

414 Nicollet Mall Minneapolis, MN 55401

August 17, 2015

-Via Electronic Filing-

Daniel P. Wolf Executive Secretary Minnesota Public Utilities Commission 121 7th Place East, Suite 350 St. Paul, Minnesota 55101

RE: REPLY COMMENTS SERVICE AGREEMENT MODIFICATIONS – ANNUAL DOCKET NO. E,G002/AI-15-536

Dear Mr. Wolf:

Northern States Power Company, doing business as Xcel Energy, submits to the Minnesota Public Utilities Commission these Reply Comments in response to the August 7, 2015 Comments of the Minnesota Department of Commerce in the above-referenced docket.

We have electronically filed this document with the Commission, and copies have been served on the parties on the attached service list.

Please contact Jody Londo at (612) 330-5601 or jody.l.londo@xcelenergy.com or me at (612) 330-6064 or <u>bria.e.shea@xcelenergy.com</u> if you have any questions regarding this filing.

SINCERELY,

/s/

BRIA E. SHEA MANAGER, REGULATORY DOCUMENT CONTENT RATES AND REGULATORY AFFAIRS

Enclosures c: Service List

STATE OF MINNESOTA BEFORE THE MINNESOTA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

Beverly Jones Heydinger Nancy Lange Dan Lipschultz John Tuma Betsy Wergin

IN THE MATTER OF THE PETITION OF NORTHERN STATES POWER COMPANY FOR APPROVAL OF ITS 2016 ANNUAL COST ALLOCATION MODIFICATIONS TO ITS SERVICE AGREEMENT WITH XCEL ENERGY SERVICES INC. Chair Commissioner Commissioner Commissioner

DOCKET NO. E,G002/AI-15-536

REPLY COMMENTS

INTRODUCTION

Northern States Power Company, doing business as Xcel Energy, submits to the Minnesota Public Utilities Commission these Reply Comments in response to the August 7, 2015 Comments of the Minnesota Department of Commerce in the above-referenced docket.

We appreciate the Department's thorough review of our Service Company cost allocations modification filing and recommendation that the Commission approve the Claim Services and Personal Accounts Representative Team allocation changes. In this Reply, we respond to the Department's Comments regarding our other proposed changes to the Supply Chain and Rates and Regulatory Service Functions.

We respectfully request that the Commission approve the Third Amendment to the Service Agreement, as supplemented by this Reply, to affect regulatory approval of these changes. The changes are in the public interest, because they serve to allocate Service Company costs in the most cost-causative manner, which is consistent with the Commission's guidance and our cost allocation principles.

REPLY

A. Supply Chain Service Function

In Comments, the Department opposed our proposed allocation change for the Supply Chain Service Function, saying we had not met our burden of proof that the Invoice Transaction Ratio is the most-causative Allocation Method. In this section, we supplement the information we previously provided, and offer a modification to Appendix A to the Service Agreement that clarifies the activities to which the Invoice Transaction Ratio will be applied.

Since discontinuing use of the Labor Dollars Allocation Method in 2008, the Supply Chain Service Function has largely direct-charged their costs to the Operating Companies or through the purchase and warehouse clearing processes based on the specific work activities performed. This includes the work of negotiating agreements and contracts; we agree with the Department that this work is labor intensive, and that the Invoice Transaction Ratio would not be a cost-causative allocation method for this type of work.

However, our proposal to use the Invoice Transaction Ratio for this Service Function is to allocate only one specific activity of their work – *management and oversight of the payment and reporting services*. This is because, as discussed above, the costs for all other activities are otherwise assigned on a cost-causative basis. The specific work involved in payment and reporting services consists of processing payments to vendors, providing audit research and reconciliation support for Accounts Payable transactions, preparing statistical and 1099 reporting, and administering the purchase card programs – all of which are directly related to volumes of invoices. Therefore, the Invoice Transaction Ratio is the most cost-causative Allocation Method for this specific subset of work, and our proposed change is in the public interest and should be approved.

We understand the Department is uneasy with "optionality" in the Service Agreement. In our most recent Service Company allocations proceeding (Docket No. E,G002/AI-14-234), we resolved this concern by specifying the activities that generally fall within each Allocation Method, if more than one was specified. While we are proposing only one Allocation Method for Supply Chain, we propose to clarify in Appendix A to the Service Agreement that the only costs that would be allocated using the Invoice Transaction Ratio are associated with management and oversight of the payment and reporting services activities, as follows:

l) Supply Chain*

Description - Includes contract negotiations, development and management of supplier relationships and acquisition of goods and services. Also includes inventory planning and forecasting, ordering, accounting and database management. Warehousing services includes receiving, storing, issuing, shipping, returns, and distribution of material and parts.

<u>Method of Allocation</u> - Supply Chain will be direct charged., <u>and Any</u> <u>management and oversight of the payment and reporting services activities</u> <u>administrative support functions</u> that cannot be direct charged will be allocated using the <u>Invoice Transaction</u> <u>Labor Dollars</u> Ratio.

We note that the original changes we proposed in our Petition for this Service Function are indicated in red. The clarifying changes we propose with our Reply are indicated in blue.

B. Rates and Regulation

The currently-approved Service Agreement has two Allocation Methods for the Rates and Regulation Service Function – the Labor Dollars Ratio and the Revenue Ratio. In our Petition, we explained that we were completing our elimination of the Labor Dollars Ratio, as it is no longer in use – something we started to do in our most recent Service Company Agreement filing in Docket 14-234. Further, in practice, the majority of the Rates and Regulation services are driven by and directly-charged to Operating Companies, with any remaining costs properly allocated using the Revenue Ratio.

However, the Department raised a concern as to whether the Revenue Ratio is the most cost-causative method of allocation, given the labor-intensiveness of the Rates and Regulation Service Function – and whether its use would properly allocate costs to regulated and non-regulated entities. We address both of these concerns below.

1. The Revenue Ratio Is the Most Cost-Causative Allocation Method

Despite its name, the Labor Dollars Ratio was never used to allocate labor. It was created and used to allocate non-labor support costs including office supplies purchases, training, and computer and copier hardware expenses. The Labor Dollars Ratio was calculated in the accounting system based on each Service Function's labor charges for the month – taking a monthly snapshot of the labor (both allocated and non-allocated labor) on a date near the end of the month.

It would then create percentages based on the accounting subledger to which the labor had been charged. These percentages were applied to the non-labor support costs, so those costs followed the labor charges – and were assigned in the same manner. Because the key statistical component of the Labor Dollars Ratio was the amounts of direct-assigned and allocated labor costs, use of the Labor Dollars Ratio to allocate labor costs would be circular and would lack cost causality.

The Revenue Ratio has been historically used to allocate general labor costs, as its calculation facilitates the allocation of non-direct-charged costs benefiting all operating and affiliate entities based on their percentage of overall revenue.¹ In the case of the Rates and Regulation Service Function, this Allocation Method has been used since prior to 2008 to allocate all non-direct-charged labor. Since 2008, when the Labor Dollars Ratio was eliminated, the Revenue Ratio has also been used to allocate non-labor costs that cannot be direct-charged.

The activities involved in the Rates and Regulation Service Function are: (1) determination of the regulated utilities' revenue requirements and rates for electric and gas customers; (2) preparation of the rate case filings; (3) coordination of the regulatory compliance requirements; (4) relationships building with regulatory bodies; and (5) policy development of regulatory and legislative strategy. As noted previously, the majority of Rates and Regulation costs are direct-charged, as they directly correlate with rate case and other specific regulatory proceedings. We believe this is appropriate, considering the heavy focus of the above-mentioned activities on the revenue management and earnings stability of the organization.

However, to the extent the Department believes an allocation method based on the labor distribution of the Rates and Regulation Service Function would be more appropriate for non-direct-charged costs going forward, we offer to conduct an analysis comparing a labor-based allocation method to the Revenue Ratio and present our findings in our next annual filing.

The Department requested that we provide an estimated allocation of Rates and Regulation costs to the Minnesota jurisdiction for 2014 and 2015 under the Labor Dollar Ratio and Revenue Ratio. As we have stated previously, the Labor Dollars Ratio is no longer in use – so any estimate would be an approximation using statistics from 2009. Further, as we have explained, use of the Labor Dollars Ratio to allocate labor costs – as it is currently defined – would not be appropriate.

¹ The Revenue Ratio is based on the sum of the monthly revenue amounts for the prior year ending December 31 – the numerator of which is for an applicable Operating Company or affiliate company, and the denominator of which is for all applicable Operating Companies and affiliate companies.

With this Reply, we believe we have provided the additional information needed for the Department to more fully assess our proposal to allocate all non-direct-charged costs for this Service Function using the approved Revenue Ratio.

2. Affiliate Costs are Direct-Charged

Using the Company's recently-proposed Transco affiliate as an example, the Department stated that the Labor Dollars Ratio is needed to properly allocate Rates and Regulatory costs associated with its work to support affiliates. While we appreciate and share the Department's concern about proper allocation of costs between regulated and non-regulated entities, we respectfully disagree that the Labor Dollars Ratio is needed to properly assign Service Company costs.

Also using the establishment of the Transco as an example, Xcel Energy Services, Inc. created a work order system for the Service Company to segregate and track costs by Transco legal entity and project, as it would for any new affiliate. The unique work orders that have been established facilitate billing between the Service Company and the relevant Transco entity.² Therefore, costs incurred are directlycharged, so no Allocation Method is needed to ensure costs are properly assigned to the regulated and non-regulated entities.

We continue to believe the changes we have proposed in this filing facilitate costcausative relationships consistent with the Commission's established criteria and guiding principles, which we continue to employ today.³ These changes are in the public interest because they allocate Service Company costs in the most costcausative manner.

² See IN THE MATTER OF THE REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF NEW ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICE AGREEMENTS BETWEEN NORTHERN STATES POWER COMPANY AND XCEL ENERGY TRANSMISSION DEVELOPMENT COMPANY, LLC AND XCEL ENERGY SOUTHWEST TRANSMISSION COMPANY, LLC., Docket No. E-002/AI-14-759, Petition at 12 (Sept 3, 2014). Please note that the Commission's August 3, 2015 Order approving the Agreements, the Commission required compliance filings containing information about the allocation of Transco costs as follows: ". . . (a) thirty (30) days after the Commission issues an order in this Docket for the 2014 data, and (b) by May 31, 2016 for the 2015 data, that will identify the amount of fully allocated costs incurred by Transco's from Northern States Power – Minnesota (NSP-M) and for purposes of examining allocations to NSP-M, the fully allocated costs by Transcos from Xcel Energy Services Inc."

³ See the Commission's September 28, 1994 Order in Docket No. E,G999/CI-90-1008.

CONCLUSION

Xcel Energy respectfully requests that the Commission approve our proposed changes to the Service Agreement, as supplemented by this Reply, that memorialize the cost-causative modifications we have made to our actual cost allocation methods.

Dated: August 17, 2015

Northern States Power Company

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Jim Erickson, hereby certify that I have this day served copies or summaries of the foregoing document on the attached list(s) of persons.

xx by depositing a true and correct copy thereof, properly enveloped with postage paid in the United States Mail at Minneapolis, Minnesota

xx electronic filing

DOCKET NO. E,G002/AI-15-536

Dated this 17th day of August 2015

/s/

Jim Erickson

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
Christopher	Anderson	canderson@allete.com	Minnesota Power	30 W Superior St Duluth, MN 558022191	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-536_AI-15 536
Julia	Anderson	Julia.Anderson@ag.state.m n.us	Office of the Attorney General-DOC	1800 BRM Tower 445 Minnesota St St. Paul, MN 551012134	Electronic Service	Yes	OFF_SL_15-536_AI-15- 536
Alison C	Archer	alison.c.archer@xcelenerg y.com	Xcel Energy	414 Nicollet Mall FL 5 Minneapolis, MN 55401	Electronic Service	Yes	OFF_SL_15-536_AI-15- 536
Mara K	Ascheman	mara.k.ascheman@xcelen ergy.com	Xcel Energy	414 Nicollet Mall FL 5 Minneapolis, MN 55401	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-536_AI-15- 536
Ryan	Barlow	Ryan.Barlow@ag.state.mn. us	Office of the Attorney General-RUD	445 Minnesota Street Bremer Tower, Suite 1 St. Paul, Minnesota 55101	Electronic Service 400	Yes	OFF_SL_15-536_AI-15- 536
James J.	Bertrand	james.bertrand@leonard.c om	Leonard Street & Deinard	150 South Fifth Street, Suite 2300 Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-536_AI-15- 536
William A.	Blazar	bblazar@mnchamber.com	Minnesota Chamber Of Commerce	Suite 1500 400 Robert Street Nor St. Paul, MN 55101	Electronic Service th	No	OFF_SL_15-536_AI-15- 536
Michael	Bradley	mike.bradley@lawmoss.co m	Moss & Barnett	150 S. 5th Street, #1200 Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-536_AI-15- 536
James	Canaday	james.canaday@ag.state. mn.us	Office of the Attorney General-RUD	Suite 1400 445 Minnesota St. St. Paul, MN 55101	Electronic Service	Yes	OFF_SL_15-536_AI-15- 536
Jeanne	Cochran	Jeanne.Cochran@state.mn .us	Office of Administrative Hearings	P.O. Box 64620 St. Paul, MN 55164-0620	Electronic Service	Yes	OFF_SL_15-536_AI-15- 536

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
John	Coffman	john@johncoffman.net	AARP	871 Tuxedo Blvd. St, Louis, MO 63119-2044	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-536_AI-15- 536
Jeffrey A.	Daugherty	jeffrey.daugherty@centerp ointenergy.com	CenterPoint Energy	800 LaSalle Ave Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-536_AI-15- 536
James	Denniston	james.r.denniston@xcelen ergy.com	Xcel Energy Services, Inc.	414 Nicollet Mall, Fifth Floor Minneapolis, MN 55401	Electronic Service	Yes	OFF_SL_15-536_AI-15- 536
lan	Dobson	ian.dobson@ag.state.mn.u s	Office of the Attorney General-RUD	Antitrust and Utilities Division 445 Minnesota Street, BRM Tower St. Paul, MN 55101	Electronic Service 1400	No	OFF_SL_15-536_AI-15- 536
Sharon	Ferguson	sharon.ferguson@state.mn .us	Department of Commerce	85 7th Place E Ste 500 Saint Paul, MN 551012198	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-536_AI-15- 536
Stephen	Fogel	Stephen.E.Fogel@XcelEne rgy.com	Xcel Energy Services, Inc.	816 Congress Ave, Suite 1650 Austin, TX 78701	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-536_AI-15- 536
Benjamin	Gerber	bgerber@mnchamber.com	Minnesota Chamber of Commerce	400 Robert Street North Suite 1500 St. Paul, Minnesota 55101	Electronic Service	Yes	OFF_SL_15-536_AI-15- 536
Todd J.	Guerrero	todd.guerrero@kutakrock.c om	Kutak Rock LLP	Suite 1750 220 South Sixth Stree Minneapolis, MN 554021425	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-536_AI-15- 536
Sandra	Hofstetter	N/A	MN Chamber of Commerce	7261 County Road H Fremont, WI 54940-9317	Paper Service	No	OFF_SL_15-536_AI-15- 536

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
Michael	Норре	il23@mtn.org	Local Union 23, I.B.E.W.	932 Payne Avenue St. Paul, MN 55130	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-536_AI-15- 536
Alan	Jenkins	aj@jenkinsatlaw.com	Jenkins at Law	2265 Roswell Road Suite 100 Marietta, GA 30062	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-536_AI-15- 536
Linda	Jensen	linda.s.jensen@ag.state.m n.us	Office of the Attorney General-DOC	1800 BRM Tower 445 Minnesota Street St. Paul, MN 551012134	Electronic Service	Yes	OFF_SL_15-536_AI-15- 536
Richard	Johnson	Rick.Johnson@lawmoss.co m	Moss & Barnett	150 S. 5th Street Suite 1200 Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-536_AI-15- 536
Sarah	Johnson Phillips	sjphillips@stoel.com	Stoel Rives LLP	33 South Sixth Street Suite 4200 Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-536_AI-15- 536
Mark J.	Kaufman	mkaufman@ibewlocal949.o rg	IBEW Local Union 949	12908 Nicollet Avenue South Burnsville, MN 55337	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-536_AI-15- 536
Thomas	Koehler	TGK@IBEW160.org	Local Union #160, IBEW	2909 Anthony Ln St Anthony Village, MN 55418-3238	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-536_Al-15- 536
Mara	Koeller	mara.n.koeller@xcelenergy .com	Xcel Energy	414 Nicollet Mall 5th Floor Minneapolis, MN 55401	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-536_AI-15- 536
Michael	Krikava	mkrikava@briggs.com	Briggs And Morgan, P.A.	2200 IDS Center 80 S 8th St Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-536_AI-15- 536
Peder	Larson	plarson@larkinhoffman.co m	Larkin Hoffman Daly & Lindgren, Ltd.	8300 Norman Center Drive Suite 1000 Bloomington, MN 55437	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-536_AI-15- 536

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
Douglas	Larson	dlarson@dakotaelectric.co m	Dakota Electric Association	4300 220th St W Farmington, MN 55024	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-536_AI-15- 536
John	Lindell	agorud.ecf@ag.state.mn.us	Office of the Attorney General-RUD	1400 BRM Tower 445 Minnesota St St. Paul, MN 551012130	Electronic Service	Yes	OFF_SL_15-536_AI-15- 536
Paula	Maccabee	Pmaccabee@justchangela w.com	Just Change Law Offices	1961 Selby Ave Saint Paul, MN 55104	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-536_AI-15- 536
Peter	Madsen	peter.madsen@ag.state.m n.us	Office of the Attorney General-DOC	Bremer Tower, Suite 1800 445 Minnesota Street St. Paul, Minnesota 55101	Electronic Service	Yes	OFF_SL_15-536_Al-15- 536
Pam	Marshall	pam@energycents.org	Energy CENTS Coalition	823 7th St E St. Paul, MN 55106	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-536_AI-15- 536
Mary	Martinka	mary.a.martinka@xcelener gy.com	Xcel Energy Inc	414 Nicollet Mall 7th Floor Minneapolis, MN 55401	Electronic Service	Yes	OFF_SL_15-536_AI-15- 536
Connor	McNellis	cmcnellis@larkinhoffman.c om	Larkin Hoffman Daly & Lindgren Ltd.	8300 Norman Center Drive Suite 1000 Minneapolis, MN 55437	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-536_AI-15- 536
Brian	Meloy	brian.meloy@stinsonleonar d.com	Stinson,Leonard, Street LLP	150 S 5th St Ste 2300 Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	Yes	OFF_SL_15-536_AI-15- 536
David	Moeller	dmoeller@allete.com	Minnesota Power	30 W Superior St Duluth, MN 558022093	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-536_AI-15- 536
Andrew	Moratzka	apmoratzka@stoel.com	Stoel Rives LLP	33 South Sixth Street Suite 4200 Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-536_AI-15- 536

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
David W.	Niles	david.niles@avantenergy.c om	Minnesota Municipal Power Agency	Suite 300 200 South Sixth Stree Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-536_AI-15- 536
Kevin	Reuther	kreuther@mncenter.org	MN Center for Environmental Advocacy	26 E Exchange St, Ste 206 St. Paul, MN 551011667	Electronic Service	Yes	OFF_SL_15-536_AI-15- 536
Richard	Savelkoul	rsavelkoul@martinsquires.c om	Martin & Squires, P.A.	332 Minnesota Street Ste W2750 St. Paul, MN 55101	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-536_AI-15- 536
Janet	Shaddix Elling	jshaddix@janetshaddix.co m	Shaddix And Associates	Ste 122 9100 W Bloomington F Bloomington, MN 55431	Electronic Service Frwy	Yes	OFF_SL_15-536_AI-15- 536
Ken	Smith	ken.smith@districtenergy.c om	District Energy St. Paul Inc.	76 W Kellogg Blvd St. Paul, MN 55102	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-536_AI-15- 536
Ron	Spangler, Jr.	rlspangler@otpco.com	Otter Tail Power Company	215 So. Cascade St. PO Box 496 Fergus Falls, MN 565380496	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-536_AI-15- 536
Byron E.	Starns	byron.starns@leonard.com	Leonard Street and Deinard	150 South 5th Street Suite 2300 Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-536_AI-15- 536
James M.	Strommen	jstrommen@kennedy- graven.com	Kennedy & Graven, Chartered	470 U.S. Bank Plaza 200 South Sixth Stree Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-536_AI-15- 536
Eric	Swanson	eswanson@winthrop.com	Winthrop Weinstine	225 S 6th St Ste 3500 Capella Tower Minneapolis, MN 554024629	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-536_AI-15- 536
SaGonna	Thompson	Regulatory.records@xcele nergy.com	Xcel Energy	414 Nicollet Mall FL 7 Minneapolis, MN 554011993	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-536_AI-15- 536

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
Lisa	Veith	lisa.veith@ci.stpaul.mn.us	City of St. Paul	400 City Hall and Courthouse 15 West Kellogg Blvd. St. Paul, MN 55102	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-536_Al-15- 536
Samantha	Williams	swilliams@nrdc.org	Natural Resources Defense Council	20 N. Wacker Drive Ste 1600 Chicago, IL 60606	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-536_Al-15- 536
Daniel P	Wolf	dan.wolf@state.mn.us	Public Utilities Commission	121 7th Place East Suite 350 St. Paul, MN 551012147	Electronic Service	Yes	OFF_SL_15-536_AI-15- 536
Patrick	Zomer	Patrick.Zomer@lawmoss.c om	Moss & Barnett a Professional Association	150 S. 5th Street, #1200 Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-536_AI-15- 536