal and appropriate.⁹

6

7

- 2. Scoping Criticism
- 8 WHAT IS MR. LINDELL'S SECOND CITATION TO MR. CRISP'S CRITICISMS? Q.
- 9 Mr. Lindell cites to Mr. Crisp's Direct Testimony for the proposition that the А. Company did a "poor job in its initial scoping."¹⁰ 10
- 11
- 12 HOW DOES THE COMPANY RESPOND? Q.

13 As discussed in my Rebuttal Testimony, the scoping effort we undertook was А. 14 reasonable under the circumstances, particularly in light of the sensitive timing issues with which we were faced.¹¹ Had we developed the type of detailed 15 16 initial scope that Mr. Crisp seems to support, it would have materially delayed implementation.¹² Mr. Alders' Direct and Rebuttal Testimony describe the 17 resource planning context with which we were faced at the time this Program 18 was developed and that drove our implementation strategy. Our reasonable 19 20 judgment at the time was that it was appropriate to move forward promptly 21 with the high-level information we had available.

- 22
- 23

As I discussed in my Rebuttal Testimony, we refined our primary tasks for the major modifications through 2007 and defined it in 2008.¹³ While there were 24

⁹ Sieracki Rebuttal at 8-31.

¹⁰ Lindell Rebuttal at 14:2.

¹¹ O'Connor Rebuttal at 51-56.

¹² O'Connor Rebuttal at 53-54 and Figure 2.

¹³ O'Connor Rebuttal at 58:2-4.

several significant additions to the scope after 2008 they were not, in
 themselves, key cost drivers.

3

4 Q. WHAT WOULD HAVE BEEN POSSIBLE, FROM A COST PERSPECTIVE, IF MORE
5 DESIGN WORK HAD BEEN COMPLETED BEFORE 2008?

6 А. More detailed scoping would not have reduced costs but, rather, would have 7 identified costs earlier. In my Rebuttal Testimony, I concluded that 8 conducting this level of initial scoping would not have lowered the cost of the 9 Project because it would not have fully accounted for as-found conditions, 10 hidden interferences, and things like degraded wiring that were discovered during the installations.¹⁴ We also would not have accurately predicted labor 11 12 productivity, the cost impacts due to experienced craft labor availability in the 13 competitive labor market, or the cost impacts due to restrictions on work schedules imposed by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission's ("NRC") fatigue 14 rule which went into effect after our initial estimates were prepared.¹⁵ Had we 15 16 followed the path of more detailed scope at the outset, we would have still 17 encountered significant cost increases during installation, only the installations 18 would have occurred much later. The key drivers of the cost increases we 19 experienced during the Program were not reasonably foreseeable.

¹⁴ O'Connor Rebuttal at 77:1-8 and 108:11-13.

¹⁵ O'Connor Direct at 40:2-12 and 91:20-92:22; O'Connor Rebuttal at 68:4-6.

1		3. Initial Cost Estimate Criticisms
2	Q.	DOES MR. LINDELL USE MR. CRISP TO CRITICIZE THE COMPANY'S INITIAL
3		COST ESTIMATES?
4	А.	Yes. Mr. Lindell cites to Mr. Crisp's testimony generally for the proposition
5		that the Company's initial estimates were too low. Mr. Lindell then assumes
6		that costs should not have been higher than the initial estimates. ¹⁶
7		
8	Q.	WAS THE \$320-346 MILLION A REASONABLE INITIAL ESTIMATE?
9	А.	Yes. We developed that estimate based on the information available to us at
10		the time. We included the amount for General Electric's contract, plus the
11		amount to replace the steam dryer, and escalation to 2008. At the time we
12		developed our estimate, other EPUs had incurred costs of approximately \$50
13		to \$200 million. ¹⁷ Our initial cost estimate was already double most of those
14		estimates We could have added more contingency in our initial cost
15		estimates, but doing so would not have matched our expected outcome and
16		we had no other basis to add more contingency.
17		
18	О.	WHAT IS THE COMPANY'S POSITION ON MR. LINDELL'S CRITICISM?

A. In the 2006-08 timeframe the Company reasonably believed this Program could be implemented for \$320-346 million. We were wrong. The ultimate capital cost turned out to be a little more than double that amount (not accounting for escalation from 2008 to 2014 dollars), a cost increase roughly comparable to what was experienced by Turkey Point, St. Lucie, and Grand Gulf when they did their uprates and associated work.¹⁸ As illustrated by the experiences of other uprates summarized in my Rebuttal Testimony, we were

¹⁶ Lindell Rebuttal at 19:9-15.

¹⁷ O'Connor Rebuttal at 38 at Table 3.

¹⁸ O'Connor Direct at 24 at Table 3.

not alone in underestimating our costs for this type of project and the baseline
information available to us at the time we initiated the Program should be kept
in mind as the Commission assesses whether we provided reasonable initial
cost estimates for the Program.¹⁹

5

6 Our main disagreement with Mr. Lindell is that we do not believe that being 7 wrong about the initial cost estimate constitutes imprudence or suggests that 8 we were unreasonable in the way that we proceeded. We certainly disagree 9 with any notion that we should be capped at the 2008 estimate under the 10 circumstances presented. Mr. Lindell identifies three specific topics through 11 this criticism that I have addressed in my Direct and Rebuttal Testimony, but 12 will briefly summarize in my Surrebuttal Testimony: (i) the Certificate of Need 13 estimate; (ii) the 13.8 kV Distribution System estimate; and (iii) the estimate to replace six of the 10 feedwater heaters at Monticello. 14

- 15
- 16

a. Certificate of Need Estimate

Q. MR. LINDELL RELIES ON MR. CRISP'S STATEMENT THAT "[A] CON
PROCEEDING REQUIRES ACCURATE ESTIMATES OF COSTS."²⁰ How do you
RESPOND?

A. I am not a regulatory specialist but I believe a utility should provide a
reasonable estimate of costs based on the facts it knows at the time. Mr.
Alders' Surrebuttal Testimony provides additional discussion of this issue.

23

In the Certificate of Need proceeding for the uprate, we used a range of \$320-346 million (in 2008 dollars) for modeling purposes to facilitate the Certificate of Need's required alternatives analysis. As described in my Direct and

¹⁹ O'Connor Rebuttal at 38 at Table 3.

²⁰ Lindell Rebuttal at 14:14.

1 Rebuttal Testimony, that estimate was reasonable based on the information 2 we had at the time and for the purposes for which it was used. As I describe 3 in my Rebuttal Testimony, developing a higher starting point estimate would 4 not have changed the Company's decision to proceed with the overall initiative to maximize the value of Monticello for our customers.²¹ 5 6 7 MR. LINDELL EXPANDS UPON MR. CRISP'S DIRECT TESTIMONY AND Q. – 8 CONCLUDES THAT "NSP SHOULD HAVE ANTICIPATED THAT THE 9 DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM WOULD BE REQUIRED AND INCLUDED IN THEIR INITIAL [CERTIFICATE OF NEED] ESTIMATE?"²² How do you respond? 10 Mr. Lindell is incorrect. The need for distribution upgrades was identified in 11 А. 12 the Certificate of Need Application. As described in my Direct Testimony, 13 the distribution upgrades accounted for approximately \$21 million of the costs in the initial estimate.²³ While the estimate was low, Mr. Lindell's suggestion 14 15 that we did not anticipate this upgrade is simply not correct. 16 17 b. 13.8 kV Distribution System Estimate 18 Why did the 13.8 kV distribution system modification cost \$119.5 Q. 19 MILLION WHEN THE COMPANY'S ESTIMATE AT THE CERTIFICATE OF NEED 20 STAGE WAS \$20.9 MILLION? 21 This subject has been extensively covered in my Direct Testimony and in the А. Direct and Rebuttal Testimony of other Company witnesses.²⁴ I directly 22 address the Department's Consultants' conclusions in my Rebuttal 23

²¹ O'Connor Rebuttal at 43-46.

²² Lindell Rebuttal at 15:7-8.

²³ O'Connor Direct at Schedule 8.

²⁴ O'Connor Direct at 130-136.

- Testimony.²⁵ Mr. Lindell, however, incorrectly assumes that because costs
 were higher, such costs are not "credible."²⁶
- 3

4 Q. PLEASE SUMMARIZE WHY THE COSTS INCURRED TO UPGRADE THE ELECTRICAL 5 DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM WAS APPROPRIATE.

6 А. An upgrade to the internal electrical distribution system was appropriate 7 because of the inadequate margins of the existing 4 kV distribution system. 8 The 4 kV system was sized for the Plant as it was designed in the 1960s. 9 Although the system had served the Plant well, the addition of electric loads 10 over the years eroded the built-in margin. The upgraded system thus 11 addresses the deficiencies of the 4 kV system by increasing the operating 12 margin of the 4 kV system that supports safety-related equipment. As part of 13 the 13.8 kV system upgrade, Xcel Energy also added additional bus work to 14 support additional loads for the next 20 years and to accommodate new 15 electric load associated with the uprate. As such, the 13.8 kV system upgrade restores operating margins and allows the Company to properly respond to 16 evolving requirements for internal electric demands.²⁷ 17

18

One key component to this modification was the location of the electrical switchgear room (and related relocation of the Monticello hot shop). The switchgear location drove the need to pull 14 miles of cable. This is not just small wire, but multi-inch diameter cable that can weigh 100 pounds per foot. We had many instances where we had "trains" of 10 electricians pulling the cable 10 to 20 feet at a time. Expand that effort over 14 miles and the magnitude of the effort comes into sharp focus. Further, as I discussed in my

²⁵ O'Connor Rebuttal at 92-102.

²⁶ Lindell Rebuttal at 22:11 (quoting Dr. Jacobs).

²⁷ O'Connor Rebuttal at Schedule 35.

- Rebuttal Testimony, the cabling associated with a 4 kV system would not have been smaller and might have actually required larger diameter cable.²⁸
- 2 3

1

4 As I discussed in my Rebuttal Testimony, the additional busses were needed 5 with or without the uprate and the location of the switchgear room would have been similar to what we implemented, regardless of the voltage.²⁹ We 6 were unable to identify a better location for additional switchgear than the 7 8 location we used for the 13.8 kV switchgear room. I believe it is reasonable to 9 conclude this space would have been used if we had chosen to add to the 4 kV 10 system. And the cable for a 4 kV system would have been at least as large as the cable we used.³⁰ Thus, staying at 4 kV would not have resulted in less 11 12 work. In short, whether or not the Company had pursued the uprate, we 13 would have faced expensive upgrades to the Plant's internal electrical distribution system to facilitate an additional 20 years of operation. 14

15

16 In addition, we recognize that the work we did on the distribution system 17 upgrade was very difficult to estimate. Our initial estimate was about \$20.9 18 million. Over time, that estimate grew to the \$30-40 million range. When we hired Bechtel to help us complete the final installations, Bechtel spent 19 20 considerable time estimating the work. Over the course of about a year and 21 three separate estimates, Bechtel's view of the work grew from the \$50 million to \$90 million to approximately \$105 million. The final installed cost was 22 \$119.5 million. Thus, even after a year of effort by a world-class engineering 23 24 house, the final cost of the effort was underestimated.

²⁸ O'Connor Rebuttal at 97:11-13.

²⁹ O'Connor Rebuttal at 97:26-98:5.

³⁰ O'Connor Rebuttal at 98:11-13.

1

c. Feedwater Heaters Estimate

Q. MR. LINDELL RELIES UPON MR. CRISP'S STATEMENT REGARDING A "SMALL
FOOTPRINT" AT MONTICELLO AND CRITICIZES THE COMPANY FOR THE COST
OF THE FEEDWATER HEATER MODIFICATION.³¹ HOW DO YOU RESPOND?

5 I would like to clarify that the overall cost for the feedwater heater А. 6 modification includes work performed on various pieces of equipment in the Plant.³² The initial estimate for the feedwater heaters modification was \$37.0 7 million. The final cost for this entire modification as of August 31, 2013 was 8 9 \$114.9 million. A portion of this cost was incurred due to the replacement of 10 six of the Plant's 10 feedwater heaters. Replacement of these six feedwater 11 heaters would have been necessary for the life extension of the Plant, even 12 absent the uprate because of the equipment age and condition. For further 13 discussion on this issue, please see my Direct and Rebuttal Testimony.³³ 14 While space limitations were a factor, Mr. Lindell's testimony does not include the full context. 15

16

17 Q. IS MR. LINDELL'S DISCUSSION ABOUT THE COST INCREASES FOR THE18 FEEDWATER HEATERS MODIFICATION CORRECT?

A. Generally no. The cost of the feedwater heater replacement increased for a variety of reasons, including the difficulties in removing and replacing the actual heaters and also the additional costs of installing vents, drains, and piping.³⁴ Mr. Lindell's testimony seems to attribute the cost increase entirely to space limitations but the space limitations we encountered were not the only cost driver for this modification.

³¹ Lindell Rebuttal at 28:21.

³² O'Connor Direct at 117:9-18; O'Connor Rebuttal at 103:19-21.

³³ O'Connor Direct at 117-122; O'Connor Rebuttal at 103-106.

³⁴ O'Connor Direct at 119:14-121:5.

Space limitation were a factor in the removal of the 13A/B feedwater heaters as this required removal of numerous interferences in order to take the heaters out.³⁵ As I previously stated, the removal costs would have been incurred and similar installation costs would have been incurred even absent the EPU for the 13A/B feedwater heaters. The same is true for the other four feedwater heaters replaced during the Program.

7

8 Other changes I discussed in my Direct and Rebuttal Testimony to the scope 9 of the feedwater heaters were not related to the footprint of Monticello and 10 were not driven by poor planning. These included the need to replace vents 11 and drain piping for the 14A/B and 15A/B feedwater heaters, structural 12 analysis and reinforcement of the turbine floor (the cost of which was 13 attributed entirely to the EPU), and drain cooler penetration locations.³⁶

14

Q. MR. LINDELL STATES THAT THE COMPANY "HAD TO MODIFY THE SIZE OF THE
CONCRETE ROOM IN ORDER TO INSTALL THE HEATER."³⁷ IS THIS A CORRECT
STATEMENT?

No. The 13A/B feedwater heaters are located under the turbine floor of the 18 А. Plant. The 13A/B feedwater heater room required no changes to its size to 19 20 accommodate removal or installation of the 13A/B feedwater heaters. Access 21 to the heaters is possible through a hatch in the turbine floor. When the 22 13A/B feedwater heaters were replaced in the 1980s, the removal and reinstallation had been challenging because of the access hatch size. 23 To 24 accommodate the rigging for the 13A/B feedwater heater removal and 25 installation, we decided to make the hatch a bit larger. The new 13A/B

³⁵ O'Connor Direct at Schedule 25, p. 3 of 4.

³⁶ O'Connor Rebuttal at 58.

³⁷ Lindell Rebuttal at 15:18-19.

1 feedwater heaters are the same length as the old ones and are less than five 2 inches wider than the old ones. Given the historic concerns with the access 3 hatch size and the 13A/B feedwater heater replacement rigging, however, we 4 likely would have had to make the access hatch larger even absent the uprate.

5

6

7

Q.

WHY WAS THE WORK ON THE FEEDWATER HEATERS NECESSARY EVEN ABSENT THE EPU?

8 As I describe in my Rebuttal Testimony, by at least 2001 we had identified the А. need to replace the Plant's feedwater heaters if we extended the operating 9 license.³⁸ Those heaters had to be removed whether or not we undertook the 10 uprate.³⁹ The six feedwater heaters we replaced were undersized so it was 11 understood that we would have to increase their size at least somewhat, 12 regardless whether we undertook the uprate.⁴⁰ While there is no question the 13 work associated with replacing the feedwater heaters and associated piping 14 and drains was an expensive task, there is also no question that the work was 15 16 necessary and was going to have to occur regardless whether we proceeded 17 with the uprate.

18

19 While Mr. Lindell assumes that costs going up means that we wasted money, 20 he does not ever testify that the work we did was unnecessary. He also does 21 not point to why he thinks the replacement could have been achieved for 22 significantly less than what the modification cost us.

³⁸ O'Connor Rebuttal at 103:17-106:9 and Schedule 32.

³⁹ O'Connor Rebuttal at 105:7-11.

⁴⁰ O'Connor Rebuttal at 105:21-23.

1 4. Complexity Criticisms 2 WHAT IS MR. LINDELL'S FOURTH CITATION TO MR. CRISP'S CRITICISMS? Q. 3 Mr. Lindell cites to Mr. Crisp's Direct Testimony for the proposition that А. "complexity" of the job should not have increased costs.⁴¹ 4 5 6 WHAT IS THE COMPANY'S POSITION ON THIS CRITICISM? Q. 7 А. We believe that Mr. Lindell substantially overstates Mr. Crisp's Direct 8 Testimony. We do not read Mr. Crisp's testimony to say that complexity does 9 not increase costs. He says that the Company did not foresee the complexity 10 and that, had we done so, we would have known more about the costs earlier. 11 12 The Company agrees that our estimation was of the cost of the job was lower 13 than the actual cost of the job. I discuss this at some length in my Rebuttal Testimony.⁴² We further agree that if we had identified all of the complexities 14 at the early stages it could have provided a somewhat higher estimate based on 15 that additional knowledge and that with a higher cost estimate, we may not 16 17 have exceeded the estimate by as much as we did. However, we could never have foreseen all of the difficulties we encountered and our initial estimate 18 would not have approached the \$665 million we incurred.⁴³ 19 20

The Company does not agree that higher costs due to added complexity necessarily means imprudence We do not think Mr. Crisp's Direct Testimony supports Mr. Lindell's assumption. My Direct and Rebuttal Testimonies contain multiple examples of complexities and other difficulties we encountered and how those difficulties drove our costs up. While we have

⁴¹ Lindell Rebuttal at 16:3.

⁴²O'Connor Rebuttal at 44-46.

⁴³ O'Connor Rebuttal at 45:12-17.

acknowledged that our estimate was low, we implemented the Program in a
 reasonable way and the costs we incurred were appropriate and reasonable for
 the outcome we achieved.

4

5 Further, Mr. Lindell ignores the practical realities of doing work in an 6 operating nuclear plant. As I describe, we are required to comply with all 7 federal nuclear regulations and we must address additional work when it is presented to us.⁴⁴ We are incentivized to complete the work as efficiently as 8 9 we are physically capable during an outage while maintaining safe construction 10 practices to bring the Plant back online. However, we must comply with 11 NRC requirements, such as the fatigue rule, that makes installations more 12 inefficient, and the maintenance rule, that tends to increase costs as new issues 13 are uncovered. The Company properly adapted its practices to address the 14 increasing complexity of the job and evolving circumstances and, in the end, 15 the costs that were incurred were necessary and reasonable to achieve the 16 desired outcome.

17

18 Q. How do you respond to Mr. Lindell's criticism of the Company's19 installation costs?

A. Mr. Lindell adopts Dr. Jacobs' criticism that our initial installation estimate of
\$27.5 million, was much lower than the final installation costs of
approximately \$290 million.⁴⁵ The initial estimate of \$27.5 million accounted
only for General Electric's portion of installation costs. The overall estimate
included a significant amount of non-segregated common costs, including
installation costs.⁴⁶ Approximately 90 percent of the installation amounts paid

⁴⁴ O'Connor Rebuttal at 26:7-10.

⁴⁵ Lindell Direct at 21:17-22.

⁴⁶ O'Connor Rebuttal at 47:8-11.

1 for the 2009 and 2011 outages were for craft labor expenses, and 2 approximately 75 percent of the amounts paid for the 2013 outage were for craft labor expenses.⁴⁷ I provided an analysis of the 2011 and 2013 outages, 3 before and after changes in our lead implementation vendor, and found that 4 the productivity was similar.⁴⁸ This supports my belief that the \$665 million 5 6 we spent is what was necessary to fully implement the Program. 7 8 "Starts and Stops" Criticism 5. WHAT IS MR. LINDELL'S FIFTH CITATION TO MR. CRISP'S CRITICISMS? 9 Q. 10 А. Mr. Lindell cites to Mr. Crisp's testimony for the proposition that the Company experienced "starts and stops" with contractors.⁴⁹ 11 12 13 WHAT IS THE COMPANY'S POSITION ON THIS CRITICISM? Q. 14 А. In my Rebuttal Testimony, I provide detailed discussion on the Company's 15 disagreement with Mr. Crisp's opinion that the Program suffered from "starts and stops" by switching contractors.⁵⁰ First, the characterization of the timing 16 17 of contractor changes is incorrect. The testimony upon which Mr. Lindell 18 relies, confuses the different roles contractors had and fails to take into 19 account the appropriate evolution that occurs on a major job site. 20 21 As detailed in Mr. Sieracki's Rebuttal Testimony, General Electric was never "replaced" by Day Zimmerman.⁵¹ Day Zimmerman was the selected 22 installation contractor and General Electric was the lead designer.⁵² This type 23 of division is common in the industry. During 2010, the only changes made 24

⁴⁷ See O'Connor Rebuttal at 46-49.

⁴⁸ O'Connor Rebuttal at 74 at Table 7.

⁴⁹ Lindell Rebuttal at 16:14-25.

⁵⁰ O'Connor Rebuttal at 78-80.

⁵¹ Sieracki Rebuttal at 44:6-9.

⁵² Sieracki Rebuttal at 44:6-9.

to any contractors were the hiring of additional designers to assist with additional work or incomplete design, which were necessary to support the 2011 outage. As a result, Xcel Energy was able to save costs by hiring these additional design firms directly, rather than through General Electric. Further, I note that even after we retained Bechtel to lead the implementation effort for the 2013 outage, Day Zimmerman stayed on as the Program's main mechanical subcontractor.⁵³

8

9 Second, Xcel Energy demonstrated prudent management by directing and 10 controlling external resources. Removing a contractor when it became clear 11 that another contractor would be able to do a better job and had more 12 targeted expertise is not a sign of imprudence but is a sign of proactive oversight.⁵⁴ As detailed in my Rebuttal Testimony, we appropriately stepped 13 14 in when General Electric and its design subcontractor had design work issues. 15 While this could have created a "start and stop" situation, the approach we 16 implemented ensured it did not.

- 17
- 18

6. 2011 Cost History

19 Q. WHAT IS MR. LINDELL'S SIXTH CITATION TO MR. CRISP'S CRITICISMS?

20 A. Mr. Lindell cites to Mr. Crisp's discussion of the 2011 Cost History that Mr.

Crisp attached to his Direct Testimony as Schedule 3.⁵⁵ I discuss this
 document at length in my Rebuttal Testimony.⁵⁶

⁵³ O'Connor Rebuttal at 70.

⁵⁴ O'Connor Rebuttal at 63-79.

⁵⁵ Lindell Rebuttal at 17:16-24.

⁵⁶ O'Connor Rebuttal at 44-45 and 63-65.

1 Q. WHAT IS THE COMPANY'S POSITION ON THIS CRITICISM?

2 As I stated in my Rebuttal Testimony, the 2011 Cost History represents only А. one employee's opinion of the Program.⁵⁷ Moreover, the employee authoring 3 the 2011 Cost History was not personally aware of what information was 4 5 presented by the Nuclear Projects Team to the Board of Directors or of the 6 discussions that occurred after the Nuclear Projects Team received 7 information from the site projects group. Finally, the 2011 Cost History was 8 prepared five years after Xcel Energy reviewed the various designs and 9 implementations for the Program and the Nuclear Projects Team made its 10 recommendations to the Board of Directors. The Commission should take 11 into consideration that at the time the 2011 Cost History was written, the 12 Program had exceeded its budget and the Company and personnel were under 13 pressure to decrease costs and attempt to identify root causes.

14

Q. MR. LINDELL ASSUMES THAT THE XCEL ENERGY BOARD OF DIRECTORS
SELECTED THE 2009/11 IMPLEMENTATION SCHEDULE RATHER THAN THE
ALTERNATIVE 2011/13 SCHEDULE. IS THIS A CORRECT ASSUMPTION?

- A. I want to reinforce what I have said in my Rebuttal Testimony, the Board of
 Directors implemented the Program schedule that was proposed by nuclear
 management.⁵⁸ The Board did not "change" the schedule. The Board
 approved what was recommended by nuclear 'management.
- 22
- Q. Could proceeding on the later schedule have avoided costs orschedule delays?
- A. The implementation schedule recommended by management was reasonable
 under the circumstances. As described in more detail in my Rebuttal

⁵⁷ O'Connor Rebuttal at 63-65.

⁵⁸ O'Connor Rebuttal at 49:15-18.

1 Testimony, we could have chosen the delayed schedule but chose not to do so 2 because of our desire to complete implementation promptly to bring the 3 benefits of low-cost energy from nuclear power to our customers sooner, 4 while meeting a significant baseload capacity need.⁵⁹ This approach also 5 permitted us to move more quickly to replace aging equipment that needed to 6 be replaced in the near-term when we initiated the Program.⁶⁰

7

8 Q. How do you respond to Mr. Lindell's assumption that adopting a 9 LATER IMPLEMENTATION SCHEDULE WOULD HAVE AVOIDED PROBLEMS?

10 А. I first note that Mr. Crisp's testimony upon which Mr. Lindell relies, does not 11 specify the delays and cost increases that we experienced could have been 12 Second, I do not believe that choosing a later implementation avoided. 13 schedule would have made a material difference. The ultimate amount of 14 work needed to complete the job with the resources available and planning logistics at the Plant in retrospect likely required three outages. I think in the 15 end, this was inevitable, so had we delayed the start of the job it would likely 16 17 have just delayed completion of the job by another refueling cycle.

18

19 Third, the schedule we chose was appropriate based on significant, time-20 sensitive factors. As stated in Mr. Sieracki's Rebuttal Testimony, these factors 21 included: (i) direction from the Commission to submit a plan for additional 22 baseload resources including nuclear power uprates, (ii) forecasted baseload 23 need at the time, (iii) high natural gas prices, and (iv) the need to upgrade 24 certain Monticello systems to support the Plant's continued operations over 25 the next 20 years.⁶¹ Accordingly, we decided it was appropriate to seek

⁵⁹ O'Connor Rebuttal at 49-51.

⁶⁰ See e.g. O'Connor Rebuttal at 89:1-5; 105:19-25; and 107:20-23.

⁶¹ Sieracki Rebuttal at 11:11-17.

1		regulatory approvals, design the LCM/EPU Program, and proceed to													
2		implement the necessary modifications all on parallel paths.													
3															
4		7. Project Management													
5	Q.	WHAT IS MR. LINDELL'S SEVENTH CITATION TO MR. CRISP'S CRITICISMS?													
6	А.	Mr. Lindell cites to Mr. Crisp's conclusion that the Company's "inability to													
7		properly manage the scoping, the general contractor, General Electric and its													
8		subcontractors, staffing issues and the various complexity issues which should													
9		have been identified prior to any engineering design caused the [P]roject to													
10		experience increased costs." ⁶²													
11															
12	Q.	WHAT IS THE COMPANY'S POSITION ON THIS CRITICISM?													
13	А.	We believe that the decision to use General Electric as our lead design vendor													
14		was the right decision. General Electric was the original Plant designer. That													
15		and other factors discussed in my Direct Testimony support our reasons for													
16		this decision. ⁶³ While there were aspects of General Electric's performance													
17		that we were disappointed with, we were able to bring in other design vendors													
18		to address those issues, and the General Electric designs we implemented at													
19		the Plant during the Program are now operating successfully.													

⁶² Lindell Rebuttal at 18:2-5

⁶³ O'Connor Direct at 47:21-49:3.

1

8. Accounting Criticisms

Q. DO YOU AGREE WITH MR. LINDELL'S CRITICISM THAT THE COMPANY'S
"ACCOUNTING HAS MADE IT DIFFICULT TO DETERMINE WHICH COST
OVERRUNS WERE CAUSED BY POOR MANAGEMENT"?⁶⁴

5 А. No. The evaluation of whether we were prudent in implementation of the 6 Program should focus on our decisions and actions, not on the accounting 7 methods we used. We have provided extensive testimony in this proceeding 8 explaining our decisions and actions and defending why they were prudent. 9 Additionally, we have already provided the Department with our accounting 10 information and records. We have responded to additional inquiries from the 11 Department on where or how money was spent during the Program. While 12 the Department does not agree with our use of a single work order at the 13 initiation of the Program, this does not mean that we have not made those 14 records available for review.

15

Further, Company witness Mr. David M. Sparby's Rebuttal Testimony responds to the criticism of accounting for the LCM and EPU aspects of the initiative as a single project. As he points out, the accounting should follow the project.⁶⁵ Rather, we were doing common work on the same pieces of equipment to achieve both the LCM and EPU benefits we identified. It was more appropriate to account for the work by the equipment and modification.

- 22
- 23 Q. HAS THE COMPANY ADDRESSED THE ISSUE OF A SINGLE WORK ORDER?
- A. Yes. The Company provided information on why we proceeded under asingle work order in our Direct Testimony, Rebuttal Testimony, and various

⁶⁴ Lindell Rebuttal at 19:18-19.

⁶⁵ Sparby Rebuttal at 9:3-8.

1 Information Request responses.⁶⁶ Additionally, many of our 2 contemporaneous documents from the time the Program was initiated 3 support our decision to combine the initiatives as an integrated Program. I 4 have attached three of these documents to my Surrebuttal Testimony as Exhibit ____ (TJO-3), Schedules 3, 4, and 5. Exhibit ____ (TJO-3), Schedule 3 5 6 is a spreadsheet that identifies our 10-year Capital Projects as of November 7 11, 2005. As shown on the page marked NSP 0000612, we included a 8 category for LCM, including the generator/exciter rewind, replacing the 9 13A/B, 14A/B, and 15A/B feedwater heaters, replacing the main transformer, and replacing 4 kV breakers. Exhibit ____ (TJO-3), Schedule 4 is a spreadsheet 10 11 of our 10-year Long Range Plan as of June 26, 2006. This document coincides 12 with the Company's initial evaluation combining the LCM and EPU initiatives. 13 As shown on the page marked NSP 0000836, we identified multiple projects 14 that would be necessary for the EPU, separate from the LCM projects on the page marked NSP 0000833 of this Schedule. Exhibit ____ (TJO-3), Schedule 5 15 16 is a spreadsheet of our 10-year Long Range Plan as of August 7, 2006. As 17 shown on the page marked NSP 0000890, many of the projects that were 18 under LCM in June of 2006 were moved to "Projects Included in Power 19 Uprate Project" including the 13A/B, 14A/B, and 15A/B feedwater heaters, 20 the main steam feedwater piping, and the main and 1AR transformers.

21

Q. Are there other presentations that discuss the combinedinitiative?

A. Yes. I have attached a presentation dated July 24, 2006 to my Surrebuttal
Testimony as Exhibit ____ (TJO-3), Schedule 6 as an example. As noted on
the page marked NSP 0034146, we had identified synergies between the EPU

⁶⁶ O'Connor Direct at 50:10-51:2; Weatherby Direct at 8:2-10:25; O'Connor Rebuttal at 11:11-15:15.

and LCM projects early on, which resulted in the EPU becoming "an
incremental cost for the total project." This contemporaneous document
shows both that from its initial approval in 2006 we intended to proceed with
both aspects as a unified whole and that many of the modifications Dr. Jacobs
assumes were for the EPU were always identified as for LCM purposes.

- 6
- 7

8

Q. WHAT DOES MR. LINDELL SUGGEST TO ADDRESS HIS ACCOUNTING CONCERNS?

9 A. He states that, based on Mr. Crisp's testimony "a forensic accounting analysis
10 performed by an auditor may be necessary in this case if the Commission has
11 reservations about the evidence to disallow costs in this case."⁶⁷

12

13 Q. How do you respond to this suggestion?

A. An audit of our accounting records is certainly possible if the Commission
wants, but I would be concerned that such an effort would not lead to
meaningful results. A forensic accounting analysis would be more geared to
assessing <u>what</u> was spent and <u>to whom</u> it was paid. I do not believe that either
of these questions is being challenged in this proceeding. We have provided
extensive accounting information into this record that provides with great
detail what was spent and to whom it was paid.

21

A forensic accounting analysis could not focus on the question of <u>why</u> expenditures were made or whether they were reasonable under the circumstances or were based on prudent decisions or actions. Those more qualitative questions rely, not upon accounting records, but rather on an assessment of the quality of decisions and actions. This is the heart of a

⁶⁷ Lindell Rebuttal at 18:12-14.

prudence review and I am concerned that the analysis Mr. Lindell suggests
 would not provide meaningful information.

3

4 Q. ARE THE COMPANY'S ACCOUNTING RECORDS AVAILABLE FOR REVIEW IN THIS5 DOCKET?

6 А. Yes. The Company submitted our accounting entries for the entire 7 LCM/EPU Program as Schedules to the Direct Testimony of Company 8 witness Mr. Scott L. Weatherby. These accounting entries comprise some 9 140,000 line items of data supporting what we spent money on and who 10 received the money. Ms. Campbell issued discovery relating to our accounting 11 database and conducted an audit on specific items to verify that the overall 12 database provides an accurate representation of our costs. It is my 13 understanding that the Department does not challenge what we spent or to 14 whom it was paid. That same database has been available to the Attorney General's office. 15

- 16
- 17
- 18

IV. CONCLUSION

19 Q. Does this complete your Surrebuttal Testimony?

20 A. Yes, it does.



Docket No. E002/CI-13-754 Exhibit ____(TJO-3), Schedule 1 Page 1 of 5

EPU Project By Year / w Child WO

August 2014

		2004 &										
		2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Total
				10	245258	MNGP Ex	tended P	ower Upra	te			
10435578	MNGP Extended Power Uprate	796,452	6,879,598	11,725,050	69,040,875	14,680,962	48,784,723	(129,606,009)	(4,363,314)	(17,930,085)	(1,852)	6,400
10859413	MNGP EPU Steam Dryer Acoustic		40,060	3,461,044	1,025,454			2,757,939				7,284,497
10884258	MNGP EPU Certificate of Need				149,871	28,418	(178,289)					
10942850	MNGP EPU-Power Range/Neutron			525,833	2,032,779	9,975,282	(321,824)	5,324,261				17,536,332
10943007	MNGP EPU Main Power Transform			50,770	760,457	10,870,277	3,419,403	11,343,807	46,285			26,491,000
10943047	MNGP EPU GEZIP Installation				20,948	1,147,423	623,758	845,715				2,637,845
10943052	MNGP EPU Condensate Impeller/P				310	842,422	2,651,616	3,379,522	2,402,367	12,862,892	82,382	22,221,512
11132414	MNGP EPU Expansion Joints				273,044	4,618,737		2,127,077				7,018,858
11133668	MNGP EPU Turbine Replacement				18,342	37,641,129	(40,574)	16,357,704				53,976,601
11133705	EPU Condensate Demin Sys Repl				6,224	3,035,588	2,176,857	74,117,821	438,084			79,774,573
11133713	EPU CARV Replacement				135,920	8,689,051	623	9,548,855				18,374,449
11133719	EPU FW Heater Drain & Dump VIv				2,252	3,273,546	4,070	1,426,869				4,706,737
11133731	EPU MS Flow Transmitters Repl					219,505		237,493				456,998
11133856	EPU FW Flow Transmitters/PC In				116	163,395		176,911				340,421
11133861	EPU Isophase Bus Cooling				9,790	2,593,160	7,655	2,827,992				5,438,597
11133865	EPU EQ Transmitters & Detector					585,886		254,759				840,645
11133871	EPU MSIV Solenoid Valve Repl					237,734		103,373				341,107
11133877	EPU Remove DW Bricks in Bioshi				4,795	141,176						145,971
11133931	EPU Drywell Spray Flow Valve R				202	105,864		114,758				220,824
11194611	EPU Off Gas Dilution Fan Cable					439,017	136	190,955				630,108
11213813	EPU 1AR Cable Replacement					180,586	721,787	239,434	(1,141,807)			
11215274	EPU Steam Dryer Replacement					12,974,136	4,864,717	12,437,027	98,937			30,374,817
11225964	EPU Acoustic Monitoring Instr					312,652		135,949				448,601
11257804	MNGP EPU 13.8 KV Distribution					3,725,653	11,979,995	19,596,852	15,787,949	67,418,846	265,548	118,774,843
11284286	MNGP EPU Rpl 4 FW Drain & Dum					117,160	685,742	16,757,538	12,027			17,572,466
11286955	MNGP EPU Replace Reactor FW P					87,573	5,660,992	21,788,780	12,297,241	53,206,590	187,706	93,228,882
11286961	MNGP EPU Rpl 14&15 A/B FW He					117,427	(3,010,772)	33,320,358	(15,053,882)	9,395,421		24,768,551
11286966	MNGP EPU Rewind Generator					11,466	(4,566,954)	11,220,145	(549)			6,664,108
11286973	MNGP EPU Replace Exciter					44,556	14,153	59,688				118,397
11286981	MNGP EPU MSD Tank Mods					48,861	580,361	(664,954)	33,790	1,289		(653)
11286985	MNGP EPU Stator Water Cooler R					90,948	428,774	1,909,285	1,086			2,430,092
11286992	MNGP EPU RWCU Capacity Impro					201,111	677,809	1,013,508	3,204,380	518,372	25,811	5,640,990
11335729	MNGP EPU Turbine Generator Vib						802,970	2,671,806	1,299			3,476,075
11376086	MNGP Drain Cooler Piping Mod P						8,590	(8,590)				



Docket No. E002/CI-13-754 Exhibit ____(TJO-3), Schedule 1 Page 2 of 5

EPU Project By Year / w Child WO August 2014

		2004 &										
		2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Total
				10	245258	MNGP Ex	tended Po	ower Upra	te			
11376103 M	INGP Turbine Bldg Elev 951' Rp						11,956	(11,956)				
11398720 E	& S for EPU							(375)			375	
11410738 M	INGP EPU PCT Vent & Purge Valv						63,977	100,329	1,688	279,600		445,593
11536446 M	INGP EPU License Development							50,015,888	(11,322,217)	2,597,734	1,103,400	42,394,804
11636097 E	PU Lic-HELB Design Basis Docu								4,906,024	(127,515)		4,778,509
11636101 E	PU Lic-Envir Qual DBD								2,558,596	(36,360)		2,522,236
11636105 E	PU Lic-HELB & Inst Srv DBD								2,175,334	(30,892)		2,144,441
11636109 E	PU Lic- Motor & Air Op VIv Sys De								2,619,272	(36,835)		2,582,437
11636114 E	PU Lic- Piping Stress Design Basi								4,111,340	(58,610)		4,052,730
11638897 M	INGP EPU 13A&B Feed Wtr Heate								18,865,216	30,411,897	298,183	49,575,296
11757884 M	INGP Rplc 14/15 FW								9,362,294	(9,362,294)		
11775097 M	INGP EPU MELLLA+, Testing & CI									3,430,521	1,185,635	4,616,156
11776513 E	PU Steam Dryer Instr Removal									1,181,828		1,181,828
11842626 E	PU 13A & 13B Feed Water Heater											
11845189 M	INGP EPU Condensate Impeller R											
		796.452	6.919.658	15,762,697	73,481,379	117,200,701	76,052,252	172,110,512	47,041,440	153,722,397	3,147,187	666.234.676

10243301 EFU-TAR Hallstulliel Rep	10245381	EPU-1AR	Transformer	Repl
-----------------------------------	----------	---------	-------------	------

10735617 MNGP EPU-1AR Transformer Repl	13,599	67,153	(57,755)	78,418	1,508,613	26,613	1,776,338				3,412,979
	13,599	67,153	(57,755)	78,418	1,508,613	26,613	1,776,338				3,412,979
	810,052	6,986,812	15,704,942	73,559,796	118,709,314	76,078,865	173,886,850	47,041,440	153,722,397	3,147,187	669,647,655
Life to Date		7,796,863	23,501,805	97,061,602	215,770,916	291,849,780	465,736,630	512,778,070	666,500,468		

Northern States Power Company



MONTI LCM \ EPU COST FORECAST

Docket No. E002/CI-13-754 Exhibit ____(TJO-3), Schedule 1 Page 3 of 5

By Year / w Child WO

August 2014

Parent		2004										
WO		2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Total
10245258	EPU											
10435578	MNGP Extended Power Uprate	796,452	6,879,598	11,725,050	69,040,875	14,680,962	48,784,723	(129,606,009)	(4,363,314)	(17,930,085)	(1,852)	6,400
10859413	MNGP EPU Steam Dryer Acoustic		40,060	3,461,044	1,025,454			2,757,939				7,284,497
10884258	MNGP EPU Certificate of Need				149,871	28,418	(178,289)					0
10942850	MNGP EPU-Power Range/Neutron M			525,833	2,032,779	9,975,282	(321,824)	5,324,261				17,536,332
10943007	MNGP EPU Main Power Transforme			50,770	760,457	10,870,277	3,419,403	11,343,807	46,285			26,491,000
10943047	MNGP EPU GEZIP Installation				20,948	1,147,423	623,758	845,715				2,637,845
10943052	MNGP EPU Condensate Impeller/P				310	842,422	2,651,616	3,379,522	2,402,367	12,862,892	82,382	22,221,512
11132414	MNGP EPU Expansion Joints				273,044	4,618,737		2,127,077				7,018,858
11133668	MNGP EPU Turbine Replacement				18,342	37,641,129	(40,574)	16,357,704				53,976,601
11133705	EPU Condensate Demin Sys Repl				6,224	3,035,588	2,176,857	74,117,821	438,084			79,774,573
11133713	EPU CARV Replacement				135,920	8,689,051	623	9,548,855				18,374,449
11133719	EPU FW Heater Drain & Dump VIv				2,252	3,273,546	4,070	1,426,869				4,706,737
11133731	EPU MS Flow Transmitters Repl					219,505		237,493				456,998
11133856	EPU FW Flow Transmitters/PC In				116	163,395		176,911				340,421
11133861	EPU Isophase Bus Cooling				9,790	2,593,160	7,655	2,827,992				5,438,597
11133865	EPU EQ Transmitters & Detector					585,886		254,759				840,645
11133871	EPU MSIV Solenoid Valve Repl					237,734		103,373				341,107
11133877	EPU Remove DW Bricks in Bioshi				4,795	141,176						145,971
11133931	EPU Drywell Spray Flow Valve R				202	105,864		114,758				220,824
11194611	EPU Off Gas Dilution Fan Cable					439,017	136	190,955				630,108
11213813	EPU 1AR Cable Replacement					180,586	721,787	239,434	(1,141,807)			0
11215274	EPU Steam Dryer Replacement					12,974,136	4,864,717	12,437,027	98,937			30,374,817
11225964	EPU Acoustic Monitoring Instr					312,652		135,949				448,601
11257804	MNGP EPU 13.8 KV Distribution					3,725,653	11,979,995	19,596,852	15,787,949	67,418,846	265,548	118,774,843
11284286	MNGP EPU Rpl 4 FW Drain & Dump					117,160	685,742	16,757,538	12,027			17,572,466
11286955	MNGP EPU Replace Reactor FW Pu					87,573	5,660,992	21,788,780	12,297,241	53,206,590	187,706	93,228,881
11286961	MNGP EPU Rpl 14&15 A/B FW Heater					117,427	(3,010,772)	33,320,358	(15,053,883)	9,395,421		24,768,551
11286966	MNGP EPU Rewind Generator					11,466	(4,566,954)	11,220,145	(549)			6,664,108
11286973	MNGP EPU Replace Exciter					44,556	14,153	59,688				118,397
11286981	MNGP EPU MSD Tank Mods					48,861	580,361	(664,954)	33,790	1,289		(653)
11286985	MNGP EPU Stator Water Cooler R					90,948	428,774	1,909,285	1,086			2,430,092
11286992	MNGP EPU RWCU Capacity Improvm					201,111	677,809	1,013,508	3,204,380	518,372	25,811	5,640,990
11335729	MNGP EPU Turbine Generator Vib						802,970	2,671,806	1,299			3,476,075
11376086	MNGP Drain Cooler Piping Mod P						8,590	(8,590)				0

Northern States Power Company



MONTI LCM \ EPU COST FORECAST

Docket No. E002/CI-13-754 Exhibit ____(TJO-3), Schedule 1 Page 4 of 5

By Year / w Child WO August 2014

Parent		2004										
WO		2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Total
10245258	EPU											
11376103	MNGP Turbine Bldg Elev 951' Rp						11,956	(11,956)				0
11398720	E & S for EPU							(375)			375	0
11410738	MNGP EPU PCT Vent & Purge Valv						63,977	100,329	1,688	279,600		445,593
11536446	MNGP EPU License Development							50,015,888	(11,322,217)	2,597,734	1,103,400	42,394,804
11636097	EPU Lic-HELB Design Basis Documents	i							4,906,024	(127,515)		4,778,509
11636101	EPU Lic-Envir Qual DBD								2,558,596	(36,360)		2,522,236
11636105	EPU Lic-HELB & Inst Srv DBD								2,175,334	(30,892)		2,144,441
11636109	EPU Lic- Motor & Air Op VIv Sys Design	Basis Doc	S						2,619,272	(36,835)		2,582,437
11636114	EPU Lic- Piping Stress Design Basis Do	cuments							4,111,340	(58,610)		4,052,730
11638897	MNGP EPU 13A&B Feed Wtr Heater								18,865,216	30,411,897	298,183	49,575,296
11757884	MNGP Rplc 14/15 FW								9,362,294	(9,362,294)		0
11775097	MNGP EPU MELLLA+, Testing & Closeo	ut								3,430,521	3,865,036	7,295,557
11776513	EPU Steam Dryer Instr Removal									1,181,828		1,181,828
11842626	EPU 13A & 13B Feed Water Heater repa	air										0
11845189	MNGP EPU Condensate Impeller R											0
9	Contingency & Other										(8,947,731)	(8,947,731)
10245381	LCM											
10735617	MNGP EPU-1AR Transformer Repl	13,599	67,153	(57,755)	78,418	1,508,613	26,613	1,776,338				3,412,979
	TOTAL LCM \ EPU	810,052	6,986,812	15,704,942	73,559,796	118,709,314	76,078,865	173,886,850	47,041,439	153,722,397	(3,121,143)	663,379,324

Northern States Power Company



MONTI LCM \ EPU COST FORECAST

Docket No. E002/CI-13-754 Exhibit ____(TJO-3), Schedule 1 Page 5 of 5

By Year / w Child WO

August 2014

Parent		2004										
WO		2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Total
	January	17,886	7,897	5,457,196	25,972,702	3,961,907	2,878,398	11,100,528	4,964,281	10,278,132	859,900	
	February	88,748	24,797	706,615	1,412,778	7,657,132	2,431,093	20,320,381	5,162,571	20,314,161	(51,839)	
	March	124,995	553,953	2,385,364	22,223,964	50,387,058	20,790,380	39,446,626	2,628,377	31,404,936	530,130	
	April	112,562	20,797	823,070	1,706,811	13,579,611	3,860,168	26,304,443	4,013,999	32,219,955	564,863	
	Мау		96,624	546,356	1,754,854	7,205,156	3,424,716	31,367,108	3,770,100	31,847,489	235,973	
	June		61,876	774,377	6,975,612	13,037,594	14,605,805	8,227,358	3,313,396	18,829,590	436,245	
	July		2,780	511,544	2,862,630	3,408,368	1,314,231	6,217,688	1,817,566	5,860,578	423,432	
	August		12,003	1,081,623	1,487,316	4,638,515	4,469,562	12,076,683	2,947,125	1,385,558	<u>148,484</u>	
	September	(2,574)	5,473,039	935,383	2,015,811	7,279,371	7,447,912	8,031,789	4,260,191	2,633,273	337,902	
	October	75,459	199,669	737,517	2,521,258	5,880,744	2,880,397	4,819,211	4,436,197	(1,582,231)	(8,201,921)	
	November	315	290,286	775,975	2,454,154	1,473,455	6,066,671	2,489,858	4,679,322	475,835	1,328,326	
	December	(891)	243,091	969,921	2,171,906	200,404	5,909,531	3,485,176	5,048,314	55,119	267,363	
		810,052	6,986,812	15,704,942	73,559,796	118,709,314	76,078,865	173,886,850	47,041,440	153,722,397	(3,121,143)	663,379,325
L												

Northern States Power Company, doing business as Xcel Energy Information Request

Docket No.:	E002/CI-13-754 (Commission In LCM/EPU Project)	vestigation into the Monticello
Requestor:	Timothy J. O'Connor	
Requested From:	Minnesota Office of the Attorney Division	General – Antitrust & Utilities
Analyst:	John Lindell	
Date of Request:	August 28, 2014	Information Request No. 1

Question:

Re: Rebuttal Testimony and Attachments of John Lindell Minnesota Office of the Attorney General – Antitrust & Utilities Division Docket No. E002/CI-13-754 – August 26, 2014

Your testimony, page 12, line 9-11 states: "The testimony of DOC and consultant witnesses demonstrates that NSP mismanaged the project and incurred unreasonable costs." Thereafter you describe portions of Mr. Crisp's (page 12:13-20:4), Dr. Jacobs' (page 20:5-24:10), and Ms. Nancy Campbell's (page 24:11-26:10) Direct Testimony as supporting your conclusion that costs from the LCM/EPU Program were unreasonable and should be disallowed.

- a. Please confirm that the discussion in your testimony from pages 12-26 including the referenced testimony from Mr. Crisp, Dr. Jacobs and Ms. Campbell constitutes the sole basis for your conclusion that the Company's management of the Project was deficient and that costs were unreasonable and should be limited or disallowed.
- b. To the extent that you deny or limit your confirmation in subpart a., please state (i) any additional bases, and (ii) describe all additional facts, supporting your conclusion that the Company's management of the Project was deficient and that costs were unreasonable and should be limited or disallowed.
- c. Please provide any documents upon which you rely in preparing your answer to this question or that support your conclusion that the Company's management of the Project was deficient and that costs were unreasonable and should be limited or disallowed. You do not need to provide additional copies of the documents you identified in your Testimony.

Response:

The Office of the Attorney General – Antitrust and Utilities Division objects to this information request on the grounds that Mr. Lindell's testimony speaks for itself. Nevertheless, the OAG provides the following response:

- a. In providing my testimony, I relied upon the record in this case. That record includes the testimony of Mr. Crisp, Dr. Jacobs, Mr. Shaw, and Ms. Campbell. The record also includes the initial filing submitted by NSP and the information request responses from other parties in the case. Because the Commission ordered an independent investigation in this case, I appropriately gave considerable weight to the testimony of the independent consultants in drawing my conclusions.
- b. See answer to part a.
- c. See answer to part a.

	Cost Thru											
Project Summary	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Total
Regulatory Reguired:		2000	2001	2000	2000	2010		2012	2010		2010	rotai
316(b) - Contractor Support		\$235,000										\$235,000
Appendix R Associated Ventilation Systems	\$20,000	\$50,000	\$75,000									\$145,000
Calc undates project	\$20,000	\$50,000	\$75,000									\$145,000
Contractor Support (river bathymetric study)		\$50,000										\$50,000
Design basis document undates (NRC, risk INPO		\$30,000										\$30,000
AEI)		\$50,000										\$50,000
Design Basis Information Reconstitution	¢50.000	\$30,000										\$50,000
Design Basis Miorination (Ceonstitution	\$50,000											\$50,000
Design Basis Recovery Design Basis Recovery PC 1.07	φ30,000	\$50,000										\$50,000
EP - Thyro block KI supply replacement		\$7,000										\$7,000
EP - Trainersoft software for CBT		\$7,000										\$7,000 000 82
EPPI Seminar		\$8,000										\$8,000
EP (C) Seminar	\$250,000	φ3,000										\$250,000
Establish Generic Shielding Boundary Conditions	\$2,000											φ230,000 \$0,000
Fire Protection Improvement Plan	\$388,000											\$388,000
Leok Pate Monitor usage training	\$500,000 \$6,000											000,000¢
MOV/Wiring (PIS)	ψ0,000	\$400,000	¢200.000		\$200,000							\$0,000
MTC Contractors (Lic exam devlamt & support of		\$400,000	\$200,000		φ200,000							φουυ,υυυ
NLO Initial and Tech		\$210,000	\$140,000	\$140,000	\$140,000	\$140,000	\$140.000	\$140.000	\$140,000	\$140,000	\$140,000	\$1 470 000
		\$5,000	ψ1 4 0,000	\$140,000	φ140,000	\$140,000	\$140,000	\$140,000	\$140,000	\$140,000	\$140,000	\$1,470,000 \$5,000
Nuclear Eng class seminar fee and Eng expenses to		\$3,000										\$5,000
attend		\$50,000	\$50,000	\$50,000	\$50,000	\$50,000						\$250,000
		\$30,000	\$30,000	\$30,000	\$50,000	\$30,000						φ230,000
Fire Penetration Seal Documentation Improvements		\$150,000	\$100.000									\$250,000
Operations Training Improvement Program	\$435,000	ψ130,000	ψ100,000									\$435,000
Procedure Improvement Project	ψ+33,000	\$300.000	\$300.000									\$600,000
Program Health Improvements		\$250,000	\$100,000	\$250,000	\$250,000	\$250,000	\$250,000	\$250,000	\$250,000	\$250,000	\$250,000	\$2 350,000
Replace PS-5-16 DW High/Low Pressure Alarm		ψ230,000	ψ100,000	ψ230,000	ψ230,000	ψ230,000	ψ230,000	ψ 2 30,000	ψ230,000	ψ230,000	ψ230,000	ψ2,000,000
Switch	\$10 500											\$10 500
Re-route Conduit in Intake Structure in Support of	\$10,000											φ10,000
App. R Exempt	\$25,000											\$25,000
Security NELCAE team evaluation of Force on	<i>\</i> 20,000											\$20,000
Force		\$80,000										\$80,000
Security Support for 2 NMC Force on Force		400,000										\$00,000
exercise		\$7.000										\$7.000
Unidentified Regulatory Required O&M Projects		. .,	\$1.035.000	\$1,560,000	\$1.360.000	\$1,560,000	\$1.610.000	\$1.610.000	\$1,610,000	\$1.610.000	\$1.610.000	\$13,565,000
subtotal	\$1.243.500	\$1.955.000	\$2.000.000	\$2.000.000	\$2.000.000	\$2,000,000	\$2,000,000	\$2,000,000	\$2.000.000	\$2,000,000	\$2,000,000	\$21,198,500
	. , .,								, ,,			. , ,
Equipment Reliability												
250 VDC Coordination Improvements		\$40.000										\$40.000
4KV Breakers (Spare)		\$50,000										\$50,000
4kV Relay Set point Justification	\$100,000											\$100,000
974' Cubicle Tube Shield	\$14,000											\$14,000
Abandon DW/Torus Bypass Interlock Relays 16A-	, ,											
K34, K35, K42 and K43	\$5,000		\$105,000									\$110,000
ARDEC Fleet Standardization Support	\$75,000	\$75,000										\$150,000
ASCO NPK8321 SOV Adverse Trend	\$7,500											\$7,500
Breaker PM's (Previously funded by Xcel)	\$100,000	\$100,000										\$200,000
CML upgrade, contractor for I&C calibration												
software	\$113,000											\$113,000
Condensate Pump Motor Rebuild	Non	\$50,000				İ	İ					\$50,000
Condensate Pump Rebuild	Non	\$120,000				İ	İ					\$120,000
Cooling Tower Deck Utility Outlet Upgrade	\$15,000											\$15, <u>0</u> 00
Critical emergent design issues & calcs from Design												
67 (NDS)	\$60,000											<u>\$60,0</u> 00
Digital pressure gages and spare float tube type												
flow meters	\$15,000											\$15,000
Drawing walk downs, configuration management		\$40,000										\$40,000
EDG Room Ventilation	\$100,000											\$100,000
EDMS/SQA Contractor Support	\$166,000											\$166,000
	Cost Thru										·	
---	-------------	------------------	-------------	-------------	-------------------	-------------	-------------------	-------------------	---------------------	-------------	---------------------------	--------------
Project Summary	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Total
Engineering - Phase 1		\$300.000	\$300.000	\$300.000	\$300,000	\$300.000	\$300.000	\$300.000	\$300.000	\$300.000	\$300.000	\$3,000,000
EP - Global Positioning System units for off-site		* ****	+,		****	+,	+,	+,		+++++	+,	
field teams		\$4.000										\$4.000
EP Siren Battery Replacement		\$42,500										\$42,500
Feed Pump rotating element rebuild		\$50.000										\$50.000
Fuel Pool borax sample analysis	\$15,000	***,***										\$15,000
Inst Gland Seal Leak off Valves on RHRSW	\$3.000											\$3.000
Mod for Position Seating of MO-2397.MO-2398.MO-												+0,000
2075 & MO-2035	\$20.000											\$20.000
MTC Mock up materials for Mech. Elec & I&C	+==,===	\$42.000										\$42.000
On-line Eddy Current for Fuel Pool Rx	Non	\$22,000										\$22,000
RHR Pump motor rebuild		\$75,000										\$75,000
SDV FCI FR-72 Level Switch	\$20,000	\$10,000										\$20,000
Snubber Seal Life Evaluation	\$32,000											\$32,000
Steam Drver Inspection	\$250,000		\$250,000		\$250,000		\$250,000		\$250,000		\$250,000	\$1,500,000
SW Repairs (Materials/Labor) - Dead Leaks	\$250,000		+===,===		+===,===		+===,===		+===,===		+===,===	\$250,000
subtotal	\$1.360.500	\$1.010.500	\$655.000	\$300.000	\$550.000	\$300.000	\$550.000	\$300.000	\$550.000	\$300.000	\$550.000	\$6,426,000
	<i> </i>	<i>↓</i> .,,	+,	<i></i>	,,	<i></i>	<i></i>	<i></i>	<i></i>	+,	<i></i>	<i>↓</i> ,,
Outage Predictability:												
Diesel Fire Pump Control Panel Replacement		\$60,000										\$60,000
Integrated Leak Rate Test (ILRT)		\$50,000	\$150,000									\$200,000
Replacement of Cond. Demin U Valve Dual Coil		\$00,000	\$100,000									\$200,000
Solenoid			\$80,000									\$80,000
Shroud Inspection	\$800,000		400,000								\$1,000,000	\$1 800 000
(A) Low Pressure Turbine Inspection	\$000,000		\$3,000,000								\$1,000,000	\$3,000,000
Valve Work CIV-2 CIV-3 BP #11			\$175,000						\$480,000			\$655,000
Generator Testing			\$110,000				\$1 820 000		\$100,000		\$450,000	\$2 270 000
HP Turbine Inspection					\$2 335 000		\$1,020,000				\$ 100,000	\$2,335,000
Valve Work CV-2 Stop Valves					\$750,000							\$750,000
(B) Low Pressure Turbine Inspection					\$100,000				\$3 200 000		\$2 000 000	\$5,200,000
Valve Work CIV-1, 4, BP #12					\$250,000		\$250,000		\$0,200,000		<i>\$2,000,000</i>	\$500,000
Vessel UT					\$200,000		\$1,000,000					\$1,000,000
subtotal	\$800,000	\$110,000	\$3,405,000	\$0	\$3,335,000	\$0	\$3,070,000	\$0	\$3,680,000	\$0	\$3,450,000	\$17,850,000
Subtotal	\$000,000	\$110,000	\$0,400,000	φΰ	\$0,000,000	ψŪ	\$0,070,000	ψU	\$0,000,000	ψU	\$0,400,000	\$11,000,000
Infrastructure Improvements:												
Carpet and Tile replacement (WEC SAB2 PAB1												
PAB basement)		\$287,000										\$287,000
Infrastructure Improvements	\$200.000	\$200,000	\$200.000	\$200.000	\$200.000	\$200,000	\$200.000	\$200.000	\$200.000	\$200.000	\$200.000	\$2,200,000
MTC Training Center Improvements	φ200,000	\$40,000	φ200,000	φ200,000	<i>\\</i> 200,000	φ200,000	<i>\\</i> 200,000	φ200,000	φ200,000	φ200,000	<i>\\</i> 200,000	\$40,000
Plant Improvements (Turbine & Rx Bldgs/Maint		\$ 10,000										φ+0,000
shop remodels)		\$121,000										\$121.000
Plant Painting		\$358,000										\$358,000
Plant and MTC PBX Upgrade		\$25,000	\$75,000									\$100,000
Plant/Preventive Maintenance Support (2)		\$170,000	φ10,000									\$170,000
Core Reload Modification	Non	\$10,000		\$10,000		\$10,000						\$30,000
subtotal	\$200.000	\$1 211 000	\$275.000	\$210,000	\$200.000	\$210,000	\$200.000	\$200.000	\$200.000	\$200.000	\$200.000	\$3 306 000
Subtotal	φ200,000	ψ1,211,000	φ210,000	φ210,000	φ200,000	φ2 10,000	φ200,000	<i>_</i> 200,000	<i>\psi_200,000</i>	φ200,000	φ200,000	ψ3,300,000
Eacilities Management:												
	<u> </u>											
subtotal	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	¢0	
Gustolai	φU	φU	φU	φU	φU	φU	φU	φU	φU	φU	φU	
	<u> </u>									I		
Total	\$3.604.000	\$4,286,500	\$6.335.000	\$2.510.000	\$6.085.000	\$2.510.000	\$5.820.000	\$2.500.000	\$6.430.000	\$2.500.000	\$6.200.000	\$48.780.500

PHC	Log	Project Summary	Owner	Bin	Phase	Cost Thru 2005	2006		2006	2007		2007	2008
	209	MAJOR CAPITAL PROJECTS	o unici		1 Habb	0000 1111 1 2000	2000		2000	2001		2001	2000
		DRY FUEL STORAGE											
		Independent Spent Fuel Storage Installation	M. McKeown	4		\$3,913,000	\$9,748,500		\$9,748,500	\$14,118,000	\$155,651	\$14,273,651	\$8,863,000
		subtotal				\$3,913,000	\$9,748,500		\$9,748,500	\$14,118,000	\$155,651	\$14,273,651	\$8,863,000
		PART 50 LICENSE RENEWAL											
		License Renewal	P. Burke	3	1	\$11,754,036	\$4,250,513		\$4,250,513				
		subtotal				\$11,754,036	\$4,250,513		\$4,250,513				
		LIFE CYCLE MANAGEMENT											
		316B (EPA Rule)	J. Holthous	1	Conceptual		\$600,000	\$6,300	\$606,300				
		4KV Breaker Replacement	S. Brown	2	Conceptual								\$1,000,000
		#17 Battery Replacement		-									
		Cooling Tower Repairs	A. Myrabo	2	Conceptual					0 050.000	00 750	0050 750	A750.000
		Charcoal Filter for Off gas System (2009)	B. Sawatzke	2	0		¢450.000	¢4 575	\$454 575	\$250,000	\$2,756	\$252,756	\$750,000
		Future Cable Replacements	N. Haskell	2	Conceptual		\$150,000	\$1,575	\$151,575	\$300,000	\$3,308	\$303,308	
2003	98	Generator Rewedge/Exciter Upgrade	A. Williams	2			\$500,000	\$5,250	\$505,250	\$1,500,000	\$16,538	\$1,516,538	\$1,575,000
		Improved Standard Tech Specs	B. Sawatzke	4		\$2,552,640	\$2,555,875		\$2,555,875				
2002	387	Main Steam, FW piping repair/replacement	A. Myrabo	2	Conceptual								
		NFPA 805 Implementation	S. Brown										\$2,000,000
		Process Computer IT Improvements	R. VanDell	4	Conceptual								* ~ ~~~ ~~~
		Rebuild/Redesign of the Main Control Room	B. Sawatzke	4	Conceptual	¢50.000	\$c00.000	¢c 200	\$606 200	¢2 500 000	¢07.560	¢0 507 560	\$2,000,000
		Recirc Pump 12 Motor Replacement	A. Myrabo	2	Non-Phase	ຸລວບ,ບບບ	\$600,000	\$6,300	\$606,300	\$2,500,000	\$27,563	\$2,527,563	£100.000
		Replace APPM and PRM	A. Myrabo	2	Conceptual								\$100,000
		Simulator upgrades and improvements	S Halbert	4	Concentual								
		Transformer replacement - (Main Transformer)	A Myraho	2	Non-Phase								
		1ARSTransformers)	A Myrabo	2	Non-Phase	\$50,000	\$600,000	\$6,300	\$606,300				\$1,000,000
		Transformer Replacement (6TR)	A. Myrabo	2	Honrindoo	\$00,000	\$000,000	<i>Q</i> 0,000	<i>\</i>				\$1,000,000
		Trash Skimmer re-design anchorage											
		subtotal				\$2,652,640	\$5,005,875	\$52,562	\$5,031,600	\$4,550,000	\$50,164	\$4,600,164	\$8,425,000
		REGULATORY REQUIRED											
		Regulatory Required Security Upgrades (does not											
		include DBT)	T. Gallagher	1		\$350,000				\$1,000,000	\$11,025	\$1,011,025	\$1,000,000
		TSC Modification (includes Security Computer	A)A/:II:		Destau	£4,422,000	£44,000,000		£44.000.000				
		Upgrades)	A. Williams	1	Design	\$1,433,000	\$11,000,000		\$11,000,000				
		Meteorological System Upgrade										\$150,000	
		subtotal				\$1,783,000	\$11,000,000	\$115.500	\$11,000,000	\$1,000,000	\$11.025	\$1,161,025	\$1,000,000
	1			1		\$.,. 55,500	÷:,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	÷	÷:,000,000	÷ 1,000,000	\$1.,020	<i>,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,</i>	÷ ., 200,000
		FLEET OPTIMIZATION PROJECTS											
		subtotal	T										
		POWER UPRATE											
		Extended Power Uprate - Analysis, Planning	P. Burke	4						\$2,250,000	\$24,806	\$2,274,806	\$5,450,000
		Measurement Uncertainty Recovery Ultrasonic FW					**			**			
		FIOW	A. Myrabo	2		\$2,426,261	**		\$390,000	~~			
		Plant Thermal Efficiency											
		subtotal	1	1		\$2,426,261			\$390,000	\$2,250,000	\$24,806	\$2,274,806	\$5,450,000
	1			1		<i>,,</i> , <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i>.</i> ., <i></i>			÷000,000	,_,200,000	<i> </i>	,_,,000	<i>↓□</i> , <i>1</i> 00 ,000
	1	Major Capital Projects Total:		1		22,528,937	30,004,888	168,062	30,420,613	21,918,000	241,646	22,309,646	23,738,000
							, , , , , ,						
		ROUTINE CAPITAL PROJECTS											

PHC Log	Project Summary	Owner	Bin	Phase	Cost Thru 2005	2006		2006	2007		2007	2008
	LIFE CYCLE MANAGEMENT											
	125V Battery Charger Replacement	A Myrabo	2		\$265 539	\$175.000	\$1.838	\$176 838				
	250V Battery Replacement	A Myrabo	2		φ200,000	φ170,000	φ1,000	ψ170,000				
	24V Battery Replacement	A Myrabo										
	Alternate Source Term	M Limbeck	4	Non-Phase	\$1 097 330	\$750.000	\$7 875	\$757 875				
	Data Acquisition System (DAS) replacement	VanDell	2	li	\$810.015	\$450,000	\$4 725	\$454 725	\$400.000	\$4 410	\$404 410	\$400,000
	EDG Pinjon Abutment Fix (2008)	A. Myrabo	2		\$010,010	\$ 100,000	¢ 1,1 20	¢101,720	\$20,000	\$221	\$20,221	\$50,000
	#11 & #13 Air Comp System Upgrade	A. Myrabo	2		\$80.000	\$1.000.000	\$10,500	\$1.010.500	\$1,000,000	\$11.025	\$1.011.025	+,
	AESS Valves & Piping Upgrade	A. Myrabo	2		1 00,000	<i>†</i> • , • • • , • • • • • • •	* • • • • • • •	÷.,•.•,•••	\$15,000	\$165	\$15,165	\$80,000
	AO-1740 Valve Replacements	S. Brown	2	Non-Phase					†	* · • •	• •••••••	
	Cathodic System Protection	A. Myrabo	2		\$101.000	\$150.000	\$1.575	\$151.575	\$100.000	\$1.103	\$101.103	\$150.000
	CGCS Removal	N. Haskell	2		\$542,601	\$310.000	\$3,255	\$313,255				1
	Control Rod Blades Replacement	A. Myrabo	2	Conceptual	\$1.080.000	* ***	* 0,-55	* •••,=••	\$1,300,000	\$14,333	\$1,314,333	
	Control Rod Drive Replacements	A. Myrabo	2	Conceptual	† ., 5 5 , 5 5 5				\$200.000	\$2,205	\$202,205	
	Expansion Joint Replacement	A. Myrabo	2	Conceptual					+	+-1	+ ,	\$50.000
	High Voltage Telephone Protection	A. Myrabo	2		\$180.000							+ ==,===
	HPCI Injection Line - OE- Steam Void Removal	A. Myrabo	1		,	\$100.000	\$1.050	\$101.050	\$150.000	\$1.654	\$151.654	
	HPCI / RCIC Final installation	A. Myrabo	2		\$1,276,828	\$50,000	\$525	\$50,525	\$150.000	\$1.654	\$151.654	
	Safe End weld overlays or replacement	S. Brown	1		\$,1-,0,0-0	***	4 0-0	+•••••••••••••	† · • • • • • • •	÷.,		
	In-Line Conductivity Meters & Probe's replace	K. Jepson	2			\$10.000	\$105	\$10,105	\$275.000	\$3.032	\$278.032	
	Local Power Range Monitors replacement	A. Myrabo	2	Conceptual	\$257,400	÷	4.00		\$260.000	\$2,867	\$262,867	
	MO2373 & MO2374 valve replacement	S. Brown	2		Ţ_0,,	\$100.000	\$1.050	\$101.050	\$160.000	\$1,764	\$161.764	
	Maintenance Equipment Rplc	Radebaugh	2	Non-Phase		\$57,000	\$599	\$57,599	† · • • • • • •	÷ 11 • 1		\$50.000
	Met Tower Lightening Protection (2008)	A. Myrabo	2			4 01/000	++++	+ 0.1000				\$50,000
	Outage Duration Improvement Modifications	J. Dabnev	3	Conceptual		\$500.000	\$5.250	\$505.250	\$450.000	\$4.961	\$454,961	+ ==,===
	Outage Pred. (MLS Plugs - Plugs)	J. Dabnev	3	Conceptual		\$420,000	\$4,410	\$424,410		1 1		
	Outage Pred. (Pole System/Separator Wrench)	J. Dabnev	3	Conceptual		\$100.000	\$1,050	\$101.050				
	Outage Pred. (Rx detention) - Pump & Engr	J. Dabnev	3	Conceptual		\$261,000	\$2,741	\$263,741				
	Outage Pred. (Rx detention) - Studs & Spares	J. Dabnev	3	Conceptual					\$625.800	\$6.899	\$632.699	
	11 replace.	K. Jepson	3	Non-Phase		\$95.000	\$998	\$95,998				
	Paperless Recorders	B. MacKissock	2			\$865.000	\$9.083	\$874.083	\$880.000	\$9.702	\$889.702	\$1,100.000
	Primary Cont Bellows Replace (Contingency)	A. Myrabo	2	Conceptual		*****						
	Refuel Bridge Crane Replacement	J. Dabnev	3	Conceptual	\$75.000	\$1.000.000	\$10,500	\$1.010.500	\$500.000	\$5,513	\$505,513	
	Replace Obsolete Rotork Actuators	S. Brown	2		\$200,000	\$350,000	\$3,675	\$353,675	\$450,000	\$4,961	\$454,961	\$450,000
	Replace SRM and IRM	Myrabo				· · ·						
	Scanner/Digital Archiver	A. Williams	2	Non-Phase		\$95.000	\$998	\$95.998				
	Secondary Containment Airlock Doors	A. Myrabo	2		\$213.218	\$100.000	\$1.050	\$101.050				
	Security Building Portal Monitors	T. Gallagher	2	Non-Phase		\$200,000	\$2,100	\$202,100				
	Security Computer replace (n conjunction with											
	TSC)	R. VanDell	2			\$625,000	\$6,563	\$631,563				
	Security Replacements	T. Gallagher	2	Non-Phase		\$350,000	\$3,675	\$353,675	\$500,000	\$5,513	\$505,513	
	Service Water Pumps Replacements	A. Myrabo	2		\$192,801	\$795,000	\$8,348	\$803,348				
	Stack Dilution and EDG Supply Air fans (motors)	A. Myrabo	2									\$250,000
	S-102 Trash Rake Replacement	S. Radebaugh	2	III		\$400,000	\$4,200	\$404,200				
	Trip logic cards (EPA)	S. Radebaugh		2		\$180,000	\$1,890	\$181,890				
	Voltage Regulator replacement	E. Graftaas	2	II		\$1,200,000	\$12,600	\$1,212,600	\$800,000	\$8,820	\$808,820	
	LPRM, RPIS cables)	N. Haskell	2	I	\$350,000	\$100,000	\$1,050	\$101,050	\$533,000	\$5,876	\$538,876	
	Blankets - Site	Blegen	2		\$680,000	\$750,000	\$7,875	\$757,875	\$750,000	\$8,269	\$758,269	\$750,000
	Blankets - I/T	Blegen	2		\$300,000	\$300,000	\$3,150	\$303,150	\$300,000	\$3,308	\$303,308	\$300,000
	Undefined Projects								-		\$1,098,000	
	subtotal				\$7,701,732	\$11,838,000	\$124,299	\$11,962,299	\$9,818,800	\$108,252	\$11,025,052	\$3,680,000
	REGULATORY REQUIRED											
	SRV E,F,G,H Div II Manual Controls	S. Brown	1	I	\$17,752	\$165,000	\$1,733	\$166,733	\$210,000	\$2,315	\$212,315	
	subtotal				\$17,752	\$165,000	\$1,733	\$166,733	\$210,000	\$2,315	\$212,315	
	FACILITIES MANAGEMENT		1 -									

PHC	Log	Project Summary	Owner	Bin	Phase	Cost Thru 2005	2006		2006	2007		2007	2008
		Control Room Workstations	B. MacKissock	4		\$241,684							
		Computer Workstations	VanDell	4	NonPhase	\$226,000							
		Expand WEC (2006)	B. Mackissock	4			\$100,000	\$1,050	\$101,050	\$500,000	\$5,513	\$505,513	\$500,000
		Facilities Infrastructure Improvements	A. Williams			\$200,000	\$200,000	\$2,100	\$202,100	\$200,000	\$2,205	\$202,205	\$200,000
		Roof Replacement	S. Radebaugh	4	Non-Phase	\$200,000	\$100,000	\$1,050	\$101,050	\$200,000	\$2,205	\$202,205	\$100,000
		Training Center Equipment Upgrades	S. Halbert	4	Non-Phase		\$100,000	\$1,050	\$101,050	\$150,000	\$1,654	\$151,654	
		Upgrades to SAB/PAB/MTC								\$75,000	\$827	\$75,827	\$75,000
		Upgrade EPA Building	S. Radebaugh	4			\$112,000	\$1,176	\$113,176				
		subtotal				\$867,684	\$612,000	\$6,426	\$618,426	\$1,125,000	\$12,403	\$1,137,403	\$875,000
Routine		Routine Capital Projects Total				\$8,587,168	\$12,615,000	\$132,458	\$12,747,458	\$11,153,800	\$122,971	\$12,374,771	\$4,555,000
		TOTAL CAPITAL				\$31,116,105	\$42,619,888	\$300,519	\$43,168,071	\$33,071,800	\$364,617	\$34,684,417	\$28,293,000
		NUCLEAR CAPITAL FUELS											
							\$18,700,000			\$28,250,000	\$311,456		\$12,723,000
		TOTAL NUCLEAR CAPITAL FUELS					\$18,700,000		\$18,700,000	\$28,250,000	\$311,456	\$28,561,456	\$12,723,000
		TOTAL CAPITAL AND FUELS				\$31,116,105	\$61,319,888	\$300,519	\$61,868,071	\$61,321,800	\$676,073	\$63,245,873	\$41,016,000
		NOTE: Possible additional project for Design	n Basis Threat. C	Cost assoc	ciated with project	t has not been estimated							
L		NOTE: Intended changes to 2005/2006/200	7 cash flows are r	not yet ful	ly reflected in site	e budgets					'		
											ļ'		
L											ļ'		
L											ļ'		
1	1			1							1	1	

Drainet Cummons	0		2000	2000		2000	2010		2010	2014		2014
	Owner		2008	2009		2009	2010		2010	2011		2011
MAJOR CAPITAL PROJECTS												
DRT FUEL STORAGE	M. Malfaarum	¢400.500	¢0.005.500				¢0,000,010	¢00.040	¢0.007.004	¢40.000.405	¢400.000	¢40 500 707
Independent Spent Fuel Storage Installation	IVI. IVICKeown	\$102,598	\$8,965,598				\$2,633,618	\$33,613	\$2,667,231	\$10,399,405	\$139,362	\$10,538,767
subtotal		\$102,598	\$8,965,598				\$2,633,618	\$33,613	\$2,667,231	\$10,399,405	\$139,362	\$10,538,767
BART 50 LICENSE RENEWAL												
License Renewal	P Burko											
subtotal	T. Durke											
Subtour												
LIFE CYCLE MANAGEMENT												
316B (EPA Rule)	J. Holthous						\$7 500 000	\$95 723	\$7 595 723	\$7 500 000	\$100 508	\$7,600,508
4KV Breaker Replacement	S Brown	\$11.576	\$1 011 576	\$2,000,000	\$24,310	\$2 024 310	\$1,000,000	\$12,763	\$1,012,763	\$2,000,000	\$26,802	\$2,026,802
#17 Battery Replacement			\$., \$, \$	+_,,	4 = 1, 5 1 5	1 -10-110-10	 	¢1. **	\$75,000		4-515 5-	+-,,
Cooling Tower Repairs	A Myrabo			\$1,500,000	\$18 233	\$1 518 233	\$1,500,000	\$19 145	\$3 519 145			
Charcoal Filter for Off gas System (2009)	B Sawatzke	\$8,682	\$758 682	\$10,000,000	\$121 550	\$10,121,550	ψ1,000,000	φ10,140	φ0,010,140			
Future Cable Replacements	N. Haskell	40,002	\$1,250,000	\$10,000,000	¢121,000	\$2,000,000			\$1,550,000	\$2,000,000	\$26,802	\$2,026,802
Concretes Deviados/Eveitos Unasoda	A 14/311:	¢40.000	¢4,502,000	\$ 500,000	¢c 070	¢500.070	¢500.000	\$C 202	¢500,000	¢40,500,000	£1.10.711	£45 C40 744
Improved Stondard Tech Space	A. Williams	\$10,232	\$1,593,232	\$500,000	φ 0,070	\$300,078	\$500,000	\$0,30Z	\$000,36Z	\$10,500,000	\$140,711	\$15,640,711
Main Steam EM piping repair/replacement	B. Sawatzke	-					¢500.000	¢c 292	<i>PEOC 202</i>	£1 500 000	¢20,402	¢1 500 100
Main Steam, FW piping repair/replacement	A. IVIYIADU	¢00.450	¢0,000,450	¢0,000,000	©04.040	© 004 040	\$500,000	\$0,30Z	4000,302	φ1,500,000	φ20,102	φ1,520,102
NFPA 803 Implementation	S. DIUWII	\$23,152	\$2,023,152	\$2,000,000	\$24,310	\$2,024,310	¢0,000,000	¢05 500	#0.005 F00	© 050,000	¢0.050	\$ 050.050
Process Computer II Improvements	R. VanDell	¢00.450	#0.000.450	¢2,000,000	#20,405	¢0.000.405	\$2,000,000	\$25,526	\$2,025,526	\$250,000	\$3,350	\$253,350
Rebuild/Redesign of the Main Control Room	B. Sawatzke	\$23,152	\$2,023,152	\$3,000,000	\$30,400	\$3,030,400						
Recirc Pump 12 Motor Replacement	A. Myrabo	01.150	8 404.450	* 0.000.000	* 04.040	* *****				* 4 000 000	0 50.004	#0.050.004
Replace Feed Water Heaters (13-15)	A. Myrabo	\$1,158	\$101,158	\$2,000,000	\$24,310	\$6,024,310	# 1,000,000	\$54.050	#5 054 050	\$4,000,000	\$53,604	\$9,053,604
Replace APRM and RBM	A. Myrabo						\$4,000,000	\$51,052	\$5,051,052	\$2,000,000	\$26,802	\$2,026,802
Simulator upgrades and improvements	S. Halbert						\$3,000,000	\$38,289	\$3,038,289	84 500 000	* ***	* 4 500 400
Transformer replacement - (Main Transformer)	A. Myrabo	011 570	84 044 570	0 4 000 000	840.455		\$4,000,000	\$51,052	\$5,051,052	\$1,500,000	\$20,102	\$1,520,102
TARS I ransformers)	A. Myrabo	\$11,576	\$1,011,576	\$1,000,000	\$12,155	\$5,012,155						
Transformer Replacement (61R)	A. Myrado											
I rash Skimmer re-design anchorage		407 500	A0 770 500	* *** ***	* ***	\$50,000	****	\$ 000 040		AA4 050 000		A 44 000 700
subtotal		\$97,528	\$9,772,528	\$22,000,000	\$267,410	\$32,317,410	\$24,000,000	\$306,312	\$29,931,313	\$31,250,000	\$418,781	\$41,668,782
REGULATORT REQUIRED												
include DBT)	T. Gallagher	\$11,576	\$1,011,576	\$1,000,000	\$12,155	\$1,012,155	\$1,000,000	\$12,763	\$1,012,763	\$1,000,000	\$13,401	\$1,013,401
TSC Modification (includes Security Computer												
Upgrades)	A. Williams											
Meteorological System Liberade												
subtotal		\$11 576	\$1 011 576	\$1,000,000	\$12 155	\$1 012 155	\$1,000,000	\$12 763	\$1 012 763	\$1,000,000	\$13 401	\$1 013 401
		<i><i><i>ψ</i>11,070</i></i>	\$1,011,010	\$1,000,000	<i>\$12,100</i>	\$1,012,100	\$1,000,000	<i><i><i>ϕ</i>/2,700</i></i>	\$1,012,700	\$1,000,000	<i><i><i></i></i></i>	\$1,010,401
FLEET OPTIMIZATION PROJECTS												
subtotal												
POWER UPRATE												
Extended Power Uprate - Analysis, Planning	P. Burke	\$63,089	\$5,513,089	\$27,694,000	\$336,621	\$20,030,621	\$30,164,000	\$384,983	\$20,548,983	\$12,150,000	\$162,822	\$7,312,822
Measurement Uncertainty Recovery Ultrasonic FW	A Myrabo											
Add Reheat to Moisture Separators to Improve												
Plant Thermal Efficiency												\$20,000,000
subtotal	1	\$63,089	\$5,513,089	\$27,694,000	\$336,621	\$20,030,621	\$30,164,000	\$384,983	\$20,548,983	\$12,150,000	\$162,822	\$27,312,822
					,							
Major Capital Projects Total:		274,791	25,262,791	50,694,000	616,186	53,360,186	57,797,618	737,671	54,160,289	54,799,405	734,367	80,533,772
ROUTINE CAPITAL PROJECTS												
	1											

Docket No. E002/CI-13-754 Exhibit ___(TJO-3), Schedule 3 Page 7 of 14

Project Summary	Owner		2008	2009		2009	2010	•	2010	2011		2011
LIFE CYCLE MANAGEMENT												
125V Battery Charger Replacement	A. Myrabo											
250V Battery Replacement	A. Myrabo			\$150,000	\$1,823	\$151,823				\$150,000	\$2,010	\$152,010
24V Battery Replacement	A. Myrabo						\$100,000	\$1,276	\$101,276			
Alternate Source Term	M. Limbeck											
Data Acquisition System (DAS) replacement	VanDell	\$4,630	\$404,630	\$400,000	\$4,862	\$404,862						
EDG Pinion Abutment Fix (2008)	A. Myrabo	\$579	\$50,579									
#11 & #13 Air Comp System Upgrade	A. Myrabo											
AESS Valves & Piping Upgrade	A. Myrabo	\$926	\$80,926	\$200,000	\$2,431	\$202,431						
AO-1740 Valve Replacements	S. Brown			\$150,000	\$1,823	\$151,823						
Cathodic System Protection	A. Myrabo	\$1,736	\$151,736	\$150,000	\$1,823	\$151,823						
CGCS Removal	N. Haskell											
Control Rod Blades Replacement	A. Myrabo			\$1,300,000	\$15,802	\$1,315,802				\$1,500,000	\$20,102	\$1,520,102
Control Rod Drive Replacements	A. Myrabo			\$400,000	\$4,862	\$404,862						
Expansion Joint Replacement	A. Myrabo	\$579	\$50,579	\$200,000	\$2,431	\$202,431	\$150,000	\$1,914	\$151,914	\$500,000	\$6,701	\$506,701
High Voltage Telephone Protection	A. Myrabo											
HPCI Injection Line - OE- Steam Void Removal	A. Myrabo											
HPCI / RCIC Final installation	A. Myrabo									* /	0 (0) (0)	A
Safe End weld overlays or replacement	S. Brown									\$1,000,000	\$13,401	\$1,013,401
In-Line Conductivity Meters & Probe's replace	K. Jepson			****	AA 1444	****						
Local Power Range Monitors replacement	A. Myrabo			\$260,000	\$3,160	\$263,160				\$280,000	\$3,752	\$283,752
MO2373 & MO2374 valve replacement	S. Brown	A 570	* 50.570				* 50.000	* 000	A 50.000			
Maintenance Equipment Rpic	Radebaugh	\$579	\$50,579				\$50,000	\$638	\$50,638			
Met Tower Lightening Protection (2008)	A. Myrabo	\$579	\$50,579									
Outage Duration Improvement Modifications	J. Dabney											
Outage Pred. (MLS Plugs - Plugs)	J. Dabney											
Outage Pred. (Pole System/Separator Wrench)	J. Dabney											
Outage Fred. (Rx detention) - Fump & Engl	J. Dabrey											
11 replace	J. Dabriey											
Paperless Recorders	R. Jepson B. Mackiesock	¢10 724	¢1 112 724	\$252,000	¢4 201	¢257 201						
Primary Cont Bellows Replace (Contingency)	A Myrabo	φ12,73 4	φ1,112,734	\$353,000	\$4,291	<i>4</i> 337,291	\$500.000	\$6.382	\$506 382	\$500.000	\$6 701	\$506 701
Refuel Bridge Crane Replacement	L Dabney						\$300,000	ψ0,302	ψ000,002	\$300,000	φ0,701	4000,70T
Replace Obsolete Rotork Actuators	S Brown	\$5 209	\$455,209	\$180,000	\$2 188	\$182 188						
Replace SRM and IRM	Myrabo	\$0,200	<i>\\</i> 100,200	\$100,000	<i>q</i> 2,100	<i>\\</i>	\$2,000,000	\$25.526	\$2,025,526	\$1,000,000	\$13.401	\$1 013 401
Scanner/Digital Archiver	A Williams						φ2,000,000	φ20,020	ψ2,020,020	φ1,000,000	φ10, 4 01	\$1,010,401
Secondary Containment Airlock Doors	A. Myrabo											
Security Building Portal Monitors	T. Gallagher											
Security Computer replace (n conjunction with												
TSC)	R. VanDell											
Security Replacements	T. Gallagher			\$500,000	\$6,078	\$506,078				\$500,000	\$6,701	\$506,701
Service Water Pumps Replacements	A. Myrabo											
Stack Dilution and EDG Supply Air fans (motors)	A. Myrabo	\$2,894	\$252,894	\$250,000	\$3,039	\$253,039						
S-102 Trash Rake Replacement	S. Radebaugh											
Trip logic cards (EPA)	S. Radebaugh											
Voltage Regulator replacement	E. Graftaas											
LPRM, RPIS cables)	N. Haskell											
Blankets - Site	Blegen	\$8,682	\$758,682	\$750,000	\$9,116	\$759,116	\$750,000	\$9,572	\$759,572	\$750,000	\$10,051	\$760,051
Blankets - I/T	Blegen	\$3,473	\$303,473	\$300,000	\$3,647	\$303,647	\$300,000	\$3,829	\$303,829	\$300,000	\$4,020	\$304,020
Undefined Projects			\$7,853,400			\$6,544,625			\$8,863,862			\$6,834,162
subtotal		\$42,600	\$11,576,000	\$5,543,000	\$67,375	\$12,155,000	\$3,850,000	\$49,138	\$12,763,000	\$6,480,000	\$86,838	\$13,401,000
REGULATORY REQUIRED												
SRV E,F,G,H Div II Manual Controls	S. Brown											
subtotal												
FACILITIES MANAGEMENT												

Docket No. E002/CI-13-754 Exhibit ___(TJO-3), Schedule 3 Page 8 of 14

Project Summary	Owner		2008	2009		2009	2010		2010	2011		2011
Control Room Workstations	B. MacKissock										· · · · · ·	
Computer Workstations	VanDell										i	
Expand WEC (2006)	B. Mackissock	\$5,788	\$505,788								-	
Facilities Infrastructure Improvements	A. Williams	\$2,315	\$202,315	\$200,000	\$2,431	\$202,431	\$200,000	\$2,553	\$202,553			
Roof Replacement	S. Radebaugh	\$1,158	\$101,158	\$200,000	\$2,431	\$202,431						
Training Center Equipment Upgrades	S. Halbert											
Upgrades to SAB/PAB/MTC		\$868	\$75,868	\$75,000	\$912	\$75,912	\$75,000	\$957	\$75,957	\$75,000	\$1,005	\$76,005
Upgrade EPA Building	S. Radebaugh											
subtotal		\$10,129	\$885,129	\$475,000	\$5,774	\$480,774	\$275,000	\$3,510	\$278,510	\$75,000	\$1,005	\$76,005
Routine Capital Projects Total		\$52,729	\$12,461,129	\$6,018,000	\$73,149	\$12,635,774	\$4,125,000	\$52,647	\$13,041,509	\$6,555,000	\$87,844	\$13,477,006
												1
TOTAL CAPITAL		\$327,520	\$37,723,920	\$56,712,000	\$689,334	\$65,995,960	\$61,922,618	\$790,318	\$67,201,799	\$61,354,405	\$822,210	\$94,010,778
												1
												1
NUCLEAR CAPITAL FUELS												1
		\$147,281		\$26,455,000	\$321,561		\$16,376,000	\$209,007		\$27,541,000	\$369,077	1
TOTAL NUCLEAR CAPITAL FUELS		\$147,281	\$12,870,281	\$26,455,000	\$321,561	\$26,776,561	\$16,376,000	\$209,007	\$16,585,007	\$27,541,000	\$369,077	\$27,910,077
												1
												L
TOTAL CAPITAL AND FUELS		\$474,801	\$50,594,201	\$83,167,000	\$1,010,895	\$92,772,520	\$78,298,618	\$999,325	\$83,786,806	\$88,895,405	\$1,191,287	\$121,920,855
NOTE: Possible additional project for Design	Basis Threat. C											l
NOTE: Intended changes to 2005/2006/2007	' cash flows are n											l
												ļ
												L
											ļ	1

Discipat Summary	0	2012		2042	2042		2042	2014		2014	2045	
	Owner	2012		2012	2013		2013	2014		2014	2015	
MAJOR CAPITAL PROJECTS												
DBY FUEL STORAGE	-											
Independent Spent Evel Storage Installation	M McKoown	¢0.592.700	¢124 020	¢0 717 529								
subtotal	IVI. IVICINEOWIT	\$9,302,700	\$134,030	\$9,717,530								
Subiolai		<i>\$</i> 5,382,700	<i>\$134,030</i>	<i>\$5,111,</i> 330								
PART 50 LICENSE RENEWAL												
License Renewal	P Burke											
subtotal	i i Baino											
LIFE CYCLE MANAGEMENT												
316B (EPA Rule)	J. Holthous											
4KV Breaker Replacement	S. Brown	\$2,000,000	\$28,142	\$3.028.142	\$2,000,000	\$29.550	\$3.029.550					
#17 Battery Replacement			* * *				1.1					
Cooling Tower Repairs	A. Mvrabo											
Charcoal Filter for Off gas System (2009)	B. Sawatzke											
Future Cable Replacements	N. Haskell	\$295,830	\$4,163	\$299,993	\$2,000,000	\$29,550	\$2,029,550	\$750,000	\$11,635	\$761,635		
Generator Rewedge/Exciter Upgrade	A Williams											
Improved Standard Tech Specs	B. Sawatzke											
Main Steam, FW piping repair/replacement	A Myrabo				\$2,000,000	\$29.550	\$2 029 550					
NEPA 805 Implementation	S Brown				φ2,000,000	φ20,000	φ2,020,000					
Process Computer IT Improvements	R VanDell	\$250,000	\$3.518	\$253 518								
Rebuild/Redesign of the Main Control Room	B. Sawatzke	\$200,000	\$0,010	\$200,010								
Recirc Pump 12 Motor Replacement	A. Myrabo											
Replace Feed Water Heaters (13-15)	A. Myrabo				\$4,000,000	\$59,100	\$4,059,100					
Replace APRM and RBM	A. Myrabo				÷.,,	\$ 00,000	, ,, , ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,					
Simulator upgrades and improvements	S. Halbert											
Transformer replacement - (Main Transformer)	A. Myrabo											
1ARSTransformers)	A. Myrabo				\$4,000,000	\$59,100	\$4,059,100				\$4,000,000	\$65,156
Transformer Replacement (6TR)	A. Myrabo										\$5,000,000	\$81,445
Trash Skimmer re-design anchorage												
subtotal		\$2,545,830	\$35,822	\$3,581,652	\$14,000,000	\$206,850	\$15,206,850	\$750,000	\$11,635	\$761,635	\$9,000,000	\$146,601
REGULATORY REQUIRED												
Regulatory Required Security Upgrades (does not include DBT)	T. Gallagher	\$1,000,000	\$14,071	\$1,014,071	\$1,000,000	\$14,775	\$1,014,775	\$1,000,000	\$15,513	\$1,015,513	\$1,000,000	\$16,289
TSC Modification (includes Security Computer												
Upgrades)	A. Williams											
Meteorological System Upgrade			A	A		A	A					
subtotal		\$1,000,000	\$14,071	\$1,014,071	\$1,000,000	\$14,775	\$1,014,775	\$1,000,000	\$15,513	\$1,015,513	\$1,000,000	\$16,289
FLEET OPTIMIZATION PROJECTS	-											
Subtotal	-											
POWER UPRATE												
Extended Power Uprate - Analysis, Planning	P Burke	\$34 283 000	\$482,396	\$30 765 396	\$3 549 000	\$52 436	\$3 601 436					
Measurement Uncertainty Recovery Ultrasonic FW	A. Myrabo	\$01,200,000	\$ 102,000	<i>400,100,000</i>	\$0,010,000	¢02,100	\$0,001,100					
Add Reheat to Moisture Separators to Improve Plant Thermal Efficiency												
subtotal		\$34.283.000	\$482.396	\$30,765,396	\$3.549.000	\$52,436	\$3.601.436					
		,,, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,, ,,,,,,,	<i>,</i> , ,	,,. , ,	<i>,.,</i> ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	<i>, 100</i>	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,					
Major Capital Projects Total:		47,411,530	667,128	45,078,658	18,549,000	274,061	19,823,061	1,750,000	27,148	1,777,148	10,000,000	162,890
ROUTINE CAPITAL PROJECTS												
	L											

Docket No. E002/CI-13-754 Exhibit ___(TJO-3), Schedule 3 Page 10 of 14

Project Summary	Owner	2012		2012	2013		2013	2014		2014	2015	
LIFE CYCLE MANAGEMENT												
125V Battery Charger Replacement	A. Myrabo				\$75,000	\$1,108	\$76,108				\$75,000	\$1,222
250V Battery Replacement	A. Myrabo				\$150,000	\$2,216	\$152,216					
24V Battery Replacement	A. Myrabo											
Alternate Source Term	M. Limbeck											
Data Acquisition System (DAS) replacement	VanDell											
EDG Pinion Abutment Fix (2008)	A. Myrabo											
#11 & #13 Air Comp System Upgrade	A. Myrabo											
AESS Valves & Piping Upgrade	A. Myrabo											
AO-1740 Valve Replacements	S. Brown											
Cathodic System Protection	A. Myrabo											
CGCS Removal	N. Haskell											
Control Rod Blades Replacement	A. Myrabo				\$1,500,000	\$22,163	\$1,522,163				\$1,700,000	\$27,691
Control Rod Drive Replacements	A. Myrabo				\$400,000	\$5,910	\$405,910					
Expansion Joint Replacement	A. Myrabo											
High Voltage Telephone Protection	A. Myrabo											
HPCI Injection Line - OE- Steam Void Removal	A. Myrabo											
HPCI / RCIC Final installation	A. Myrabo											
Safe End weld overlays or replacement	S. Brown											
In-Line Conductivity Meters & Probe's replace	K. Jepson											
Local Power Range Monitors replacement	A. Myrabo				\$300,000	\$4,433	\$304,433				\$300,000	\$4,887
MO2373 & MO2374 valve replacement	S. Brown											
Maintenance Equipment Rplc	Radebaugh	\$50,000	\$704	\$50,704				\$50,000	\$776	\$50,776		
Met Tower Lightening Protection (2008)	A. Myrabo											
Outage Duration Improvement Modifications	J. Dabney											
Outage Pred. (MLS Plugs - Plugs)	J. Dabney											
Outage Pred. (Pole System/Separator Wrench)	J. Dabney											
Outage Pred. (Rx detention) - Pump & Engr	J. Dabney											
Outage Pred. (Rx detention) - Studs & Spares	J. Dabney											
11 replace.	K. Jepson											
Paperless Recorders	B. MacKissock											
Primary Cont Bellows Replace (Contingency)	A. Myrabo	\$500,000	\$7,036	\$507,036	\$500,000	\$7,388	\$507,388					
Refuel Bridge Crane Replacement	J. Dabney											
Replace Obsolete Rotork Actuators	S. Brown											
Replace SRM and IRM	Myrabo											
Scanner/Digital Archiver	A. Williams											
Secondary Containment Airlock Doors	A. Myrabo											
Security Building Portal Monitors	T. Gallagher											
Security Computer replace (n conjunction with												
TSC)	R. VanDell											
Security Replacements	T. Gallagher				\$500,000	\$7,388	\$507,388				\$500,000	\$8,145
Service Water Pumps Replacements	A. Myrabo											
Stack Dilution and EDG Supply Air fans (motors)	A. Mvrabo											
S-102 Trash Rake Replacement	S. Radebaugh											
Trip logic cards (EPA)	S. Radebaugh											
Voltage Regulator replacement	E Graftaas											
I PRM_RPIS cables)	N. Haskell											
Blankets - Site	Blegen	\$750.000	\$10.553	\$760 553	\$750.000	\$11.081	\$761.081	\$750,000	\$11 635	\$761 635	\$750.000	\$12 217
Blankets - I/T	Blegen	\$200,000	\$1.0,000 \$4.001	\$204,221	\$200,000	\$11,001 \$4,422	\$204.422	\$200,000	\$11,000 \$4,654	\$204 654	\$200,000	¢1 007
Indefined Brojects	Diegen	\$300,000	₽ 4 ,221	\$304,221 \$12,449,496	\$300,000	φ4,433	¢10 222 992	\$300,000	φ 4 ,034	\$304,034 \$14,205,026	\$300,000	φ4,007
subtotal		\$1,600,000	\$22 514	\$12,440,400	\$4 475 000	\$66 119	\$10,223,002	\$1 100 000	\$17.064	\$14,393,930	\$2 625 000	\$50.049
30000		\$1,000,000	<i>\$</i> 22,314	\$14,071,000	<i>\$</i> 4,47 <i>3,000</i>	<i>4</i> 00,778	\$14,705,000	\$1,100,000	<i>\$11,</i> 004	\$13,313,000	\$3,023,000	<i>\$</i> 3 3 ,040
REGULATORY REQUIRED												
SRV E,F,G,H Div II Manual Controls	S. Brown											
subtotal												

Docket No. E002/CI-13-754 Exhibit ___(TJO-3), Schedule 3 Page 11 of 14

Project Summary	Owner	2012	·	2012	2013		2013	2014		2014	2015	
Control Room Workstations	B. MacKissock											
Computer Workstations	VanDell											
Expand WEC (2006)	B. Mackissock											
Facilities Infrastructure Improvements	A. Williams											
Roof Replacement	S. Radebaugh											
Training Center Equipment Upgrades	S. Halbert											
Upgrades to SAB/PAB/MTC		\$75,000	\$1,055	\$76,055	\$75,000	\$1,108	\$76,108	\$75,000	\$1,163	\$76,163	\$75,000	\$1,222
Upgrade EPA Building	S. Radebaugh											
subtotal		\$75,000	\$1,055	\$76,055	\$75,000	\$1,108	\$76,108	\$75,000	\$1,163	\$76,163	\$75,000	\$1,222
Routine Capital Projects Total		\$1.675.000	\$23.569	\$14,147,055	\$4.550.000	\$67.226	\$14.841.108	\$1.175.000	\$18.228	\$15.589.164	\$3,700,000	\$60.269
		\$1,010,000	\$20,000	¢,,	\$ 1,000,000	<i>v</i> (), <u></u> 220	¢: ije : ij:ee	\$1,110,000	¢:0,220	\$10,000,101	\$0,100,000	<i>\$00,200</i>
TOTAL CAPITAL		\$49,086,530	\$690,697	\$59,225,712	\$23,099,000	\$341,288	\$34,664,170	\$2,925,000	\$45,376	\$17,366,312	\$13,700,000	\$223,159
NUCLEAR CAPITAL FUELS												
		\$17,120,000	\$240,896		\$28,517,000	\$421,339		\$18,127,000	\$281,204		\$29,617,000	\$482,431
TOTAL NUCLEAR CAPITAL FUELS		\$17,120,000	\$240,896	\$17,360,896	\$28,517,000	\$421,339	\$28,938,339	\$18,127,000	\$281,204	\$18,408,204	\$29,617,000	\$482,431
TOTAL CAPITAL AND FUELS		\$66,206,530	\$931,592	\$76,586,608	\$51,616,000	\$762,626	\$63,602,508	\$21,052,000	\$326,580	\$35,774,516	\$43,317,000	\$705,591
NOTE: Possible additional project for Design	Basis Threat C											
Norte. I usable additional project for Design	Dasis Threat. O											
NOTE: Intended changes to 2005/2006/2007	cash flows are n											

Project Summary	Owner	2015	Total
MAJOR CAPITAL PROJECTS			
DRY FUEL STORAGE			
Independent Spent Fuel Storage Installation	M. McKeown		\$59.824.286
subtotal			\$55.911.286
			<i>***,***,_</i>
PART 50 LICENSE RENEWAL			
License Renewal	P. Burke		\$16,004,549
subtotal			\$4,250,513
LIFE CYCLE MANAGEMENT			
316B (EPA Rule)	J. Holthous		\$15,802,530
4KV Breaker Replacement	S. Brown		\$12,133,143
#17 Battery Replacement			
Cooling Tower Repairs	A. Myrabo		\$5,037,378
Charcoal Filter for Off gas System (2009)	B. Sawatzke		\$11,132,988
Future Cable Replacements	N. Haskell		\$10,372,862
Generator Rewedge/Exciter Upgrade	A. Williams		\$20,268,190
Improved Standard Tech Specs	B. Sawatzke		\$5,108,515
Main Steam, FW piping repair/replacement	A. Myrabo		\$4,056,033
NFPA 805 Implementation	S. Brown		\$4,047,462
Process Computer IT Improvements	R. VanDell		\$2,532,394
Rebuild/Redesign of the Main Control Room	B. Sawatzke		\$5,059,617
Recirc Pump 12 Motor Replacement	A. Myrabo		\$3,183,863
Replace Feed Water Heaters (13-15)	A. Myrabo		\$19,238,172
Replace APRM and RBM	A. Myrabo		\$7,077,854
Simulator upgrades and improvements	S. Halbert		\$3,038,289
Transformer replacement - (Main Transformer)	A. Myrabo		\$6,571,154
1ARSTransformers)	A. Myrabo	\$4,065,156	\$14,804,287
Transformer Replacement (6TR)	A. Myrabo	\$5,081,445	\$5,081,445
Trash Skimmer re-design anchorage			
subtotal		\$9,146,601	\$152,018,534
REGULATORY REQUIRED			
Regulatory Required Security Upgrades (does not include DBT)	T. Gallagher	\$1,016,289	\$9,471,568
TSC Modification (includes Security Computer			• • • • • • • • •
Upgrades)	A. Williams		\$12,433,000
Motoorological System Lingrado			
subtotal		\$1 016 280	\$20.271.569
Subiotal		<i>\$1,010,209</i>	\$20,271,308
ELEET OPTIMIZATION PROJECTS			
subtotal			
POWER UPRATE			
Extended Power Uprate - Analysis, Planning	P. Burke		\$90,047,154
Measurement Uncertainty Recovery Ultrasonic FW Flow	A. Myrabo		\$390,000
Add Reheat to Moisture Separators to Improve Plant Thermal Efficiency			
subtotal			\$90,437,154
Major Capital Projects Total:		10,162,890	322,889,054
ROUTINE CAPITAL PROJECTS	1		
L	i	1	

Docket No. E002/CI-13-754 Exhibit ___(TJO-3), Schedule 3 Page 13 of 14

Project Summary	Owner	2015	Total
LIFE CYCLE MANAGEMENT			
125V Battery Charger Replacement	A. Myrabo	\$76.222	\$594,706
250V Battery Replacement	A. Myrabo		\$456.050
24V Battery Replacement	A. Myrabo		\$101,276
Alternate Source Term	M. Limbeck		\$1,855,205
Data Acquisition System (DAS) replacement	VanDell		\$2,478,642
EDG Pinion Abutment Fix (2008)	A. Myrabo		\$70,799
#11 & #13 Air Comp System Upgrade	A. Myrabo		\$2,101,525
AESS Valves & Piping Upgrade	A. Myrabo		\$298,522
AO-1740 Valve Replacements	S. Brown		\$151,823
Cathodic System Protection	A. Myrabo		\$657,237
CGCS Removal	N. Haskell		\$855,856
Control Rod Blades Replacement	A. Myrabo	\$1,727,691	\$8,480,089
Control Rod Drive Replacements	A. Myrabo		\$1,012,977
Expansion Joint Replacement	A. Myrabo		\$911,625
High Voltage Telephone Protection	A. Myrabo		\$180,000
HPCI Injection Line - OE- Steam Void Removal	A. Myrabo		\$252,704
HPCI / RCIC Final installation	A. Myrabo		\$1,479,007
Safe End weld overlays or replacement	S. Brown		\$1,013,401
In-Line Conductivity Meters & Probe's replace	K. Jepson		\$288,137
Local Power Range Monitors replacement	A. Myrabo	\$304,887	\$1,676,498
MO2373 & MO2374 valve replacement	S. Brown		\$262,814
Maintenance Equipment Rplc	Radebaugh		\$260,295
Met Tower Lightening Protection (2008)	A. Myrabo		\$50,579
Outage Duration Improvement Modifications	J. Dabney		\$960,211
Outage Pred. (MLS Plugs - Plugs)	J. Dabney		\$424,410
Outage Pred. (Pole System/Separator Wrench)	J. Dabney		\$101,050
Outage Pred. (Rx detention) - Pump & Engr	J. Dabney		\$263,741
Outage Pred. (Rx detention) - Studs & Spares	J. Dabney		\$632,699
11 replace.	K. Jepson		\$95,998
Paperless Recorders	B. MacKissock		\$3,233,809
Primary Cont Bellows Replace (Contingency)	A. Myrabo		\$2,027,505
Refuel Bridge Crane Replacement	J. Dabney		\$1,591,013
Replace Obsolete Rotork Actuators	S. Brown		\$1,646,033
Replace SRM and IRM	Myrabo		\$3,038,927
Scanner/Digital Archiver	A. Williams		\$95,998
Secondary Containment Airlock Doors	A. Myrabo		\$314,268
Security Building Portal Monitors	T. Gallagher		\$202,100
Security Computer replace (n conjunction with			¢621 562
Security Boolecomonte	T. Callaghor	¢509.145	\$001,000
Security Replacements	A Myrabo	\$306,145	φ2,007,490 \$006,140
Service water Fumps Replacements	A. Myrabu		\$990,149
Stack Dilution and EDG Supply Air fans (motors)	A Myrabo		\$505 033
S-102 Trash Pake Penlacement	S Radebaugh		\$404 200
	S. Radobaugh		\$191,200
	5. Radebaugh		\$101,090
L DDM DDIS cobles)	E. Granaas		\$2,021,420
Planketa Sita	N. Haskell	¢760.017	\$909,920 \$9,070,051
Blankets - Sile	Blogon	\$702,217	\$0,279,001
Lindefined Projects	biegen	\$304,007	\$3,339,020
subtotal		\$12,004,952	\$00,007,305
Subiotan	<u> </u>	φ10,209,000	φ133,320,351
SRV F F G H Div II Manual Controls	S Brown		\$306 900
subtotal	C. DIOWI		\$350,000 \$270,049
			<i>\$313,04</i> 0
FACILITIES MANAGEMENT			

Docket No. E002/CI-13-754 Exhibit ___(TJO-3), Schedule 3 Page 14 of 14

-			
Project Summary	Owner	2015	Total
Control Room Workstations	B. MacKissock		\$241,684
Computer Workstations	VanDell		\$226,000
Expand WEC (2006)	B. Mackissock		\$1,112,351
Facilities Infrastructure Improvements	A. Williams		\$1,211,604
Roof Replacement	S. Radebaugh		\$806,844
Training Center Equipment Upgrades	S. Halbert		\$252,704
Upgrades to SAB/PAB/MTC		\$76,222	\$684,118
Upgrade EPA Building	S. Radebaugh		\$113,176
subtotal		\$76,222	\$3,780,795
Routine Capital Projects Total		\$16,365,221	\$137,680,194
τοται ςαριται		\$26 528 111	\$460 569 248
TOTAL OAL HAL		\$20,020,111	<i>\$</i> 700,000,240
NUCLEAR CAPITAL FUELS			
TOTAL NUCLEAR CAPITAL FUELS		\$30,099,431	\$226,210,252
TOTAL CAPITAL AND FUELS		\$56,627,543	\$686,779,500
NOTE: Possible additional project for Des	sign Basis Threat. C		
NOTE: Intended changes to 2005/2006/2	007 cash flows are n		

LONG RANGE PLAN					CAPIT	AL COST	S									2006-2015
Project Summary	Owner	Phase	Outage	Cost Thru 2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Total 2006-2015	Total with prior cost
MAJOR CAPITAL PROJECTS																
DRY FUEL STORAGE																
Independent Spent Fuel Storage Installation (ISFSI)	McKeown			\$3,913,000	\$9,748,500	\$14,118,000	\$8,863,000	\$0	\$2,633,618	\$10,399,405	\$9,582,700	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$55,345,223	\$59,258,223
				\$3,913,000	\$9,748,500	\$14,118,000	\$8,863,000	\$U	\$2,633,618	\$10,399,405	\$9,582,700	\$U	\$U	\$0	\$33,343,223	\$39,238,223
License Renewal	Burke	Study	1	\$11,754,036	\$4,250,513	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$4 250 513	\$16 004 549
Improved Standard Tech Specs	Sawatzke	Design		\$2,552,640	\$2,555,875	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2,555,875	\$5,108,515
Subtotal				\$14,306,676	\$6,806,388	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$6,806,388	\$21,113,064
LIFE CYCLE MANAGEMENT						<u> </u>	* :	<u> </u>	* / ••• •••	AA AA AA	<u> </u>	<u> </u>			A (0, 000, 000)	
4KV Breaker Replacement	Brown	Conceptual				\$200,000	\$1,000,000	\$2,000,000 \$545,000	\$1,000,000	\$2,000,000	\$2,000,000	\$2,000,000			\$10,200,000	\$10,200,000
14&15 FW Heaters Replacement	Burke	Per budget 5/12						\$545,000	\$420,000	\$8,470,000					\$11,000,000	\$9,435,000
APRM and RBM Replacement	Myrabo	Per budget 5/12						\$1,100,000	\$2,135,000	\$4,325,000					\$7,560,000	\$7,560,000
Bellows Replacement (Primary Cont.)	Myrabo	Conceptual							\$500,000	\$500,000	\$500,000	\$5,500,000			\$7,000,000	\$7,000,000
Charcoal Filter for Off Gas System	Sawatzke	Conceptual				\$500,000	\$4,500,000	\$6,000,000							\$11,000,000	\$11,000,000
Cooling Tower Pump Motor and Spare Circ Water Pump	Myrabo	Conceptual				\$10,000	\$1,250,000	\$1,250,000							\$2 510 000	\$2 510 000
Cooling Towers Repairs	Mvrabo	Conceptual						\$1,500.000	\$1,500,000						\$3,000,000	\$3,000,000
Diesel Fire Pump Panel Replacement	Myrabo	Implementation			\$69,000			\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000						\$69,000	\$69,000
EPA De-Icing Pumps and Motors Upgrade	Young	Conceptual				\$100,000									\$100,000	\$100,000
Fuel Pool Heat Exchangers Replacement	Myrabo	Conceptual							\$700,000						\$700,000	\$700,000
Cable Replacements - Raceway	Haskell	Conceptual			* =00.000	\$300,000	\$1,250,000	\$2,000,000		\$2,000,000	\$295,830	\$2,000,000	\$750,000		\$8,595,830	\$8,595,830
Generator Rewedge	Williams	Design Per budget 5/12			\$500,000	\$830,000	\$U \$135.000	\$1 110 000	\$111.000		\$0				\$1,330,000	\$1,330,000
Generator Rewind	Williams	Conceptual				\$45,000	\$135,000	\$250,000	\$11,000	\$5 250 000					\$5,750,000	\$5,750,000
HAYS O2 Monitor replacement	Parker	Conceptual				100,000		\$200,000	φ200,000	40,200,000					\$100,000	\$100,000
In-Line Conductivity Meters & Probe's replace	Jepson	Design			\$10,000	\$275,000									\$285,000	\$285,000
Instrument Air System Upgrade (11&13 Air Comp)	Radebaugh	Design		\$80,000	\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2,000,000	\$2,080,000
MSIV Actuators - Replacement	Parker	Conceptual				\$250,000		\$250,000		\$250,000		\$250,000			\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000
Main Steam, FW Piping Repair & replacement	Myrabo	Conceptual						\$0 \$250.000	\$500,000	\$1,500,000	\$0 \$250.000	\$2,000,000		\$250.000	\$4,000,000	\$4,000,000
Process Computer Liberades - I/T		Conceptual	+ +					\$250,000	\$2,000,000	\$250,000	\$250,000	\$250,000		\$250,000	\$2,500,000	\$1,250,000
Recirc Pump 12 Motor, Pump Replacement	Mvrabo	Design		\$50.000	\$974.000	\$3.830.000			\$2,000,000	φ230,000	\$250,000				\$4,804,000	\$4,854,000
Refuel Bridge Crane Replacement	Dabney	Conceptual		\$75,000	\$239,433	\$3,130,000									\$3,369,433	\$3,444,433
Scram Discharge Volume Level Switch Replacement	Myrabo	Design			\$130,000	\$325,000									\$455,000	\$455,000
Transformer Replacement (6TR)	Myrabo	-													\$0	\$0
Simulator upgrades and improvements	Halbert	Conceptual					* ***		\$3,000,000						\$3,000,000	\$3,000,000
Transformer Oil Cooler Improvements (2R)	MacKissock	Conceptual				¢1 175 000	\$80,000	¢2 025 000	¢4 000 000	¢1 500 000				_	\$80,000	¢11 525 000
Transformer replacement -(1AR)	Myrabo	Initiation		\$50,000	\$50,000	\$250,000	\$235,000	\$725,000	\$2,775,000	\$1,500,000				\$4 000 000	\$8,035,000	\$8,085,000
Transformer replacement - (1R)	Myrabo	Initiation		400,000	400,000	\$200,000	\$200,000	\$140,000	\$600,000	\$400,000		\$2,000,000		\$2,000,000	\$5,140,000	\$5,140,000
Transformer replacement -(1ARS)	Myrabo	Initiation						\$140,000	\$600,000	\$400,000		\$2,000,000		\$2,000,000	\$5,140,000	\$5,140,000
Voltage Regulator Replacement	Myrabo														\$0	\$0
Subtotal		· · · · · · · ·		\$255,000	\$2,972,433	\$12,320,000	\$10,375,000	\$21,395,000	\$20,901,000	\$36,075,000	\$3,295,830	\$16,000,000	\$750,000	\$8,250,000	\$132,334,263	\$132,589,263
316B	Burko	Concentual				\$200.000			¢5 000 000	¢5,000,000					¢10,200,000	£10,200,000
B 5 h Fire Brigade Equipment	Williams	Initiation			\$135,000	\$300,000			\$5,000,000	\$5,000,000					\$135,000	\$135,000
B.5.b Security Upgrades	Gallagher	Implementation		\$350.000	\$100,000	\$500,000	\$500,000	\$500,000	\$500,000	\$500,000					\$2,500,000	\$2,850,000
Meteorological (MET) System Upgrade	Myrabo	Conceptual				\$150,000									\$150,000	\$150,000
NFPA 805 Transition/Implementation	Brown	Study				\$1,000,000	\$200,000	\$2,000,000							\$3,200,000	\$3,200,000
Security Modifications	Gallagher	Implementation			\$350,000										\$350,000	\$350,000
Security Computer SAS replacement	Williams	Design		£1 422 000	£11.000.000	\$700,000									\$700,000	\$700,000
Subtotal	Williams	Design		\$1,433,000	\$11,000,000	\$3,383,000	\$700.000	\$2 500 000	\$5 500 000	\$5,500,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$29,068,000	\$30,851,000
FLEET OPTIMIZATION PROJECTS				¢1,100,000	\$11,100,000	\$0,000,000	\$100,000	Ψ <u>2</u> ,000,000	40,000,000	\$0,000,000	ψõ	ΨŬ	ψũ	ψu	\$20,000,000	400,001,000
Subtotal				\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
OTHER MAJOR CAPITAL PROJECTS																
Subtotal				\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
				\$20,257,676	\$31,012,321	\$29,821,000	\$19,938,000	\$23,895,000	\$29,034,618	\$51,974,405	\$12,878,530	\$16,000,000	\$750,000	\$8,250,000	\$223,553,874	\$243,811,550
ROUTINE CAPITAL PROJECTS																
KOUTINE CAPITAL	Franch	Concentural								¢4,000,000					£4,000,000	¢4.000.000
125V Battery Replacement	French	Conceptual	+ +			I				φ1,000,000					<u>۵۱,000,000</u> ¢۵	<u>۵۱,000,000</u> ۵۸
125V Battery Charger Replacement	Myrabo	Implementation	1 1	\$265.539	\$175.000							\$75.000	<u> </u>	\$75.000	\$325.000	\$590.539
#17 Battery Replacement	Myrabo	Conceptual	1	+====,====	÷				\$75,000			÷: :,::00			\$75,000	\$75,000
250V Battery Replacement	Myrabo	Study			\$0			\$150,000		\$150,000		\$150,000			\$450,000	\$450,000
24V Battery Replacement	Myrabo		 						\$100,000						\$100,000	\$100,000
#10 Battery Replacement	Nyrabo	Design	+ +			# 7 0.000	\$100,000	04E 000						+	\$100,000	\$100,000
ALOO Valves & Filling Opgraue	wyrabu	Design				\$70,000	\$50,000	⊅∠15,000							<i>4</i> 333,000	φ335,000

Docket No. E002/CI-13-754 Exhibit ____(TJO-3), Schedule 4 Page 1 of 9

Cond And And </th <th>Project Summary</th> <th>Owner</th> <th>Phase</th> <th>Outage Cost</th> <th>Thru 2005</th> <th>2006</th> <th>2007</th> <th>2008</th> <th>2009</th> <th>2010</th> <th>2011</th> <th>2012</th> <th>2013</th> <th>2014</th> <th>2015</th> <th>Total 2006-2015</th> <th>Total with prior cost</th>	Project Summary	Owner	Phase	Outage Cost	Thru 2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Total 2006-2015	Total with prior cost
Normal And and and any of the second of the seco	Alternate Source Term	M. Limbeck	Initiation		\$1,097,330	\$750,000		\$450,000								\$1,200,000	\$2,297,330
Description Description <thdescription< th=""> <thdescription< th=""></thdescription<></thdescription<>	AO-1740 Valve Replacements	Brown	Initiation					\$0	\$150,000							\$150,000	\$150,000
and for Bind For Bind B	ATTP System Mechanical components replacement	Myrabo	Conceptual								\$500,000					\$500,000	\$500,000
Check dam Name	cables)	Haskell	Design		\$350,000	\$100,000	\$533,000	\$600,000	\$0							\$1,233,000	\$1,583,000
Communication Cond	Cathodic System Protection	Myrabo	Design		\$101,000		\$100,000	\$150,000	\$150,000							\$400,000	\$501,000
District of any start District of any start	CGCS Removal	Haskell	Study		\$542,601	\$310,000	\$0		¢500.000							\$310,000	\$852,601
Image Image <t< td=""><td>Contamination Monitor replacement</td><td>Jepson</td><td>Conceptual</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>\$200,000</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>\$500,000 \$0</td><td>\$500,000 \$0</td></t<>	Contamination Monitor replacement	Jepson	Conceptual						\$200,000							\$500,000 \$0	\$500,000 \$0
Call Allo Call Call A	Control Rod Blades Replacement	Myrabo	Conceptual		\$1,080,000	\$0	\$1,300,000		\$1,300,000		\$1,500,000		\$1,500,000		\$1,700,000	\$7,300,000	\$8,380,000
Control<	Control Rod Drive Replacements	Myrabo	Conceptual						\$400,000				\$400,000			\$800,000	\$800,000
CONSIDER Control Ease	Control RM Metering 1AR & LC-104	Haskell	Conceptual			\$44,000	\$46,000									\$90,000	\$90,000
Mark Mark Mark Mark Mark Mark Mark Mark	CRD 935 Instrument Panel C-210 (replace obsolete Inst)	Myrabo	Conceptual		0040.045	\$ 450.000	\$50,000	\$100,000	\$150,000	* ~~~~~~	A 100 000					\$300,000	\$300,000
NameJohnJ	Data Acquisition System (DAS Replacement)	Myrabo	Concentual		\$810,015	\$450,000	\$400,000	\$200,000	\$400,000	\$200,000	\$400,000					\$2,050,000	\$2,860,015
Note interval Note i Note i <th< td=""><td>Dosimetry System Upgrade</td><td>Jepson</td><td>Initiation</td><td></td><td></td><td>\$40.000</td><td>\$303.000</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>\$100,000</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>\$343.000</td><td>\$343.000</td></th<>	Dosimetry System Upgrade	Jepson	Initiation			\$40.000	\$303.000				\$100,000					\$343.000	\$343.000
Indeb Nome <	EDG Air Start Control Rplc.	Myrabo	Conceptual			•••••••	\$20,000	\$50,000								\$70,000	\$70,000
Di 10 Minolone Norm Norm Parton Parton Parton Parton Norm Nor	EDG/ESW Piping Replacement	Myrabo	Conceptual				\$50,000	\$100,000	\$100,000							\$250,000	\$250,000
Der Gescher Pries der Schwarz Pries der Schwar	EDG 12 Yr PM Enhancement	Radebaugh	Conceptual				\$200,000	.	\$200,000							\$400,000	\$400,000
Dir March Strate Dir March Dir Kanne Jahr Dir Kanne	EDG Upgrades	Myrabo	Conceptual					\$100,000	\$25,000							\$100,000	\$100,000
Base of the part of the p	EFT Blanking Plates Removal	Parker	Conceptual					\$200,000	\$23,000							\$300.000	\$300.000
Bance Data Bance Data Cond Cond <td>Expansion Joint Replacement</td> <td>Myrabo</td> <td>Conceptual</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>\$0</td> <td>\$0</td> <td>+,</td> <td>\$200,000</td> <td>\$150,000</td> <td>\$500,000</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>\$850,000</td> <td>\$850,000</td>	Expansion Joint Replacement	Myrabo	Conceptual			\$0	\$0	+,	\$200,000	\$150,000	\$500,000					\$850,000	\$850,000
International problem Non- Descriptional problem Problem <t< td=""><td>Feedpump Discharge Check Valves replc</td><td>Myrabo</td><td>Conceptual</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>\$150,000</td><td></td><td></td><td>\$150,000</td><td>\$150,000</td></t<>	Feedpump Discharge Check Valves replc	Myrabo	Conceptual										\$150,000			\$150,000	\$150,000
Control Protoc	Feedwater Heater Drain Coolers for 11&12 heaters (replace)	Myrabo	Conceptual										\$2,000,000			\$2,000,000	\$2,000,000
Number Number<	Feedwater Pump Motor (spare)	Radebaugh	Initiation			¢74.000		\$680,000								\$680,000	\$680,000
name name </td <td>Floor Drain Filter Installation</td> <td>Young</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>\$71,000</td> <td></td> <td>\$300.000</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>\$71,000</td> <td>\$71,000</td>	Floor Drain Filter Installation	Young				\$71,000		\$300.000								\$71,000	\$71,000
Riskow Riskow </td <td>Fuel Pool Cooling System Valves Replacement</td> <td>Myrabo</td> <td>Conceptual</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>\$200,000</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>\$200,000</td> <td>\$200.000</td>	Fuel Pool Cooling System Valves Replacement	Myrabo	Conceptual					\$200,000								\$200,000	\$200.000
back back prime bar with the bar	Full Stroke Testing of leak tested CRD's -Purch Equip	Parker	Conceptual					• • • • • • •	\$60,000							\$60,000	\$60,000
Grands Contact Max Relation Milling Contact Max Relation Milling	Gate Valve Install (REM SW Valves)					\$171,284	\$150,000									\$321,284	\$321,284
Concernment in large intervent Vision Concernment in large intervent Vision Concernment in large intervent Vision Concernment in large intervent Sep Vision Station S	Generator Condition Monitor Replacement	Williams	Conceptual								60000					\$60,000	\$60,000
Name (Bioline investing of a larged part of a large	Generator Permanent Flux Probe	Williams	Conceptual								150000					\$150,000	\$150,000
hyphologe <td>Heating Boiler - installation of a second unit</td> <td>Young</td> <td>Conceptual</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>\$75,000</td> <td>\$700,000</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>130000</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>\$775,000</td> <td>\$775,000</td>	Heating Boiler - installation of a second unit	Young	Conceptual				\$75,000	\$700,000			130000					\$775,000	\$775,000
mpl at cp in a longenerationphysic<	High Voltage Telephone Protection	Myrabo	Design		\$100,000	\$25,000	\$0									\$25,000	\$125,000
High R (D) Phote Concentral	HPCI & RCIC Data Acquisition System	Parker	Conceptual							\$100,000						\$100,000	\$100,000
mining mining<	HPCI & RCIC Pump Minimum Flow Valve Upgrade	Parker	Conceptual					\$ 100.000	\$200,000		\$ 000.000					\$200,000	\$200,000
International lange Name Constraint Name Name Stabular	HPCI & RCIC Turbine Control System Upgrade	Parker	Conceptual		\$100.000	\$100.000	\$200.000	\$190,000			\$800,000					\$990,000	\$990,000
High RCA (2) High mode Imperation Mathematical metric sequence Mathem	HPCI Steam Line Vibration Mitigation	Myrabo	Conceptual		ψ100,000	\$100,000	\$200,000		\$150,000							\$150,000	\$150.000
HWC Ph. ClippingheRightermentHWC Ph.Computer Micro Manual Marcel	HPCI / RCIC Final installation	Myrabo	Implementation		\$1,276,828	\$15,000	\$50,000	\$100,000	,,							\$165,000	\$1,441,828
in Care Intramentions Renoval IF Parter Interfance Strate O	HWC PLC Upgrade/Replacement	Myrabo	Conceptual				\$180,000									\$180,000	\$180,000
of momental product methods appart matrix second second <td>In Core Instrumentation Removal</td> <td>T. Parker</td> <td>Initiation</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>\$75,000</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>\$75,000</td> <td>\$75,000</td>	In Core Instrumentation Removal	T. Parker	Initiation				\$75,000									\$75,000	\$75,000
Line Cycle Shary of EC MCS shary of EC MCS shary of EC MCS shary of EC MCS shary of EC MCS shary of EC MCS sharp of the Cycle Sharp of the Cycle Sharp of the Cycle Sharp of EC MCS sharp of the Cycle Sharp of the C	Ion Chromatograph -Chem Lab	Jepson	Initiation				\$80,000				\$250,000					\$80,000	\$80,000
Lighting Protection Myrable Conceptual Myrable Conceptual State Conc	Life Cycle Study of REC M/G's performed	Parker	Conceptual			\$50.000					\$230,000					\$50,000	\$50,000
Local Power Range Monitor religionmentMyaioConceptalSize (Size (Lightning Strike Protection	Myrabo	Conceptual			÷••,•••		\$225,000								\$225,000	\$225,000
LRW sumprumps ReplacementYungConceptualInitiationImitationSecond SS200.00 <t< td=""><td>Local Power Range Monitors replacement</td><td>Myrabo</td><td>Conceptual</td><td></td><td>\$257,400</td><td>\$0</td><td>\$260,000</td><td></td><td>\$260,000</td><td></td><td>\$280,000</td><td></td><td>\$300,000</td><td></td><td>\$300,000</td><td>\$1,400,000</td><td>\$1,657,400</td></t<>	Local Power Range Monitors replacement	Myrabo	Conceptual		\$257,400	\$0	\$260,000		\$260,000		\$280,000		\$300,000		\$300,000	\$1,400,000	\$1,657,400
Maintenance Supportent Age/ Mailabelug Maintenance Supportent Age/ Solution Solutio	LRW Sump Pumps Replacement	Young	Conceptual			* 57.000		\$200,000		# 50.000		* =0.000		* =0.000		\$200,000	\$200,000
Number Lightening Potentian Mynabour Conceptual Conceptual Conceptual Conceptual Story of the Angle Ang	Maintenance Equipment Rpic	Radebaugh	Initiation			\$57,000	\$300.000	\$50,000		\$50,000		\$50,000		\$50,000		\$257,000	\$257,000
MO2374 Yalve Beplacement Brown Design Image of the sequence of the s	Met Tower Lightening Protection	Myrabo	Conceptual				\$500,000	\$50.000								\$50,000	\$50.000
MSN's-Install individual solation values in main stream Parter Conceptual Install on the stream of the strea	MO2373 & MO2374 Valve Replacement	Brown	Design			\$127,500	\$200,000									\$327,500	\$327,500
uning and addent using y and addent using y and y and y and y addent y and y addent y and y addent y and y addenty addent y addent y addent y addent y addent y addent y addent y	MSIV's - Install individual isolation valves in main stream line	Parker	Conceptual					\$100,000	\$60,000							¢160.000	¢160.000
New Fuel Inspection Stand on RB1027 Parker Conceptual Conceptual <td>drains to inboard MSIV's Moisture Separator Level Control System Improvements</td> <td>Williams</td> <td>Study</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>\$100.000</td> <td>\$200,000</td> <td>. ,</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>\$160,000</td> <td>\$160,000</td>	drains to inboard MSIV's Moisture Separator Level Control System Improvements	Williams	Study				\$100.000	\$200,000	. ,							\$160,000	\$160,000
Initial InterferFrenchConceptualImage<	New Fuel Inspection Stand on RB1027	Parker	Conceptual				\$100,000	\$200,000		\$125,000						\$125,000	\$125,000
Off-gas combiner H2 and 2 monitoring replacement Readeage Conception Con	*Initiation 1E Inverter,Y91 Replacement	French	Conceptual							\$50,000						\$50,000	\$50,000
Online Gas Monitoring the Main Transformer French Conceptual Image of the status Stat	Off-gas recombiner H2 and O2 monitoring replacement	Radebaugh	Conceptual								\$250,000					\$250,000	\$250,000
Outage Pred Duration Improvement Modification Dahoy Conceptual Imitation	Online Gas Monitoring of the Main Transformer	French	Conceptual				150000									\$150,000	\$150,000
Outage Pred Wet Lift looing - MLS Pugs Outage Pred Pole System, Separator WrenchDahoyInitiationConcepulalStol,000	Outage Pred Duration Improvement Modifications	Dabney	Conceptual			\$0	\$0	A 100 0	\$450,000							\$450,000	\$450,000
Conception Outgoe Pred. (Rx tentioner) - Pump & Engr, Carousel and Wi RackStudyStudyConceptual<	Outage Pred Wet Lift Tooling - MLS Plugs	J.Dabney	Initiation	<u>├</u> ──		\$50,000		\$420,000					┨────┤			\$470,000	\$470,000
RackDahnyStudyS	Outage Pred. (Rx tentioner) - Pump & Engr. Carousel and Nut	Dabriey	Otudu	<u>├ </u>						A000 01-	A AA AA -		<u> </u>			φ100,000	φτ00,000
Outage Pred. (Rx detention) - Studs & Spares Dahny Conceptual Conceptual Conceptual Spane Initiation Spane Initiation Spane Spane <t< td=""><td>Rack</td><td>Dabney</td><td>Study</td><td></td><td></td><td>\$0</td><td></td><td>\$1,452,000</td><td></td><td>\$680,000</td><td>\$20,000</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>\$2,152,000</td><td>\$2,152,000</td></t<>	Rack	Dabney	Study			\$0		\$1,452,000		\$680,000	\$20,000					\$2,152,000	\$2,152,000
Outget Flex. FR Equip. 4 Air Sintificity. SAM LT Place. Jepon Initiation Special Special <td>Outage Pred. (Rx detention) - Studs & Spares</td> <td>Dabney</td> <td>Conceptual</td> <td>├─── ├───</td> <td></td> <td>\$0</td> <td>\$0</td> <td></td> <td>\$625,800</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td><u>↓</u></td> <td>1</td> <td></td> <td>\$625,800</td> <td>\$625,800</td>	Outage Pred. (Rx detention) - Studs & Spares	Dabney	Conceptual	├ ─── ├ ───		\$0	\$0		\$625,800				<u>↓</u>	1		\$625,800	\$625,800
Paperless RecordersMacKissockStudyStudy\$\$1,000\$\$35,000\$\$35,000\$\$1,00,000\$\$35,000\$\$1,00,000\$\$3,098,000\$\$3,090,00	Outage Fred KF Equip, 4 AIVIS monitors, SAM 11 replace.	Jepson	Initiation			\$95,000	****	A () A A A A A A A A A A								\$95,000	\$95,000
Name system repleted in the s	Paperiess Recorders Phone System Replacement	WacKissock	Study	<u>├</u>		\$765,000	\$880,000	\$1,100,000	\$353,000				<u>├</u>	1		\$3,098,000	\$3,098,000
Radiation Protection Camera Installation Project Jepson Conceptual Conceptual Statulation \$250,000 \$500,000 \$670,000 \$750,000 \$750,000 \$750,000 \$750,000 \$750,000 \$750,000 \$750,000 \$750,000 \$790,000 \$7	Security Portal Explosive Vapor Detectors (EVD)	Gallagher	Implementation				\$570 000	 φ4ου,000					<u> </u>			\$570,000	\$570,000
Radiation Protection Viewing Galleries Jepson Conceptual \$300,000 \$490,000 \$790,000	Radiation Protection Camera Installation Project	Jepson	Conceptual				\$010,000	\$250,000	\$500,000							\$750,000	\$750,000
	Radiation Protection Viewing Galleries	Jepson	Conceptual					\$300,000	\$490,000							\$790,000	\$790,000

Docket No. E002/CI-13-754 Exhibit ____(TJO-3), Schedule 4 Page 2 of 9

Project Summary	Owner	Phase	Outage	Cost Thru 2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Total 2006-2015	Total with prior cost
Rad Waste Controls with PLC's	Young	Conceptual				\$200,000									\$200,000	\$200,000
RCIC Keep-fill system	Myrabo	Design			\$0	\$0	\$175,000	^							\$175,000	\$175,000
RCIC lube oil system upgrade	Parker	Conceptual						\$80,000		¢75.000					\$80,000	\$80,000
RHR #11 Pump Rebuild	Parker	Conceptual						\$80,000		\$75,000					\$80,000	\$80,000
RHRSW Pump Bowls	Radebaugh	Conceptual				\$200.000	\$210.000	\$220.000							\$630.000	\$630.000
RHRSW Pump Column (Spare)	Myrabo	Conceptual				\$100,000	4 _10,000	+,							\$100,000	\$100,000
RHRSW -2-1 thru 2-4 replacement	Myrabo	Conceptual							\$100,000	\$40,000		\$40,000			\$180,000	\$180,000
Recirc Feeder Cable Replacement	Myrabo	Design			\$512,340	\$600,000									\$1,112,340	\$1,112,340
Recirc Pump Vibration Monitoring Sys Upgrade	Myrabo	Conceptual						\$200,000							\$200,000	\$200,000
RWCU Heat Exchanger Bundle Replacement	Parker	Conceptual						A-A - A - A						\$100,000	\$100,000	\$100,000
RWCU Panel Control Power Feed Fuse-Breaker Coo	ro Parker	Conceptual			A - -			\$50,000							\$50,000	\$50,000
Removal of selected IST Check Valves(AO-1575/6)	Radebaugn	Design			\$171,000	¢75.000									\$171,000	\$171,000
Replace SRM and IRM	Myrabo	Concentual				\$73,000			\$2,000,000	\$1,000,000					\$3,000,000	\$3,000
Rod Worth Minimizer Replacement	Myrabo	Conceptual					\$300.000		ψ2,000,000	ψ1,000,000					\$300.000	\$300.000
Rotork Actuators Replacement	Brown	Design		\$200,000	\$646,000	\$400,000	\$450,000	\$180,000							\$1,676,000	\$1,876,000
RX Bldg Crane H-2 (Single Failure Underhook Lift Dev	vice) Dabney	Conceptual					\$750,000								\$750.000	\$750.000
RX Bldg Crane Aux Hoist Upgrade	Radebaugh	Study			\$600,000	\$250,000	\$500,000								\$1,350,000	\$1,350,000
Rx Bldg Aux Hoist Drive and Motor Replacement	Williams	Implementation			\$600,000										\$600,000	\$600,000
Rx Bldg Aux Bridge for Auxiliary In-Vessel Activities	Dabney	Initiation					\$150,000								\$150,000	\$150,000
Safe End Weld Overlays or Replacement	Brown	Conceptual		\$0	Aaaaaa					\$1,000,000					\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000
Scanner/Digital Archiver	Williams	Initiation		¢040.040	\$95,000	\$0									\$95,000	\$95,000
Secondary Containment Alriock Doors	Gallagher	Implementation		\$213,218	\$100,000 ¢0	\$200.000									\$100,000	\$313,218
Security Replacements	Gallagher	Initiation			φU	\$200,000		\$350,000		\$500.000		\$500.000		\$500.000	\$2,00,000	\$200,000
Service Water Pumps Replacements	Myrabo	Design		\$192.801	\$1,341,330	4000,000		4000,000		\$000,000		4000,000		4000,000	\$1.341.330	\$1.534.131
SJAE's - Install orifices	MacKissock	Conceptual		* ····	• •••••••			\$120,000							\$120,000	\$120,000
SAJE's - Passive Pressure control mod	MacKissock	Study				\$20,000									\$20,000	\$20,000
Small Article Contamination Monitor	Jepson	Conceptual			\$40,000										\$40,000	\$40,000
Spent Fuel Storage Racks	Myrabo	Design		\$52,310	\$100,000	\$200,000									\$300,000	\$352,310
SRV Accumulator Check Valve Additions	Myrabo	Conceptual					.	\$60,000							\$60,000	\$60,000
SRV Actuators - Spare	Parker	Conceptual					\$100,000	¢000.000							\$100,000	\$100,000
SSEV STeplacement Stack Dilution and EDG Supply Air fans (motors)	Myrabo	Dosign					\$250,000	\$600,000							\$500,000	\$600,000
Standby Liquid Control Motors/Gearboxes replacement	Niyrabo	Concentual					\$250,000	φ230,000	\$100,000						\$300,000	\$300,000 \$100,000
Stator Cooling System Filter Housings	Williams	Conceptual							\$100,000	\$60,000					\$60,000 \$60,000	3100,000 \$60,000
Stator Cooling Y Strainers	Williams	Conceptual								\$60,000					\$60,000	\$60,000
Stator Cooling Runback Removal	Williams	Per budget 5/12				\$114,000	\$118,000	\$220,000							\$452,000	\$452,000
Steam chase ventilation improvements	MacKissock	Conceptual						\$500,000							\$500,000	\$500,000
TIP System mechanical components replacement	Parker	Conceptual								\$250,000					\$250,000	\$250,000
Torus Coating	D.Bosnic					\$2,000,000									\$2,000,000	\$2,000,000
Trash Debris Removal System	Radebaugh	Implementation		\$0	\$400,000			* =0.000							\$400,000	\$400,000
Trash Skimmer re-design anchorage	Myrabo	Conceptual			¢190.000			\$50,000							\$50,000	\$50,000
	Radebaugh	Initiation			\$180,000										\$180,000	\$180,000
Turbine Bearing Slop Line Improvement	Williams	Design			\$129,461	\$270.000									\$399 461	\$399.461
Turbine Control System Trip Logic (replace)	Williams	Conceptual			•					\$200,000					\$200,000	\$200,000
Turbine Generator Modifications	Williams	Conceptual				\$1,225,000	\$2,725,000	\$7,425,000	\$5,800,000	\$21,200,000					\$38,375,000	\$38,375,000
Turbine TSI system upgrade or rplcment	Haskell	Conceptual								\$250,000					\$250,000	\$250,000
Turbine Vibration Supervisory System upgrade	Myrabo	Conceptual						\$500,000							\$500,000	\$500,000
Turbine Wheel for RCIC turbine - obtain spare	Parker	Conceptual							\$200,000						\$200,000	\$200,000
Waste Curie Tool Monitors repic (RX & TB)	Jepson	Conceptual		¢c90.000	¢750.000	¢202.000	¢750.000	¢750.000	¢750.000	¢750.000	¢750.000	¢750.000	¢750.000	¢750.000	\$U \$7,422,000	\$0
Auto Titrator - Chemistry Lab	lenson	Initiation		\$660,000	\$750,000	\$303,000	\$750,000	\$750,000	\$750,000	\$750,000	\$750,000	\$750,000	\$750,000	\$750,000	\$7,133,000	\$7,813,000
Continuous Air Monitors (CAMs)	Jepson	Initiation			\$30,000	\$20,000									\$60,000	\$60,000
Cold Shop Lathe	M. Winter	Initiation			\$00,000	18,000									\$18,000	\$18,000
HEPA Replacement	Jepson	Initiation				\$100,000									\$100,000	\$100,000
In-line TOC analyzer replacement	Jepson	Conceptual				\$20,000									\$20,000	\$20,000
LCD Monitors for EOF (2)	Williams	Initiation				\$11,000									\$11,000	\$11,000
Long Handle for LPRM Strongback	Bosnic	Initiation			\$11,000										\$11,000	\$11,000
Metal Shears	Winter	Initiation				\$22,000									\$22,000	\$22,000
Overnead Projection Systems @ MTC/EOF Plotter - Wide format Plotter (3)	Williams	Initiation	+		¢62.000	\$8,000									\$8,000	\$8,000
Satellite Telephones (4)	Williams	Initiation	╂───┤		\$63,000	68 000									φ03,000 \$8,000	000,50¢
SRV E.F.G.H Div II Manual Controls	Brown	Design	+ +	\$17 752	\$165.000	\$250,000									\$415,000	\$432 752
Thermography Camera	Brown	Initiation	1 1	\$11,10L	<i>\</i> ,000,000	\$55,000							<u> </u>		\$55.000	\$55.000
Ultra-sonic Gun Replacement	Brown	Initiation				\$10,000									\$10,000	\$10,000
Vibration Analyzers (3)	Grubb	Initiation			\$60,000	\$65,000									\$125,000	\$125,000
Blankets - I/T	Blegen		1	\$300,000	\$300,000	\$350,000	\$325,000	\$325,000	\$325,000	\$325,000	\$325,000	\$325,000	\$325,000	\$325,000	\$3,250,000	\$3,550,000
LRP - Undefined Projects	Subtatal			A7 000 T 0 1	A O T OO O/T	0 44030000	0 40 c=0 cc=	\$15,780,865	\$14,437,645	\$19,807,566	A 4 407 005	0 0 400 007	0 4 40 7 000	0 0 750 000	\$50,026,076	\$50,026,076
	Subtotal			\$7,636,794	\$9,729,915	\$14,346,000	\$16,070,000	\$34,929,665	\$25,242,645	\$51,627,566	\$1,125,000	\$6,190,000	\$1,125,000	\$3,750,000	\$164,135,791	\$1/1,//2,585

Docket No. E002/CI-13-754 Exhibit ____(TJO-3), Schedule 4 Page 3 of 9

Project Summary	Owner	Phase	Outage	Cost Thru 2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Total 2006-2015	Total with pri <u>or cost</u>
FACILITIES MANAGEMENT																
Computer Workstations	VanDell	Initiation		\$226,000											\$0	\$226,000
Control Room Workstations	Mackissock	Design		\$241,684											\$0	\$241,684
Main Control Room Upgrade	Sawatzke	Conceptual					\$700,000	\$800,000							\$1,500,000	\$3,000,000
Expand Work Execution Center (WEC)	Mackissock				\$0	\$500,000	\$500,000								\$1,000,000	\$2,000,000
Facilities Infrastructure Improvements	Williams			\$200,000	\$200,000	\$400,000	\$400,000	\$100,000	\$100,000						\$1,200,000	\$2,600,000
Flow Loop Simulator	Radebaugh	Initiation			\$246,800										\$246,800	\$493,600
Maintenance Training Lab Building	Radebaugh	Initiation			\$214,000	¢450.000									\$214,000	\$428,000
Maintenance Snop Upgrades	Radebaugh	Initiation			¢100.000	\$450,000									\$450,000	\$900,000
High Mast Light Pole Replacement	Radebaugh	Initiation			\$100,000										\$100,000	\$200,000
Roof Replacements (2)	Radebaugh	Initiation		\$200.000	\$55,000	\$200.000	\$100.000	\$100.000	\$200.000	\$100.000	\$200.000				\$900,000	\$2,000,000
MTC Classroom 9 (I OR Trng)	Halbert	Implementation		ψ200,000		\$80,000	ψ100,000	\$100,000	ψ200,000	ψ100,000	φ200,000				\$80,000	\$160,000
SAB Upgrades	Williams	Initiation			\$320.000	+									\$320.000	\$640,000
MTC Inprocessing Facility	Halbert						\$500,000								\$500,000	\$1,000,000
MTC Equipment Upgrades	Halbert	Initiation				\$150,000									\$150,000	\$300,000
RCA Remodel/Access Control	Jepson	Initiation				\$80,000									\$80,000	\$160,000
Telex Wireless Communication system Installation	Jepson	Conceptual				\$450,000	\$450,000								\$900,000	\$1,800,000
Upgrades to SAB/PAB/MTC	Williams						\$100,000	\$500,000	\$75,000	\$75,000	\$75,000	\$75,000	\$75,000	\$75,000	\$1,050,000	\$2,100,000
Upgrade EPA Building	Radebaugh				\$112,000										\$112,000	\$112,000
Subta				\$007.004	\$1.017.000	\$0.010.000	* 0.750.000	A 4 500 000	\$075 000	\$475.000	* 075 000	A75 000	# 75.000	A75 000	¢0 057 000	¢10,474,004
Bouting Capital Projects Total				\$867,684	\$1,247,800	\$2,310,000	\$2,750,000	\$1,500,000	\$375,000	\$175,000	\$275,000	\$75,000	\$75,000	\$75,000	\$8,857,800 \$172,002,501	\$18,471,284
				\$8,304,478	\$10,977,715	\$10,000,000	\$18,820,000	\$30,429,000	\$23,017,045	\$51,802,500	\$1,400,000	\$0,203,000	\$1,200,000	\$3,820,000	\$172,995,591	\$190,243,009
POWER UPRATE	Durke	Otrada	1 1		1	¢00 540 400	\$10 405 000	£0.404.040	¢05.070.000	¢4.0.40.000	£04.000.000	¢0 5 40 000	1		¢405.070.400	¢405 070 400
EPO - Analysis, Planning EDG Cooling Ean Ungrade	Durke	Study Bor budget 5/12				\$20,510,108	\$16,125,000	\$3,481,016	\$25,978,006	\$1,346,006	\$34,283,000	\$3,549,000			\$105,272,136	\$105,272,136
EDG Cooling Fail Opgrade	Burko	Per budget 5/12				¢120.000	¢105.000	\$640.000	¢155.000	¢790.000					00 000 000 t\$	ل و 1 000 000
Generator Field Rewind	Williams	Per budget 5/12				\$150,000	\$60,000	\$3,860,000	ψ135,000	\$700,000					\$3,920,000	\$3,920,000
GEZIP System	Myrabo	Per budget 5/12					\$00,000	\$5,000,000							ψ3,320,000 \$0	ψ0,920,000 \$0
Isophase Bus Cooling Mod	Burke	T of budget 0/12				\$450,000	\$575.000	\$1,900,000	\$21 994	\$1 921 994					\$4 868 989	\$4 868 989
Measurement Uncertainty Recovery Ultrasonic FW Flow	Myrabo	Per budget 5/12		\$390.000		\$105,000	\$95.000	\$150.000	• =-,•••	••,•=•,•••					\$350.000	\$740.000
Moisture Separators (Reheat) -Drain Mod	Brown	Conceptual -Per bu	udget	,,		* • • • • • •		\$220,000	\$315,000	\$1,365,000					\$1,900,000	\$1,900,000
Noble Metals Addition (ALARA)	Jepson	Per budget 5/12						\$200,000	\$1,100,000	\$5,400,000					\$6,700,000	\$6,700,000
RWCU Capacity - Upgrade		Per budget 5/12													\$0	\$0
Dryer Modeling & Analysis								\$375,000	\$375,000	\$250,000					\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000
Steam Dryer	Williams	Per budget 5/12						\$4,270,000	\$6,050,000	\$21,200,000					\$31,520,000	\$31,520,000
Stator Water Cooling System Upgrade	Williams	Design					\$300,000	\$220,200							\$520,200	\$520,200
Turbine Rotor HP	Williams														\$0	\$0
Turbine Diaphragms LP (B)	Williams														\$0	\$0
Undefined projects	vvilliams				4.5		\$7,650,000							**		\$7,650,000
Power Uprate Capital Project Total				\$390,000	\$0	\$21,195,108	\$25,000,000	\$15,316,216	\$33,995,000	\$32,263,000	\$34,283,000	\$3,549,000	\$0	\$0	\$157,951,325	\$158,341,325
NUCLEAR CAPITAL FUELS																
CAPITAL FUELS																
<first cf="" expense=""></first>				\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
<last cf="" expense=""></last>				\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Nuclear Capital Fuels Total				\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
CAPITAL SUMMARY INFORMATION																
Project Summary	Owner	Phase	Outage	Cost Thru 2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Total 2006-2015	Total with prior cost
Total Routine and Capital Projects				\$28,762,154	\$41,990,036	\$46,477,000	\$38,758,000	\$60,324,665	\$54,652,263	\$103,776,971	\$14,278,530	\$22,265,000	\$1,950,000	\$12,075,000	\$396,547,465	\$434,055,419
Total Power Uprate				\$390,000	\$0	\$21,195,108	\$25,000,000	\$15,316,216	\$33,995,000	\$32,263,000	\$34,283,000	\$3,549,000	\$0	\$0	\$157,951,325	\$158,341,325
Total Capital Nuclear Fuels				\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Total Initiation-Fuel Capital				\$29,152,154	\$41,990,036	\$67,672,108	\$63,758,000	\$75,640,881	\$88,647,263	\$136,039,971	\$48,561,530	\$25,814,000	\$1,950,000	\$12,075,000	\$554,498,790	\$592,396,744
Total Capital (with Fuels and Power Uprate)				\$29,152,154	\$41,990,036	\$67,672,108	\$63,758,000	\$75,640,881	\$88,647,263	\$136,039,971	\$48,561,530	\$25,814,000	\$1,950,000	\$12,075,000	\$554,498,790	\$592,396,744

Docket No. E002/CI-13-754 Exhibit ___(TJO-3), Schedule 4 Page 4 of 9

LONG RANGE PLAN				O&N	I COSTS	S								2006-2015
Proiect Summary	Owner	Phase	Cost Thru 2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Total 2006-2015
MAJOR O&M PROJECTS														
DRY FUEL STORAGE														
			1		1	I							T	
Subtotal			\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
PART 50 LICENSE RENEWAL			. +•	<i></i> ⁺	ΨŬ	ΨŬ	Ψ υ	ψŭ	Ψũ	ţ,	<i>t</i>	<i></i> ⁺	+	Ψ°
Subtotal			\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
LIFE CYCLE MANAGEMENT	1	1	1						1					
Subtotal			02	¢۵	¢۵	¢۵	02	\$0	0\$	02	۹۵	02	\$0	۹۵
			ψυ	ψŪ	ψυ	ΨΟ	ψυ	ψυ	ψυ	ΨΟ	ΨŪ	ΨŬ	ΨΟ	ψυ
	Brown	Initiation	1	¢16 000	1									¢16.000
316(b) - Contractor Support	Williams	Study		\$10,000 \$172,000	¢55 000					¢000 700	¢004 700		┌────┤	\$10,000 \$604,560
	Brown	Initiation	<u> </u>	\$172,000	300,CC¢					<i>φΖΖΖ</i> , <i>1</i> 80	⊅∠34,78 0		┌────┤	\$004,000 \$00,000
	DIOWII	Initiation		\$00,000 \$100,000									i	\$60,000
B.5.0 Pridses 1,2,3	VVIIIams	Initiation		\$108,000	ሮፖር 000								·	\$108,000
Appendix R Associated Ventilation Systems	Brown	Study		\$50,000 \$50,000	\$75,000								i	\$125,000
Card updates project	Haskell	Study		\$00,000									i	\$50,000
Condensate Filter system backwash waste Processing	I	la iti a ti a a		¢ 45 000									1	¢ 45 000
Proposal Contractor Summert, Internal Flooding	Jepson	Initiation		\$45,000									┌──── ┤	\$45,000
Contractor Support - Internal Flooding	Grubb	Initiation		\$100,000									┌──── ┤	\$100,000
Contractor Support (River Bathymetric study)	Nyrabo	Design		\$50,000									┌──── ┤	\$50,000
Contractor Suppor (Separation of HELB interatction to 4KV	Haskell	Initiation		\$20,000									·	\$20,000
CST AO-2886 Valve Logic Modification	MacKissock	Initiation				\$100,000							·	\$100,000
CST Heat Trace - perform evaluation	Radebaugh	Conceptual												\$0
CST Pressurization Station Optimization	Radebaugh	Conceptual											·	\$0
Cyber Security Assess & Implementation	R.Vandell	Conceptual		t =	\$200,000	\$200,000							·	\$400,000
Design basis document updates (NRC, risk INPO AFI)	Haskell	Study		\$50,000										\$50,000
Design Basis Information Reconstitution	Haskell	Study											·	\$0
Design Basis Recovery	Brown	Study		•										\$0
Design Basis Recovery RG 1.97	Myrabo	Study		\$50,000			A ==		A ==				·	\$50,000
EDG Frame Modification to Reduce Vibration	MacKissock	Conceptual					\$75,000		\$75,000				·	\$150,000
EDG Rooms Sprinkler mod for NFPA 13 Compliance	Brown	Study			\$100,000									\$100,000
EDG Performance Testing	MacKissock	Conceptual			\$50,000		\$50,000							\$100,000
EDG Voltage Regulator Replacement	MacKissock	Conceptual					\$150,000							\$150,000
EP - Thyro block KI supply replacement	Williams	Study		\$7,000										\$7,000
EP - Trainersoft software for CBT	Williams	Study		\$8,000										\$8,000
EPRI Seminar	Brown	Study		\$3,000										\$3,000
EQ Program Revisions	Brown	5/12 budget			\$300,000	\$200,000								\$500,000
EQ/HELB calculation update	Haskell	Study												\$0
Establish Generic Shielding Boundary Conditions	Haskell	Study												\$0
Fire Brigade Equipment (B.5.b)	Williams	Initiation		\$65,000										\$65,000
Fire Penetration Seal Documentation Improvements	Brown			\$150,000	\$100,000									\$250,000
Fire Protection Improvement Plan	Brown	Study												\$0
HRLM Self Assessment and NRC Inspection Contractor													1	
Support	Haskell	Initiation		\$197,000									<u> </u>	\$197,000
Leak Rate Monitor usage training	Brown	Study												\$0
MOV Wiring (RIS)	Brown	Study			\$300,000	\$300,000	\$400,000						T	\$1,000,000
MTC Contractors (Lic exam devlpmt & support of NLO Initial					T	T							I T	
and Tech	Halbert	Study		\$210,000	\$140,000	\$140,000	\$140,000	\$140,000	\$140,000	\$140,000	\$140,000	\$140,000	\$140,000	\$1,470,000
NIRMA symposium/DCRM conference	Williams	Study		\$5,000										\$5,000
Nuclear Eng class seminar fee and Eng expenses to attend	Myrabo	Study		\$50,000	\$50,000	\$50,000	\$50,000	\$50,000					<u> </u>	\$250,000
NFPA 805 Transition/Implementation	Brown	Study			\$400,000								T	\$400,000
Operations Training Improvement Program	Halbert	Study												\$0
Penetration Seal Improvement Program	Brown	Design			\$200,000									\$200,000

Docket No. E002/CI-13-754 Exhibit ____(TJO-3), Schedule 4 Page 5 of 9

														Total
Project Summary	Owner	Phase	Cost Thru 2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2006-2015
Procedure Improvement Project	Williams	Study		\$300,000	\$300,000								·	\$600,000
Program Health Improvements	Brown	Olddy		\$250,000	\$100,000	\$250,000	\$250,000	\$250,000	\$250,000	\$250,000	\$250,000	\$250,000	\$250,000	\$2,350,000
PMO for unidentified critical components	Brown	Initiation		<i>\\</i> 200,000	\$184,000	<i>\\</i> 200,000	\$200,000	<i>\\</i> 200,000	<i>\</i>	<i>\\</i> 200,000	<i>\\</i> 200,000	<i>_</i> 200,000	<i>\</i>	\$184,000
Reactor Bldg HELB Program Review & Analysis	Haskell	Conceptual			575000			\$0	\$0		\$0	\$0		\$575,000
Replace PS-5-16 DW High/Low Pressure Alarm Switch	Haskell	Study			010000			ψU	ψu		ψυ	φυ		\$0
Re-route Conduit in Intake Structure in Support of App. R		Olddy												φ0
Exempt	Haskell	Study												\$0
Personal to NPC Fire Induced Sourious Actuation Cl	Brown	olddy		¢75,000										¢¢
	DIUWII			\$75,000	.							Į	·	\$75,000
Screenwash Fire Pump - Modify 20 second time delay	Myrabo	Study			\$20,000							I		\$20,000
Security NEI CAF team evaluation of Force on Force	Williams	Study		\$80,000										\$80,000
Security Support for 2 NMC Force on Force exercise	Williams	Study		\$7,000										\$7,000
Unidentified Regulatory Reguired O&M Projects		0.000		¢.,000	\$1,035,000	\$1,560,000	\$1,360,000	\$1,560,000	\$1,610,000	\$1,610,000	\$1,610,000	\$1,610,000	\$1,610,000	\$13,565,000
Subtotal			\$0	\$2,198,000	\$4.184.000	\$2,800,000	\$2.475.000	\$2.000.000	\$2.075.000	\$2.222.780	\$2.234.780	\$2.000.000	\$2.000.000	\$24,189,560
ELEET OPTIMIZATION PROJECTS			+ + -	<i> </i>	<i> </i>	+_,,	<i> </i>	+_,,,	<i>+_,,</i>	<i> </i>	<i> </i>	<i> </i>	+=,===;====	<i> </i>
						[] [,	T	
Subtotal			\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
			ΨΟ	Ψ0	ΨŬ	ψu	ψu	ψũ	ΨŬ	ψŭ	ΨŬ	Ψΰ	\$	ψu
Outage Predictability:	1	T	1 1			<u>г т</u>		1					T	0.2
(A) Low Pressure Turbine Inspection	Myrabo				\$3,000,000									00 000 000 £\$
(R) Low Pressure Turbine Inspection	Myrabo				ψ3,000,000						\$3,200,000		\$2,000,000	\$5,000,000
2009 Outage Major Maintenance Activities	Radebaugh	Study			\$100.000						ψ3,200,000		\$2,000,000	\$100,000
2009 Outage Major Modifications Phase 1 Studies	Grubb	Study			\$100,000									\$100,000
Cycle 24 Core Reload Modification	Myrabo	Initiation			\$20,000									\$20,000
Cycle 25 Thermal-Hudraulic Stability Calculations	MacKissock	Concentual			φ20,000	\$150,000								\$20,000
Generator Testing	Myraho	Conceptual				\$150,000			\$1,820,000				\$450,000	\$2,270,000
EET Control Logic Relays Replacement	Parker					\$100.000	\$25,000		ψ1,020,000				φ430,000	\$125,000
EFT Blanking Plates Removal (V-D-9051 A/B)	Parker					\$200,000	\$23,000 \$100,000							\$123,000
	Myrabo					\$200,000	\$2 335 000							\$2 335 000
Integrated Leak Rate Test (ILRT)	Brown			\$50,000	\$150,000		ψ2,000,000						ł	\$200,000
	Williams			\$1,090,000	φ100,000	\$2,300,000		\$2 500 000		\$2 500 000		\$2 500 000	ł	\$10,890,000
Recirc System Suction and Discharge Valves	Radebaugh			φ1,000,000		\$200,000	\$600.000	Ψ2,000,000		φ2,000,000		φ2,000,000	ł	\$800,000
Removal of Rx Cavity Shield Plugs at Hot Shutdown or	Radobadgii					φ200,000	φ000,000							\$000,000
Operating Conditions	Dabnev				\$100,000									\$100,000
Replace ANMS/WREMs with blank LPRM flanges	Myraho	Design		\$16,000	\$54,000									\$70,000
Replace CV 1242 and CV 1243	Myrabo	Design		φ10,000	\$100,000	\$100,000	\$200.000							\$400,000
Replacement of Cond. Demin U Valve Dual Coil Solenoid	Haskell				\$80,000	<i>\</i>	\$200,000							\$80,000
Shroud Inspection	Brown	Implementation			\$00,000								\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000
T-63 Waterbox Valves	Myrabo					\$25,000	\$100.000						+ ,	\$125,000
Torus Coating Repairs	Radebaugh	Initiation		\$110,000		<i>\\</i> 20,000	\$100,000							\$110,000
Turbine Wiring Repairs	Williams	Design		\$175,000	\$1.838	\$176.838	\$187.000.00	\$2.062	\$189.062		\$0	\$0		\$731.798
Unidentified Outage Projects	Williams	2 00.gr		* · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	\$19.075.000	+ · · · · · · · · ·	\$19.595.000	+_,••=	* ····,··-		֥	+-		\$38.670.000
Valve Work CIV-1, 4, BP #12	Mvrabo				+ • • • • • • • • • •		\$250.000		\$250.000					\$500.000
Valve Work CIV-2, CIV-3, BP #11	Myrabo				\$175.000		+,		+,		\$480.000			\$655.000
Valve Work CV-2, Stop Valves	Myrabo				÷,		\$750.000				<i> </i>			\$750.000
Vessel UT	,						+,		\$1.000.000					\$1.000.000
WO Planning RFO23 - Supplemental Support	Radebaugh	Initiation		\$198,727					+ ,,					\$198,727
Subtotal			\$0	\$1,639,727	\$23,355,838	\$3,251,838	\$24,142,000	\$2,502,062	\$3,259,062	\$2,500,000	\$3,680,000	\$2,500,000	\$3,450,000	\$70,280,525
Maior O&M Projects Total			\$0	\$3.837.727	\$27,539,838	\$6.051.838	\$26,617,000	\$4.502.062	\$5,334,062	\$4.722.780	\$5.914.780	\$4,500,000	\$5,450,000	\$94,470,085
ROUTINE O&M PROJECTS				+ = , = = ,	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	, .,,	<i>, ,,, ,,,,</i>	, , ,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	, , , ,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			
13 Diesel - Modify support to address vibration issues	Myrabo	Initiation		\$50,000	\$45,000									\$95,000
250 VDC MCC Voltage Monitoring Improvements	Myrabo	Design		\$18,000]	T	\$18,000
250 Volt Battery Room Heat up Calc	Haskell	Initiation		\$10,000										\$10,000
4KV Breakers (Spare)	Haskell	Design		\$50,000								7	<u> </u>	\$50,000
4kV Relay Set point Justification	Haskell	Study]		\$0
974' Cubicle Tube Shield	Haskell	Design										J	I	\$0
Abandon DW/Torus Bypass Interlock Relays 16A-K34, K35,		Design												
K42 and K43	Haskell	Doorgin			\$105,000									\$105,000

Docket No. E002/CI-13-754 Exhibit ____(TJO-3), Schedule 4 Page 6 of 9

														Total
Project Summary	Owner	Phase	Cost Thru 2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2006-2015
ARDEC Fleet Standardization Support	Myrabo	Design		\$75,000										\$75,000
ASCO NPK8321 SOV Adverse Trend	Brown	Design												\$0
Breaker PM's (Previously funded by Xcel)	Radebaugh	Design		\$100,000										\$100,000
Chesterton Live Loading on Outboard MSIV's (installation)	Brown	Design		\$22,000										\$22,000
CML upgrade contractor for I&C calibration software	VanDell	Design			\$25,000	\$25,000								\$50,000
Condensate Filter System Backwash Waste Processing	Vanben				ψ20,000	ψ20,000								ψ00,000
Proposal	Jepson	Initiation			\$60.800									\$60.800
Condensate Pump Motor Rebuild	Radebaugh	Design		\$50,000	+ ,									\$50,000
Condensate Pump Rebuild	Radebaugh	Design		\$120,000										\$120,000
Cooling Tower Deck Utility Outlet Upgrade	Foote	Design												\$0
(NDS)	Haskell	Design												\$0
Digital property gages and spare fleet tube type flew meters	Padabaugh	Design												¢0
Digital pressure gages and spare float tube type flow meters	Radebaugh	Decign		¢40.000										لر 40 000
FDG Room Ventilation	Haskell	Design		\$40,000										\$40,000 \$0
EDMS/SQA Contractor Support	VanDell	Design												\$0 \$0
Engineering - Phase 1	Haskell	Design		\$300.000	\$300.000	\$300.000	\$300.000	\$300.000	\$300.000	\$300.000	\$300.000	\$300.000	\$300.000	\$3.000.000
EP - Global Positioning System units for off-site field teams	Williams	Design		\$4,000	+ ,	+ ,	+ ,	, ,	, ,	+ ,	+ ,	+ ,	+ ,	\$4,000
EP Siren Battery Replacement	Williams	Design		\$4,000										\$4,000
Feed Pump rotating element rebuild	Radebaugh	Design		\$50,000										\$50,000
Feed Water Thin Pipe	Haskell	Study		\$53.000										\$53,000
Fleet Electrolytic Capacitor Initiative - Implement Shelf Life				+,										+,
Program	Nelson	Initiation		\$72,000										\$72,000
Fuel Pool borax sample analysis	MacKissock	Design												\$0
HPCI and RCIC Overspeed Test Equipment	MacKissock	Conceptual					\$300,000							\$300,000
HPCI Room Heat Up Calc Margin Improvement	Parker	Conceptual					\$150,000				\$0	\$0		\$150,000
Inst Gland Seal Leak off Valves on RHRSW	Haskell	Design												\$0
Investigate Potential for Increasing EDG LCO to 14 days	MacKissock	Conceptual		.			\$50,000							\$50,000
Minor Mod Analysis Funding	Grubb	Initiation		\$30,000										\$30,000
Mod for Position Seating of MU-2397, MU-2398, MU-2075 &	Hookoll	Design												٩٩
MO-2000 MSIV/s- Periodic rebuild of outboard MSIV/s	Parker	Concentual			\$50,000									φυ \$50.000
MTC Mock up materials for Mech. Elec & I&C	Halbert	Design		\$42,000	\$50,000									\$42,000
On-line Eddy Current for Fuel Pool Rx	Brown	Design		\$22,000										\$22,000
Packing Program Improvements	Brown	Initiation		\$200,000										\$200,000
RHR Pump motor rebuild	Radebaugh	Design		\$75,000										\$75,000
RHR #12 Heat Exchanger cleaning	Parker	Conceptual			\$0	\$0	\$80,000							\$80,000
RPS Data Matrix Power Supply Refurbishment	Myrabo	Initiation		\$49,970	\$39,000									\$88,970
SAFER/GESTR - Re-analyze with 0.4 ft ^A 2 Minimum														
detectable break for licensing bases change - CAP033391-														
OBD000140	Myrabo	Initiation		\$175,000	\$45,000									\$220,000
SDV FCI FR-72 Level Switch	Haskell	Design												\$0 \$0
Sentinei Upgrade (Rad Prot)	Jepson	Conceptual		¢17.000										\$U \$17.000
Shubbel Seal Life Evaluation Spec P-503 - include Hilti Kwik Bolt 3 design loads into	DIOWII	Design		\$17,000										\$17,000
installation/design	Haskell	Initiation			\$250,000		\$250,000		\$250,000		\$250,000		\$250,000	\$1 250 000
Steam Drver Inspection	Brown	Design			φ200,000		φ200,000		Ψ200,000		φ200,000		φ230,000	\$0
SW1-18" Modification (AES Contractor Support)	Grubb	Design		\$20.000										\$20.000
SW Repairs (Materials/Labor) - Dead Leaks	Alstad	Design		\$ <u></u> 20,000										\$0
Turbine Bldg Fire Lines Eval (Contract support)	Grubb	Design		\$20,000										\$20,000
Turbine Bldg Service Water Line Eval (Contract Support)	Grubb	Design			\$20,000									\$20,000
Validate Manual Operation of Tap Changers (Cont Sup)	Haskell	Initiation		\$16,000										\$16,000
Subtotal			\$0	\$1,687,470	\$919,800	\$325,000	\$1,130,000	\$300,000	\$550,000	\$300,000	\$550,000	\$300,000	\$550,000	\$6,612,270
FACILITIES MANAGEMENT														
Carpet and Tile replacement (WEC,SAB2, PAB1, PAB		Initiation	I T	. T		Т		Т		Т	Т	Т	Т	
basement)	Radebaugh			\$287,000	* • • • -	A · · · ·	A + a = a = a	A	A := ===					\$287,000
Corro Delead Medification	MacKissock	Design	┟────┤	\$44,000	\$462	\$44,462	\$46,000	\$507	\$46,507					\$181,938
Lore Reioad Modification	IVIYIADO	Design		\$10,000	¢000.000	\$10,000	¢000.000	\$10,000	¢000.000	¢000.000	¢000.000	¢000.000	¢000.000	\$30,000
	vvilliams	Design		\$200,000	⊅∠00,000	⇒∠ՍՍ,ՍՍՍ	⊅200,000	⊅∠ 00,000	⊅∠00,000	⊅∠ ∪∪,∪∪Ս	⊅∠∪∪,∪∪ 0	⊅∠ ∪∪,∪∪Ս	⊅∠ ∪∪,∪∪Ս	⊅∠,000,000

Docket No. E002/CI-13-754 Exhibit ____(TJO-3), Schedule 4 Page 7 of 9

Project Summary	Owner	Phase	Cost Thru 2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Total 2006-2015
MTC Training Center Improvements	Halbert	Initiation		\$40,000										\$40,000
Plant and MTC PBX Upgrade	Myrabo	Design		\$25,000	\$75,000									\$100,000
Plant Improvements (Turbine & Rx Bldgs/Maint shop remodels)	Radebaugh	Initiation		\$121,000										\$121,000
Plant Painting	Radebaugh	Initiation		\$358,000										\$358,000
Plant/Preventive Maintenance Support (2)	Radebaugh	Initiation		\$170,000										\$170,000
Subtotal			\$0	\$1,255,000	\$275,462	\$254,462	\$246,000	\$210,507	\$246,507	\$200,000	\$200,000	\$200,000	\$200,000	\$3,287,938
Routine O&M Projects Total			\$0	\$2,942,470	\$1,195,262	\$579,462	\$1,376,000	\$510,507	\$796,507	\$500,000	\$750,000	\$500,000	\$750,000	\$9,900,208
EXTENDED POWER UPRATE PROJECT														
POWER UPRATE														
HELB Analysis	Haskell	5/12 budget			\$300,000	\$100,000								\$400,000
														\$0
Power Uprate O&M Project Total			\$0	\$0	\$300,000	\$100,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$400,000
O&M SUMMARY INFORMATION														
Project Summary	Owner	Phase	Cost Thru 2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	l otal 2006-2015
Total Major and Routine Projects			\$0	\$6,780,197	\$28,735,100	\$6,631,300	\$27,993,000	\$5,012,569	\$6,130,569	\$5,222,780	\$6,664,780	\$5,000,000	\$6,200,000	\$104,370,294
Total Extended Power Uprate			\$0	\$0	\$300,000	\$100,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$400,000
Total O&M Projects			\$0	\$6,780,197	\$29,035,100	\$6,731,300	\$27,993,000	\$5,012,569	\$6,130,569	\$5,222,780	\$6,664,780	\$5,000,000	\$6,200,000	\$104,770,294

Docket No. E002/CI-13-754 Exhibit ____(TJO-3), Schedule 4 Page 8 of 9

1	Regulatory Required
0	Equipment Delighility

- Equipment Reliability 2
- 3 **Outage Predictability**
- 4 Infrastructure Improvements

Phase

- Initiation Study
- 1 2 Design
- 3
- Implementation

Closeout

Outage	<replace plant<="" th="" with="" your=""><th>Outage Schedule></th></replace>	Outage Schedule>
	U2R28	Spring 2007
	U1R30	Fall 2007
	U2R29	Fall 2008
	U1R31	Spring 2009
	U2R30	Spring 2010
	U1R32	Fall 2010
	U2R31	Fall 2011
	U1R33	Spring 2012
	U2R32	Spring 2013
	U1R34	Fall 2013
	U2R33	Fall 2014
	U1R35	Spring 2015
	U2R34	Fall 2015

LONG RANGE PLAN					CAPIT	AL COST	S									2006-2015
Project Summary	Owner	Phase	Outage	Cost Thru 2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Total 2006-2015	Total with prior cost
MAJOR CAPITAL PROJECTS																
DRY FUEL STORAGE																
Independent Spent Fuel Storage Installation (ISFSI)	McKeown			\$3,913,000	\$9,748,500	\$14,118,000	\$8,863,000	\$0	\$2,633,618	\$10,399,405	\$9,582,700	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$55,345,223	\$59,258,223
				\$3,913,000	\$9,748,500	\$14,118,000	\$8,863,000	\$0	\$2,633,618	\$10,399,405	\$9,582,700	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$55,345,223	\$59,258,223
PARI 50 LICENSE RENEWAL	Durles	Oturtu	1	¢44 754 000	¢4.050.540	¢o	* 0	¢0	* 0	* 0	¢0.	¢0.	¢0.	¢0.	¢4.050.540	¢40.004.540
Improved Standard Tech Specs	Burke	Design	-	\$2 552 640	\$2,555,875	\$U \$0	\$U \$0	\$U \$0	\$U \$0	<u>ቆ</u> ር ይ	\$U \$0	\$U \$0	\$U \$0	\$0 \$0	\$4,200,013 \$2,555,875	\$10,004,549
Subtotal	Durke	Design		\$14.306.676	\$6.806.388	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0	\$6,806,388	\$21,113,064
LIFE CYCLE MANAGEMENT				÷.,,	<i>,,,,,,,,,,,</i> ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		¥* (+-	+- 1		+-	· · · ·	
4KV Breaker Replacement	Brown		24,25,26			\$200,000	\$1,000,000	\$2,000,000	\$1,000,000	\$2,000,000	\$2,000,000	\$2,000,000			\$10,200,000	\$10,200,000
#16 Battery Replacement	Myrabo		24				\$100,000								\$100,000	\$100,000
#17 Battery Replacement	Myrabo	Conceptual	25						\$75,000						\$75,000	\$75,000
125V Battery Replacement	French	Conceptual		\$265 530	\$175.000							\$75.000		\$75,000	\$0 \$325,000	\$0
250V Battery Replacement	Myrabo	Study	25	\$205,559	\$175,000			\$150,000		\$150,000		\$150,000		\$75,000	\$450,000	\$450,000
24V Battery Replacement	Myrabo	olday			¢0			\$100,000	\$100,000	\$100,000		\$100,000			\$100,000	\$100,000
AESS Valves & Piping Upgrade	Myrabo	Design	24			\$70,000	\$50,000	\$215,000							\$335,000	\$335,000
AO-1740 Valve Replacements	Brown	Initiation	24				\$0	\$150,000							\$150,000	\$150,000
Bellows Replacement (Primary Cont.)	Myrabo	Conceptual	25,26						\$500,000	\$500,000	\$500,000	\$5,500,000			\$7,000,000	\$7,000,000
cables)	Haskell	Design	24	\$350,000	\$100,000	\$533,000	\$600,000	\$0							\$1,233.000	\$1,583.000
Cathodic System Protection	Myrabo	Design	24	\$101,000		\$100,000	\$150,000	\$150,000							\$400,000	\$501,000
Charcoal Filter for Off Gas System	Sawatzke	Conceptual	24			\$500,000	\$4,500,000	\$6,000,000							\$11,000,000	\$11,000,000
Control Rod Drive Replacements	Myrabo	Conceptual	24,26					\$400,000				\$400,000			\$800,000	\$800,000
Cooling Tower Pump Motor and Spare Circ Water Pump Motors	Myrabo	Conceptual				\$10,000	\$1,250,000	\$1,250,000							\$2,510,000	\$2,510,000
Cooling Towers Repairs	Myrabo	Conceptual						\$1,500,000	\$1,500,000						\$3,000,000	\$3,000,000
CRD 935 Instrument Panel C-210 (replace obsolete Inst)	Myrabo	Conceptual	24			\$50,000	\$100,000	\$150,000							\$300,000	\$300,000
Data Acquisition System (DAS Replacement)	VanDell	Design	24	\$810,015	\$450,000	\$400,000	\$200,000	\$400,000	\$200,000	\$400,000					\$2,050,000	\$2,860,015
Diesel Fire Pump Panel Replacement	Myrabo	Implementation	0.1		\$69,000		.	A / A A A A A							\$69,000	\$69,000
EDG/ESW Piping Replacement	Myrabo	Conceptual	24			\$50,000	\$100,000	\$100,000							\$250,000	\$250,000
Feedwater Pump Motor Replace	Radebaugh	Initiation					\$680,000	\$23,000							\$680,000	\$125,000
Floor Drain Filter Installation	Young	Conceptual					\$300,000								\$300,000	\$300,000
Fuel Pool Heat Exchangers Replacement	Myrabo	Conceptual							\$700,000						\$700,000	\$700,000
Cable Replacements - Raceway	Haskell	Conceptual	24,25,26			\$300,000	\$1,250,000	\$2,000,000		\$2,000,000	\$295,830	\$2,000,000	\$750,000		\$8,595,830	\$8,595,830
Expansion Joint Replacement	Myrabo	Conceptual	24,25		\$0	\$0	^	\$200,000	\$150,000	\$500,000	^				\$850,000	\$850,000
Generator Rewedge	Vulliams	Design	23		\$225,000	\$600,000	\$0				\$0				\$825,000	\$825,000 \$775,000
HPCI & RCIC Turbine Control System Upgrade	Parker	Conceptual	24			\$75,000	\$190,000			\$800.000					\$990.000	\$990.000
HWC PLC Upgrade/Replacement	Myrabo	Conceptual	23			\$180,000				+,					\$180,000	\$180,000
Instrument Air System Upgrade	Radebaugh	Initiation		\$80,000	\$1,000,000	\$1,600,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2,600,000	\$2,680,000
Jet Pump Hold Down Beam Replacement	Brown	Conceptual								\$1,500,000					\$1,500,000	\$1,500,000
Meteorological (MET) System Upgrade	Myrabo	Conceptual	24.05			\$150,000		¢250.000		£250.000	¢250.000	£250.000		¢250.000	\$150,000	\$150,000
Outage Pred - Duration Improvement Modifications	Dabney	Conceptual	24,25		\$0	\$0		\$250,000		\$250,000	\$250,000	\$250,000		\$250,000	\$1,250,000	\$450,000
Outage Pred RP Equip, 4 AMS monitors, SAM 11 replace.	lenson	Initiation			\$95,000	ψŬ		\$ 400,000							\$95,000	\$95,000
Paperless Recorders	MacKissock	Study	24	<u> </u>	\$765.000	\$880.000	\$1.100.000	\$353.000							\$3,098.000	\$3.098.000
Phone System Replacement	Williams	Conceptual				\$450,000	\$450,000	,,							\$900,000	\$900,000
Process Computer Upgrades - I/T	VanDell	Conceptual							\$2,000,000	\$250,000	\$250,000				\$2,500,000	\$2,500,000
Rad Waste Controls with PLC's	Young	Conceptual			+	\$200,000									\$200,000	\$200,000
Recirc Pump 12 Motor, Pump Replacement	Myrabo	Design	23	\$50,000	\$974,000	\$3,830,000									\$4,804,000	\$4,854,000
Render Bridge Crane Replacement RHRSW Pump Column & Bowl (Spare)	Myrabo	Conceptual		\$75,000	¢∠39,433	\$3,130,000									\$3,369,433 \$465,000	\$465,000
Rod Worth Minimizer Replacement	Myrabo	Conceptual				\$100,000	\$300,000								\$300,000	\$300,000
Rotork Actuators Replacement	Brown	Design	23,25	\$200,000	\$646,000	\$400,000	\$450,000	\$180,000							\$1,676,000	\$1,876,000
Scram Discharge Volume Level Switch Replacement	Myrabo	Design	23		\$130,000	\$325,000									\$455,000	\$455,000
Security Portal Explosive Vapor Detectors (EVD)	Gallagher	Implementation				\$570,000									\$570,000	\$570,000
Security Portal Monitors Service Water Pumps Replacements	Gallagner	Initiation		\$102 801	\$0	\$200,000									\$∠00,000 \$1 341 330	\$200,000 \$1,527,121
Simulator upgrades and improvements	Halbert	Conceptual		\$132,001	ψ1,120,000				\$3.000.000						\$3.000.000	\$3.000.000
Stack Dilution and EDG Supply Air fans (motors)	Myrabo	Design	24				\$250,000	\$250,000	,						\$500,000	\$500,000
Torus Coating	D.Bosnic		23			\$2,000,000									\$2,000,000	\$2,000,000
Transformer Oil Cooler Improvements (2R)	MacKissock	Conceptual					\$80,000			<u> </u>					\$80,000	6- - - - - - - - - -
Transformer replacement - (1K)	Myrabo	Initiation						\$140,000	\$600,000	\$400,000		\$2,000,000		\$2,000,000	\$5,140,000	\$5,140,000
Voltage Regulator Replacement	Myrabo	Design	23	\$37.341	\$644 515	\$800.000		\$140,000	\$600,000	\$400,000		⇒∠,000,000		⊅∠,000,000	φ3,140,000 \$1 444 515	του, 140,000 \$1 481 856
Subtotal			20	\$1,896,157	\$6,641,948	\$18,068,000	\$13,900.000	\$16,453.000	\$10,425.000	\$9,150.000	\$3,295,830	\$14,375,000	\$750.000	\$4,325,000	\$97,383,778	\$99,279,935
REGULATORY REQUIRED																
316B	Burke	Conceptual				\$300,000			\$5,000,000	\$5,000,000					\$10,300,000	\$10,300,000

Docket No. E002/CI-13-754 Exhibit ___(TJO-3), Schedule 5 Page 1 of 10

Project Summary	Owner	Phase	Outage	Cost Thru 2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Total 2006-2015	Total with prior cost
B.5.b Fire Brigade Equipment	Williams	Initiation			\$135,000										\$135,000	\$135,000
B.5.b Security Upgrades	Gallagher	Implementation		\$350,000			\$500,000	\$500,000	\$500,000	\$500,000					\$2,000,000	\$2,350,000
NFPA 805 Transition/Implementation	Brown	Study			A a a a a a a a a a a		\$200,000	\$1,000,000	\$2,000,000						\$3,200,000	\$3,200,000
Security Modifications	Gallagner	Implementation			\$350,000	¢700.000									\$350,000	\$350,000
Security Training - Elevated Shooting Structure	Williams	Initiation			¢56 520	\$700,000									\$700,000	\$700,000
SRV E.F.G.H Div II Manual Controls	Brown	Design		\$17 752	\$165,000	\$250,000									\$415,000	\$432,752
TSC Modification	Williams	Design		\$1,433,000	\$11,000,000	\$979.000									\$11.979.000	\$13,412,000
Subtot	al			\$1,800,752	\$11,706,520	\$2,229,000	\$700,000	\$1,500,000	\$7,500,000	\$5,500,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$29,135,520	\$30,936,272
FLEET OPTIMIZATION PROJECTS					· / / ·		· / ·	· / / ·	· / /	· / / ·						
Subtot	al			\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
OTHER MAJOR CAPITAL PROJECTS						\$0										
															A -	A =
Subtot	al			\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Major Capital Projects Total				\$21,916,585	\$34,903,356	\$34,415,000	\$23,463,000	\$17,953,000	\$20,558,618	\$25,049,405	\$12,878,530	\$14,375,000	\$750,000	\$4,325,000	\$188,670,909	\$210,587,494
ROUTINE CAPITAL PROJECTS																
ROUTINE CAPITAL		1.	1 1		I											
1E Swing Battery	French	Conceptual	25	+ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						\$1,000,000					\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000
Alternate Source Term	M. Limbeck	Initiation	05	\$1,097,330	\$750,000										\$750,000	\$1,847,330
CCCS Removel	IVIYIADO	Conceptual	25	¢5 40 004	¢040.000	* ~				\$500,000					\$500,000	\$500,000
Contamination Monitor replacement		Concentual		\$542,601	\$310,000	20									დი დი	00,500¢
Control Rod Blades Replacement	Myrabo	Conceptual	23 24 25 26	\$1.080.000	\$0	\$1 700 000		000 000		\$1 500 000		\$1 500 000		\$1 700 000	⊅U \$7,300,000	04 000 082 88
Control RM Metering 1AR & LC-104	Haskell	Conceptual	23,24,25,20	φ1,000,000	φ0 \$44.000	\$46,000		\$900,000		φ1,300,000		φ1,500,000		φι,700,000	\$90,000	φ0,300,000 \$90,000
DOL System Optimization	Myrabo	Conceptual			ψ++,000	φ+0,000				\$100.000					\$100.000	\$100.000
Dosimetry System Upgrade	Jepson	Initiation			\$40.000	\$350.000				\$100,000					\$390.000	\$390.000
EDG Air Start Control Rplc.	Myrabo	Conceptual	24			\$20,000	\$50,000								\$70,000	\$70,000
EDG Cooling Fan Upgrade	Myrabo	Per budget 5/12													\$0	\$0
EDG Upgrades	Myrabo	Conceptual					\$100,000								\$100,000	\$100,000
EFT Blanking Plates Removal	Parker	Conceptual					\$200,000	\$100,000							\$300,000	\$300,000
EPA De-Icing Pumps and Motors Upgrade	Young	Conceptual				\$100,000									\$100,000	\$100,000
Feedpump Discharge Check Valves replc	Myrabo	Conceptual	26,27									\$150,000			\$150,000	\$150,000
Forklifts for New Warehouse (Part of TSC project)	Williams	Initiation			\$71,000										\$71,000	\$71,000
Fuel Pool Cooling System Valves Replacement	Myrabo	Conceptual					\$200,000	¢co.ooo							\$200,000	\$200,000
Full Stroke Testing of leak tested CRD's -Purch Equip	Parker	Conceptual	25					\$60,000		60000					\$60,000	\$60,000
Generator Condition Monitor Replacement	Williams	Conceptual	20 25							150000					\$150,000	\$00,000
Generator Temperator Indication Llograde	Williams	Conceptual	25							150000					\$150,000	\$150,000
HAYS O2 Monitor replacement	Parker	Conceptual	20			100.000				100000					\$100,000	\$100,000
High Voltage Telephone Protection	Myrabo	Design		\$100,000	\$25,000	\$0									\$25,000	\$125,000
HPCI & RCIC Data Acquisition System	Parker	Conceptual							\$100,000						\$100,000	\$100,000
HPCI & RCIC Pump Minimum Flow Valve Upgrade	Parker	Conceptual	24					\$200,000							\$200,000	\$200,000
HPCI Injection Line - Steam Void Removal	Myrabo	Design	23	\$100,000	\$100,000	\$200,000									\$300,000	\$400,000
HPCI Steam Line Vibration Mitigation	Myrabo	Conceptual	24					\$150,000							\$150,000	\$150,000
HPCI / RCIC Final installation	Myrabo	Implementation	23	\$1,276,828	\$15,000	\$50,000	\$100,000								\$165,000	\$1,441,828
In Core Instrumentation Removal	T. Parker	Initiation	23		* (* * *	\$75,000									\$75,000	\$75,000
In-Line Conductivity Meters & Probe's replace	Jepson	Design			\$10,000	\$275,000									\$285,000	\$285,000
lot Chromatograph -Chemicab	Jepson	Concentual	25			\$80,000				\$250,000					\$80,000	\$80,000
Life Cycle Study of REC M/G's performed	Parker	Conceptual	20		\$50,000					.000,000					φ230,000 \$50.000	\$50,000 \$50,000
Lightning Strike Protection	Myrabo	Conceptual			φ50,000		\$225 000								\$225 000	\$225,000
Local Power Range Monitors replacement	Myrabo	Conceptual	23 24 25 26	\$257.400	\$0	\$260.000	<i>\\</i> 220,000	\$260.000		\$280.000		\$300.000		\$300.000	\$1 400 000	\$1 657 400
LRW Sump Pumps Replacement	Young	Conceptual		,	ţJ		\$200,000								\$200,000	\$200,000
Measurement Uncertainty Recovery Ultrasonic FW Flow	Myrabo	Per budget 5/12	24	\$390,000		\$105,000	\$95,000	\$150,000							\$350,000	\$740,024
Met Tower Lightening Protection	Myrabo	Conceptual					\$50,000								\$50,000	\$50,000
MO2373 & MO2374 Valve Replacement	Brown	Design	23		\$127,500	\$200,000									\$327,500	\$327,500
Moisture Separator Level Control System Upgrade	Grubb	Conceptual -Per bu	24			\$100,000	\$200,000								\$300,000	\$300,000
MSIV Actuators - Replacement	Parker	Conceptual	23,24,25,26			\$250,000		\$250,000		\$250,000		\$250,000			\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000
MSIV's - Install individual isolation valves in main stream line	Parker	Conceptual	24				\$100,000	\$60,000							\$160,000	\$160,000
New Fuel Inspection Stand on RB1027	Parker	Conceptual							\$125.000						\$125.000	\$125.000
*Initiation 1E Inverter, Y91 Replacement	French	Conceptual							\$50,000						\$50,000	\$50,000
Off-gas recombiner H2 and O2 monitoring replacement	Radebaugh	Conceptual	25							\$250,000					\$250.000	\$250.000
Outage Pred Wet Lift Tooling - MSL Plugs	J.Dabney	Initiation	-		\$50,000		\$420,000								\$470,000	\$470,000
Outage Pred. (Rx tentioner) - Pump & Engr, Carousel and Nut	t	Study			¢0		\$1 202 000		¢600.000	¢20.000					,	,
Rack	Dabney	Siduy			Ф О		φ1,392,000		\$000,000	φ20,000					\$2,092,000	\$2,092,000
Outage Pred. (Rx detention) - Studs & Spares	Dabney	Conceptual			\$0	\$0	100.00-	\$625,800							\$625,800	\$625,800
Outage Pred Pole System, Separator Wrench	Dabney	Conceptual			¢0	¢	100,000								\$100,000	\$100,000
	IVIYIADO Parkar	Concentual	24		\$0	\$0	\$175,000	¢00.000							\$175,000	\$175,000
RCIC System Instrumentation Role	Parker	Conceptual	24 25					\$80,000		\$75.000					380,000 \$75,000	\$80,000 \$75,000
RHR #11 Pump Rebuild	Parker	Conceptual	23					\$80.000		φr 0,000					\$80,000	\$80,000
RHRSW -2-1 thru 2-4 replacement	Myrabo	Conceptual	25					<i>400,000</i>	\$100,000	\$40,000		\$40,000			\$180,000	\$180,000

Docket No. E002/CI-13-754 Exhibit ____(TJO-3), Schedule 5 Page 2 of 10

Page 2

Conversion Conversion <thconversion< th=""> Conversion Conversio</thconversion<>	Project Summary	Owner	Phase	Outage	Cost Thru 2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Total 2006-2015	Total with prior cost
Carbon Carbon	Recirc Feeder Cable Replacement	Myrabo	Design	23		\$512,340	\$600,000									\$1,112,340	\$1,112,340
Processor	Recirc Pump Vibration Monitoring Sys Upgrade	Myrabo	Conceptual	24					\$200,000							\$200,000	\$200,000
Data Description Diam	RWCU Heat Exchanger Bundle Replacement	Parker	Conceptual	26,27											\$100,000	\$100,000	\$100,000
part of all (1000 - 1	RWCU Panel Control Power Feed Fuse-Breaker Coord	Parker	Conceptual	24		¢171.000	¢407.050	\$100,000	\$50,000							\$150,000	\$150,000
Normal state Normal state	Renlace SRM and IRM	Myrabo		23		\$171,000	\$197,050			\$2,000,000	\$1,000,000					\$308,000	\$308,050
Construction Construction	RWCU Capacity - Upgrade	Myrabo	Per budget 5/12	20						\$2,000,000	\$1,000,000					\$0	\$0,000,000 \$0
The field of proceedings Name Control of proceedings Name Control of proceedings Name	RX Bldg Crane H-2 (Single Failure Underhook Lift Device)	Dabney	Conceptual					\$750,000								\$750.000	\$750.000
bit Deck	Rx Bldg Aux Hoist Drive and Motor Replacement	Williams	Implementation			\$600,000										\$600,000	\$600,000
biolog biolog	RX Bldg Crane Aux Hoist Upgrade	Radebaugh	Study			\$600,000	\$250,000	\$500,000								\$1,350,000	\$1,350,000
matrix matrix p p n i p n	Rx Bldg Aux Bridge for Auxiliary In-Vessel Activities	Dabney	Initiation			¢05.000	* 0	\$150,000								\$150,000	\$150,000
box Index	Scanner/Digital Archiver	Williams	Initiation		\$213 218	\$95,000	\$0									\$95,000	\$95,000 \$313,218
BARE Land Land <thland< th=""> Land Land <th< td=""><td>Security Replacements</td><td>Gallagher</td><td>Initiation</td><td></td><td>\$210,210</td><td>\$100,000</td><td>\$350,000</td><td></td><td>\$350,000</td><td></td><td>\$500,000</td><td></td><td>\$500,000</td><td></td><td>\$500,000</td><td>\$2,200,000</td><td>\$2,200,000</td></th<></thland<>	Security Replacements	Gallagher	Initiation		\$210,210	\$100,000	\$350,000		\$350,000		\$500,000		\$500,000		\$500,000	\$2,200,000	\$2,200,000
Michener control Martine Low Low <thlow< th=""> Low Low</thlow<>	SJAE's - Install orifices	MacKissock	Conceptual	24					\$120,000							\$120,000	\$120,000
Barbox Barbox	SJAE's - Passive Pressure control mod	MacKissock	Study				\$20,000	\$450,000								\$470,000	\$470,000
Displand Strate Strat	Small Article Contamination Monitor	Jepson	Conceptual			\$40,000		¢450.000								\$40,000	\$40,000
bit Addition frame Nome Company Image of the second s	Source Term Reduction - Shielding	Myrabo	Conceptual	24				\$450,000	\$60,000							\$60,000	\$60,000
Start Number Numer Numer Numer <td>SRV Actuators - Spare</td> <td>Parker</td> <td>Conceptual</td> <td>27</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>\$250,000</td> <td>\$00,000</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>\$250,000</td> <td>\$250,000</td>	SRV Actuators - Spare	Parker	Conceptual	27				\$250,000	\$00,000							\$250,000	\$250,000
Bits deriv Since ince Since	SSPV's replacement	Parker	Conceptual	24					\$600,000							\$600,000	\$600,000
Bis Corr Bis Corr	Standby Liquid Control Motors/Gearboxes replacement	Parker	Conceptual							\$100,000						\$100,000	\$100,000
Bits Disc Origh 2600 Print Prin Print Print <td>Stator Cooling System Filter Housings</td> <td>Williams</td> <td>Conceptual</td> <td>25</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>\$60,000</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>\$60,000</td> <td>\$60,000</td>	Stator Cooling System Filter Housings	Williams	Conceptual	25							\$60,000					\$60,000	\$60,000
Difference Difference Difference Difference Difference Difference Difference Difference Difference Difference Difference Difference Difference 	Stator Water Cooling System Upgrade	Williams	Design	24				\$300,000	\$220,200							\$520,200	\$520,224
Disc Disc <thdisc< th=""> Disc Disc <thd< td=""><td>Stator Cooling Y Strainers</td><td>Williams</td><td>Conceptual</td><td>25</td><td></td><td></td><td>\$114,000</td><td>¢119.000</td><td>¢220.000</td><td></td><td>\$60,000</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>\$60,000</td><td>\$60,000</td></thd<></thdisc<>	Stator Cooling Y Strainers	Williams	Conceptual	25			\$114,000	¢119.000	¢220.000		\$60,000					\$60,000	\$60,000
TP <td>Steam chase ventilation improvements</td> <td>MacKissock</td> <td>Conceptual</td> <td>25</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>\$114,000</td> <td>φ110,000</td> <td>\$500.000</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>\$500.000</td> <td>\$500.000</td>	Steam chase ventilation improvements	MacKissock	Conceptual	25			\$114,000	φ110,000	\$500.000							\$500.000	\$500.000
Tank Data Normal ActionNormal Action <td>TIP System mechanical components replacement</td> <td>Parker</td> <td>Conceptual</td> <td>25</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>\$250,000</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>\$250,000</td> <td>\$250,000</td>	TIP System mechanical components replacement	Parker	Conceptual	25							\$250,000					\$250,000	\$250,000
Tang Balance Monte Conception Monte Second Particle Secon	Trash Debris Removal System	Radebaugh	Implementation		\$0	\$400,000										\$400,000	\$400,000
Dig Big Sinch Discription Discription <thdiscription< th=""> <thdiscription< th=""></thdiscription<></thdiscription<>	Trash Skimmer re-design anchorage	Myrabo	Conceptual			A 1 A A A A			\$50,000							\$50,000	\$50,000
Table Service Wines Book and the service State (1) State (2) State (2) <td>Trip logic cards (EPA)</td> <td>Radebaugh</td> <td>Design</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>\$180,000</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>\$180,000</td> <td>\$180,000</td>	Trip logic cards (EPA)	Radebaugh	Design			\$180,000										\$180,000	\$180,000
Tinine Consignation ProblemWilsonConceptantSinConceptantSinConceptantSinConceptantSinConceptantSinConceptantSin </td <td>Turbine Bearing Slop Line Improvement</td> <td>Williams</td> <td>Implementation</td> <td>23</td> <td></td> <td>\$40,000</td> <td>\$270.000</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>\$399 461</td> <td>\$40,000</td>	Turbine Bearing Slop Line Improvement	Williams	Implementation	23		\$40,000	\$270.000									\$399 461	\$40,000
Third Pictor Wald Concurt Pictor State	Turbine Control System Trip Logic (replace)	Williams	Conceptual	25		¢120,401	φ210,000				\$200,000					\$200,000	\$200,000
Times Superview Solution Superview Solution Superview Solution Superview Solution Superview Solution Superview Solution Superview Solution Solution Superview Solution So	Turbine TSI system upgrade or rplcment	Haskell	Conceptual	25							\$250,000					\$250,000	\$250,000
Date of the function of	Turbine Vibration Supervisory System upgrade	Myrabo	Conceptual	24					\$500,000							\$500,000	\$500,000
Banker Banker<	Waste Curie Tool Monitors rools (Px & TB)	Parker	Conceptual							\$200,000						\$200,000	\$200,000
Abb Trans Segon Name Index Index Index Index Index Index Index Segonal	Blankets - Site	Blegen	Conceptual		\$680,000	\$600,000	\$303.000	\$750.000	\$750,000	\$750.000	\$750,000	\$750.000	\$750,000	\$750,000	\$750.000	\$6 903 000	\$7 583 000
Centrange Alkening (Abd)MagennMagennMagennMagennMage	Auto Titrator - Chemistry Lab	Jepson	Initiation		\$000,000	\$000,000	\$20,000	\$100,000	\$100,000	<i></i>	\$100,000	\$100,000	\$100,000	\$100,000	\$100,000	\$20,000	\$20,000
Cal Band M. Weiger Billion I	Continuous Air Monitors (CAMs)	Jepson	Initiation			\$30,000	\$30,000									\$60,000	\$60,000
HEPA Registerinari Appoint Inteller Inteller <td>Cold Shop Lathe</td> <td>M. Winter</td> <td>Initiation</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>18,000</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>\$18,000</td> <td>\$18,000</td>	Cold Shop Lathe	M. Winter	Initiation				18,000									\$18,000	\$18,000
LCD Montes br EDF [2] Williams Installor Image Image <th< td=""><td>HEPA Replacement</td><td>Jepson</td><td>Initiation</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>\$100,000</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>\$100,000</td><td>\$100,000</td></th<>	HEPA Replacement	Jepson	Initiation				\$100,000									\$100,000	\$100,000
Long Houfle (L-PRM Storughack Borne Initiation Image Bar 1000 Bar 10000 Bar 10000 <td>LCD Monitors for EOF (2)</td> <td>Williams</td> <td>Initiation</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>\$20,000</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>\$20,000</td> <td>\$20,000</td>	LCD Monitors for EOF (2)	Williams	Initiation				\$20,000									\$20,000	\$20,000
Meal Spars Wine	Long Handle for LPRM Strongback	Bosnic	Initiation			\$11,000										\$11,000	\$11,000
Overhaad Projection Systems & MTCRCOF Williams Initiation Image	Metal Shears	Winter	Initiation				\$22,000									\$22,000	\$22,000
Patter // Vode format Plotter (3) Williams Initiation Image of the stand o	Overhead Projection Systems @ MTC/EOF	Williams	Initiation				\$8,000									\$8,000	\$8,000
Nach Minder Mode Minder Ninder Minder Minder Ninder Minder Minder Minder Ninder Minder Mi	Plotter - Wide format Plotter (3)	Williams	Initiation			\$63,000	000.08\$									\$63,000	\$63,000
Intersance (in prepare) instain Image (in prepare) Image (in pre	Satellite Telephones (4)	Williams	Initiation				\$80,000									\$80,000	\$160,000
Ultrason: Gun Replacement Brown Initiation Imited Imited <td>Thermography Camera</td> <td>Brown</td> <td>Initiation</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>\$55,000</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>\$55,000</td> <td>\$55,000</td>	Thermography Camera	Brown	Initiation				\$55,000									\$55,000	\$55,000
Vibration Analyzers (3) Grubb Initiation Imitation Status (3)	Ultra-sonic Gun Replacement	Brown	Initiation				\$10,000									\$10,000	\$10,000
Blankets IT Blegen S300,000 S300,000 S325,000	Vibration Analyzers (3)	Grubb	Initiation			\$60,000	\$65,000									\$125,000	\$125,000
Inter Projection Subtrait Subtrait Status	Blankets - I/T	Blegen			\$300,000	\$300,000	\$350,000	\$325,000	\$325,000	\$325,000	\$325,000	\$325,000	\$325,000	\$325,000	\$325,000	\$3,250,000	\$3,550,000
PACILITIES MANAGEMENT Computer vertex in the state of th	LRP - Undefined Projects				\$6.037.377	\$5 524 301	\$6 812 050	\$7 750 000	\$18,220,865	\$20,961,645	\$33,378,566	\$1.075.000	\$3,815,000	\$1.075.000	\$3,675,000	\$121 598 427	\$127,561,076
Opputer Workstations VanDell Initiation \$226,000 \$226,000 \$226,000 \$20,000 \$226,000 \$226,000 \$226,000 \$226,000 \$226,000 \$226,000 \$226,000 \$226,000 \$20,000 \$20,000 \$20,000 \$20,000 \$20,000 \$20,000 \$20,000 \$200,000	FACILITIES MANAGEMENT				\$0,037,377	ψ 3 , 32 4, 30 1	\$0,012,030	\$7,750,000	\$25,001,005	\$23,391,043	φ41,390,300	\$1,073,000	\$3,813,000	\$1,075,000	\$3,073,000	φ121,000, 1 21	φ121,000,004
Control Room WorkstationsMakikssockDesignMe\$241,684Me <t< td=""><td>Computer Workstations</td><td>VanDell</td><td>Initiation</td><td></td><td>\$226,000</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>\$0</td><td>\$226,000</td></t<>	Computer Workstations	VanDell	Initiation		\$226,000											\$0	\$226,000
Main Control Room UpgradeSawatzkeConceptual24ImageSignan ConceptualSignan Conc	Control Room Workstations	Mackissock	Design		\$241,684											\$0	\$241,684
Expand Work Execution Center (WEC)MackissockIII<	Main Control Room Upgrade	Sawatzke	Conceptual	24				\$700,000	\$800,000							\$1,500,000	\$3,000,024
Paddinges infrastructure improvementsVitation <td>Expand Work Execution Center (WEC)</td> <td>Mackissock</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>*****</td> <td>\$0</td> <td>\$500,000</td> <td>\$500,000</td> <td>*100.000</td> <td>\$100.000</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>\$1,000,000</td> <td>\$2,000,000</td>	Expand Work Execution Center (WEC)	Mackissock			* ****	\$0	\$500,000	\$500,000	* 100.000	\$ 100.000						\$1,000,000	\$2,000,000
NoncompositionNationCalebaginaInitiationCalebaginaCalebaginaInitiationCalebaginaCalebaginaCalebaginaInitiationCalebagina<	Facilities infrastructure improvements	Radebaugh	Initiation		\$200,000	\$200,000	\$400,000	\$400,000	\$100,000	\$100,000						\$246,800	\$2,600,000
Maintenance Shop EquipmentRadebaughInitiationInitiationInitiationSa00,00InitiationInit	Maintenance Training Lab Building	Radebaugh	Initiation			\$214.000										\$214.000	\$428.000
Maintenance Shop UpgradesRadebaughInitiationIn	Maintenance Shop Equipment	Radebaugh	Initiation				\$300,000									. ,	
Manepare Pacement Radebaugh Initiation \$\$57,00 \$\$50,00 <th< td=""><td>Maintenance Shop Upgrades</td><td>Radebaugh</td><td>Initiation</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>\$450,000</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>\$450,000</td><td>\$900,000</td></th<>	Maintenance Shop Upgrades	Radebaugh	Initiation				\$450,000									\$450,000	\$900,000
NameNation\$100,00\$100,00\$200,000High Mast Light Pole ReplacementRadebaughInitiation\$100,00\$200,000Rad Protection Remote Monitoring SystemJepsonInitiation\$100,000\$200,000Rad Protection Camera InstallationJepsonInitiation\$100,000\$200,000Rad Protection Camera InstallationJepsonInitiation\$100,000\$100,000Rad Protection Camera InstallationJepsonInitiation\$100,000\$100,000Rad Protection Camera InstallationJepsonInitiation\$100,000\$100,000Rad Protection Camera InstallationInitiationInitiationInitiationInitiationRad Protect	Maintenance Tooling Replacement	Radebaugh	Initiation		├ ──── │	\$57,000		\$50,000		\$50,000		\$50,000		\$50,000		¢400.000	¢000.000
Rad Protection Remote Monitoring System Jepson Initiation Initiation <thinitiation< th=""> Initiation Initiation<</thinitiation<>	High Mast Light Pole Replacement	Radebaugh	Initiation		├	\$100,000 \$55,000										\$100,000	\$∠00,000 \$110,000
Rad Protection Camera Installation Jepson Initiation	Rad Protection Remote Monitoring System	Jepson	Initiation			ψ00,000		\$300.000	\$490.000							ψ00,000	φττ0,000
	Rad Protection Camera Installation	Jepson	Initiation		<u> </u>			\$250,000	\$500,000								

Docket No. E002/CI-13-754 Exhibit ____(TJO-3), Schedule 5 Page 3 of 10

Page 3

Project Summary	Owner	Phase	Outage	Cost Thru 2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Total 2006-2015	Total with prior cost
Roof Replacements (2)	Radebaugh	Initiation		\$200,000		\$200,000	\$100,000	\$100,000	\$200,000	\$100,000	\$200,000)			\$900,000	\$2,000,000
MTC Classroom 9 (LOR Trng)	Halbert	Implementation				\$80,000									\$80,000	\$160,000
SAB Upgrades	Williams	Initiation			\$320,000										\$320,000	\$640,000
MTC Inprocessing Facility	Halbert	la iti a ti a a				¢150.000	\$500,000								\$500,000	\$1,000,000
Telex Wireless Communication system Installation		Initiation	-			\$150,000	\$450,000						-		\$150,000	\$300,000
Upgrades to SAB/PAB/MTC	Williams	Conceptual				\$430,000	\$100,000	\$500.000	\$75.000	\$75,000	\$75.000	\$75,000	\$75,000	\$75,000	\$1,050,000	\$2,100,000
Upgrade EPA Building	Radebaugh				\$112.000		\$100,000	4000,000	\$10,000	\$10,000	\$10,000	φ10,000	\$10,000	\$10,000	\$112,000	\$112,000
															* /	+ /
Subtotal				\$867,684	\$1,304,800	\$2,530,000	\$3,350,000	\$2,490,000	\$425,000	\$175,000	\$325,000	\$75,000	\$125,000	\$75,000	\$10,874,800	\$18,311,308
Routine Capital Projects Total				\$6,905,061	\$6,829,101	\$9,342,050	\$11,100,000	\$27,571,865	\$25,816,645	\$41,573,566	\$1,400,000	\$3,890,000	\$1,200,000	\$3,750,000	\$132,473,227	\$145,947,112
POWER UPRATE CAPITAL PROJECT																
POWER UPRATE																
EPU - Contractor Costs	Grubb	Design			\$5,000,000	\$5,000,000	\$37,100,000	\$10,900,000	\$19,800,000	\$16,900,000	\$6,720,000)			\$101,420,000	\$101,420,000
EPU - NRC Review Fees	Grubb	Design		\$0	\$0	\$230,000	\$800,000	\$520,000	\$0	\$0					\$1,550,000	\$1,550,000
EPU - NMC Review Costs	Grubb	Design	-		\$1,500,000	\$3,100,000	\$3,100,000	\$2,400,000	\$2,400,000	\$500,000					\$13,000,000	\$13,000,000
EPU - Summer Derates Mods (Listed by ")	Hammer	Study					\$2,400,000	\$4,000,000	\$2,000,000							
13 FW Heaters Replacement	Burko	Concentual -Per bu	25												02	02
14&15 FW Heaters Replacement	Burke	Conceptual -Per bu	25												\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0
AMERTAP Modifications (*)	Hammer	Conceptual	20												ψυ	ψυ
APRM and RBM Replacement	Myrabo	Conceptual -Per bu	ı 25												\$0	\$0
CARV Piping Upgrades	Hammer	Conceptual													·	
Circ Water Pump Upgrade (*)	Hammer	Conceptual														
Condensate Demineralizer	Hammer	Conceptual	24												\$0	\$0
Condensate Pump Replacement/Upgrade	Hammer	Conceptual														
Drywell EQ Upgrades	Hammer	Conceptual														
Feedwater Flow Transmitters	Hammer	Conceptual													* ^	* 2
Feedwater Heater Drain and Dump Valve	Burke	Conceptual -Per bu	24,25												\$0	\$0
Feedwaler Heater Drain Coolers for TT&12 fieaters (replace)	Myrabo	Conceptual	26,27												\$0	\$0
Concreter Exciter Replacement	Hammer	Conceptual	24												¢0	¢0
Generator Exciter Replacement	Williams	Conceptual	24												<u>\$0</u>	\$U \$0
GEZIP System	Myraho	Conceptual -Per bu													30 \$0	0¢ 02
Isophase Bus Cooling Mod	Burke	Conceptual -Per bu	24 25												\$0	\$0
Main Steam, Condensate Flow Transmitters	Hammer	Conceptual	2.,20													ŦŦ
Main Steam, FW Piping Repair & replacement	Myrabo	Conceptual	25												\$0	\$0
Moisture Separators -Drain Mod	Brown	Conceptual -Per bu	24,25												\$0	\$0
Noble Metals Addition (ALARA)	Jepson	Conceptual -Per bu	ıdget												\$0	\$0
Steam Dryer Mods	Williams	Conceptual -Per bu	ı 25												\$0	\$0
Supplemental Cooling Tower (*)	Hammer	Conceptual														
Supplemental Feed Pump	Hammer	Conceptual -Per bu	Idget													
Torus Attached Piping Modifications	Hammer	Conceptual Conceptual Bor bu	25												¢0	¢0
Transformer replacement - (Main Transformer)	Myrabo	Conceptual -Per bu	20												<u>\$0</u>	\$U \$0
Turbine Rotor HP	Williams	Conceptual	24												\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0
Turbine Diaphragms LP	Williams	Conceptual													\$0	\$0
Projects which are done as part of EPU																* -
MELLA+	Hammer	Initiation														
Online Gas Monitoring of the Main Transformer	French	Conceptual					150000								\$150,000	\$150,000
SAFR/GESTR Analysis	Myrabo	Initiation			\$175,000										\$175,000	\$175,000
TRACG-AOO	Hammer	Initiation														
Power Uprate Capital Project Total				\$0	\$6,500,000	\$8,330,000	\$43,400,000	\$17,820,000	\$24,200,000	\$17,400,000	\$6,720,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$115,970,000	\$115,970,000
NUCLEAR CAPITAL FUELS																
CAPITAL FUELS																
<first cf="" expense=""></first>				\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
<last cf="" expense=""></last>				\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Nuclear Capital Fuels Total				\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
CAPITAL SUMMARY INFORMATION																
Project Summary	Owner	Phase	Outage	Cost Thru 2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Total 2006-2015	Total with prior cost
Total Routine and Capital Projects				\$28,821,646	\$41,732,457	\$43,757,050	\$34,563,000	\$45,524,865	\$46,375,263	\$66,622,971	\$14,278,530	\$18,265,000	\$1,950,000	\$8,075,000	\$321,144,136	\$356,534,606
Total Power Uprate				\$0	\$6,500,000	\$8,330,000	\$43,400,000	\$17,820,000	\$24,200,000	\$17,400,000	\$6,720,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$115,970,000	\$115,970,000
Total Capital Nuclear Fuels				\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Total Initiation-Fuel Capital				\$28,821,646	\$48,232,457	\$52,087,050	\$77,963,000	\$63,344,865	\$70,575,263	\$84,022,971	\$20,998,530	\$18,265,000	\$1,950,000	\$8,075,000	\$437,114,136	\$472,504,606

Docket No. E002/CI-13-754 Exhibit ___(TJO-3), Schedule 5 Page 4 of 10

Capital LRP - Monticello

Project Summary	Owner	Phase	Outage	Cost Thru 2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Total 2006-2015	Total with prior cost
Total Capital (with Fuels and Power Uprate)				\$28,821,646	\$48,232,457	\$52,087,050	\$77,963,000	\$63,344,865	\$70,575,263	\$84,022,971	\$20,998,530	\$18,265,000	\$1,950,000	\$8,075,000	\$437,114,136	\$472,504,606

Docket No. E002/CI-13-754 Exhibit ____(TJO-3), Schedule 5 Page 5 of 10

Page 5

NSP 0000891

LONG RANGE PLAN				0&	M COS	TS								2006-2015
Project Summary	Owner	Phase	Outage	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Total 2006-2015
MAJOR O&M PROJECTS			o utago					2010			2010		2010	
DRY FUEL STORAGE														
			1											
Subtotal	: : : : : : : : : : :			\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
PART 50 LICENSE RENEWAL		<u> </u>		T -			T -	T -	, -	T -	T -	T -	T -	T -
Subtotal				\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
LIFE CYCLE MANAGEMENT														
RHRSW Pump Bowls Refurb - O&M	Radebaugh	Conceptual	24			\$210,000	\$220,000							\$430,000
Subtotal				\$0	\$0	\$210,000	\$220,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$430,000
REGULATORY REQUIRED		-												
SCBA purchase (6)	Brown	Initiation		\$16,000										\$16,000
316(b) - Contractor Support	Williams	Study		\$172,000	\$55,000					\$222,780	\$234,780			\$684,560
Additional AFFF for B.5.b Response	Brown	Initiation		\$80,000										\$80,000
B.5.D Phases 1,2,3	Williams	Initiation		\$108,000										\$108,000
Appendix R Associated Ventilation Systems	Brown	Study		\$50,000	\$75,000									\$125,000
Calc updates project	Haskell	Sludy		\$00,000										\$50,000
Proposal	lonson	Initiation		\$14.400	\$30,600									\$45,000
Contractor Support - Internal Flooding	Grubb	Initiation		\$14,400	φ30,000									\$100,000
Contractor Support (River Bathymetric study)	Myrabo	Design		\$50,000										\$50,000
Contractor Support (Separation of HELB interaction to 4KV	Haskell	Initiation		\$20,000										\$20,000
Contractor Support - PreQuitage Work Order Walkdowps	Padabaugh	Initiation		\$136,000										\$136.000
CST AO-2886 Valve Logic Modification	MacKissock	Initiation		φ130,000		\$100.000								\$100,000
CST Heat Trace - perform evaluation	Radebaugh	Conceptual				φ100,000								\$0
CST Pressurization Station Optimization	Radebaugh	Conceptual												\$0
Cyber Security Assess & Implementation	R.Vandell	Conceptual			\$200.000	\$200.000								\$400.000
Design basis document updates (NRC, risk INPO AFI)	Haskell	Study		\$50,000	+,	+ ,								\$50,000
Design Basis Information Reconstitution	Haskell	Study												\$0
Design Basis Recovery	Brown	Study												\$0
Design Basis Recovery RG 1.97	Myrabo	Study		\$50,000										\$50,000
EDG Frame Modification to Reduce Vibration	MacKissock	Conceptual	24,25				\$75,000		\$75,000					\$150,000
EDG Rooms Sprinkler mod for NFPA 13 Compliance	Brown	Study			\$100,000									\$100,000
EDG 12 Yr PM Enhancement	Radebaugh	Conceptual	23		\$200,000		\$200,000							\$400,000
EDG Performance Testing	MacKissock	Conceptual	23,24		\$50,000		\$50,000							\$100,000
EDG Voltage Regulator Replacement	MacKissock	Conceptual	24				\$150,000							\$150,000
EP - Thyro block KI supply replacement	Williams	Study		\$7,000										\$7,000
EP - Trainersoft software for CBT	Williams	Study		\$8,000										\$8,000
EPRI Seminar	Brown	Study		\$3,000	<u> </u>	* 222.022								\$3,000
EQ Program Revisions	Brown	5/12 budget			\$300,000	\$200,000								\$500,000
EQ/HELB calculation update	Haskell	Study												\$U \$0
Establish Generic Shielding Boundary Conditions	Haskell Williams	Sludy		¢65.000										ህሮ የፍፍ 000
Fire Penetration Seal Documentation Improvements	Brown	Initiation		\$05,000	\$100.000									\$250,000
Fire Protection Improvement Plan	Brown	Study		\$150,000	\$100,000									φ230,000 \$0
HRLM Self Assessment and NRC Inspection Contractor			<u> </u>											ψυ
Support	Haskell	Initiation		\$197.000										\$197.000
Leak Rate Monitor usage training	Brown	Study	1	<i> </i>										\$0
MOV Wiring (RIS)	Brown	Study	24		\$300,000	\$300,000	\$400,000							\$1,000,000
MTC Contractors (Lic exam devlpmt & support of NLO Initial		Í	Ì					1						
and Tech	Halbert	Study		\$210,000	\$140,000	\$140,000	\$140,000	\$140,000	\$140,000	\$140,000	\$140,000	\$140,000	\$140,000	\$1,470,000
NIRMA symposium/DCRM conference	Williams	Study		\$5,000										\$5,000
Nuclear Eng class seminar fee and Eng expenses to attend	Myrabo	Study		\$50,000	\$5 <mark>9,000</mark>	\$50,000	\$50,000	\$50,000						\$250,000
NFPA 805 Transition/Implementation	Brown	Study		I L	\$40 6 ,060	npany Use Only								\$40@1000

Docket No. E002/CI-13-754 Exhibit ____(TJO-3), Schedule 5 Page 6 of 10

														Total
Project Summary	Owner	Phase	Outage	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2006-2015
Operations Training Improvement Program	Halbert	Study												\$0
Penetration Seal Improvement Program	Brown	Design	1		\$200,000									\$200,000
Procedure Improvement Project	Williams	Study		\$300,000	\$300,000									\$600,000
Program Health Improvements	Brown			\$250,000	\$100,000	\$250,000	\$250,000	\$250,000	\$250,000	\$250,000	\$250,000	\$250,000	\$250,000	\$2,350,000
PMO for unidentified critical components	Brown	Initiation			\$184,000									\$184,000
Reactor Bldg HELB Program Review & Analysis	Haskell	Conceptual			575000			\$0	\$0		\$0	\$0		\$575,000
Replace PS-5-16 DW High/Low Pressure Alarm Switch	Haskell	Study												\$0
Re-route Conduit in Intake Structure in Support of App. R														^
Exempt	Haskell	Study												\$0
Response to NRC Fire Induced Spurious Actuation GL	Brown			\$75,000										\$75,000
Screenwash Fire Pump - Modify 20 second time delay	Myrabo	Study			\$20,000									\$20,000
Security NEI CAF team evaluation of Force on Force	Williams	Study		\$80,000										\$80,000
Security Support for 2 NMC Force on Force exercise	Williams	Study		\$7,000										\$7,000
Spent Fuel Storage Racks	Myrabo	Design		\$100,000	\$200,000									\$300,000
Unidentified Requlatory Required O&M Projects					\$1,035,000	\$1,560,000	\$1,360,000	\$1,560,000	\$1,610,000	\$1,610,000	\$1,610,000	\$1,610,000	\$1,610,000	\$13,565,000
Subtotal				\$2,403,400	\$4,614,600	\$2,800,000	\$2,675,000	\$2,000,000	\$2,075,000	\$2,222,780	\$2,234,780	\$2,000,000	\$2,000,000	\$25,025,560
FLEET OPTIMIZATION PROJECTS														
Subtotal				\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
OTHER MAJOR O&M PROJECTS														
Outage Predictability:														\$0
(A) Low Pressure Turbine Inspection	Myrabo		23		\$3,000,000									\$3,000,000
(B) Low Pressure Turbine Inspection	Myrabo		26								\$3,200,000		\$2,000,000	\$5,200,000
2009 Outage Major Maintenance Activities	Radebaugh	Study	24		\$100,000									\$100,000
2009 Outage Major Modifications Phase 1 Studies	Grubb	Study	24		\$500,000									\$500,000
Cycle 24 Core Reload Modification	Myrabo	Initiation	24		\$20,000									\$20,000
Cycle 25 Thermal-Hudraulic Stability Calculations	MacKissock	Conceptual	24			\$150,000								\$150,000
Generator Testing	Myrabo		25						\$1,820,000				\$450,000	\$2,270,000
EFT Control Logic Relays Replacement	Parker		24			\$100,000	\$25,000							\$125,000
EFT Blanking Plates Removal (V-D-9051 A/B)	Parker		24			\$200,000	\$100,000							\$300,000
HP Turbine Inspection	Myrabo		24											\$0
Integrated Leak Rate Test (ILRT)	Brown		23	\$50,000	\$150,000									\$200,000
Outage Planning	Williams		23,24,25,26	\$1,090,000		\$2,300,000		\$2,500,000		\$2,500,000		\$2,500,000		\$10,890,000
Recirc System Suction and Discharge Valves	Radebaugh		24			\$200,000	\$600,000							\$800,000
Removal of Rx Cavity Shield Plugs at Hot Shutdown or														
Operating Conditions	Dabney		23		\$100,000									\$100,000
Replace ANMS/WRFMs with blank LPRM flanges	Myrabo	Design	23	\$16,000	\$54,000									\$70,000
Replace CV 1242 and CV 1243	Myrabo		24		\$100,000	\$100,000	\$200,000							\$400,000
Replacement of Cond. Demin U Valve Dual Coil Solenoid	Haskell				\$80,000									\$80,000
Shroud Inspection	Brown	Implementation	27										\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000
T-63 Waterbox Valves	Myrabo		24			\$25,000	\$100,000							\$125,000
Torus Coating Repairs	Radebaugh	Initiation	23	\$110,000										\$110,000
Turbine Wiring Repairs	Williams	Design	23,24,25	\$181,000	\$1,901	\$182,901	\$187,000.00	\$2,062	\$189,062		\$0	\$0		\$743,924
Unidentified Outage Projects	Williams		23,24		\$19,075,000		\$19,595,000							\$38,670,000
Valve Work CIV-1, 4, BP #12	Myrabo		24,25				\$250,000		\$250,000					\$500,000
Valve Work CIV-2, CIV-3, BP #11	Myrabo		23		\$175,000						\$480,000			\$655,000
Valve Work CV-2, Stop Valves	Myrabo		24				\$750,000							\$750,000
Vessel UT			25						\$1,000,000					\$1,000,000
WO Planning RFO23 - Supplemental Support	Radebaugh	Initiation	23	\$198,727	<u>.</u>					•				\$198,727
Subtotal				\$1,645,727	\$23,355,901	\$3,257,901	\$21,807,000	\$2,502,062	\$3,259,062	\$2,500,000	\$3,680,000	\$2,500,000	\$3,450,000	\$67,957,651
Major O&M Projects Total				\$4,049,127	\$27,970,501	\$6,267,901	\$24,702,000	\$4,502,062	\$5,334,062	\$4,722,780	\$5,914,780	\$4,500,000	\$5,450,000	\$93,413,211
ROUTINE O&M PROJECTS														
ROUTINE O&M PROJECTS														
13 Diesel - Modify support to address vibration issues	Myrabo	Initiation		\$50.000	\$45,000									\$95 <u>000</u>
250 VDC MCC Voltage Monitoring Improvements	Myrabo	Design	23	ψυ0,000 \$12 ΛΛΛ	ψ+3,000			<u> </u>	├					\$12 000
250 Volt Battery Room Heat up Calc	Haskell	Initiation	20	\$10,000 \$10,000										\$10,000
4KV Breakers (Spare)	Haskell	Design		\$50,000										\$50,000
4kV Relay Set point Justification	Haskell	Study		ψυυ,υυυ	Proprie	ary Information								ψJU,UUU ΦΩ
		Olddy			For Cor	npany Use Only		<u>I</u>	1					710⁰⁰

Docket No. E002/CI-13-754 Exhibit ____(TJO-3), Schedule 5 Page 7 of 10

	-													Total
Project Summary	Owner	Phase	Outage	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2006-2015
974' Cubicle Tube Shield	Haskell	Design												\$0
Abandon DW/Torus Bypass Interlock Relays 16A-K34, K35, K42 and K43	Haskell	Design	23		\$105,000									\$105,000
ARDEC Elect Standardization Support	Myrabo	Design	20	\$75,000	ψ100,000									\$75,000
ASCO NPK8321 SOV Adverse Trend	Brown	Design		φ/ 0,000										\$0 \$0
Breaker PM's (Previously funded by Xcel)	Radebaugh	Design		\$100,000										\$100.000
	-	Design		\$100,000										\$100,000
Chesterton Live Loading on Outboard MSIV's (installation)	Brown	Design	23	\$22,000										\$22,000
CML upgrade, contractor for I&C calibration software	VanDell	Design			\$25,000	\$25,000								\$50,000
Condensate Filter System Backwash Waste Processing														
Proposal	Jepson	Initiation		A = 2 = 2 = 2	\$60,800									\$60,800
Condensate Pump Motor Rebuild	Radebaugh	Design	23	\$50,000										\$50,000
Condensate Pump Rebuild	Radebaugh	Design	23	\$120,000										\$120,000
Cooling Tower Deck Utility Outlet Upgrade	Foote	Design												\$0 \$0
Critical emergent design issues & calcs from Design 67	Haskell	Design												\$U
Digital pressure gages and spare float tube type flow meters	Radebaugn	Design		¢10.000										\$0
EDC Room Ventilation	Haskell	Design		\$40,000										\$40,000 ¢0
EDG ROOM Vehillation	Haskell	Design												\$U \$0
EDMS/SQA Contractor Support	VanDell	Design		¢200.000	¢200.000	¢200.000	¢200.000	¢200.000	¢200.000	¢200.000	¢200.000	¢200.000	¢200.000	0¢ 000 000 C\$
		Design		\$300,000	\$300,000	\$300,000	\$300,000	\$300,000	\$300,000	\$300,000	\$300,000	\$300,000	\$300,000	\$3,000,000
EP - Global Positioning System units for off-site field teams	Williams	Design		\$4,000										\$4,000
EP Siren Battery Replacement	Williams	Design		\$42,500										\$42,500
Feed Pump rotating element rebuild	Radebaugh	Design	23	\$50,000										\$50,000
Feed Water Thin Pipe	Haskell	Study	23	\$53,000										\$53,000
Fleet Electrolytic Capacitor Initiative - Implement Shelf Life				*-------------										*-------------
Program	Nelson	Initiation		\$72,000										\$72,000
Fuel Pool borax sample analysis	MacKissock	Design					* ****							\$0
HPCI and RCIC Overspeed Test Equipment	Mackissock	Conceptual	25				\$300,000				* 2	* 2		\$300,000
HPCI Room Heat Up Calc Margin Improvement	Parker	Conceptual					\$150,000				\$0	\$0		\$150,000
Inst Gland Seal Leak off Valves on RHRSW	Haskell	Design					¢ 50.000							\$U
Investigate Potential for Increasing EDG LCO to 14 days	Machissock	Conceptual		¢00.000			\$50,000							\$50,000
Minor Mod Analysis Funding	Grubb	Initiation		\$30,000										\$30,000
MO-2035	Haskell	Design												02
MSIV/s_ Pariodic robuild of outboard MSIV/s	Parker	Concentual	24		\$50,000									φ0 \$50.000
MTC Mock up materials for Mech. Elec & I&C	Halbert	Design	24	\$42,000	φ30,000									\$30,000
On-line Eddy Current for Fuel Pool Rx	Brown	Design		\$22,000										\$22,000
Packing Program Improvements	Brown	Initiation		\$200,000										\$200,000
Replace ANMS/WREMs with blank LPRM flanges	Myrabo	Design	23	φ200,000	\$75,000									\$75,000
RHR Pump motor rebuild	Radebaugh	Design	23	\$75,000	<i><i></i></i>									\$75,000
RHR #12 Heat Exchanger cleaning	Parker	Conceptual	24	<i></i>	\$0	\$0	\$80,000							\$80,000
RPS Data Matrix Power Supply Refurbishment	Myrabo	Initiation		\$49,970	\$39,000	ΨŬ	\$00,000							\$88,970
SAFER/GESTR - Re-analyze with 0.4 ft/2 Minimum				¢ .0,010	<i>QOO</i>									<i>400,010</i>
detectable break for licensing bases change - CAP033391-														
OBD000140	Mvrabo	Initiation		\$175.000	\$45.000									\$220.000
SDV FCI FR-72 Level Switch	Haskell	Design	23	* · · · · , • • •										\$0
Sentinel Upgrade (Rad Prot)	Jepson	Conceptual	-											\$0
Snubber Seal Life Evaluation	Brown	Design		\$17.000										\$17.000
Spec P-503 - include Hilti Kwik Bolt 3 design loads into		Ŭ												, ,
installation/design	Haskell	Initiation			\$250,000		\$250,000		\$250,000		\$250,000		\$250,000	\$1,250,000
Steam Dryer Inspection	Brown	Design	23,24,25,26											\$0
SW1-18" Modification (AES Contractor Support)	Grubb	Design		\$20,000		i								\$20,000
SW Repairs (Materials/Labor) - Dead Leaks	Alstad	Design												\$0
Turbine Bldg Fire Lines Eval (Contract support)	Grubb	Design	1	\$20,000		i								\$20,000
Turbine Bldg Service Water Line Eval (Contract Support)	Grubb	Design			\$20,000									\$20,000
Validate Manual Operation of Tap Changers (Cont Sup)	Haskell	Initiation		\$16,000										\$16,000
Subtotal				\$1,687,470	\$994,800	\$325,000	\$1,130,000	\$300,000	\$550,000	\$300,000	\$550,000	\$300,000	\$550,000	\$6,687,270
FACILITIES MANAGEMENT														
Carpet and Tile replacement (WEC,SAB2, PAB1, PAB		Initiation												
basement)	Radebaugh	mation		\$287,000	Proprieta	ary miormation								\$28 7 ,000

Docket No. E002/CI-13-754 Exhibit ___(TJO-3), Schedule 5 Page 8 of 10

Project Summary	Owner	Phase	Outage	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Total 2006-2015
Control Room Metering for 1AR & LC-104	MacKissock	Design	23	\$44.000	\$462	\$44,462	\$46.000	\$507	\$46.507					\$181.938
Core Reload Modification	Myrabo	Design	23,24,25,26	\$10,000	* · · · ·	\$10,000	<i> </i>	\$10,000	+ ,					\$30,000
Infrastructure Improvements	Williams	Design	, , , ,	\$200,000	\$200,000	\$200,000	\$200,000	\$200,000	\$200,000	\$200,000	\$200,000	\$200,000	\$200,000	\$2,000,000
MTC Training Center Improvements	Halbert	Initiation		\$40,000										\$40,000
Plant and MTC PBX Upgrade	Myrabo	Design			\$75,000									\$75,000
Plant Improvements (Turbine & Rx Bldgs/Maint shop		Initiation												
remodels)	Radebaugh	miliation		\$121,000										\$121,000
Plant Painting	Radebaugh	Initiation		\$358,000										\$358,000
Plant/Preventive Maintenance Support (2)	Radebaugh	Initiation		\$170,000										\$170,000
Subtotal				\$1,230,000	\$275,462	\$254,462	\$246,000	\$210,507	\$246,507	\$200,000	\$200,000	\$200,000	\$200,000	\$3,262,938
Routine O&M Projects Total				\$2,917,470	\$1,270,262	\$579,462	\$1,376,000	\$510,507	\$796,507	\$500,000	\$750,000	\$500,000	\$750,000	\$9,950,208
EXTENDED POWER UPRATE PROJECT														
POWER UPRATE														
														\$0
Power Uprate O&M Project Total				\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
O&M SUMMARY INFORMATION														
														lotal
Project Summary	Owner	Phase	Outage	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2006-2015
Total Major and Routine Projects				\$6,966,597	\$29,240,763	\$6,847,363	\$26,078,000	\$5,012,569	\$6,130,569	\$5,222,780	\$6,664,780	\$5,000,000	\$6,200,000	\$103,363,420
Total Extended Power Uprate				\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Total O&M Projects				\$6,966,597	\$29,240,763	\$6,847,363	\$26,078,000	\$5,012,569	\$6,130,569	\$5,222,780	\$6,664,780	\$5,000,000	\$6,200,000	\$103,363,420

Docket No. E002/CI-13-754 Exhibit ___(TJO-3), Schedule 5 Page 9 of 10

NSP 0000895

Bins

1	Regulatory	Required
---	------------	----------

- 2 Equipment Reliability
- 3 Outage Predictability
- 4 Infrastructure Improvements

Phase

1 11036	
Non	Initiation
1	Study
2	Design

_	
3	Implementation

Closeout

Outage <Replace with Your Plant Outage Schedule>

23	2007
24	2009
25	2011
26	2013
27	2015
28	2017
29	2019

Northern States Power Company Northern States Power Company PUBLIC DOCUMENT: TRADE SECRET DATA EXCISED Docket No. E002/CI-13-754 Exhibit ____ (TJO-3), Schedule 6 Page 1 of 14

Investments in Life Cycle Management and Extended Power Uprate for Monticello Presentation to David Wilks July 24, 2006

Northern States Power Company Northern States Power Company PUBLIC DOCUMENT: TRADE SECRET DATA EXCISED Docket No. E002/CI-13-754 Exhibit ____ (TJO-3), Schedule 6 Page 2 of 14

Agenda

- Introduction of Attendees
- Meeting Purpose
- General Electric Technical Presentation
- Next Steps
- Meeting Summary

PUBLIC DOCUMENT: TRADE SECRET DATA EXCISED Docket No. E002/CI-13-754

Exhibit ____ (TJO-3), Schedule 6 Page 3 of 14

Attendees

 David Wilks Xcel Charlie Bomberger Xcel Marvin McDaniel Xcel **NMC** Lyle Bohn Sherry Bernhoft NMC • Lee Elder GE Jon Ball GE

Northern States Power Company Northern States Power Company Exhibit ____ (TJO-3), Schedule 6 Page 4 of 14

Meeting Purpose

- Present the Extended Power Uprate and integration with Life Cycle Management projects for the Monticello Nuclear Generating Plant
- Review steps to support Certificate of Need filing by end of 2006
PUBLIC DOCUMENT: TRADE SECRET DATA EXCISED Docket No.

Exhibit ____ (TJO-3), Schedule 6 Page 5 of 14

Lite Cycle Management

- Monticello submitted a License Renewal (LR) application March 2005
 - Extends the operating license to 2030
 - Anticipate NRC approval by end of 2006
- Life Cycle Management (LCM)
 - Program to ensure continued safe and reliable operation of the plant during the extended operating period

PUBLIC DOCUMENT: TRADE SECRET DATA EXCISED Docket No. E002/CI-13-754 Exhibit ____ (TJO-3), Schedule 6 Page 6 of 14

Life Cycle Management

- LCM includes
 - Inspections
 - Maintenance strategies
 - Component replacements and upgrades
- Replacements and upgrades are required due to aging, obsolescence, maintenance history and industry experience

 PUBLIC DOCUMENT: TRADE SECRET DATA EXCISED

 Docket No. E002/CI-13-754

 Exhibit _____ (TJO-3), Schedule 6

 Page 7 of 14

LCM and Extended Power Uprates

- Many of the components identified under the LCM program are Balance of Plant (BOP)-secondary side equipment
- The reactor and primary side components were designed to operate at a higher power level
- Additional power output is limited by the design and capacity of the BOP equipment
- Steps for power uprates:
 - Measurement Uncertainty Recovery ~ 1.5%
 - Stretch uprate- Analysis and minor system upgrades ~5 to 7%
 - Extended power uprate Analysis and significant system upgrades ~ 20%

Northern States Power Company Northern States Power Company PUBLIC DOCUMENT: TRADE SECRET DATA EXCISED Docket No. E002/CI-13-754 Exhibit ____(TJO-3), Schedule 6 Page 8 of 14 Page 8 of 14

- If we are interested in an Extended Power Uprate (EPU) there are synergies with LCM projects
- EPU becomes an incremental cost for the total project
- June 2006 the Minnesota PSC ordered Xcel to submit a CoN for Monticello and PI power uprates

PUBLIC DOCUMENT: TRADE SECRET DATA EXCISED Docket No. E002/CI-13-754 Exhibit ____ (TJO-3), Schedule 6 Page 9 of 14

Benefits of EPU for Monticello

- Based on GE scoping study:
 - Expected range of increase 69-73 MWe
 - Phased implementation during the 2009 and 2011 refueling outages
 - HP and LP turbine work in 2009; 33 MWe (646 MWe)
 - Generator work in 2011; 38 MWe (684 MWe)

PUBLIC DOCUMENT: TRADE SECRET DATA EXCISED Northern States Power Company Docket No. E002/CI-13-754

Exhibit ____ (TJO-3), Schedule 6 Page 10 of 14

Major Components for EPU and LCM Project

•	EPU required costs:	\$114.45 M	
	 Analytical work to update the nuclear safety analysis reports and licensing basis 		
	 NRC review fees 		
	 High pressure and low pressure turbine modifications 		
	 Steam Dryer modifications 		
	 Feedwater control system upgrades 		
	 Steam piping analysis/enhancements 		
•	LCM components with incremental EPU upgrades:	\$88.7M	
	– Main generator		
	– Transformers		
	 Balance of plant heat exchangers- feedwater heaters 		
	 Control systems and set points 		
	 Cooler tower upgrades 		
•	LCM only component replacements:	\$70.7M	
	– Breaker replacements		
	 Instrument air system upgrades 		
	 Cable replacements 		
	Total EPU plus LCM	\$273.85M	
	*All costs are in 2006 dollars		
	*Project cost estimates do not include CoN application or transmission system studies	and upgrades	
	reject det de met mende de ret application et automobiler byetem etadie	and apgradoo	

NSP 0034148

Northern States Power Company Northern States Power Company PUBLIC DOCUMENT: TRADE SECRET DATA EXCISED Docket No. E002/CI-13-754 Exhibit ____ (TJO-3), Schedule 6 Page 11 of 14

Project Approach

- Continue to work with GE to integrate EPU and LCM projects
- Establish a firm-fixed price contract with incentives and penalties for schedule, cost over runs and plant performance
- Establish cash flows based on Xcel capital needs (options to be covered under GE's presentation)

 PUBLIC DOCUMENT: TRADE SECRET DATA EXCISED

 Docket No. E002/CI-13-754

 Exhibit ____ (TJO-3), Schedule 6

Page 12 of 14

Background

NSP 0034150

Northern States Power Company PUBLIC DOCUMENT: TRADE SECRET DATA EXCISED Docket No. E00

Exhibit ____ (TJO-3), Schedule 6 Page 13 of 14

Total Projected Cost

- NMC worked with GE to develop cost estimates for EPU and selected LCM projects
- Monticello created a 10 year capital project plan
- Total projected costs:

 EPU only 	\$114.45M
 LCM with EPU 	\$88.7M
 LCM only 	\$70.7M
 Total 	\$273.85M

All costs are in 2006 dollars (non-escalated)

Project cost estimates do not include CoN application or transmission system studies and upgrades (Xcel scope)

PUBLIC DOCUMENT: TRADE SECRET DATA EXCISED Northern States Power Company Docket No. E002/CI-13-754

Exhibit ____ (TJO-3), Schedule 6 Page 14 of 14

EPU Cost Breakdown

	Cost	A&G %	Cont %	Total
	2006 \$'S	NS:		M \$'s
GE -				
Fixed				
NMC				
NRC				
Review				