

January 29, 2015

Daniel P. Wolf Executive Secretary Minnesota Public Utilities Commission 121 7<sup>th</sup> Place East, Suite 350 St. Paul, Minnesota 55101-2147

RE: Comments of the Minnesota Department of Commerce, Division of Energy Resources Docket No. E111/M-15-40

Dear Mr. Wolf:

Attached are the comments of the Minnesota Department of Commerce, Division of Energy Resources (Department) on the following matter:

Dakota Electric Association's 2015 Annual Resource and Tax Adjustment.

The petition was filed on January 13, 2015 by:

Corey Hintz Director of Financial Planning, Analysis and Regulatory Dakota Electric Association 4300 220<sup>th</sup> Street West Farmington, Minnesota 55024

The Department recommends **approval** and is available to answer any questions the Minnesota Public Utilities Commission may have.

Sincerely,

/s/ MICHAEL N. ZAJICEK Rates Analyst

MNZ/ja Attachment



# BEFORE THE MINNESOTA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

# COMMENTS OF THE MINNESOTA DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE DIVISION OF ENERGY RESOURCES

DOCKET NO. E111/M-15-40

# I. BACKGROUND

On January 13, 2015, Dakota Electric Association (DEA or the Cooperative) filed its proposed 2015 Annual Resource and Tax Adjustment (RTA Petition) with the Minnesota Public Utilities Commission (Commission). Dakota Electric's RTA factor is adjusted every January and then reviewed after six months and adjusted again as necessary.

The RTA Petition shows that DEA calculated its proposed RTA on a per-kWh basis for the projected calendar year ending December 31, 2015. The RTA has the following three components:

- A power cost adjustment;
- A demand-side management (DSM) and conservation adjustment; and
- A property and real estate tax adjustment.

The net credit or charge per kWh of all these adjustments appears on customers' bills as a single line titled "Resource and Tax Adjustment."

For residential customers, DEA proposed a total net 2015 RTA of \$0.0156 per kWh, broken down into a power cost adjustment of \$0.0152 per kWh, a DSM and conservation adjustment of \$0.0000 kWh, and a property and real estate tax adjustment of \$0.0004 per kWh. DEA's 2014 net RTA was \$0.0132 per kWh for residential customers, so there is a proposed increase of \$0.0024 per kWh. The increase is substantially due to an increase in power costs. DEA purchases nearly all its power from Great River Energy (GRE).

Table 1, below, summarizes DEA's proposed RTA by rate class for the calendar year January, 2015 through December, 2015.

Table 1: Proposed RTA by Rate Class				
Rate Class	Power Cost	DSM &	Property &	Total
	Adjustment	Conservation	Real Estate	Resource &
		Adjustment	Tax	Tax
			Adjustment	Adjustment
Residential	\$0.0152	\$0.0000	\$0.0004	\$0.0156
Residential Demand	\$0.0152	\$0.0000	\$0.0004	\$0.0156
Control				
Irrigation – Firm	\$0.0152	\$0.0000	\$0.0005	\$0.0157
Irrigation – Interruptible	\$0.0103	\$0.0000	\$0.0005	\$0.0108
Small General Service	\$0.0152	\$0.0000	\$0.0004	\$0.0156
Street Lights	\$0.0152	\$0.0000	\$0.0013	\$0.0165
Security Lights	\$0.0152	\$0.0000	\$0.0013	\$0.0165
General Service	\$0.0152	\$0.0000	\$0.0001	\$0.0153
Geothermal Heat Pump	\$0.0196	\$0.0000	\$0.0001	\$0.0197
Controlled Energy Storage	\$0.0020	\$0.0000	\$0.0004	\$0.0024
Controlled Interruptible	\$0.0048	\$0.0000	\$0.0004	\$0.0052
Time of Day – Residential	\$0.0152	\$0.0000	\$0.0004	\$0.0156
Time of Day – General	\$0.0152	\$0.0000	\$0.0001	\$0.0153
Service				
Interruptible Option – Full	\$0.0103	\$0.0000	\$0.0001	\$0.0104
Interruptible Option – Partial	\$0.0103	\$0.0000	\$0.0001	\$0.0104

#### Table 4 D

Minn. Stat. §216B.241, subd. 2 (b) allows a public utility to petition the Commission for approval to include an automatic adjustment for real and personal property taxes, fees and permits on their monthly bills. The Commission's April 22, 1996 Order in Docket No. E111/M-95-1395 gave DEA approval to include an adjustment for real and personal property taxes. The Department notes that the Commission's June 12, 2012 Order in Docket No. E111/M-12-144 indicated that if DEA were to reduce its Conservation Improvement Program (CIP) spending below 1.75 percent of gross revenues in a given year, the Cooperative would not be permitted to include a property tax adjustment in the RTA in the following year.

#### II. DEPARTMENT ANALYSIS

As noted above, there are three factors which comprise DEA's RTA: the purchased power cost adjustment, the conservation cost adjustment, and the property tax adjustment. The purpose of the RTA is to allow DEA to adjust rates for variations from the predicted costs of each of these adjustments as compared to the rates determined in DEA's most recent rate case. By design, the RTA is forward-looking, based on estimated costs for the upcoming

year, and designed to ensure that there is no double recovery of costs in the RTA and in the base rates. In addition, the mid-year adjustment affords timelier matching of costs DEA incurs to serve customers with revenues from customers in these areas.

## A. POWER COST ADJUSTMENT

The purchased power cost adjustment is defined as the difference between the projected cost of power and DEA's base cost of power rate approved in its most recent rate case. Six months after each January 1, DEA compares the projected power cost against actual costs to determine whether a change in the power cost adjustment is necessary to zero out any over- or under-recovery accumulated since January 1. If an adjustment is necessary, the factor is changed beginning with power consumed in July. Since the aggregate power cost adjustment credit or charge is based on the projected level of sales, the actual credit or charge will generally not equal the projection. To account for the difference, DEA performs a true-up calculation at the end of each fiscal year, and adjusts any net over- or under-recovery on the subsequent year's power cost recovery.

The Department reviewed DEA's calculation of the true-up and concludes that the calculation is accurate. Therefore, the Department concludes that DEA's proposal to recover the difference between its base cost of energy and wholesale power costs through the power cost adjustment is appropriate.

### B. DEMAND-SIDE MANAGEMENT AND CONSERVATION ADJUSTMENT

DEA's approved DSM and conservation spending additions from the calendar year are recovered from January 1 through December 31 of the next year. The recovery factor is computed using the same kWh sales projections used for the purchased power cost adjustment factor, as noted above.

DEA proposed a DSM and conservation adjustment of \$0.0000 as the Company had over recovered in 2014 and intends to reduce the tracker amounts, as shown on Schedule A3 of DEA's filing. The Company expects to have an over-recovered balance of \$49,706 at the end of 2015.

The Department reviewed DEA's calculation of the DSM and conservation adjustment and concludes that the calculation is accurate. Any change in the tracker recovery factor to attempt to refund over recovery would result in a substantial level of under recovery by the end of the 2015 calendar year. Therefore, the Department concludes that DEA's proposed DSM and conservation adjustment is appropriate.

#### C. PERSONAL PROPERTY TAX ADJUSTMENT

Minn. Stat. §216B.241, subd. 2(b) states, in part:

A public utility is eligible to file for adjustment for real and personal property taxes, fees, and permits under this subdivision only if, in the year previous to the year in which it files for adjustment, it has spent or invested at least 1.75 percent of its gross revenues from provision of electric service and 0.6 percent of its gross revenue from provisions of gas service for that year for energy conservation improvements in this section.

In addition, Minn. Stat. §216B.241, subd. 1b(f) allows that:

A generation and transmission cooperative electric association that provides energy services to cooperative electric associations that provide electric service at retail to consumers may invest in energy conservation improvements on behalf of the associations it serves and may fulfill the conservation, spending, reporting, and energy savings goals on an aggregate basis.

In DEA's case, GRE, as the generation and transmission provider for DEA, may contribute to DEA's CIP spending. Consequently, the Department calculated DEA's compliance with the 1.75 percent spending requirement set forth in Minn. Stat. §216B.241, subd. 2(b) both with and without GRE's contributions to CIP spending in order to determine whether the Cooperative remains eligible to file for a property tax adjustment.

Table 2 summarizes the Department's analysis of DEA's CIP spending to ensure that DEA still meets the 1.75 percent spending requirement.

2014 Gross Operation Revenue	<b>\$195,879,147</b> 1		
DEA's 2014 CIP spending (excluding generator rebate program) <sup>2</sup>	\$2,168,804		
CIP as a percentage of Gross Operating Revenue	1.11%		
DEA's 2014 CIP Spending with GRE's Contributions (excluding	\$3,694,969		
generator rebate)			
CIP with GRE as a percentage of Gross Operating Revenue	1.89%		

#### Table 2: DEA's Minimum Spending Requirement

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See DEA's response to Information Request 1 (Attachment 1)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> In the Commission's March 24, 1995 Order in Docket No. E111/M-95-55, the Commission found that the generator rebate program is not an energy conservation improvement under Minnesota Statutes, and disallowed costs of this program from calculations to determine DEA's net conservation spending; however, in the same Order, DEA was permitted to continue recovery of the costs of the generator rebate program though its approved conservation tracker account.

Without GRE's contributions and without the generator rebate, DEA spent only 1.11 percent of its gross operating revenues on CIP, meaning that DEA did not meet the spending threshold as a stand-alone entity. However, with GRE's contributions the percentage rose to 1.89 percent, thus allowing DEA to meet the spending threshold when GRE's contributions are included. The Department concludes that, since DEA is spending a significant amount on CIP without GRE's contributions, and since the total amount spent including GRE's contributions exceeds the 1.75 percent threshold, DEA is eligible to file a property tax adjustment.

DEA proposed a charge of \$0.0004 per kWh for property taxes, which reflects the expectation that property taxes will be slightly higher than the amount built into base rates. The Department reviewed DEA's calculations and found them to be accurate and concludes that the rate is appropriate.

### D. COMPLIANCE WITH COMMISSION ORDERS

In its April 22, 1996 Order in Docket No. E111/M-95-1395 approving the RTA, the Commission ordered DEA to provide the following compliance information as part of its filing:

- The annual conservation tracker account report detailing DEA's eligibility to recover incremental taxes under Minn. Stat. §216B.241, subd. 2(b).
- The finalized current year's total real and personal property tax bill and its proposed filing to true-up its annual tax adjustment rider.
- Information to verify that the incremental real and personal property tax recovery is limited to known and actual gross revenues and conservation expenditures.

The Department concludes that DEA submitted the information set forth in the Commission's April 22, 1996 Order.

The Department also reviewed DEA's petition to ensure that it preserved the existing rate design. In Docket No. E111/M-95-1395 concerning the RTA, DEA and the Department agreed that the increased tax expense should be allocated to the customer classes based on the class-cost-of-service method in DEA's most recent rate case.

DEA's most recent rate case was approved by the Commission in its May 24, 2010 Order in Docket No. E111/GR-09-175. As part of its filing, DEA submitted a schedule detailing the allocation of taxes to the various classes, along with the net recoverable balance after any over- or under-recovery. A review of the schedule indicates that DEA has allocated its taxes among the classes in compliance with the Commission's April 22, 1996 Order.

In summary, the Department concludes that DEA has complied with the Commission's Order, and recommends that the Commission approve DEA's 2014 Annual Resource and Tax Adjustment.

# III. DEPARTMENT RECOMMENDATIONS

The Department recommends that the Commission approve DEA's 2015 Annual Resource and Tax Adjustment petition.

/ja

## Dakota Electric Association

Response to

Docket No. E111/M-15-40 DOC Attachment 1 Page 1 of 1

# Minnesota Department of Commerce Division of Energy Resources Utility Information Request

Docket Number:	E-111/M-15-40
<b>Request</b> Number:	1
Requested By:	Michael Zajicek
Date of Request:	January 16, 2015
Response Prepared By:	Corey Hintz
	Dakota Electric Association
	651-463-6130
Date of Response:	January 28, 2015

#### Question 1

Reference: Minn. Stat. §216B.241, subd. 2(b) states, in part:

A public utility is eligible to file for adjustment for real and personal property taxes, fees, and permits under this subdivision only if, in the year previous to the year in which it files for adjustment, it has spent or invested at least 1.75 percent of its gross revenues from provision of electric service and 0.6 percent of its gross revenue from provisions of gas service for that year for energy conservation improvements in this section.

Please provide the following actual data for analysis:

1. DEA's 2014 Gross Operation Revenue;

2. DEA's 2014 Conservation Improvement Program (CIP) spending (excluding generator rebate); and

**3.** DEA's 2014 CIP spending with Great River Energy's (GRE) contributions (excluding generator rebates).

#### Answer

- 1. DEA's 2014 Gross Operating Revenue was \$195,879,147
- 2. DEA's 2014 Conservation Improvement Program (CIP) spending (excluding generator rebate) was \$2,168,804
- 3. DEA's 2014 CIP spending with Great River Energy's (GRE) contributions (excluding generator rebates) was \$3,694,969