

December 22, 2014

Burl W. Haar
Executive Secretary
Minnesota Public Utilities Commission
121 7th Place East, Sue 350
St. Paul, Minnesota 55101-2147

RE: **Reply Comments of the Minnesota Department of Commerce, Division of Energy Resources**

Docket No. E001, E140, E105, E139, E124, E126, E145, E132, E114, E6521, E-142, E-135/PA-14-322

Dear Dr. Haar:

Attached are the Reply Comments of the Minnesota Department of Commerce, Division of Energy Resources (Department) in the following matter:

A Request for Approval of the Asset Purchase and Sale Agreement between Interstate Power and Light Company and Southern Minnesota Energy Cooperative.

The petition was filed on April 15, 2014 by:

Erik C. Madsen
Director, Regulatory Affairs
Interstate Power and Light Company
PO Box 351
Cedar Rapids, IA 52406

Brian Krambeer
President
Southern Minnesota Energy Cooperative
c/o Tri County Electric Cooperative
PO Box 626
Rushford, MN 55971-0626

The Department continues to recommend **approval of the petition with conditions**. The Department is available to answer any questions the Minnesota Public Utilities Commission may have.

Sincerely,

/s/ JOHN KUNDERT
Financial Analyst

JK/ja
Attachment

BEFORE THE MINNESOTA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

REPLY COMMENTS OF THE
MINNESOTA DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
DIVISION OF ENERGY RESOURCES

DOCKET No. E001, E115, E140, E105, E139, E124, E126, E145, E132, E114,
E6521, E142, E135/PA-14-322

I. INTRODUCTION AND SUMMARY

On April 15, 2014 Interstate Power and Light Company (IPL) and Southern Minnesota Energy Cooperative (SMEC) (together, the Companies, Applicants, or Joint Petitioners) filed a petition with the Minnesota Public Utilities Commission (Commission) for approval of the sale of IPL's Minnesota electric distribution system and assets, and transfer of IPL's service rights and obligations in Minnesota to SMEC (Petition).

On May 9, 2014 the Minnesota Department of Commerce (Department), the Minnesota Office of Attorney General Antitrust and Utilities Division (OAG-AUD), and the Minnesota Municipal Utilities Association (MMUA) filed comments regarding the procedural and scoping aspects of this proceeding.

On May 23, 2014 IPL and SMEC filed reply comments regarding the procedural and scoping matters.

Between June 30 and October 10, 2014 the Commission and interested parties developed the record in this proceeding through discovery and a series of comments.

On October 10, 2014 the Commission issued a *Notice of Additional Comment Period* with comments due on November 10, 2014 and reply comments due on November 24, 2014. The October 10 notice also included a list of eleven topics the Commission defined as being open for comment.

On November 10, 2014 the Applicants, the Department, and the OAG-AUD filed comments in response to the Commission's notice.

On November 13, 2014 the Minnesota Chamber of Commerce (Chamber) filed a request for an extension to file comments in this proceeding. The Commission issued a notice on November 14, 2014 extending the reply comment period two weeks to December 8, 2014.

The Chamber, OAG-AUD, MMUA and the Department submitted reply comments on December 8, 2014.

The Department's updated recommendations included in its December 8 comments were that the Commission condition any approval of the Petition on the requirement that SMEC:

- Provide actual weather normalized annual revenue requirements for IPL's former service territory annually for the first three years following the proposed transaction's effective date;
- Provide a bill credit to IPL's former ratepayers if the actual weather normalized annual revenue requirement in any year exceeds the forecasted annual revenue requirement by more than 2 percent; and
- Provide reliability information to the Commission for IPL's former service territory annually for five years.

On December 11, 2014 the Commission issued its *Order Establishing Response Deadline and Designating Lead Commissioner*. That Order required parties to respond to the comments filed on December 8, 2014 within 14 days. The Department files these comments in response to that Commission Order.

The Applicants did not change their position in their most recent set of comments. They continue to recommend that the Commission approve the transaction without further conditions or requirements. IPL/SMEC's comments also identified the cost components that would decrease under the proposed transaction, due to the cooperatives':

- exemption from federal income tax;
- access to loans backed by the federal government that provide very low interest rates; and
- ability to fund the purchase with a much higher level of debt than an investor-owned utility.

The Joint Petitioners also included an additional analysis relative to the cost-benefit question. Specifically, the Applicants developed a longer-term cost-benefit analysis that identified on-going benefits associated with the transaction.

The OAG-AUD also did not change their position in their December 8 comments. The OAG-AUD continues to recommend that, should the Commission approve the transaction, the Commission should require that:

- IPL forego any gain on the sale;
- IPL pay all transaction costs related to the sale;
- The purchase price that SMEC pays IPL be reduced by the amount of the gain that IPL will receive in the form of increased return on equity on generation assets used to serve Minnesota customers, and;
- The reduction in purchase price resulting from the above recommendation be implemented in the form of a rate refund mechanism that SMEC would implement and maintain for the first five years post-transaction.

The Chamber had a number of recommendations for both IPL and SMEC in its comments. Regarding IPL, the Chamber recommended the following:

- The Commission must deny the distribution asset acquisition premium of \$16.9 million and require IPL to provide updated numbers in their next Reply Comments;
- The Commission should implement the following regarding the transfer of generation assets from retail to wholesale jurisdiction:
 - IPL should provide in its reply comments a calculation of the credit that consists of the differential in the [Federal Energy Regulatory Commission] FERC book value and Minnesota jurisdiction book value of the generation assets as well as cost differentials in the operations, maintenance, and administration costs between the FERC-approved and the Minnesota jurisdiction costs. IPL should also explain in its Reply Comments the differences in the treatment of accumulated deferred income taxes at FERC versus Minnesota jurisdiction related to generation costs.
 - The [return on equity] ROE for generation infrastructure should be set at 9.8%, the most recently approved for Xcel's base rate case in 2013 for the 10 year term. If, however, the FERC rate cannot be practically limited, a credit for the present value of the Minnesota generation assets net book value for the difference between 9.8% and 10.97% should be established and passed on to customers. According to DOC-67, the five year credit from 2015-2019 is calculated as \$4.373 million. This credit needs to be calculated for the remaining life of the assets;

- For any new assets added to the FERC rate base, any cost denials related to power costs (investment, Operations and Maintenance and Administrative) in the Iowa jurisdiction should be passed on to Minnesota IPL ratepayers through the establishment of a credit. Since the Minnesota Commission will no longer have jurisdiction, the denial of costs in that jurisdiction will serve as proxy for prudence review.

The Chamber recommended the following for SMEC:

1. Instead of providing a \$2/MWh credit for the first three years as proposed, the full estimated benefit of \$8.4 million per year for the first three years and \$5.2 million per year for the next seven years should be exclusively passed on to IPL customers; Assuming a constant consumption of 840,000 MWhs each year, this would translate into a credit of \$10/MWh for the first three years and \$6.2/MWh credit each year for the next seven years;
2. In order to avoid the subsidization of (a) one cooperative by another or (b) one customer class by another, due to varying load profiles, the Chamber recommends that SMEC bill the cooperatives using the same methodology that is used by IPL to bill SMEC; similarly, customer classes should be allocated in the same manner, the already known increases coming from rate increases coming from IPL makes this an important condition;
3. Given the potential rate increases expected from IPL, it is very harmful to IPL commercial and industrial customer competitiveness to merge rates using the proposed 5% yardstick; since IPL rates will already be established, the Chamber recommends that Cooperatives not merge rates for the 10 year period of the wholesale power agreement; thereafter and alternatively, the Commission should order that Cooperatives may not merge rates unless the rate impact is less than 1%.
4. The Chamber met with a group of Cooperatives to discuss governance issues. The meeting was productive and the Chamber plans to have further discussions with SMEC members in order to reach a formal arrangement whereby IPL commercial and industrial customers' concerns are adequately addressed on an on-going basis. If the discussion with the cooperatives does not result in a resolution of the issues, the Chamber reserves the right to submit supplemental comments regarding this matter.

The MMUA continues to recommended additional information gathering in this proceeding; however MMUA indicated that it doesn't oppose the transaction should the Commission decide that the current record is sufficient. The MMUA also supports the Department's recommendations requiring SMEC to provide actual weather normalized annual revenue requirements for IPL's former service territory annually for the first three years following the proposed transaction's effective date and to require SMEC to provide a bill credit to IPL's former ratepayers if the actual weather normalized annual revenue requirement in any year exceeds the forecasted annual revenue requirement by more than 2 percent.

II. ANALYSIS

The Department's responses to the different parties follow the same order as listed in the previous section.

A. APPLICANTS

The Applicants are concerned that the Department's recommendations that attempt to limit current IPL ratepayers' risk associated with the proposed annual revenue recovered from those ratepayers during the first three years after approval of the sale are ill-defined. While the Department attempted to be as clear as possible given the information in the record, the Department agrees that further refinement of this recommendation would be helpful. The Department is meeting with the Companies on this issue and will report to the Commission as to any progress the Department and the Applicants make in regards to refining this recommendation.

B. OAG-AUD

The Department's response to the OAG-AUD addresses issues regarding the cost-benefit analysis discussed in the OAG-AUD's October 6, November 10 and December 8, 2014 comments.

Specifically, the OAG-AUD questioned the results of the Department's cost-benefit analysis in its November 10, 2014 comments. It was particularly concerned with two assumptions that were included in the cost-benefit analysis that the Department developed – 1) that IPL would file annual rate cases for the period from 2015 through 2017 and 2) that IPL would receive 100 percent of its requested rate increases during that time period.

The OAG-AUD included an analysis in its November 10, 2014 comments which assumed that IPL would be awarded 60 percent of its hypothetical rate increase requests during the 2015 through 2017 timeframe. According to that analysis, the present value of the annual

benefits associated with the transaction given that assumption was \$170,000.¹ Thus, OAG-AUD concluded that the estimated benefits associated with the proposed transaction would be significantly lower than those calculated by the Department in its October 6, 2014 comments.

In its November 10, 2014 supplemental reply comments, the Department provided an analysis similar to the one provided by the OAG-AUD and concluded that the cut-off point is 46.5 percent. That is, assuming that the Commission approves more than 46.5 percent on average of IPL's incremental requested revenue requirements in each of the annual rate cases, the proposed transaction would have a positive benefit.

The Department revisited its original analysis to try to reconcile the difference between the OAG-AUD's and the Department's estimates of the minimum average percentage increase in IPL's incremental requested revenue requirements due to the discrepancy between the two estimates. In fact, the analysis in the Department's November 10, 2014 comments appears to have *overstated* the minimum average percentage increase the Commission would need to approve in each of IPL's hypothetical rate cases in order for continued IPL ownership to provide a net benefit when compared to the results of the proposed transaction. The Department's updated estimate is 41.6 percent, not 46.5 percent; that is, the proposed transaction would have a positive benefit if the Commission were to award IPL on average more than 41.6 percent of its requested incremental revenue requirements. Under that assumption, the costs of IPL's continued ownership would be greater than the costs associated with SMEC acquiring IPL's distribution facilities.

Thus, the Department was not able to reconcile its estimates with OAG-AUD's cost-benefit calculation. However, it appears that the difference appears is related to the assumed annual revenue requirements that IPL and SMEC would request in 2016 and 2017. The Department assumed that IPL would apply for the annual revenue requirements included in Attachment I. OAG-AUD developed different estimates of those amounts and assumed that the Cooperatives would not increase their rates.

OAG-AUD also noted in its November 10, 2014 comments that holding the 60 percent of requested revenue requirement constant, assuming that IPL did not file annual rate cases in 2015, 2016 and 2017, and assuming that SMEC would increase its rates annually, the net present benefit would become a detriment.

The Department developed an analysis similar to the one described in the OAG-AUD's comments. The analysis assumed that IPL would file a general rate case once every two years and that the estimated revenue requirement for each rate case would remain at the amounts identified in Attachment I. The result of that analysis is consistent with the result

¹ These costs were discounted at IPL's average weighted cost of capital.

the OAG-AUD described assuming that the timing of the rate increases associated with IPL's general rate cases are delayed but SMEC's are not. For example, under the current cost-benefit analysis, both IPL's and SMEC's annual revenues increase each year. If one assumes that IPL's revenue requirement for 2015 remains constant in 2016 (*i.e.* IPL defers filing its 2016 rate case until 2017 and its 2017 rate case until 2019), while SMEC's revenues are allowed to increase annually by the amount prescribed in the filing in 2016 and 2017, the proposed transaction's net benefit would become a detriment.

The Department does not believe that this analysis is reasonable however. Assuming that IPL would file a rate case every other year, but SMEC would increase its rates annually is inconsistent and lacks any economic rationale. While approval of rate increases would occur under different venues, it is not reasonable to assume that SMEC would be allowed to recover higher costs of service in a more timely manner than would IPL.

OAG-AUD also developed concerns related to the terms and costs of the Wholesale Power Agreement (WPA). Since the Department's cost-benefit analysis already included the higher costs associated with the WPA, the Department concludes that this issue has already been addressed.

The OAG-AUD has repeatedly expressed concerns that while the proposed transaction might provide short-term benefits, those benefits could be offset by higher costs later in the WPA's term, (post 2017).² In its October 6, 2014 comments, the OAG-AUD discussed the Applicants' use of a weighted average cost of capital that assumed 100 percent debt and an interest rate of 1.57 percent for the period from 2015 through 2017 and 3.38 percent for 2018 and beyond. OAG-AUD contended that the use of a capital structure that was 100 percent debt understated the return the SMEC Member Cooperatives would expect or require on the assets that would be transferred as a result of the proposed transaction.

After additional review of this issue, the Department agrees with OAG-AUD that the Applicants should use an average weighted cost of capital that reflects a reasonable return on the equity portion of the cooperative's balance sheet in the calculation of *long-term benefits* associated with the proposed transaction. The OAG-AUD's position is theoretically correct.

Consistent with that position, the Department updates information provided in Table 2 in the Applicants' comments filed December 8, 2014 using the most recent cost of capital developed for Dakota Electric Cooperative in its current rate case, (Docket No. E111/GR-14-482). Table 1 below contains this information.

² For the purpose of this discussion, the Department defines "short-term" as the three year period following execution of the proposed transaction. "Long-term" is defined as years four through ten following the execution of the proposed transaction.

Table 1 – Department Estimate of Annual Cost of Capital and Income Tax Benefits (with OAG adjustment to reflect the claimed effect of a FERC 10.97% ROE and IPL Retail ROE of 9.8%) (\$ million)

Long-Term Benefit Analysis	IPL Costs	SMEC Costs
Net Rate Base	\$82.5	
Acquisition Costs (Assets + Premium + SMEC Transaction Costs)		\$122.1
x Cost of Capital (@ 9.8% ROE for IPL, @ 4.75% for SMEC Member Cooperatives)	7.71%	4.75%
= pretax cost	\$6.4	\$5.8
x Income Tax Effect (Federal + State)	1.71	1.0
x TIER	N/A	1.5
= After tax cost	\$10.9	\$8.7
Annual Financing Benefit including Full Acquisition Cost		\$2.2
Annual Effect of Adjustment to FERC ROE (10.97% to 9.8%)		(\$1.1)
Annual Net Benefit of the Transaction		\$1.1

The Applicants' analysis included in their December 8, 2014 comments estimated the annual capital-related long-term benefits associated with the transaction at \$3.6 million. The Department's estimated annual long-term benefit of \$1.1 million is \$2.5 million or 69 percent lower than the Applicants' estimate. The entire difference is due to the increase in the SMEC Member Cooperative's cost of capital from 3.38 percent to 4.75 percent.

The Applicants also provided a ten-year cost-benefit analysis in its December 8, 2014 comments, which incorporated the benefits identified in the Department's cost-benefit analysis in its October 6, 2014 comments for the period from 2015 through 2017. The Joint Petitioners then included the annual capital-related benefit of \$3.6 million they identified in their December 8, 2014 comments as the annual benefit for the period from 2018 through 2024. The Companies' analysis estimated the present value of the benefits associated with the transaction at \$24.4 million.

The Applicant's ten-year cost-benefit analysis is based on an inappropriate cost of capital for IPL. The short-term cost-benefit analysis includes IPL's Minnesota annual weather-normalized revenues for 2015 through 2017. Those estimates overstated IPL's annual revenue requirements resulting from annual rate cases included in those revenues. The increased revenue requirements associated with those rate cases assumes a 10.35 percent ROE, whereas the Applicant's cost-benefit analysis for years 4 through 10 of the analysis assumes an IPL ROE of 9.8 percent.

The Department reviewed and modified the different cost-benefit analysis to provide the Commission with a more consistent analysis given the differing analytical perspectives. Attachment A provides a list of the different assumptions associated with the short-term and long-term cost-benefit analyses that are combined to provide the ten-year cost-benefit analysis.

Table 2 summarizes the information from the Department's updated short-term cost-benefit analysis.

Table 2: Department Comparison of Projected Base Rate Revenue Increases – Total System (\$ millions) Assuming Cost of Capital 7.71% for IPL

Description	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018
IPL Revenues	\$78.5				
IPL Revenue Requirement		\$83.11	\$89.66	\$95.62	\$99.6
% Change from Prior Year		5.9%	7.9%	6.6%	4.2%
SMEC Revenue Requirement		\$81.40	\$85.1	\$89.50	\$93.08
% Change		3.7%	4.5%	5.2%	4.0%
Annual Nominal Benefit		\$1.71	\$4.56	\$6.12	\$6.37
% Benefit		2.3%	5.8%	7.1%	7.1%
Cumulative Nominal Benefit		\$1.71	\$6.23	\$12.38	\$18.75
Present Value of Annual Benefit in Current \$ 2015 through 2017*		\$10.54			

*Discounted at 7.71 percent cost of capital

This change to the Department's analysis lowered both the nominal and discounted benefits associated with the proposed transaction. Table 3 provides this information.

Table 3 – Comparison of Annual Benefits Associated with Proposed Transaction from October 6, 2014 Comments Assuming 7.983% Cost of Capital and Updated Analysis Assuming 7.71% Cost of Capital

(in million \$)

Description	2015	2016	2017
October 6 Comments Annual Benefit	\$1.95 million	\$5.20	\$6.80
Updated Annual Benefit	\$1.71 million	\$4.56	\$6.12
Difference	(\$0.24)	(\$0.64)	(\$0.68)

This change also lowered the present value of the net benefit from \$11.80 million to \$10.54 million.

One analytical issue that should be addressed is the change in perspective that occurs in moving from the short-term to the long-term analysis. The analysis goes from a more inclusive (and appropriate) attempt at modeling IPL's annual revenue requirement to an incremental approach in the long-term model.

The long-term analysis as presented in the Companies' December 8, 2014 comments only models the asset purchase portion of the proposed transaction. This assumption implies that the distribution-related operating expenses, administrative and general expenses, and conservation-improvement program expenses are identical for both IPL and the SMEC Member Cooperatives. This assumption should probably be considered as one that understates the benefit for IPL's ratepayers.³

Because SMEC and its Member Cooperatives have essentially agreed to cap their annual revenues related to recovering "base-rate" costs for the 2015 through 2017 time frame, SMEC's cost of capital is irrelevant to the short-term cost-benefit analysis.

The Department provides its update to the Companies' ten-year cost-benefit analysis in Table 4. The Companies' cost-benefit analysis identified a present value benefit of \$24.6 million. The Department's estimate of the present value of the long-term benefit is \$13.7 million. This amount is 44 percent lower than the Petitioners' estimate. The net benefit is still positive however.

After completing this updated analysis, the Department continues to recommend that the Commission approve the proposed transaction with conditions. As the Department noted in its previous comments, it has been unable to develop a reasonable cost-benefit scenario, either short-term or long-term in which the costs associated with the transaction were greater than the benefits identified.

³ The Department notes that IPL's CIP incentive probably represents half a million dollars in additional annual expenses for its ratepayers on average.

**Table 4 – Department Estimate of Customer Benefits (including OAG adjustments to IPL ROE and claimed power cost effects)
(\$ millions)**

	Estimated Benefits (before power costs)	Differences in Power Costs	Estimated Benefits (including power costs)
Year 1	\$1.7	(\$0.7)	\$1.0
Year 2	\$4.6	(\$0.7)	\$3.9
Year 3	\$6.1	(\$0.9)	\$5.2
Year 4	\$2.2	(\$1.1)	\$1.1
Year 5	\$2.2	(\$1.1)	\$1.1
Year 6	\$2.2	(\$1.1)	\$1.1
Year 7	\$2.2	(\$1.1)	\$1.1
Year 8	\$2.2	(\$1.1)	\$1.1
Year 9	\$2.2	(\$1.1)	\$1.1
Year 10	\$2.2	(\$1.1)	\$1.1
Present Value	\$20.9	\$7.2	\$13.7

C. CHAMBER OF COMMERCE

The Chamber included several recommendations in its comments. The Chamber’s recommendations focus on using the proposed asset sale as a lever to lower rates in the near term. As the Department noted in its comments filed on several occasions, our standard analytical approach for transactions of this nature is to develop a reasonable cost-benefit analysis to determine if the proposed transaction’s benefits are greater than its costs. If the estimated benefits exceed the estimated costs, the Department would conclude that the proposed transaction is in the public interest. The Chamber’s analysis did not adopt this approach. Thus, it is unclear what basis is being used to recommend changes to rates. As a result, the Department does not have any additional comments on the Chamber’s recommendations.

III. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The Department reviewed the cost-benefit analyses that the Joint Petitioners and the OAG-AUD provided in recently filed comments. The Department’s analysis suggests that the proposed transaction’s benefits are greater than its costs. As a result, the Department continues to support approval of the proposed transaction with conditions. Those conditions are for SMEC to provide:

Docket No. E001, E115, E140, E105, E139, E124, E126, E145, E132, E114, E6521,
E142, E135/PA-14-322

Analyst assigned: John Kundert

Page 12

- actual weather normalized annual revenue requirements for IPL's former service territory annually for the first three years following the proposed transaction's effective date;
- a bill credit to IPL's former ratepayers if the actual weather normalized annual revenue requirement in any year exceeds the forecasted annual revenue requirement by more than 2 percent; and
- reliability information to the Commission for IPL's former service territory annually for five years.

/ja

ATTACHMENT A – SUMMARY OF ORIGINAL AND MODIFIED ASSUMPTIONS INCLUDED IN SHORT-TERM AND LONG-TERM COST-BENEFIT ANALYSES

A. ORIGINAL SHORT-TERM COST-BENEFIT - COVERS PERIOD FROM 2015 THROUGH 2017

- a. The transaction is completed by December 31, 2014.
- b. The analysis of the costs and benefits associated proposed transaction begins on January 1, 2015.
- c. IPL's average weighted cost of capital is set equal to its most recent Commission-approved ROE of 10.35 percent and updated cost of debt which equals an average weighted cost of capital of 7.983 percent.
- d. If the transaction is not approved, IPL will file rate cases annually from 2015 through 2017.
- e. The analysis is extended through 2018 to capture the full extent of the 2017 IPL rate case.
- f. IPL's revenues are set equal to its revenue requirements.
- g. SMEC's annual revenues are identical to those provided in IPL's analysis for the period from 2015 through 2017.
- h. SMEC's annual revenue is increased by 4 percent in 2018. This increase in SMEC's annual revenue would be consistent with that of IPL's revenue requirement.

B. MODIFIED SHORT-TERM COST-BENEFIT ANALYSIS-

- a. All the assumptions above except (c) remain constant.
- b. IPL's average weighted cost of capital is set equal to 7.71 percent. This average weighted cost of capital the Companies included in their December 8, 2014 comments that assumed a ROE of 9.8 percent.
- c. This change to assumption (c) lowered the proposed transaction's net benefits.

C. LONG-TERM COST-BENEFIT ANALYSIS- COVERS PERIOD FROM 2018 THROUGH 2024

- a. Companies assumed a cost of capital equal to 3.38 percent. This estimate was based on the SMEC Member Cooperatives' average long-term cost of debt. This level implies a capital structure that is 100 percent debt.
- b. The Department assumed a cost of capital of 4.75 percent, based on the Department's estimate for Dakota Electric Cooperative's cost of capital from its most recent general rate case, Docket No. E111/GR-14-482.
- c. This change to SMEC Member Cooperatives' cost of capital lowered the proposed transaction's net benefits.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Linda Chavez, hereby certify that I have this day served copies of the following document on the attached list of persons by electronic filing, e-mail, or by depositing a true and correct copy thereof properly enveloped with postage paid in the United States Mail at St. Paul, Minnesota.

**MINNESOTA DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE – RESPONSE TO REPLY
COMMENTS**

Docket Nos. **PA-14-322**

Dated this **22nd** day of **December, 2014**

/s/Linda Chavez

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
Ross	Abbey	abbey@fresh-energy.org	Fresh Energy	408 Saint Peter St Ste 220 St. Paul, MN 55102-1125	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_14-322_Official
Bobby	Adam	bobby.adam@conagrafoods.com	ConAgra	Suite 5022 11 ConAgra Drive Omaha, NE 68102	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_14-322_Official
Michael	Allen	michael.allen@allenergysolar.com	All Energy Solar	721 W 26th st Suite 211 Minneapolis, Minnesota 55405	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_14-322_Official
Julia	Anderson	Julia.Anderson@ag.state.mn.us	Office of the Attorney General-DOC	1800 BRM Tower 445 Minnesota St St. Paul, MN 551012134	Electronic Service	Yes	OFF_SL_14-322_Official
Christopher	Anderson	canderson@allete.com	Minnesota Power	30 W Superior St Duluth, MN 558022191	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_14-322_Official
John	Aune	johna@bluehorizonsolar.com	Blue Horizon Energy	171 Cheshire Ln Ste 500 Plymouth, MN 55441	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_14-322_Official
Rebecca J.	Baldwin		Spiegel & McDiarmid	1333 New Hampshire Avenue NW Washington, DC 20036	Paper Service	No	OFF_SL_14-322_Official
Rick	Bartz	rbartz@ibewlocal949.org	IBEW Local Union 949	12908 Nicollet Avenue South Burnsville, MN 55337	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_14-322_Official
Peter	Beithon	pbeithon@otpc.com	Otter Tail Power Company	P.O. Box 496 215 South Cascade Street Fergus Falls, MN 565380496	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_14-322_Official
Sara	Bergan	sebergan@stoel.com	Stoel Rives LLP	33 South Sixth Street Suite 4200 Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_14-322_Official

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
James J.	Bertrand	james.bertrand@leonard.com	Leonard Street & Deinard	150 South Fifth Street, Suite 2300 Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_14-322_Official
William	Black	bblack@mmua.org	MMUA	Suite 400 3025 Harbor Lane North Plymouth, MN 554475142	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_14-322_Official
William A.	Blazar	bblazar@mnchamber.com	Minnesota Chamber Of Commerce	Suite 1500 400 Robert Street North St. Paul, MN 55101	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_14-322_Official
Michael	Bradley	mike.bradley@lawmoss.com	Moss & Barnett	150 S. 5th Street, #1200 Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_14-322_Official
Kathleen M.	Brennan	kmb@mcgrannshea.com	McGrann Shea Carnival, Straughn & Lamb, Chartered	N/A	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_14-322_Official
B. Andrew	Brown	brown.andrew@dorsey.com	Dorsey & Whitney LLP	Suite 1500 50 South Sixth Street Minneapolis, MN 554021498	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_14-322_Official
Michael J.	Bull	mbull@mncee.org	Center for Energy and Environment	212 Third Ave N Ste 560 Minneapolis, MN 55401	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_14-322_Official
Joel	Cannon	jcannon@tenksolar.com	Tenk Solar, Inc.	9549 Penn Avenue S Bloomington, MN 55431	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_14-322_Official
John J.	Carroll	jcarroll@newportpartners.com	Newport Partners, LLC	9 Cushing, Suite 200 Irvine, California 92618	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_14-322_Official
Steve W.	Chriss	Stephen.chriss@wal-mart.com	Wal-Mart	2001 SE 10th St. Bentonville, AZ 72716-5530	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_14-322_Official

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
City	Clerk	sschulte@ci.albertlea.mn.us	City of Albert Lea	221 E Clark St Albert Lea, MN 56007	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_14-322_Official
Steve	Coleman	scoleman@appliedenergyinnovations.org	Applied Energy Innovations	4000 Minnehaha Ave S Minneapolis, MN 55406	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_14-322_Official
Joan	Conrad	N/A	Iowa Utilities Board	1375 E. Court Avenue, Room 69 Des Moines, IA 50319-0069	Paper Service	No	OFF_SL_14-322_Official
Lisa	Crum	lisa.crum@ag.state.mn.us	Office of the Attorney General-PUC	445 Minnesota Street, 1100 BRM Saint Paul, MN 55101	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_14-322_Official
Lisa	Daniels	lisadaniels@windustry.org	Windustry	201 Ridgewood Ave Minneapolis, MN 55403	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_14-322_Official
Dustin	Denison	dustin@appliedenergyinnovations.org	Applied Energy Innovations	4000 Minnehaha Ave S Minneapolis, MN 55406	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_14-322_Official
Executive	Director		League Of MN Cities	145 University Avenue West St Paul, MN 551032044	Paper Service	No	OFF_SL_14-322_Official
Ian	Dobson	ian.dobson@ag.state.mn.us	Office of the Attorney General-RUD	Antitrust and Utilities Division 445 Minnesota Street, BRM Tower St. Paul, MN 55101	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_14-322_Official
Steve	Downer	sdowner@mmua.org	MMUA	3025 Harbor Ln N Ste 400 Plymouth, MN 554475142	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_14-322_Official

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
Bill	Droessler	N/A	Izaak Walton League of America-MWO	1619 Dayton Ave Ste 202 Saint Paul, MN 55104	Paper Service	No	OFF_SL_14-322_Official
Betsy	Engelking	betsy@geronimoenergy.com	Geronimo Energy	7650 Edinborough Way Suite 725 Edina, MN 55435	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_14-322_Official
Sharon	Ferguson	sharon.ferguson@state.mn.us	Department of Commerce	85 7th Place E Ste 500 Saint Paul, MN 551012198	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_14-322_Official
Doug	Franzen	djf@franzen-mn.com	Franzen & Associates, LLC	1675 Highland Pkwy St. Paul, MN 55116	Paper Service	No	OFF_SL_14-322_Official
Nathan	Franzen	nathan@geronimoenergy.com	Geronimo Energy	7650 Edinborough Way Suite 725 Edina, MN 55435	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_14-322_Official
Benjamin	Gerber	bgerber@mnchamber.com	Minnesota Chamber of Commerce	400 Robert Street North Suite 1500 St. Paul, Minnesota 55101	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_14-322_Official
Bruce	Gerhardson	bgerhardson@otpc.com	Otter Tail Power Company	PO Box 496 215 S Cascade St Fergus Falls, MN 565380496	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_14-322_Official
Bill	Grant	Bill.Grant@state.mn.us	Minnesota Department of Commerce	85 7th Place East, Suite 500 St. Paul, MN 55101	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_14-322_Official
Michael	Greiveldinger	michaelgreiveldinger@alliantenergy.com	Interstate Power and Light Company	4902 N. Biltmore Lane Madison, WI 53718	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_14-322_Official
David	Grover	dgrover@itctransco.com	ITC Midwest	901 Marquette Avenue Suite 1950 Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_14-322_Official

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
Burl W.	Haar	burl.haar@state.mn.us	Public Utilities Commission	Suite 350 121 7th Place East St. Paul, MN 551012147	Electronic Service	Yes	OFF_SL_14-322_Official
Tony	Hainault	anthony.hainault@co.henn epin.mn.us	Hennepin County DES	701 4th Ave S Ste 700 Minneapolis, MN 55415-1842	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_14-322_Official
J Drake	Hamilton	hamilton@fresh-energy.org	Fresh Energy	408 St Peter St Saint Paul, MN 55101	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_14-322_Official
Samuel	Hanson	N/A	Briggs And Morgan, P.A.	2200 IDS Center E 80 South Eighth Street Minneapolis, MN 55402	Paper Service	No	OFF_SL_14-322_Official
Jack	Hays	jack.hays@westwoodps.co m	Westwood Professional Services	7699 Anagram Drive Eden Prairie, MN 55344	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_14-322_Official
Brandon	Heath	bheath@misoenergy.org	MISO Energy	1125 Energy Park Drive St. Paul, MN 55108-5001	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_14-322_Official
Annete	Henkel	mui@mutilityinvestors.org	Minnesota Utility Investors	413 Wacouta Street #230 St.Paul, MN 55101	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_14-322_Official
Richard J.	Hettwer	rj.hettwer@smmpa.org	SMMPA	500 First Avenue, SW Rochester, MN 559023303	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_14-322_Official
Lynn	Hinkle	lhinkle@mnseia.org	Minnesota Solar Energy Industries Association	2512 33rd Ave South #2 Minneapolis, MN 55406	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_14-322_Official
Holly	Hinman	holly.r.hinman@xcelenergy .com	Xcel Energy	414 Nicollet Mall, 7th Floor Minneapolis, MN 55401	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_14-322_Official

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
Margaret	Hodnik	mhodnik@mnpower.com	Minnesota Power	30 West Superior Street Duluth, MN 55802	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_14-322_Official
Tiffany	Hughes	Regulatory.Records@xcelenergy.com	Xcel Energy	414 Nicollet Mall FL 7 Minneapolis, MN 554011993	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_14-322_Official
Anne	Hunt	anne.hunt@ci.stpaul.mn.us	City of Saint Paul	390 City Hall 15 West Kellogg Boulevard Saint Paul, MN 55102	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_14-322_Official
Dwight	Jelle	dkjelle@gmail.com	Best Power International, LLC	P.O. 5126 Hopkins, MN 55343	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_14-322_Official
Alan	Jenkins	aj@jenkinsatlaw.com	Jenkins at Law	2265 Roswell Road Suite 100 Marietta, GA 30062	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_14-322_Official
Linda	Jensen	linda.s.jensen@ag.state.mn.us	Office of the Attorney General-DOC	1800 BRM Tower 445 Minnesota Street St. Paul, MN 551012134	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_14-322_Official
Richard	Johnson	Rick.Johnson@lawmoss.com	Moss & Barnett	150 S. 5th Street Suite 1200 Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_14-322_Official
Elizabeth M.	Jones	elizabeth.jones@ag.state.mn.us	Office of the Attorney General-PUC	445 Minnesota Street Suite 1100 Bremer Tower St. Paul, MN 55101	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_14-322_Official
Mara	Koeller	mara.n.koeller@xcelenergy.com	Xcel Energy	414 Nicollet Mall 5th Floor Minneapolis, MN 55401	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_14-322_Official
Brian	Krambeer	bkrամbeer@tec.coop	Tri-County Electric Cooperative	PO Box 626 31110 Cooperative Way Rushford, MN 55971	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_14-322_Official

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
Jon	Kramer	jk2surf@aol.com	Sundial Solar	4708 york ave. S Minneapolis, MN 55410	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_14-322_Official
Jim	Krueger	jkrueger@fmcs.coop	Freeborn-Mower Cooperative Services	Box 611 Albert Lea, MN 56007	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_14-322_Official
Allen	Krug	allen.krug@xcelenergy.com	Xcel Energy	414 Nicollet Mall-7th fl Minneapolis, MN 55401	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_14-322_Official
Jeffrey L.	Landsman	jlandsman@wheelerlaw.com	Wheeler, Van Sickle & Anderson, S.C.	Suite 801 25 West Main Street Madison, WI 537033398	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_14-322_Official
Harold	LeVander, Jr.	hlevander@felhaber.com	Felhaber, Larson, Fenton & Vogt, P.A.	Suite 2100 444 Cedar Street St. Paul, MN 551012136	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_14-322_Official
John	Lindell	agorud.ecf@ag.state.mn.us	Office of the Attorney General-RUD	1400 BRM Tower 445 Minnesota St St. Paul, MN 551012130	Electronic Service	Yes	OFF_SL_14-322_Official
Bob	Long	rlong@larkinhoffman.com	Larkin Hoffman (Silicon Energy)	1500 Wells Fargo Plaza 7900 Xerxes Ave S Bloomington, MN 55431	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_14-322_Official
Rebecca	Lundberg	rebecca.lundberg@powerfullygreen.com	Powerfully Green	11451 Oregon Ave N Champlin, MN 55316	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_14-322_Official
Casey	MacCallum	casey@appliedenergyinnovations.org	Applied Energy Innovations	4000 Minnehaha Ave S Minneapolis, MN 55406	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_14-322_Official
Paula	Maccabee	Pmaccabee@justchangelaw.com	Just Change Law Offices	1961 Selby Ave Saint Paul, MN 55104	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_14-322_Official

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
Erik	Madsen	ErikMadsen@alliantenergy.com	Alliant Energy	200 First St SE Cedar Rapid, IA 52401	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_14-322_Official
Kavita	Maini	kmains@wi.rr.com	KM Energy Consulting LLC	961 N Lost Woods Rd Oconomowoc, WI 53066	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_14-322_Official
Pam	Marshall	pam@energycents.org	Energy CENTS Coalition	823 7th St E St. Paul, MN 55106	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_14-322_Official
Natalie	McIntire	natalie.mcintire@gmail.com	Wind on the Wires	570 Asbury St Ste 201 Saint Paul, MN 55104-1850	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_14-322_Official
Valerie	Means	valerie.means@lawmoss.com	Moss & Barnett	150 S. 5th Street, #1200 Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_14-322_Official
Brian	Meloy	brian.meloy@stinsonleonard.com	Stinson, Leonard, Street LLP	150 S 5th St Ste 2300 Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_14-322_Official
Gregory R.	Merz	gregory.merz@gpmlaw.com	Gray, Plant, Mooty	80 S 8th St Ste 500 Minneapolis, MN 55402-5383	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_14-322_Official
Steve	Mihalchick	steve.mihalchick@state.mn.us	Office of Administrative Hearings	PO Box 64620 St. Paul, MN 551640620	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_14-322_Official
David	Moeller	dmoeller@allete.com	Minnesota Power	30 W Superior St Duluth, MN 558022093	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_14-322_Official
Andrew	Moratzka	apmoratzka@stoel.com	Stoel Rives LLP	33 South Sixth Street Suite 4200 Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_14-322_Official

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
Martin	Morud	mmorud@trunorthsolar.com	Tru North Solar	5115 45th Ave S Minneapolis, MN 55417	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_14-322_Official
Carl	Nelson	cnelson@mncee.org	Center for Energy and Environment	212 3rd Ave N Ste 560 Minneapolis, MN 55401	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_14-322_Official
Michael	Noble	noble@fresh-energy.org	Fresh Energy	Hamm Bldg., Suite 220 408 St. Peter Street St. Paul, MN 55102	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_14-322_Official
Rolf	Nordstrom	rnordstrom@gpisd.net	Great Plains Institute	2801 21ST AVE S STE 220 Minneapolis, MN 55407-1229	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_14-322_Official
Samantha	Norris	samanthanorris@alliantenergy.com	Alliant Energy	200 1st Street SE PO Box 351 Cedar Rapids, IA 52406-0351	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_14-322_Official
Steven	Nyhus	swnyhus@flaherty-hood.com	Flaherty & Hood PA	525 Park St Ste 470 Saint Paul, MN 55103	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_14-322_Official
Priti R.	Patel	pritti.r.patel@xcelenergy.com	Xcel Energy	414 Nicollet Mall MP 800 Minneapolis, MN 55401	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_14-322_Official
Donna	Pickard	dpickard@aladdinsolar.com	Aladdin Solar	1215 Lilac Lane Excelsior, MN 55331	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_14-322_Official
Charlie	Pickard	cpickard@aladdinsolar.com	Aladdin Solar	1215 Lilac Lane Excelsior, MN 55331	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_14-322_Official
David E.	Pomper		Spiegel & McDiarmid	1333 New Hampshire Avenue NW Washington, DC 20036	Paper Service	No	OFF_SL_14-322_Official

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
Benjamin L.	Porath		Dairyland Power Cooperative	3200 East Avenue South PO Box 817 La Crosse, WI 546020817	Paper Service	No	OFF_SL_14-322_Official
Gayle	Prest	gayle.prest@minneapolismn.gov	City of Mpls Sustainability	350 South 5th St, #315 Minneapolis, MN 55415	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_14-322_Official
Enio	Ricci	ericci@invenergyllc.com	Invenergy LLC	17830 New Hampshire Ave Ste 300 Ashton, MD 20861	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_14-322_Official
Michelle	Rosier	michelle.rosier@sierraclub.org	Sierra Club	2327 E. Franklin Avenue Minneapolis, MN 554061024	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_14-322_Official
Dan L.	Sanford	N/A	American Transmission Company LLC	W234 N2000 Ridgeview Pkw Court Waukesha, WI 53188-1022	Paper Service	No	OFF_SL_14-322_Official
Richard	Savelkoul	rsavelkoul@martinsquires.com	Martin & Squires, P.A.	332 Minnesota Street Ste W2750 St. Paul, MN 55101	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_14-322_Official
Kevin	Saville	kevin.saville@ftr.com	Citizens/Frontier Communications	2378 Wilshire Blvd. Mound, MN 55364	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_14-322_Official
Larry L.	Schedin	Larry@LLSResources.com	LLS Resources, LLC	12 S 6th St Ste 1137 Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_14-322_Official
Matthew J.	Schuerger P.E.	mjsreg@earthlink.net	Energy Systems Consulting Services, LLC	PO Box 16129 St. Paul, MN 55116	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_14-322_Official

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
Janet	Shaddix Elling	jshaddix@janetshaddix.com	Shaddix And Associates	Ste 122 9100 W Bloomington Frwy Bloomington, MN 55431	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_14-322_Official
Gary	Shaver	N/A	Silicon Energy	3506 124th St NE Marysville, WA 98271	Paper Service	No	OFF_SL_14-322_Official
Erin	Shea	eshea@silicon-energy.com	Silicon Energy	PO Box 376 8787 Silicon Way Mt Iron, MN 55768	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_14-322_Official
Doug	Shoemaker	dougs@mnRenewables.org	MRES	2928 5th Ave S Minneapolis, MN 55408	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_14-322_Official
Ron	Spangler, Jr.	rlspangler@otpc.com	Otter Tail Power Company	215 So. Cascade St. PO Box 496 Fergus Falls, MN 565380496	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_14-322_Official
Kristin	Stastny	stastny.kristin@dorsey.com	Dorsey & Whitney LLP	50 South 6th Street Suite 1500 Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_14-322_Official
Donna	Stephenson	dstephenson@greenergy.com	Great River Energy	12300 Elm Creek Boulevard Maple Grove, MN 55369	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_14-322_Official
Erin	Stojan Ruccolo	ruccolo@fresh-energy.org	Fresh Energy	408 Saint Peter St Ste 220 Saint Paul, MN 55102-1125	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_14-322_Official
James M.	Strommen	jstrommen@kennedy-graven.com	Kennedy & Graven, Chartered	470 U.S. Bank Plaza 200 South Sixth Street Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_14-322_Official
Deb	Sundin	deb.sundin@xcelenergy.com	Xcel Energy	414 Nicollet Mall Minneapolis, MN 55401	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_14-322_Official

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
JoAnn	Thompson	jthompson@otpc.com	Otter Tail Power Company	P.O. Box 496 215 South Cascade Street Fergus Falls, MN 565380496	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_14-322_Official
Stephen J.	Videto		ITC Holding Corp.	27175 Energy Way, Fifth Floor Novi, MI 48377	Paper Service	No	OFF_SL_14-322_Official
Denis R.	Vogel	vmele@wheelerlaw.com	Wheeler, Van Sickle & Anderson, S.C.	25 W. Main Street Suite 801 Madison, WI 53703	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_14-322_Official
Marya	White	mwhite@misoenergy.org	MISO	1125 Energy Park Dr St. Paul, MN 55108	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_14-322_Official
Daniel	Williams	DanWilliams.mg@gmail.com	Powerfully Green	11451 Oregon Avenue N Champlin, MN 55316	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_14-322_Official
Robyn	Woeste	robynwoeste@alliantenergy.com	Interstate Power and Light Company	200 First St SE Cedar Rapids, IA 52401	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_14-322_Official