

STATE OF MINNESOTA

October 24, 2014

The Honorable Ann C. O'Reilly Minnesota Office of Administrative Hearings 600 North Robert Street P.O. Box 64620 St. Paul, MN 55164-0620

RE: In the Matter of the Request of Minnesota Power for a Certificate of Need for the Great Northern Transmission Line Project MPUC Docket No. E015/CN-12-1163
OAH Docket No. 65-2500-31196

Dear Judge O'Reilly:

On behalf of the Minnesota Department of Commerce, Division of Energy Resources, enclosed for filing in the above docket, please find the Rebuttal Testimony of Dr. Stephen Rakow.

Sincerely,

/S/ Julia E. Anderson Assistant Attorney General 445 Minnesota Street, Suite 1800 St. Paul, MN 55101-2134 (651) 757-1202 Julia.anderson@ag.state.mn.us

COUNSEL FOR THE MINNESOTA DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE DIVISION OF ENERGY RESOURCES

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Linda Chavez, hereby certify that I have this day served copies of the following document on the attached list of persons by electronic filing, e-mail, or by depositing a true and correct copy thereof properly enveloped with postage paid in the United States Mail at St. Paul, Minnesota.

MINNESOTA DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE – REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF DR. STEPHEN RAKOW.

Docket Nos. E015/CN-12-1163
Dated this 24th day of October, 2014.
/s/Linda Chavez

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
David	Aafedt	daafedt@winthrop.com	Winthrop & Weinstine, P.A.	Suite 3500, 225 South Sixth Street Minneapolis, MN 554024629	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_12-1163_Official cc Service List
Julia	Anderson	Julia.Anderson@ag.state.m n.us	Office of the Attorney General-DOC	1800 BRM Tower 445 Minnesota St St. Paul, MN 551012134	Electronic Service	Yes	OFF_SL_12-1163_Official cc Service List
Christina	Brusven	cbrusven@fredlaw.com	Fredrikson Byron	200 S 6th St Ste 4000 Minneapolis, MN 554021425	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_12-1163_Official cc Service List
James	Denniston	james.r.denniston@xcelen ergy.com	Xcel Energy Services, Inc.	414 Nicollet Mall, Fifth Floor Minneapolis, MN 55401	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_12-1163_Official cc Service List
Sharon	Ferguson	sharon.ferguson@state.mn .us	Department of Commerce	85 7th Place E Ste 500 Saint Paul, MN 551012198	Electronic Service	Yes	OFF_SL_12-1163_Official cc Service List
Burl W.	Haar	burl.haar@state.mn.us	Public Utilities Commission	Suite 350 121 7th Place East St. Paul, MN 551012147	Electronic Service	Yes	OFF_SL_12-1163_Official cc Service List
Linda	Jensen	linda.s.jensen@ag.state.m n.us	Office of the Attorney General-DOC	1800 BRM Tower 445 Minnesota Street St. Paul, MN 551012134	Electronic Service	Yes	OFF_SL_12-1163_Official cc Service List
Michael	Kaluzniak	mike.kaluzniak@state.mn.u s	Public Utilities Commission	Suite 350 121 Seventh Place Ea St. Paul, MN 55101	Electronic Service st	Yes	OFF_SL_12-1163_Official cc Service List
Peter	Madsen	peter.madsen@ag.state.m n.us	Office of the Attorney General-DOC	Bremer Tower, Suite 1800 445 Minnesota Street St. Paul, Minnesota 55101	Electronic Service	Yes	OFF_SL_12-1163_Official cc Service List
Chad T	Marriott	ctmarriott@stoel.com	Stoel Rives LLP	900 SW 5th Ave Ste 2600 Portland, OR 97204	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_12-1163_Official cc Service List

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
David	Moeller	dmoeller@allete.com	Minnesota Power	30 W Superior St Duluth, MN 558022093	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_12-1163_Official cc Service List
Andrew	Moratzka	apmoratzka@stoel.com	Stoel Rives LLP	33 South Sixth Street Suite 4200 Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_12-1163_Official cc Service List
Ann	O'Reilly	ann.oreilly@state.mn.us	Office of Administrative Hearings	PO Box 64620 St. Paul, MN 55101	Electronic Service	Yes	OFF_SL_12-1163_Official cc Service List
Carol A.	Overland	overland@legalectric.org	Legalectric - Overland Law Office	1110 West Avenue Red Wing, MN 55066	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_12-1163_Official cc Service List
Janet	Shaddix Elling	jshaddix@janetshaddix.co m	Shaddix And Associates	Ste 122 9100 W Bloomington Bloomington, MN 55431	Electronic Service Frwy	No	OFF_SL_12-1163_Official cc Service List
Jennifer	Smestad	jsmestad@otpco.com	Otter Tail Power Company	215 South Cascade Street Fergus Falls, MN 565380496	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_12-1163_Official cc Service List
Tracy	Smetana	tracy.smetana@state.mn.u s	Public Utilities Commission	121 7th Place East Suite 350 St. Paul, MN 55101	Electronic Service	Yes	OFF_SL_12-1163_Official cc Service List
Mollie	Smith	msmith@fredlaw.com	Fredrikson Byron PA	Suite 4000 200 South Sixth Stree Minneapolis, MN 554021425	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_12-1163_Official cc Service List
Eric	Swanson	eswanson@winthrop.com	Winthrop Weinstine	225 S 6th St Ste 3500 Capella Tower Minneapolis, MN 554024629	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_12-1163_Official cc Service List
Joseph	Windler	jwindler@winthrop.com	Winthrop & Weinstine	225 South Sixth Street, Suite 3500 Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_12-1163_Official cc Service List

BEFORE THE MINNESOTA OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS 600 North Robert Street St. Paul MN 55101

FOR THE MINNESOTA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION 121 7th Place East, Suite 350 St Paul MN 55101-2147

IN THE MATTER OF THE REQUEST OF MINNESOTA POWER FOR A CERTIFICATE OF NEED FOR THE GREAT NORTHERN TRANSMISSION LINE PROJECT

Docket No. E015/CN-12-1163 OAH Docket No. 65-2500-31196

REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF DR. STEVE RAKOW

ON BEHALF OF

THE MINNESOTA DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE,
DIVISION OF ENERGY RESOURCES

OCTOBER 24, 2014

REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF DR. STEVE RAKOW IN THE MATTER OF THE REQUEST OF MINNESOTA POWER FOR A CERTIFICATE OF NEED FOR THE GREAT NORTHERN TRANSMISSION LINE PROJECT

MPUC Docket No. E015/CN-12-1163 OAH Docket No. 65-2500-31196

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Sect	tion	Page
l.	INTRODUCTION AND PURPOSE	1
II.	REBUTTAL TO LARGE POWER INTERVENORS. A. Approval of Agreements B. Cost Cap C. Other Recommendations D. Summary of the Department's Recommendations	1 2 4
III.	CONCLUSION	5

1	l.	INTRODUCTION AND PURPOSE
2	Q.	Please state your name.
3	A.	My name is Dr. Steve Rakow.
4		
5	Q.	Are you the same Dr. Rakow who previously submitted direct testimony on behalf of
6		the Minnesota Department of Commerce, Energy Regulation and Planning unit
7		(Department) in this proceeding?
8	A.	Yes.
9		
10	Q.	What is the purpose of your rebuttal testimony?
11	A.	I respond to Large Power Intervenors (LPI) witness Mr. Lane Kollen regarding the
12		conditions that Mr. Kollen recommends be placed upon the certificate of need.
13		
14	Q.	Does your assessment of rebuttal testimony by other parties change your position in
15		this proceeding?
16	A.	Yes; as discussed below, I support one of Mr. Kollen's recommended conditions and
17		a modified version of another of his recommendations.
18		
19	II.	REBUTTAL TO LARGE POWER INTERVENORS
20	Α.	APPROVAL OF AGREEMENTS
21	Q.	LPI witness Mr. Kollen's first recommendation, at page 3 of his direct testimony, was
22		that the Commission condition approval of the CN upon Commission approval of
23		MP's 133 MW Renewable Optimization Agreements (ROA) and the Facilities
24		Construction Agreement (FCA). Do you agree?

A. Yes. Regarding the FCA, MP's Mr. Donahue stated that "Once the FCA is executed it will be forwarded to the Commission." MP Ex. ___ at 9 (Donahue Direct). Thus, MP plans to submit the FCA to the Commission.

The ROA actually consists of two separate agreements, the 133 MW Energy Sale Agreement (ESA) and the 2014 Energy Exchange Agreement (EEA). The ESA lists as one of MP's conditions precedent, "the final approval of this Agreement by the MPUC [Minnesota Public Utilities Commission] on conditions acceptable to MP." MP Ex. ___ at Schedule 2 PUBLIC, Page 71 of 188 (Rudeck Direct). The EEA lists as MP's condition precedent "the parties executing on the Effective Date the 133 MW Energy Sale Agreement and all conditions precedent to that agreement being satisfied." MP Ex. ___ at Schedule 2 PUBLIC, Page 161 of 188 (Rudeck Direct). Thus, MP must submit the ESA for Commission approval and the EEA depends upon ESA approval.

Given that MP plans to submit both the FCA and ESA and that these agreements potentially impact the cost allocated to ratepayers for MP's proposed 500 kV transmission line relative to the 230 kV alternative, I conclude that Mr. Kollen's first recommendation is reasonable and I support his recommendation.

B. COST CAP

- Q. LPI witness Mr. Kollen's second recommendation, at pages 3-4 of his direct testimony, was that the Commission establish a cost cap in this proceeding. Do you agree?
- A. I recommend a modified version of Mr. Kollen's recommendation. The Department has typically addressed concerns regarding cost caps in the rider or rate case

proceeding in which cost recovery from retail ratepayers is first requested. Thus, there will be a subsequent cost recovery proceeding regarding MP's proposal and it may not be necessary to address cost caps at this time. However, the Department certainly does not oppose making clear to MP the terms of their future cost recovery, consistent with the Commission's approach regarding cost recovery of projects in other CNs: 1) MP would be limited to recover in riders only the amount of costs that MP proposes in this proceeding 2) MP could request recovery of costs above the CN amount only in a rate case, but 3) MP would have the burden of proof to show that any such costs are prudent and why it would be reasonable to recover such costs from ratepayers.

The Commission stated the purpose of this approach in a 2010 proceeding (for example) regarding cost recovery of energy facilities owned by Northern States Power, d/b/a Xcel Energy (Xcel) in Docket No. E002/M-09-1083:

The Commission will allow Xcel to recover, through its RES rider, only the costs up to the amounts of the initial estimates at the time the projects are approved as eligible projects. No amounts above what Xcel initially indicated the projects would cost will be allowed to flow through the RES rider. Nor will additional cost overruns be eligible for deferred accounting.

However, Xcel will be allowed to seek recovery, on a prospective basis, of additional costs at the time of its next rate case, upon a showing that it is reasonable to require ratepayers to pay for any such additional costs. This approach allows Xcel to recover the majority of the costs for projects eligible for RES rider recovery promptly, while providing at least some incentive for Xcel to minimize costs and help protect ratepayers.

Likewise, to give MP an incentive to minimize costs and to help protect ratepayers, putting MP on notice in this proceeding about future cost recovery would be reasonable.

1		 put MP on notice regarding future cost recovery that:
2		1) MP would be limited to recover in riders only the amount of costs
3		that MP proposes in this proceeding,
4		2) MP could request recovery of costs above the CN amount only in a
5		rate case, and
6		3) MP would have the burden of proof to show that any such costs are
7		prudent and why it would be reasonable to recover such costs from
8		ratepayers; and
9		• take no action at this time on AFUDC, rider vs. base rate recovery or rate
10		design.
11		
12	III.	CONCLUSION
13	Q.	Does this conclude your Rebuttal Testimony?
14	A.	Yes.