

November 24, 2014

Steve Mihalchick Administrative Law Judge Office of Administrative Hearings PO Box 64620 St. Paul, MN 55164-0620

Re: In the Matter of the Petition of Hutchinson Telecommunications, Inc. for Arbitration with Embarg Minnesota, Inc., Pursuant to 47 U.S.C. Section 252 of the Federal Telecommunications Act MPUC Docket No. P-421, 5561, 430/IC-14-189 OAH Docket No. 48-2500-31383

Dear Judge Mihalchick:

Enclosed for filing in the above-referenced matter please find the following:

Supplemental Testimony of Katherine Doherty

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ LINDA S. JENSEN Assistant Attorney General Attorney Reg. No. 0189030 445 Minnesota Street, Suite 1800 St. Paul, MN 55101-2131 Attorney for the Minnesota Department of Commerce

LSJ/ja Enclosure

BEFORE THE MINNESOTA OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS 600 North Robert Street St. Paul, MN 55101

FOR THE MINNESOTA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION 121 Seventh Place East, Suite 350 St Paul, MN 55101-2147

In the Matter of the Petition of Hutchinson Telecommunications, Inc. for Arbitration with Embarq Minnesota, Inc., Pursuant to 47 U.S.C. Section 252 of the Federal Telecommunications Act Docket No. P421,5561,430/IC-14-189 OAH Docket No. 48-2500-31383

SUPPLEMENTAL TESTIMONY OF KATHERINE A. DOHERTY

ON BEHALF OF

THE MINNESOTA DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

NOVEMBER 24, 2014

1	Q.	Please state your name and business address.
2	Α.	My name is Katherine Doherty. I am a Public Utilities Rates Analyst employed by the
3		Minnesota Department of Commerce. My business address is 85 7th Place East,
4		Suite 600, Saint Paul, Minnesota.
5		
6	Q.	Are you the same Katherine Doherty who submitted Direct and Surrebuttal Testimony
7		in this case?
8	Α.	Yes.
9		
10	Q.	What is the purpose of your supplemental testimony?
11	Α.	On November 17, 2014, the Administrative Law Judge issued an Order permitting
12		parties to supplement the record regarding a statement in CenturyLink EQ's
13		(CenturyLink) November 12, 2014 Post-Hearing Reply brief. CenturyLink stated that
14		the Department, in its Post-Hearing Brief, made an inaccurate factual allegation
15		regarding the transport of calls to CenturyLink's customers located in Glencoe
16		exchange. The purpose of my testimony is to respond to CenturyLink's statement.
17		
18	Q.	Please describe CenturyLink's allegations.
19	Α.	Specifically, CenturyLink stated that "the Department incorrectly claims that "Century
20		Link EQ uses the same transport facility between Glencoe and Osseo to transport
21		calls between its own Glencoe customers as would be necessary for similar HTI
22		calls."1 CenturyLink stated that "calls between CenturyLink EQ customers in Glencoe

¹ CenturyLink Reply Brief, page 15-16.

are connected in the Glencoe remote switch are not transported to and from Osseo."²

Q. What was the basis for the statement in the Department's Brief to which CenturyLink now objects?

6 A. The Department's brief was based upon statements I made in my August 6, 2014 7 Surrebuttal Testimony, at pages 4-5. In the context of comparing the network 8 configuration at issue in the current case with that at issue in the Charter/Qwest 9 case³ (the Charter case), I noted that HTI had chosen to locate its point of interconnection in the Glencoe exchange where it wished to serve customers, for the 10 purpose of exchanging local and EAS traffic with CenturyLink. I further noted that 11 regardless of whether an HTI customer in Glencoe calls a CenturyLink customer in 12 13 Glencoe, a CenturyLink customer in Glencoe calls an HTI customer in Glencoe, or whether a Glencoe CenturyLink customer calls another Glencoe CenturyLink 14 customer, the traffic must be transported from Glencoe to the Osseo tandem and 15 back to Glencoe. 16 17 What was the basis for your statement that a call between two CenturyLink 0. 18 customers, both located in Glencoe exchange, must be routed to the Osseo 19

20 host/tandem switch and back to Glencoe?

1

2

3

4

5

² Id., page 16.

³ In the Matter of the Petition of Charter Fiberlink for Arbitration of an Interconnection Agreement with Qwest Pursuant to 47 USC §252 (b), Docket No. P5535, 421/M-08-952 (the Charter case).

1	Α.	My understanding was that Glencoe exchange was served by a remote central office,
2		and that the switching of calls took place at the Osseo host switch. My understanding
3		was based on the direct testimony of Mr. William Easton in which he described the
4		host/remote relationship between Glencoe and Osseo. Mr. Easton stated that:
5		Hutchinson seeks to connect with CenturyLink EQ at Glencoe, Minnesota, in a
6		remote central office. A remote central office contains no intelligent switching
7		equipment and instead provides line side connections for customer loops and an
8		umbilical connection to a host switch, where all of the switching activity takes place.
9		In this case, the host switch for Glencoe is located in Osseo, Minnesota,
10		approximately 44 miles away. ⁴
11		
12	Q.	Does Mr. Easton's testimony comport with CenturyLink's statement that "calls
12 13	Q.	Does Mr. Easton's testimony comport with CenturyLink's statement that "calls between CenturyLink EQ customers in Glencoe are connected in the Glencoe remote
	Q.	
13	Q. A.	between CenturyLink EQ customers in Glencoe are connected in the Glencoe remote
13 14		between CenturyLink EQ customers in Glencoe are connected in the Glencoe remote switch and are not transported to and from Osseo" ⁵ ?
13 14 15		between CenturyLink EQ customers in Glencoe are connected in the Glencoe remote switch and are not transported to and from Osseo"5? No. CenturyLink's statement conflicts with Mr. Easton's testimony. If CenturyLink's
13 14 15 16		between CenturyLink EQ customers in Glencoe are connected in the Glencoe remote switch and are not transported to and from Osseo" ⁵ ? No. CenturyLink's statement conflicts with Mr. Easton's testimony. If CenturyLink's statement is accurate, my statement that when a "Glencoe CenturyLink customer
13 14 15 16 17		between CenturyLink EQ customers in Glencoe are connected in the Glencoe remote switch and are not transported to and from Osseo"5? No. CenturyLink's statement conflicts with Mr. Easton's testimony. If CenturyLink's statement is accurate, my statement that when a "Glencoe CenturyLink customer calls another Glencoe CenturyLink customer, the traffic must be transported from
13 14 15 16 17 18		between CenturyLink EQ customers in Glencoe are connected in the Glencoe remote switch and are not transported to and from Osseo" ⁵ ? No. CenturyLink's statement conflicts with Mr. Easton's testimony. If CenturyLink's statement is accurate, my statement that when a "Glencoe CenturyLink customer calls another Glencoe CenturyLink customer, the traffic must be transported from Glencoe to the Osseo tandem and back to Glencoe," as well as Mr. Easton's
13 14 15 16 17 18 19		between CenturyLink EQ customers in Glencoe are connected in the Glencoe remote switch and are not transported to and from Osseo" ⁵ ? No. CenturyLink's statement conflicts with Mr. Easton's testimony. If CenturyLink's statement is accurate, my statement that when a "Glencoe CenturyLink customer calls another Glencoe CenturyLink customer, the traffic must be transported from Glencoe to the Osseo tandem and back to Glencoe," as well as Mr. Easton's

⁴ Easton Direct Testimony, page 48, line 31 – page 49, line 4. ⁵ CenturyLink Reply Brief, page 16.

1	Α.	This issue of whether or not CenturyLink routes its own Glencoe to Glencoe traffic
2		from Glencoe to Osseo and back, in itself, is not central to the case. ⁶ The new
3		information that CenturyLink EQ has provided does not alter the fact that HTI, unlike
4		Charter in the Charter case, chose to locate its point of interconnection in the
5		Glencoe exchange where it wishes to serve customers, for the purpose of exchanging
6		local and EAS traffic with CenturyLink. In the Charter case, it was Charter's decision
7		to locate its point of interconnection far from the end offices that served its
8		customers that dictated the transport costs in the Charter case. In the instant case, it
9		is the way in which <i>CenturyLink</i> has configured its network with a host switch at the
10		Osseo tandem and a distant remote office (without trunkside connections) in
11		Glencoe that dictates the transport costs in the HTI-CenturyLink case.
12		
13	Q.	Does that conclude your Supplemental Testimony?

A. Yes, it does.

14

⁶ While CenturyLink, according to its most recent assertion, does not use the facility between Glencoe and Osseo to transport its own Glencoe to Glencoe traffic, I note that CenturyLink does use the same Glencoe-Osseo facility to transport its own EAS traffic. Local calls exchanged between CenturyLink customers located in Glencoe and CenturyLink customers located in any of the exchanges to which Glencoe has extended area service must be transported over the same Glencoe-Osseo facility as would be necessary for HTI to exchange local/EAS traffic with CenturyLink.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Sharon Ferguson, hereby certify that I have this day, served copies of the following document on the attached list of persons by electronic filing, certified mail, e-mail, or by depositing a true and correct copy thereof properly enveloped with postage paid in the United States Mail at St. Paul, Minnesota.

Minnesota Department of Commerce Supplemental Testimony

Docket No. P421,5561,430/IC-14-189

Dated this 24th day of November 2014

/s/Sharon Ferguson

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
Julia	Anderson	Julia.Anderson@ag.state.m n.us	Office of the Attorney General-DOC	1800 BRM Tower 445 Minnesota St St. Paul, MN 551012134	Electronic Service	Yes	OFF_SL_14-189_Official CC Service List
Linda	Chavez	linda.chavez@state.mn.us	Department of Commerce	85 7th Place E Ste 500 Saint Paul, MN 55101-2198	Electronic Service	Yes	OFF_SL_14-189_Official CC Service List
Burl W.	Haar	burl.haar@state.mn.us	Public Utilities Commission	Suite 350 121 7th Place East St. Paul, MN 551012147	Electronic Service	Yes	OFF_SL_14-189_Official CC Service List
Mary	Holly	mholly@winthrop.com	Winthrop & Weinstine, P.A.	225 S Sixth St Ste 3500 Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	Yes	OFF_SL_14-189_Official CC Service List
Linda	Jensen	linda.s.jensen@ag.state.m n.us	Office of the Attorney General-DOC	1800 BRM Tower 445 Minnesota Street St. Paul, MN 551012134	Electronic Service	Yes	OFF_SL_14-189_Official CC Service List
John	Lindell	agorud.ecf@ag.state.mn.us	Office of the Attorney General-RUD	1400 BRM Tower 445 Minnesota St St. Paul, MN 551012130	Electronic Service	Yes	OFF_SL_14-189_Official CC Service List
Gregory R.	Merz	gregory.merz@gpmlaw.co m	Gray, Plant, Mooty	80 S 8th St Ste 500 Minneapolis, MN 55402-5383	Electronic Service	Yes	OFF_SL_14-189_Official CC Service List
Steve	Mihalchick	steve.mihalchick@state.mn .us	Office of Administrative Hearings	PO Box 64620 St. Paul, MN 551640620	Electronic Service	Yes	OFF_SL_14-189_Official CC Service List
Janet	Shaddix Elling	jshaddix@janetshaddix.co m	Shaddix And Associates	Ste 122 9100 W Bloomington Bloomington, MN 55431	Electronic Service Frwy	Yes	OFF_SL_14-189_Official CC Service List
Eric	Swanson	eswanson@winthrop.com	Winthrop Weinstine	225 S 6th St Ste 3500 Capella Tower Minneapolis, MN 554024629	Electronic Service	Yes	OFF_SL_14-189_Official CC Service List

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
Jason	Торр	jason.topp@centurylink.co m	CenturyLink	200 S 5th St Ste 2200 Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service		OFF_SL_14-189_Official CC Service List