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## Introduction

Northern States Power Company, doing business as Xcel Energy, submits to the Minnesota Public Utilities Commission this Petition requesting approval of the 2016 Renewable Development Fund (RDF) rate rider factor and the application of this new RDF factor to customer usage beginning January 1, 2016. This Petition complies with the Commission's Orders. ${ }^{1}$

We are proposing a 2016 RDF rate rider factor of $\$ 0.000902$ per kWh to recover a total amount of $\$ 27,678,944$, consisting of 2016 RDF known and measurable expenses of $\$ 23,009,335$, and 2015 under-recovery expenses of $\$ 4,669,609$.

[^0]Beginning with our October 1, 2010 RDF rate rider filing (Docket No. E002/M-101054), we used a new and more stringent set of known and measurable criteria for the forecasting of RDF revenue requirements. The criteria were developed in cooperation with the Department of Commerce, Division of Energy Resources, and approved by the Commission in its March 17, 2011 Order, which approved the 2011 RDF Rider Factor. We are using the same criteria in this filing for the calculation of the proposed 2016 RDF rate rider factor. As authorized by the 2011 Order, RDF project payments not recovered in 2015 are included for cost recovery as part of the 2016 RDF rate rider factor.

The table below summarizes our 2016 RDF rate rider request and compares this to what was requested in our last four annual filings:

Table 1: Annual RDF Rate Rider Comparisons (2012-2016)

| 2016 Rate Rider - Summary Table |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
|  | 2012 Rider | 2013 Rider | 2014 Rider | 2015 Rider | 2016 Rider |  |
| Forecasted Costs | $\$ 16,303,562$ | $\$ 12,427,420$ | $\$ 20,233,602$ | $\$ 18,158,462$ | $\$ 23,009,335$ |  |
| True-Up Adjustment | $\$ 1,103,599$ | $(\$ 199,144)^{2}$ | $\$ 2,456,773$ | $(\$ 120,346)$ | $\$ 4,669,609$ |  |
| Balance to Recover | $\$ 17,407,161$ | $\$ 12,228,276$ | $\$ 22,690,375$ | $\$ 18,038,037$ | $\$ 27,678,944$ |  |
| Rate Factor | $\$ 0.000479$ | $\$ 0.000402$ | $\$ 0.000750$ | $\$ 0.000589^{3}$ | $\$ 0.000902$ |  |

## I. SUMMARY OF FILING

Pursuant to Minnesota Rule 7829.1300, subd. 1, a one-paragraph summary of the filing accompanies this Petition.

## II. SERVICE ON OTHER PARTIES

Pursuant to Minn. Stat. $\$ 216.17$, subd. 3, we have electronically filed this document with the Minnesota Public Utilities Commission which, in compliance with Minn.

[^1]Rule 7829.1300, subd. 2, also constitutes service on the Division of Energy Resource and the Office of Attorney General-Residential Utilities Division. A summary of the filing has been served on all persons on the official service list for Docket No. E002/M-15-730.

## III. GENERAL FILING INFORMATION

Pursuant to Minnesota Rule 7829.1300, subp. 3, the Company provides the following required information.

A. Name, Address, and Telephone Number of Utility<br>Northern States Power Company doing business as:<br>Xcel Energy<br>414 Nicollet Mall<br>Minneapolis, MN 55401<br>(612) 330-5500

B. Name, Address, and Telephone Number of Utility Attorney Mara K. Ascheman<br>Associate Attorney<br>Xcel Energy<br>414 Nicollet Mall, $5^{\text {th }}$ Floor<br>Minneapolis, MN 55401

(612) 215-4605

## C. Date of Filing and Date Proposed Agreement Will Take Effect

This Petition is being filed September 30, 2015. Pursuant to Minn. Stat. §216B.10, we request that the new rates reflecting recovery of the costs associated with the RDF Program be effective January 1, 2016.

## D. Statute Controlling Schedule for Processing the Filing

This Petition is filed pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 216B. 10 and 216B. 1645 Subd. 2. Minn. Stat. § 216B. 10 explains accounting, reporting, and auditing requirements. Minn. Stat. § 216B. 1645 Subd. 2 provides the mechanism for recovering RDF costs. Since there is no net change in general revenue requirement necessary, the requested rate treatment falls within the definition of a "miscellaneous tariff filing" under Minn. Rule 7829.0100, subp.11. Comments on a miscellaneous filing are due within 30 days of its filing, with reply comments due 10 days thereafter.

## E. Utility Employee Responsible for Filing

Amy S. Fredregill
Resource Planning and Strategy Manager
Xcel Energy
414 Nicollet Mall, $7^{\text {th }}$ Floor
Minneapolis, MN 55401
(612) 215-5367

## IV. SERVICE LIST

Pursuant to Minn. R. 7829.0700, Xcel Energy requests that the following persons be placed on the Commission's official service list for this matter:

Amy S. Fredregill<br>Resource Planning and Strategy Manager<br>Xcel Energy<br>414 Nicollet Mall, $7^{\text {th }}$ Floor<br>Minneapolis, MN 55401<br>amy.s.fredregill@xcelenergy.com

SaGonna Thompson<br>Regulatory Administrator<br>Xcel Energy<br>414 Nicollet Mall, $7^{\text {th }}$ Floor<br>Minneapolis, MN 55401<br>regulatory.records@xcelenergy.com

Any information requests in this proceeding should be submitted to Ms. Thompson at the Regulatory Records email address above.

## V. DESCRIPTION AND PURPOSE OF FILING

The purpose of this filing is to seek approval for a 2016 RDF rate rider factor of $\$ 0.000902$ per kWh to be applied to Minnesota customer energy usage beginning January 1, 2016. We are proposing a 2016 RDF Rider Factor of $\$ 0.000902$ per kWh to recover a total amount of $\$ 27,678,944$ consisting of 2016 RDF known and measurable expenses of $\$ 23,009,335$ and 2015 under-recovery of expenses of \$4,669,609.

This Petition includes the following information:

- Forecasted expenditures submitted for cost recovery in 2016;
- Forecasted expenditures not submitted for cost recovery in 2016;
- RDF tracker account information;
- Fund Liability and Unencumbered Balance; and
- Historic Trends.

We confirm that all actual numbers that have been reported in previous filings have not changed within this report. Where applicable, forecasted numbers from previous filings have been updated to reflect a subsequent actual number or a revised forecasted value, based upon more recent knowledge.

In support of our proposed rate adjustment, we provide the following attachments supporting RDF costs and activities:

- Attachment 1: 2014 Rate Rider Calculation
- Attachment 2: 2015 Rate Rider Calculation
- Attachment 3: 2016 Rate Rider Calculation
- Attachment 4: 2017 Rate Rider Calculation
- Attachments 5-9: Annual Compliance Report
- Attachment 10: RDF Projects Not Included in 2015 - 2016 Forecast
- Attachment 11: Proposed Cycle 4 Detail
- Attachment 12: Listing and Status of RDF Projects
- Attachment 13: RDF Administrative Costs
- Attachment 14: Report of Fund Liability and Unencumbered Balance
- Attachment 15: Comparison of Minnesota State Sales Allocator Based on RDF and Fuel Clause Adjustment (FCA) Sales
- Attachment 16: Comparison of Actual RDF Rider Revenues to Revenues Calculated using FCA Sales
- Attachment 17: Footnotes for All Schedules
- Attachment 18: Proposed updates to the RDF tariff in redline and clean format (Minnesota Electric Rate Book, MPUC No. 2, Sheet No. 5-143, revision 16)

To provide further assurance of the accuracy of our calculations, we used an external auditor to review our submittal. The auditor (1) reviewed our revenue requirements and tracker calculations, (2) reviewed compliance of these calculations with the intent of applicable statutes, Commission Orders and previous filings, and (3) verified that costs recovered under the RDF rate rider factor are not being recovered under any other mechanism.

## A. Expenditures Submitted for Cost Recovery

This section discusses the four categories of costs that are included in our expenditures for which we request cost recovery in 2016. These are (1) payments made to fulfill Minnesota Legislative Mandates, (2) payments made to our RDF grant projects, (3) RDF administrative expenses, and (4) true up expenses for those costs we under-recovered in our 2015 RDF rate rider.

## 1. Minnesota Legislative Mandates

Our proposed 2016 RDF rate rider factor includes $\$ 18,076,534$ for legislative mandated initiatives. There are four current legislative initiatives that are funded in full or in part through RDF disbursements. The 2016 RDF legislative payments will consist of:

- $\$ 1,302,211$ for Renewable Energy Production Incentive (REPI) payments
- $\$ 3,817,458$ for the Minnesota Bonus Solar Rebate Program
- \$12,014,672 for the "Made in Minnesota" Solar Energy Production Incentive Account
- $\$ 942,193$ for the Solar Energy Incentive Program

We provide further detail on each of these RDF legislative initiatives below.

## a. Renewable Energy Production Incentives (REPI)

Minn. Stat. §116C.779, Subd. 2. mandates by law that annual REPI payments up to $\$ 10.9$ million must be made available from the RDF account for qualifying projects including up to $\$ 9.4$ million annually for electricity generated by wind energy conversion systems and up to $\$ 1.5$ million annually for on-farm biogas recovery facilities and hydroelectric facilities. Statute authorizes an incentive payment of 1.0 cents per kWh for wind projects through December 31, 2018, biogas projects through December 31, 2015, and hydro projects through December 31, 2021. Xcel Energy is in compliance with the statute since the annual amounts identified below and to be included in the 2016 RDF rate rider for cost recovery are the aggregate incentive payment for qualifying wind, biogas, or hydroelectric facilities as reported or projected by the Minnesota Department of Commerce, who administers this incentive program.

The 2016 RDF tracker account includes payments in the amount of $\$ 1,302,211$ for the state REPI program. This amount is based on a forecast provided by the Minnesota Department of Commerce and includes $\$ 291,891$ for wind, $\$ 556,479$ for hydro, and $\$ 453,841$ for biogas.

## b. Minnesota Bonus Solar Rebate Program

Minn. Stat. §116C.7791, Subd. 5. mandated by law solar rebates, up to $\$ 5.00$ per watt of installed capacity for systems up to 40 kW , to be available for installations that use solar modules either manufactured or assembled in Minnesota. The Statute appropriated $\$ 21$ million from the RDF at the rate of $\$ 2$ million in state fiscal year 2011, $\$ 4$ million in state fiscal year 2012, and $\$ 5$ million per year in state
fiscal years 2013 through 2015. The Minnesota Bonus rebates are paid to each qualifying customer in five consecutive annual installments. The final installments will be paid in 2019. Xcel Energy is in compliance with the statute since the annual amounts identified below and included in the RDF rate rider for cost recovery are the aggregate Minnesota Bonus rebates for qualifying solar facilities as reported or projected by the Company, as the administrator of this rebate program.

There are now four businesses producing solar panels in Minnesota that qualify for the Minnesota Bonus solar rebates: Heliene Solar Energy in St. Paul, Minnesota; itek Energy in Minneapolis, Minnesota; Silicon Energy in Mountain Iron, Minnesota; and tenKsolar in Bloomington, Minnesota. This is a two-fold increase from our last RDF Annual Report, as two more solar panel manufacturers opened up production facilities in Minnesota in the last year.

The Minnesota Bonus Program was offered from 2011-2014. As of March 28, 2014 this program has been fully subscribed to obligate the statutory appropriation of $\$ 21$ million. As directed by statute, this appropriation is to be disbursed in five consecutive annual installments. Since full subscription, no new applicants have been accepted under the tariff. Applications submitted prior to March 28, 2014 have been processed and honored; therefore, current disbursements from the RDF are made through existing contracts.

In this filing, we are proposing to recover $\$ 3,817,458$ in Minnesota Bonus rebate payments forecasted to be made through December 31, 2016. These forecasted payments are legislatively mandated and meet the known and measurable criteria for other RDF energy production projects. Specifically, the forecasted amount includes solar PV installations that have been completed and energized or have received a pre-approval acknowledgment letter.

## c. Made in Minnesota Solar Energy Production Incentive Account

Minn. Stat. §216C.412, Subd. 1 mandates by law the establishment of a "Made in Minnesota" solar energy production incentive account which will be a separate account in the special revenue fund in the state treasury. Minn. Stat. §216C.412, Subd. 2a specifies that for a total of ten years, beginning January 1, 2014 and each January 1 through to 2023, large public electric utilities subject to Minn. Stat. §216B. 241 and required to fund energy Conservation Improvement Programs (CIP) must annually pay to the Commissioner of Commerce five percent of their minimum CIP spending for the year. Funds from the RDF are to be paid to the commissioner in an amount that, when added to the amount paid by the large public electric utilities, totals $\$ 15,000,000$ annually.

For the year 2016, the Company expects to pay $\$ 12,014,672$ to the Commissioner of Commerce, based on the following formula:


Xcel Energy is in compliance with the statute since in an August 1, 2014 Order the Commission extended the 2013-2015 CIP Triennial plans through 2016 (Docket No. E,G002/CIP-12-447). Therefore for the year 2016, the Company expects to pay $\$ 12,014,672$ to the Commissioner of Commerce, based on the same formula used to calculate the 2014 RDF share since the total minimum CIP spending requirements will remain the same through 2016.

In this filing, we are proposing to recover $\$ 12,014,672$ for a payment to the Commissioner of Commerce for deposit into the "Made in Minnesota" Solar Energy Production Incentive Account. This payment is consistent with the 2015 payments and is based upon a total appropriation of $\$ 15,000,000$ of which $\$ 2,985,328$ will be received from electric utilities' CIP funds and the balance of $\$ 12,014,672$ to be appropriated from the RDF.

This amount is calculated from approved 2013 minimum CIP spending requirements as established in the 2014 Commission order extending the 20132015 CIP Triennial plans through 2016 for the affected electric utilities. The total projected minimum CIP spending in 2016 is $\$ 59,574,092$. The 5 percent share from CIP to be deposited in 2015 from affected utilities is $\$ 2,985,328$. The remaining balance that will need to be provided from the RDF is $\$ 12,014,672$. Deposits into the Solar Energy Production Incentive Account are a known payment since the statute requires the payment to be made in 2016.

## d. Solar Energy Incentive Program

Solar Energy Standards established by Minn. Stat. §116C. 7792 directed the Company to establish a solar energy incentive program to be funded in full by RDF funds. The program is to provide solar energy production incentives for solar energy systems of no more than a total nameplate capacity of 20 kW direct current. Statute defined that the program shall be operated for five consecutive calendar years commencing in 2014. For each of the five years, $\$ 5,000,000$ shall
be allocated from the RDF to this program. The production incentive is to be paid over the course of 10 years, commencing with the system operation.

On October 31, 2013 Xcel Energy filed a program proposal (Docket No. E,G002/CIP-13-1015) with the Department of Commerce seeking approval of the Company's Solar*Rewards program to provide solar energy production incentives for qualifying solar energy systems. On March 28, 2014, the Department approved the proposal to launch the new Solar*Rewards program. The Solar*Rewards program launched on August 4, 2014. In compliance with the Order, the Company submitted the first Annual Report for the Solar*Rewards program on June 1, 2015. Xcel Energy is in compliance with the statute since the annual amounts identified below and included in the RDF rate rider for cost recovery are the aggregate Solar*Rewards incentive payments for qualifying solar facilities as reported or projected by the Company, as the administrator of this incentive program.

In this filing, we are proposing to recover $\$ 942,193$ in Solar*Rewards incentive payments forecasted to be made through December 31, 2016. These forecasted payments are legislatively mandated and meet the known and measurable criteria for other RDF energy production projects. Specifically, the forecasted amounts include solar PV installations that have been completed, energized and are producing power.

## 2. RDF Grant Project Payments

Total RDF grant project expenses eligible for recovery in 2016 is $\$ 5,324,625$ which includes $\$ 4,861,996$ for recovery as part of our 2016 known and measurable costs and $\$ 462,629$ for recovery as part of our interchange agreement with the State of Wisconsin. To be included for cost recovery, RDF grant project expenses need to meet the known and measurable criteria established pursuant to the Commission's March 17, 2011 Order. Energy production projects must meet all of the following criteria to be included as known and measurable costs:

- Have an executed RDF grant contract approved by the Commission;
- Have an executed power purchase agreement (PPA) reviewed by the Office of Energy Security (OES); and approved by the Commission;
- Have secured any necessary co-financing for the project;
- Have secured any necessary site lease; and
- Have initiated actual construction activity.

Research and development projects must meet all of the following criteria to be included as known and measurable costs:

- Have an executed RDF grant contract approved by the Commission;
- Have completed at least twelve months of project activity;
- Have completed all milestone requirements in a timely manner during at least the past 12 months consistent with the RDF grant contract;
- The project is currently within budget (plus or minus 10 percent);
- Have no outstanding technical issues that need to be resolved in order to proceed with the project in a timely manner; and
- Have demonstrated project management stability.


## 3. RDF Administrative Expenses

Total RDF base level administrative expenses eligible for recovery in 2016 is $\$ 122,186$, which includes $\$ 109,722$ for recovery as part of our 2016 known and measurable costs and $\$ 12,464$ for recovery as part of our interchange agreement with NSP-Wisconsin. The base level administrative expenses cover an RDF grant administrator, RDF advisory group miscellaneous meeting expenses and Clean Energy States Alliance (CESA) membership dues.

As was the case with previous grant cycles, the Company believes additional administrative resources will be needed as the final Cycle 4 grant contracts are executed and project activity is initiated. Finally, the RDF has utilized outside legal counsel to assist with the due diligence and contract negotiation process for Cycle 4. Although there are no legal costs included for recovery in the 2016 RDF Rider, due to the high number of Cycle 4 contracts the Company may seek recovery of RDF legal expense in future RDF Riders.

## 4. 2015 True-up Expenses

We are including 2015 true-up expenses in the amount of \$4,669,609 for recovery in 2016 (See Figure 1). The 2015 true-up components include: 2014 true-up $(\$ 154,716)$, grant disbursements $(\$ 1,880,787)$, administration costs $(\$ 43,671)$, Legislative Mandate payments $(\$ 20,873,426)$ less a disallowance for AnAerobics $(\$ 811,518)$ and revenue from electric sales $(\$ 17,471,474)$.

Figure 1: Composition of 2015 True-up Expenses


## B. Expenditures Not Submitted for Cost Recovery

This section discusses costs that we have not included in our 2016 cost recovery request. Though many of these costs could be incurred in 2016 and thus may contribute to our under recovery of RDF expenses, we will true up any of these under-recovered costs in our 2017 RDF cost recovery request, in accordance with the Commission's March 17 Order and our previous filings.

There are costs in one category-payments made to our RDF grant projects-for which we have not requested 2016 cost recovery.

1. RDF Grant Project Payments
a. Approved Grant Awards (Cycle 1, Cycle 3, Cycle 4)

There are $\$ 6,956,750$ of project payment costs that do not meet the known and measurable criteria and are therefore not included in our 2016 cost recovery request. These payments have been itemized on Attachment 10 and include no expenses scheduled for payment during the last quarter of 2015 and $\$ 6,956,750$ of expenses that are scheduled for payment during 2016. If these costs are paid in 2016, the potential for under recovery of RDF expenses is increased.

The 2016 RDF forecast does not include anticipated payments for one Cycle 1 RDF energy production project, four Cycle 4 RDF energy production projects, one Cycle 3

RDF research project, and four Cycle 4 RDF research projects. The five energy production projects are:

- Crown Hydro (AH-01)
- Innovative Power Systems (EP4-11)
- Minnesota Renewable Energy Society (EP4-15)
- Minneapolis Park and Recreation Board (EP4-22)
- Bergey Windpower (EP4-22)

The five research projects are:

- $\quad$ Coaltec (RD3-77)
- University of Minnesota - Dairy (RD4-2)
- University of Minnesota - Torrefaction (RD4-11)
- University of Minnesota - Noise (RD4-12)
- University of Minnesota - Virtual Wind Simulator (RD4-13)

The 2016 RDF does not include anticipated payments for eleven Cycle 4 projects ${ }^{4}$ that at the time this filing was prepared, no RDF grant contract had been executed and therefore do not meet the Known and Measureable criteria. It is anticipated that some of these eleven RDF grant contracts for Cycle 4 projects will be executed in 2016 and some grant payments will be disbursed in 2016.

We will request cost recovery for any disbursements made to these RDF projects in our October 1, 2017 RDF rate rider filing. See Attachment 9 for details regarding these forecasted payments to Cycle 1, Cycle 3 and Cycle 4 projects in 2015 and 2016. See Attachment 11 for details regarding forecasted payments to Cycle 4 awards that have not executed grant contracts but may begin project activity in 2016. Included are reserve project awards that may move forward if money becomes available from an impasse that prevents a project from moving forward.

## C. RDF Tracker Account Information

The RDF tracker account is the mechanism used for RDF expenses to be recovered from Minnesota ratepayers. Costs are charged to the account as they are incurred, and the revenue from the current RDF Rate Rider is reflected in the account as it is collected. As noted above, we record the costs included in the tracker account in a

[^2]manner consistent with the cost allocation methods approved by the Commission. RDF activity is assigned to FERC (Federal Energy Regulatory Commission) Account 182.3, Other Regulatory Assets, reflecting both the associated costs and revenues. The monthly revenue collected through the RDF rate adjustment is also recorded in FERC Account 407.3, Regulatory Debits.

Attachments 1 and 2 provide RDF actual expenditures and receipts and the true-up report for calendar years 2014 and 2015, respectively, including actual costs and revenues through August 2015. The true-up for 2016 reflects an under-recovery of $\$ 4,669,609$.

## a. 2016-2017 RDF Expenditures

After adjusting for the 2015 under-recovery of $\$ 4,669,609$, in 2016 we propose to recover RDF expenditures of $\$ 27,678,944$, as detailed on Attachment 3. We also estimate, for informational purposes only, that 2017 RDF expenditures will be $\$ 20,516,208$, as detailed on Attachment 4. This estimate, however, does not include payments that may be made to projects not meeting the known and measurable criteria and Cycle 4 projects that have not yet been approved for funding.

## b. 2016-2017 RDF Rider Factor

In order to recover 2016 RDF expenses, we propose a 2016 RDF Rider Factor of $\$ 0.000902$ per kWh. In accordance with the RDF Rider tariff, we will collect these costs through an adjustment applied to customers' energy usage.

We are also estimating, for informational purposes only, that the 2017 RDF Rider Factor will be $\$ 0.000668$ per kWh. The forecasted 2017 RDF Rider Factor would recover $\$ 20,516,208$. This estimate, however, does not include payments that may be made to projects not meeting the known and measurable criteria for inclusion or potential Cycle 4 disbursements.

## c. Manual Billing Revenue Adjustment

As we described in our May 26, 2015 Reply Comments in Docket No. G002/M-15-194 (State Energy Policy Rider), we discovered in early 2015 that the rider adjustment factors are applied to Interdepartmental Sales through a manual billing process. The Interdepartmental Sales are included in the sales forecast to calculate the RDF adjustment factor, and the RDF adjustment factor has been correctly applied to Interdepartmental billed sales. However, because of the manual nature of the billing process, the revenues collected
under the RDF adjustment factor for Interdepartmental Sales had been inadvertently excluded from total actual revenues reported in the RDF tracker prior to March 2015 when we made the tracker adjustment.

We have made a one-time adjustment of negative $\$ 38,917$ to correct for the underreported revenues in our RDF tracker. The adjustment is shown in Attachment 3. ${ }^{5}$

## d. RDF Off-Setting Revenue

Minn. Stat. §216B.1645, Subd. 2a. specifies that RDF expenses incurred by the Company pursuant to Minn. Stat. $\S 116 \mathrm{C} .779$ shall be recoverable from ratepayers to the extent they are not off-set by Company revenues attributable to RDF contracts, investments or expenditures. To date, we have not received any off-setting revenues. A small number of RDF grantees have entered into PPAs with the Company for energy production projects, but these PPAs are for the sale of project energy to the Company. We have not received any revenue in conjunction with such PPAs. Nevertheless, for the sake of financial transparency, we have included a RDF tracker account line item documenting full statutory compliance.

## e. Administrative Costs

Pursuant to the December 7, 2007 Order, 50 percent of RDF Program administrative costs have been assigned to energy production projects and the remaining 50 percent have been assigned to research and development projects. In the Commission's December 12, 2014 Order approving the 2015 Rate Rider Factor, the Commission:

Required Xcel in its next RDF annual tracker report to provide: (1) the level of the administrative cost allocation to be used in determining Minnesota jurisdictional RDF expenses, and (2) a narrative and documentation in support of the proposed level for the administrative cost allocator.

Due to an increase in the percentage of energy production projects from Cycle 4, the Company considered different methods of assigning administration costs based on the composition of energy production and research and development projects within the RDF grant portfolio. Monthly and annual factors based on

[^3]forecast payments by project type were considered. But as administrative costs are typically incurred in periods prior to grant payments, either method could inaccurately allocate administrative costs. For example, administrative costs incurred in January may relate to an energy production project where a payment is not made until April. Using a monthly allocator could potentially misallocate the administrative costs in that month because the actual grant payment was not made until a future month.

The Company determined the ratio of grant awards by project type to the total Cycle 4 grant awards was the most appropriate allocation method. It allows more of the administrative costs to be allocated to energy production projects, which is a refinement of the $50 / 50$ split used in previous cycles.

For this filing 62.25 percent of RDF Program administrative costs have been assigned to energy production projects and the remaining 37.75 percent have been assigned to research and development projects. A portion of the administrative costs assigned to energy production projects is allocated to NSPWisconsin through the Interchange Agreement. Table 2 below provides the total Cycle 4 Grant Awards by energy production and research and development, and the ratio of each type to the total.

Table 2: Calculation of the Administrative Cost Allocation

|  | Amount | \% of Total |
| ---: | :---: | ---: |
| Total Cycle 4 EP Grants | $\$ 26,559,723$ | $62.25 \%$ |
| Total Cycle 4 R\&D/High Ed Grants | $\$ 16,106,939$ | $37.75 \%$ |
| ycle 4 Grant Awards (not including |  |  |
| Reserve) | $\$ 42,666,662$ | $100.00 \%$ |

The Cycle 4 grant payments used to create the administration cost allocator reflect all Cycle 4 grants awarded, including those approved but not included in the calculation of the 2016 RDF Rate Rider factor. The Cycle 4 grant payments included are listed on Attachment 12 with this petition, excluding those projects listed as "reserve." The Company will review this administration cost allocator with each RDF rate rider petition to ensure reasonableness.

The December 7, 2007 Order also places a cap on administrative expenses of no more than five percent of the RDF "total annual allocation." Further, the February 17, 2012 Order approved a proposal by the Company and supported by the Department of Commerce that clarifies application of the five percent administrative cap. As specified in the February 17, 2012 Order, the Company shall provide an Actual and Forecasted Calculation of administrative costs and
an Actual Only Calculation of such costs. Specifically, the Commission's Order states:

1. Actual and Forecasted Calculation. For informational purposes, the administrative cap percentage will be calculated based on actual and forecasted administrative expenses and grant project disbursements from 2004 to, and including, the second forecasted year in each new annual RDF rate rider filing. Total administrative costs will be divided by total grant project disbursements to compute the overall administrative cap percentage.
2. Actual Only Calculation. For compliance purposes, the same computation as described above will be applied but only for actual administrative expenses and grant project disbursements from 2004 to, and including, the most recent year in which actual costs have been reported.

Attachment 13 demonstrates that our Actual and Forecasted administrative costs as well as our Actual Only Calculation of administrative costs are in compliance with the December 7, 2012 Order and February 17, 2012 Order regarding administrative expenses.

## D. Minnesota State Sales Monthly Allocator

The Minnesota State Sales Monthly Allocator is the ratio of Total NSPM Electric Sales to Total System Electric Sales. Actual monthly sales are used in the calculation of the allocator for January 2014-August 2015, and forecast monthly sales are used for the calculation of the allocator for September 2015-December 2015. The source data used to calculate the allocators is the actual MWh sales data and forecast MWh sales data that are entered into the rider calculation. The 2016 RDF Rider calculation is included as Attachment 3.

The Minnesota State sales allocator used in the 2016 RDF Rider filing is different from the actual Minnesota State sales allocator used in the Company's FCA filings by definition. The RDF allocator is the ratio of Total NSPM Electric Sales (which included the States of Minnesota, North Dakota, and South Dakota) to Total System (which included the States of Minnesota, North Dakota, South Dakota, Wisconsin and Michigan) Electric sales. The FCA allocator is the ratio of State of Minnesota Electric sales to Total System Electric sales, both net of sales to Windsource customers. Windsource customers are exempt from the FCA, and are thus not included in the allocation ratio. Please see Attachment 15 for a comparison of the Minnesota State Sales Allocator for RDF and FCA sales.

Using Total NSPM Electric sales to allocate RDF costs to Minnesota State was supported in the Commission's Final Order on the Company's 2011 RDF Rider filing (Docket No. E002/M-10-1054), and in the Department of Commerce December 15, 2011 Comments on the Company's 2012 RDF Rider filing (Docket No. E002/M-111007).

## E. Fund Liability and Unencumbered Balance

In the Commission's December 21, 2012 Order in Docket E002/M-12-1062, the Commission ordered the Company to provide "an updated version of Table A as required by Point 8.A of the March 17, 2011 Order in Docket No. E002/M-10-1054." The required information is provided in Table 3 below. As of December 31, 2014 Xcel Energy has incurred a total liability of $\$ 250,500,000$ for funding the RDF. A total of $\$ 204,203,487$ of aggregated payments has been approved and obligated. This includes payments for both legislative mandated programs and RDF grant awards approved by the MPUC for Cycles 1, 2, 3 and 4. Xcel Energy has recovered a total of $\$ 204,495,713$ from electric ratepayers for RDF expenses. As of December 31, 2014 the RDF had an unencumbered cumulative balance of $\$ 8,572,739$.

Table 3: RDF Liabilities and Obligations

| RDF Program Summary |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Category | Amount as of December 31, 2014 |
| The total liability the Company has incurred under <br> Minn. Stat. $\$ 116.799$ | $\$ 250,500,000$ |
| The Company's aggregate payments for approved <br> renewable development projects and legislative <br> mandates | $\$ 204,203,487$ |
| The total amount recovered through the fuel clause <br> adjustment mechanism and RDF rate rider factor for <br> RDF costs | $\$ 12,202,440$ (Fuel Clause) <br> $\$ 192,293,273$ (RDF Rate Rider) |
| The unencumbered cumulative balance remaining in <br> the fund | $(\$ 3,504,059)$ |

## F. Historic Trends

The end of 2015 provides more than a full ten years of RDF activity and the opportunity to observe trends in RDF funded initiatives and impact upon ratepayers. Through 2010, expenses for project specific grant awards compromised a significant share of annual costs, as demonstrated by Figure 2. Since the grant payments were based on milestones that could vary in amounts paid and the timing of the payment, these types of expenses introduced uncertainty and variability into the forecasting of annual recovery.

Starting in 2012 there has been a shift towards more RDF funding going toward legislatively mandated initiatives as described on pages $6-9$ of this petition. As renewable energy production incentives for wind, biogas, and hydro begin to decline, programs for solar incentive payments will begin to emerge. Since 2014, a significant portion of this has been the $\$ 12$ million payment from RDF to the "Made in Minnesota" Solar Energy Production Incentive Account.

Figure 2: Annual RDF Expenditures


## G. Solar Energy Standard - Exemptions

During the 2013 legislative session, the statute establishing Minnesota's Renewable Energy Standard (RES) was amended to add a Solar Energy Standard (SES). The new legislation required that each public utility file a report with the Commission reporting its progress in achieving the solar energy standard. The Commission clarified content requirements of utilities' annual reports demonstrating compliance with the Solar Energy Standard (SES) in its April 25, 2014, Order in Docket No. E999/CI-13-542 and its October 23, 2014, Order in Docket No. E999/M-14-321. The legislation provides that commercial and industrial customers whose line of business involves either mining or forest products may elect to not incur certain incremental solarrelated costs.

The RDF program includes the costs of several such solar-related programs: the Solar*Rewards program, the Made In Minnesota program, the Solar*Rewards Community program and several individual solar projects funded with Energy Production grants. In a separate filing, the Company will propose the portion of RDF
and portions of other expenditures (such as the fuel clause) that include solar-related costs. Then it will suggest what portion of each such cost should be eligible for exemption. The Company does not anticipate that those efforts will alter the value of the 2016 RDF recovery factor approved in this docket for non-exempt customers. Rather, the Order from that SES filing would likely include a revised RDF tariff page that would be expanded to include a second RDF price, for eligible customers who elect to take the exemption. Currently, no potentially exempt customer has made this election. But if such elections are made in the future, the Company would propose to recover any resulting RDF recovery decrease as part of subsequent RDF calculations.

## H. Customer Notifications and Billing

The RDF rate rider factor is not shown as a separate calculation on customers' bills, but is contained within the Resource Adjustment line on the customers' bill. We propose the following notice on customers' bills issued on the first month the new RDF rate adjustment takes effect.

> Renewable energy development costs are included as part of the Resource Adjustment line on your bill. Beginning this month, the renewable energy development costs have increased from $\$ 0.000563$ per kWh to $\$ 0.000902$ per kWh . Visit www.xcelenergy.com/rdf to find more on Xcel Energy's renewable energy development programs.

This proposed notice language is identical to that used to communicate prior year RDF rate rider factors. We will work with the Commission's Consumer Affairs Office to finalize the wording of the customer notice.

## VI. EFFECT OF CHANGE UPON XCEL ENERGY REVENUE

We expect the updated RDF rate adjustment to increase Xcel Energy's 2016 revenues by $\$ 10,207,470$ compared to 2015 . This assumes 2015 revenues will total $\$ 17,471,474$ and 2016 revenues will total $\$ 27,678,944$. These revenues will be offset by the costs identified in this petition resulting in no net change to Company revenues. Any overrecovery or under-recovery of 2016 actual costs will be identified in the RDF tracker account and reflected in the 2017 RDF rate adjustment.

## Conclusion

We respectfully request approval from the Commission to change the RDF rate rider factor from $\$ 0.000563$ per kWh to $\$ 0.000902$ per kWh effective January 1, 2016. We will continue to apply the RDF rate rider factor to all Minnesota customers who are
subject to service under the Fuel Clause rider and who take service under the Windsource Program rider. Finally, pursuant to the Commission's June 11 and March 17 Orders, we request Commission approval of the RDF compliance report submitted with this filing as Attachments 5-9.
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Northern States Power Company

State of Minnesota
BEFORE THE
Minnesota Public Utilities Commission

| Beverly Jones Heydinger | Chair |
| :--- | ---: |
| Nancy Lange | Commissioner |
| Dan Lipschultz | Commissioner |
| John Tuma | Commissioner |
| Betsy Wergin | Commissioner |

In the Matter of the Petition of
Docket No. E002/M-15-730
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for Approval of the 2015 Renewable
Petition
Development Fund Annual Report, Tracker Account True-up, and 2016
Rate Rider Factor

## Summary of Filing

Please take notice that on September 30, 2015 Northern States Power Company, doing business as Xcel Energy, filed with the Minnesota Public Utilities Commission a Petition for approval to change the Renewable Development Fund (RDF) rate rider factor from $\$ 0.000563$ per kWh to $\$ 0.000902$ per kWh effective January 1, 2016. This rate change is necessary to recover $\$ 27,678,944$ from Minnesota electric retail customers in 2016. In addition, the Company submitted its report of activity in the RDF tracker account, true-up of forecasted RDF expenses versus actual expenses, and compliance filing.

| Attachment | Description |
| :--- | :--- |
| Attachment 1 | 2014 RDF Rider Calculation |
| Attachment 2 | 2015 RDF Rider Calculation |
| Attachment 3 | 2016 RDF Rider Calculation |
| Attachment 4 | 2017 RDF Rider Calculation |
| Attachment 5 | Annual Compliance Report-Forecast |
| Attachment 6 | Annual Compliance Report-Cycle 1 Projects <br> Actuals through August 2014, Forecast through December 2017 |
| Attachment 7 | Annual Compliance Report-Cycle 2 Projects <br> Actuals through August 2014, Forecast through December 2016 |
| Attachment 8 | Annual Compliance Report-Cycle 3 Projects <br> Actuals through August 2014, Forecast through December 2018 |
| Attachment 9 | Annual Compliance Report-Cycle 4 Projects <br> Actuals through August 2014, Forecast through December 2018 |
| Attachment 10 | RDF Previous Cycle Projects Not Included in 2015-2016 Forecast |
| Attachment 11 | Cycle 4 Detail Regarding Contract Development |
| Attachment 12 | Listing and Status of RDF Projects |
| Attachment 13 | RDF Administrative Costs |
| Attachment 14 | Report of Fund Liability and Unencumbered Balance |
| Attachment 15 | Comparison of MN State Sales Allocator Based on RDF and FCA <br> Sales |
| Attachment 16 | Comparison of Actual RDF Rate Rider Revenues to Revenues <br> Calculated using FCA Sales |
| Attachment 17 | Footnotes for All Schedules |
| Attachment 18 | Proposed Tariff Sheet |

State of Minnesota Recovery

|  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Actual } \\ \text { Jan } 2014 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | ActualFeb 2014 |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Actual } \\ \text { Mar } 2014 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Actual } \\ \text { Apr } 2014 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Actual } \\ \text { May } 2014 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Actual } \\ \text { Jun } 2014 \end{array}$ |  | ActualJul 2014 |  | ActualAug 2014 |  | Actual <br> Sep 2014 |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Actual } \\ \text { Oct } 2014 \end{array}$ |  | ActualNov 2014 |  | Actual <br> Dec 2014 |  | Total 2014 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Category A Projects - EP | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ | 114,725 | \$ |  | \$ | 477,630 | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ | 592,355 |
| Category B Projects - R\&D | \$ | 88,488 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ |  | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 88,488 |
| Other Legis Mandates | \$ | 12,152,108 | \$ | 176,574 | \$ | 337,992 | \$ | 325,837 | \$ | 120,480 | \$ | 130,800 | \$ | 296,303 | \$ | 340,591 | \$ | 489,051 | \$ | 498,066 | \$ | 199,141 | \$ | 696,190 | \$ | 15,763,134 |
| REPI | \$ | 553,133 | \$ | 391,974 | \$ | 537,705 | \$ | 379,448 | \$ | 1,691,816 | \$ | 302,551 | \$ | 235,708 | \$ | 239,917 | \$ | 195,279 | \$ | 252,777 | \$ | 384,935 | \$ | 531,692 | \$ | 5,696,934 |
| Total Project Costs | \$ | 12,793,729 | \$ | 568,549 | \$ | 990,422 | \$ | 705,285 | \$ | 2,289,927 | \$ | 433,350 | \$ | 532,011 | \$ | 580,509 | \$ | 684,330 | \$ | 750,843 | \$ | 584,076 | \$ | 1,227,882 | \$ | 22,140,911 |
| Total Admin Costs | \$ | 1,207 | \$ | 172 | \$ | 262 | \$ | 6,351 | \$ | 460 | \$ | - | \$ | 45 | \$ | 12,800 | \$ | 127 | \$ | 1,313 | \$ | 839 | \$ | 1,205 | \$ | 24,781 |
| Total RDF Expense | \$ | 12,794,936 | \$ | 568,720 | \$ | 990,683 | \$ | 711,635 | \$ | 2,290,387 | \$ | 433,350 | \$ | 532,056 | \$ | 593,309 | \$ | 684,458 | \$ | 752,155 | \$ | 584,915 | \$ | 1,229,087 | \$ | $\underline{22,165,691}$ |
| MN State Sales Allocator |  | 83.3487\% |  | 83.4152\% |  | 83.3455\% |  | 83.4666\% |  | 84.0608\% |  | 84.2277\% |  | 85.0097\% |  | 84.8147\% |  | 84.2654\% |  | 83.7423\% |  | 83.4373\% |  | 83.1154\% |  |  |
| MN Administrative Cost Allocator |  | 91.6744\% |  | 91.7076\% |  | 91.6728\% |  | 91.7333\% |  | 92.0304\% |  | 92.1139\% |  | 92.5049\% |  | 92.4074\% |  | 92.1327\% |  | 91.8712\% |  | 91.7187\% |  | 91.5577\% |  |  |



Total 2014 RDF Expense Recovery

|  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Actual } \\ \text { Jan } 2014 \end{array}$ | Actual <br> Feb 2014 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Actual } \\ \text { Mar } 2014 \end{array}$ | Actual Apr 2014 | Actual May 2014 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Actual } \\ \text { Jun } 2014 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}\text { Actual } \\ \text { and } \\ \hline\end{array}$ | Actual Aug 2014 | Actual <br> Sep 2014 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Actual } \\ \text { Oct } 2014 \end{array}$ | Actual <br> Nov 2014 | Actual <br> Dec 2014 | Total 2014 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2014 MN Calendar Month kWh | 2,681,717,852 | 2,422,464,903 | 2,561,696,044 | 2,284,046,511 | 2,390,366,471 | 2,627,851,143 | 2,824,616,037 | 2,980,765,743 | 2,516,896,788 | 2,442,025,419 | 2,442,353,676 | 2,577,852,805 | 30,752,653,391 |



## RENEWABLE DEVELOPMENT FUND

State of Minnesota Recovery

## 2015 RDF Expenses

Category A Projects - EP Category B Projects - R\&D Other Legis Mandates
REPI
REPI
Total Project Costs

|  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Actual } \\ \text { Jan } 2015 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | Actual <br> Feb 2015 |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Actual } \\ \text { Mar } 2015 \end{array}$ |  | Actual <br> Apr 2015 |  | Actual May 2015 |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Actual } \\ \text { Jun } 2015 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | Actual Jul 2015 |  | Actual Aug 2015 |  | Forecast Sep 2015 |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Forecast } \\ \text { Oct } 2015 \end{array}$ |  | Forecast <br> Nov 2015 |  | Forecast <br> Dec 2015 |  | Total 2015 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$ | - | \$ |  | \$ | 172,213 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ |  | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ |  | \$ |  |  | 172,213 |
| \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 74,629 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 787,903 | \$ | $(124,981)$ | \$ | - | \$ | 1,000,000 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ |  |  | 1,737,551 |
| \$ | 12,121,893 | \$ | 106,110 | \$ | 180,721 | \$ | 94,704 | \$ | 645,262 | \$ | 80,941 | \$ | 430,840 | \$ | 665,803 | \$ | 388,950 | \$ | 499,571 | \$ | 412,666 | \$ | 697,386 |  | 16,324,846 |
| \$ | 257,535 | \$ | 446,771 | \$ | 263,009 | \$ | 288,052 | \$ | 1,648,576 | \$ | 325,089 | \$ | 163,199 | \$ | 205,174 | \$ | 237,793 | \$ | 237,793 | \$ | 237,793 | \$ | 237,793 |  | 4,548,580 |
| \$ | 12,379,429 | \$ | 552,881 | \$ | 690,572 | \$ | 382,756 | \$ | 2,293,838 | \$ | 1,193,933 | \$ | 469,058 | \$ | 870,977 | \$ | 1,626,743 | \$ | 737,364 | \$ | 650,459 | \$ | 935,179 |  | 22,783,190 |
| \$ | 7 | \$ | 560 | \$ |  | \$ | 11 | \$ |  | \$ | 65 | \$ | 125 | \$ | 12,860 | \$ | 8,661 | \$ | 8,661 | \$ | 8,661 | \$ | 8,661 |  | 48,272 |
| \$ | 12,379,435 | \$ | 553,440 | \$ | 690,572 | \$ | 382,767 | \$ | 2,293,838 | \$ | 1,193,998 | \$ | 469,183 | \$ | 883,837 | \$ | 1,635,404 | \$ | 746,025 | \$ | 659,120 | \$ | 943,840 |  | $\xrightarrow{22,831,462}$ |
|  | 83.1724\% <br> 91.5862\% |  | 83.1051\% $91.5526 \%$ |  | 83.1743\% $91.5872 \%$ |  | $83.6704 \%$ $91.8352 \%$ |  | 83.7227\% |  | $84.6968 \%$ $92.3484 \%$ |  | $84.9460 \%$ $92.4730 \%$ |  | $84.4899 \%$ $92.2450 \%$ |  | $84.0940 \%$ $90.0986 \%$ |  | $83.7295 \%$ $89.8717 \%$ |  | 83.5364\% |  | 82.9697\% |  |  |


| 2015 RDF Rider Recovery |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Category A Projects | \$ |  | \$ | - | \$ | 143,237 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 787,93- | \$ | - | \$ |  | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ | 143,237 |
| Category B Projects | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 74,629 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 787,903 | \$ | $(124,981)$ | \$ | - | \$ | 1,000,000 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 1,737,551 |
| Other Legis Mandates | \$ | 12,121,893 | \$ | 106,110 | \$ | 180,721 | \$ | 94,704 | \$ | 645,262 | \$ | 80,941 | \$ | 430,840 | \$ | 665,803 | \$ | 388,950 | \$ | 499,571 | \$ | 412,666 | \$ | 697,386 | \$ | 16,324,846 |
| REPI | \$ | 257,535 | \$ | 446,771 | \$ | 263,009 | \$ | 288,052 | \$ | 1,648,576 | \$ | 325,089 | \$ | 163,199 | \$ | 205,174 | \$ | 237,793 | \$ | 237,793 | \$ | 237,793 | \$ | 237,793 | \$ | 4,548,580 |
| Administrative Costs (1) | \$ | 6 | \$ | 512 | \$ | - | \$ | 10 | \$ | - | \$ | 60 | \$ | 116 | \$ | 11,863 | \$ | 7,803 | \$ | 7,784 | \$ | 7,773 | \$ | 7,743 | \$ | 43,671 |
| AnAerobic Disallowance | \$ | (67,627) | \$ | (67,627) | \$ | (67,627) | \$ | (67,627) | \$ | (67,627) | \$ | (67,627) | \$ | (67,627) | \$ | (67,627) | \$ | (67,627) | \$ | $(67,627)$ | \$ | (67,627) | \$ | (67,627) | \$ | (811,518) |
| Expense Recovery | \$ | 12,311,808 | \$ | 485,766 | \$ | 593,970 | \$ | 315,140 | \$ | 2,226,212 | \$ | 1,126,367 | \$ | 401,547 | \$ | 815,213 | \$ | 1,566,920 | \$ | 677,521 | \$ | 590,606 | \$ | 875,295 | \$ | 21,986,367 |

Total 2015 RDF Expense Recovery
2014 True-up Amount to be Included in the Calculation of the 2015 RDF Rider
Total Amount to be Recovered Through the 2015 Rider

| $\$$ | $21,986,367$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| $\$$ | 154,716 |
| $\$$ | $22,141,082$ |


|  |  | Actual <br> Feb 2015 | Actual Mar 2015 | Actual Apr 2015 | Actual <br> May 2015 | Actual <br> Jun 2015 | Actual <br> Jul 2015 | Actual <br> Aug 2015 | Forecast <br> Sep 2015 | Forecast Oct 2015 | Forecast <br> Nov 2015 | Forecast <br> Dec 2015 | Total 2015 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2014 MN Calendar Month kWh Sales | 2,616,082,142 | 2,345,601,418 | 2,466,540,831 | 2,248,153,260 | 2,348,012,425 | 2,576,393,365 | 2,920,991,763 | 2,858,348,017 | 2,567,760,770 | 2,461,525,077 | 2,408,450,518 | 2,579,658,100 | 30,397,517,688 |

2015 Minnesota RDF Rider Factor (approved 12/12/2014)

|  |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Actual } \\ \text { Jan } 2015 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Actual } \\ \text { Feb } 2015 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Actual } \\ \text { Mar } 2015 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Actual } \\ \text { Apr } 2015 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Actual } \\ \text { May } 2015 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Actual } \\ \text { Jun } 2015 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Actual } \\ \text { Jul } 2015 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Actual } \\ \text { Aug } 2015 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Forecast } \\ & \text { Sep } 2015 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Forecast } \\ \text { Oct } 2015 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Forecast } \\ \text { Nov } 2015 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Forecast } \\ \text { Dec } 2015 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  | Total 2015 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Previous Year True-Up | \$ | 154,716 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 154,716 |
| Actual Expenses | \$ | 12,311,808 | \$ | 485,766 | \$ | 593,970 | \$ | 315,140 | \$ | 2,226,212 | \$ | 1,126,367 | \$ | 401,547 | \$ | 815,213 | \$ | 1,566,920 | \$ | 677,521 | \$ | 590,606 | \$ | 875,295 |  | \$ | 21,986,367 |
| Actual Retail Rider Revenues | \$ | 1,864,879 | \$ | 1,331,673 | \$ | 1,479,734 | \$ | 1,292,365 | \$ | 1,204,566 | \$ | 1,409,623 | \$ | 1,612,901 | \$ | 1,639,539 | \$ | 1,444,727 | \$ | 1,384,954 | \$ | 1,355,092 |  | 1,451,421 |  | \$ | 17,471,474 |
| Grant Project Revenue |  |  | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |  | - |  | \$ |  |
| Balance | \$ | 10,601,645 | \$ | $(845,907)$ | \$ | (885,765) | \$ | (977,225) | \$ | 1,021,645 | \$ | $(283,256)$ | \$ | $(1,211,354)$ | \$ | $(824,326)$ | \$ | 122,194 | \$ | $(707,433)$ | \$ | $(764,486)$ |  | $(576,125)$ |  | \$ | 4,669,609 |

See Attachment 17 for an explanation of all footnotes.

| 2016 RDF Expenses | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Forcast } \\ & \text { Jan } 2016 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | Forecast |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Forecast } \\ \text { Mar } 2016 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Forecast Apr 2016 |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Forecast } \\ \text { May } 2016 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Forecast } \\ \text { Jun } 2016 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | ForecastJul 2016 |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Forecast } \\ \text { Aug } 2016 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Forecast } \\ \text { Sep } 2016 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Forecast } \\ \text { Oct } 2016 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Forecast } \\ \text { Nov } 2016 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Forecast } \\ \text { Dec } 2016 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | Total 2016 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Category A Projects - EP | \$ |  | \$ | 466,811 | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ | 58,351 | \$ |  | \$ | 1,461,320 | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ | 900,000 | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ | 2,886,482 |
| Category B Projects - R\&D | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ |  | \$ | - | \$ |  | \$ | 1,438,143 | \$ | 1,000,000 | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ | 2,438,143 |
| Other Legis Mandates | \$ | 123,293 | \$ | 12,136,854 | \$ | 835,946 | \$ | 110,776 | \$ | 661,334 | \$ | 97,013 | \$ | 446,912 | \$ | 653,530 | \$ | 405,022 | \$ | 546,087 | \$ | 287,959 | \$ | 469,597 | \$ | 16,774,323 |
| REPI | s | 108,518 | \$ | 108,518 | \$ | 108,518 | \$ | 108,518 | \$ | 108,518 | \$ | 108,518 | \$ | 108,518 | \$ | 108,518 | \$ | 108,518 | S | 108,518 | \$ | 108,518 | \$ | 108,518 | S | 1,302,211 |
| Total Project Costs | \$ | 231,811 | \$ | 12,712,183 | \$ | 944,464 | \$ | 219,294 | \$ | 828,203 | \$ | 205,531 | \$ | 2,016,750 | \$ | 2,200,191 | \$ | 1,513,540 | s | 1,554,605 | \$ | 396,477 | \$ | 578,115 | \$ | 23,401,159 |
| Total Admin Costs | \$ | 8,661 | \$ | 8,661 | \$ | 8,661 | \$ | 9,267 | \$ | 9,267 | \$ | 9,267 | \$ | 22,067 | \$ | 9,267 | \$ | 9,267 | \$ | 9,267 | \$ | 9,267 | \$ | 9,267 | \$ | 122,186 |
| $\underline{\text { Total RDF Expense }}$ | \$ | 240,472 | \$ | 12,720,844 | \$ | 953,125 | \$ | 228,561 | \$ | 837,470 | \$ | 214,798 | \$ | 2,038,817 | \$ | 2,209,458 | s | 1,522,807 | \$ | 1,563,872 | s | 405,744 | \$ | 587,382 | \$ | 23,523,345 |
| MN State Sales Allocator |  | 83.1789\% |  | 83.0680\% |  | 83.0003\% |  | 82.9901\% |  | 83.7165\% |  | 83.8816\% |  | 84.5235\% |  | 84.1590\% |  | 83.9277\% |  | 83.5638\% |  | 83.2310\% |  | 82.7468\% |  |  |
| MN Administrative Cost Allocator |  | 89.5290\% |  | 89.4599\% |  | 89.4178\% |  | 89.4114\% |  | 89.8636\% |  | 89.9664\% |  | 90.3660\% |  | 90.1391\% |  | 89.9951\% |  | 89.7686\% |  | 89.5614\% |  | 89.2600\% |  |  |
| 2016 RDF Rider Recovery |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Category A Projects | \$ |  | \$ | 387,771 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 48,849 | \$ | - | \$ | 1,235,159 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 752,074 | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ | 2,423,853 |
| Category B Projects | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ | 1,438,143 | \$ | 1,000,000 | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ | 2,438,143 |
| Other Legis Mandates | \$ | 123,293 | \$ | 12,136,854 | \$ | 835,946 | \$ | 110,776 | \$ | 661,334 | \$ | 97,013 | \$ | 446,912 | \$ | 653,530 | \$ | 405,022 | \$ | 546,087 | \$ | 287,959 | \$ | 469,597 | \$ | 16,774,323 |
| REPI | \$ | 108,518 | \$ | 108,518 | \$ | 108,518 | \$ | 108,518 | \$ | 108,518 | \$ | 108,518 | \$ | 108,518 | \$ | 108,518 | \$ | 108,518 | \$ | 108,518 | \$ | 108,518 | \$ | 108,518 | \$ | 1,302,211 |
| Administrative Costs (1) | \$ | 7,754 | \$ | 7,748 | \$ | 7,744 | \$ | 8,286 | \$ | 8,328 | \$ | 8,337 | \$ | 19,941 | \$ | 8,353 | \$ | 8,340 | \$ | 8,319 | \$ | 8,300 | \$ | 8,272 | \$ | 109,722 |
| Interdepartmental Revenue Refund | 5 | $(3,243)$ | \$ | $(3,243)$ | \$ | $(3,243)$ | s | $(3,243)$ | \$ | $(3,243)$ | \$ | $(3,243)$ | s | $(3,243)$ | \$ | $(3,243)$ | \$ | $(3,243)$ | S | $(3,243)$ | \$ | $(3,243)$ | \$ | $(3,243)$ | \$ | (38,917) |
| Expense Recovery | \$ | 236,322 | \$ | 12,637,647 | \$ | 948,965 | \$ | 224,336 | \$ | 823,786 | \$ | 210,625 | \$ | 1,807,286 | \$ | 2,205,301 | \$ | 1,518,636 | \$ | 1,411,755 | \$ | 401,533 | \$ | 583,143 | \$ | 23,009,335 |

Total 2016 RDF Expense Recovery
2015 True-up Amount to be Included in the Calculation of the 2016 RDF Rider

## 2016 RDF Rider Calculation

2016 MN Calendar Month kWh Sales

| Forecast Jan 2016 | Forecast Feb 2016 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Forecast } \\ \text { Mar } 2016 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Forecast } \\ \text { Apr } 2016 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Forecast } \\ \text { May } 2016 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Forecast Jun 2016 | Forecast Jul 2016 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Forecast } \\ \text { Aug } 2016 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Forecast Sep 2016 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Forecast } \\ & \text { Oct } 2016 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Forecast } \\ \text { Nov } 2016 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Forec |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2,645,179,090 | 2,396,270,957 | 2,512,543,867 | 2,261,707,898 | 2,358,752,734 | 2,624,338,941 | 2,971,713,921 | 2,901,884,300 | 2,567,481,335 | 2,467,872,237 | 2,407,606,280 | 2,574,634, |

2016 Minnesota RDF Rider Factor
\$ 0.000902


See Attachment 17 for an explanation of all footnote

2017 RDF Expenses

|  |  | Forecast <br> Jan 2017 |  | Forecast <br> Feb 2017 |  | Forecast Mar 2017 |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Forecast } \\ \text { Apr } 2017 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | Forecast May 2017 |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Forecast } \\ \text { Jun } 2017 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | Forecas <br> Jul 2017 |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Forecast } \\ \text { Aug } 2017 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Forecast } \\ \text { Sep } 2017 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Forecast } \\ \text { Oct } 2017 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | Forecast Nov 2017 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Forecast } \\ & \text { Dec } 2017 \end{aligned}$ |  | Total 2017 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Category A Projects - EP | \$ |  | \$ | 2,000,000 | \$ | 55,575 | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ | 55,575 | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ | 2,111,150 |
| Category B Projects - R\&D | \$ | - | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 719,072 | \$ | 1,000,000 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 1,719,072 |
| Other Legis Mandates | \$ | 73,141 | \$ | 12,087,650 | \$ | 1,147,640 | \$ | 60,564 | \$ | 595,145 | \$ | 47,549 | \$ | 360,557 | \$ | 548,569 | \$ | 198,282 | \$ | 369,363 | \$ | 272,814 | \$ | 157,410 | \$ | 15,918,684 |
| REPI | s | 84,193 | \$ | 84,193 | \$ | 84,193 | s | 84,193 | \$ | 84,193 | \$ | 84,193 | s | 84,193 | \$ | 84,193 | \$ | 84,193 | s | 84,193 | \$ | 84,193 | \$ | 84,193 | S | 1,010,320 |
| Total Project Costs | \$ | 157,334 | \$ | 14,171,843 | \$ | 1,287,408 | \$ | 144,757 | \$ | 679,338 | \$ | 131,742 | \$ | 1,163,822 | \$ | 1,688,337 | \$ | 282,475 | \$ | 453,556 | \$ | 357,007 | \$ | 241,603 | \$ | 20,759,226 |
| Total Admin Costs | \$ | 9,267 | \$ | 9,267 | \$ | 9,267 | \$ | 9,267 | \$ | 9,916 | \$ | 22,716 | \$ | 9,916 | \$ | 9,916 | \$ | 9,916 | \$ | 9,916 | \$ | 9,916 | \$ | 9,916 | \$ | 129,196 |
| Total RDF Expense | \$ | 166,601 | \$ | 14,181,110 | \$ | 1,296,675 | \$ | 154,024 | \$ | 689,254 | \$ | 154,458 | \$ | 1,173,738 | \$ | 1,698,253 | \$ | 292,391 | \$ | 463,472 | s | 366,923 | \$ | 251,519 | \$ | 20,888,422 |
| MN State Sales Allocator |  | 83.1091\% |  | 82.9739\% |  | 82.8991\% |  | 83.0335\% |  | 83.3144\% |  | 83.7304\% |  | 84.4013\% |  | 84.0559\% |  | 83.8379\% |  | 83.4406\% |  | 83.1433\% |  | 82.6995\% |  |  |
| MN Administrative Cost Allocator |  | 89.4855\% |  | 89.4014\% |  | 89.3548\% |  | 89.4385\% |  | 89.6133\% |  | 89.8723\% |  | 90.2899\% |  | 90.0749\% |  | 89.9392\% |  | 89.6919\% |  | 89.5068\% |  | 89.2305\% |  |  |
| $\underline{2017 ~ R D F ~ R i d e r ~ R e c o v e r y ~}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Category A Projects | \$ | - | \$ | 1,659,478 | \$ | 46,071 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 46,714 | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ | 1,752,263 |
| Category B Projects | \$ | - | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 719,072 | \$ | 1,000,000 | \$ | - | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ | 1,719,072 |
| Other Legis Mandates | \$ | 73,141 | \$ | 12,087,650 | \$ | 1,147,640 | \$ | 60,564 | \$ | 595,145 | \$ | 47,549 | \$ | 360,557 | \$ | 548,569 | \$ | 198,282 | \$ | 369,363 | \$ | 272,814 | \$ | 157,410 | \$ | 15,918,684 |
| REPI | \$ | 84,193 | \$ | 84,193 | \$ | 84,193 | \$ | 84,193 | \$ | 84,193 | \$ | 84,193 | \$ | 84,193 | \$ | 84,193 | \$ | 84,193 | \$ | 84,193 | \$ | 84,193 | \$ | 84,193 | s | 1,010,320 |
| Administrative Costs (1) | \$ | 8,293 | \$ | 8,285 | \$ | 8,281 | \$ | 8,288 | \$ | 8,886 | \$ | 20,415 | \$ | 8,953 | \$ | 8,932 | \$ | 8,918 | \$ | 8,894 | \$ | 8,875 | s | 8,848 | \$ | 115,868 |
| Expense Recovery | \$ | 165,627 | \$ | 13,839,606 | \$ | 1,286,185 | \$ | 153,046 | \$ | 688,224 | \$ | 152,158 | \$ | 1,172,775 | \$ | 1,688,408 | \$ | 291,394 | \$ | 462,450 | \$ | 365,883 | \$ | 250,451 | \$ | 20,516,208 |

Total 2017 RDF Expense Recovery
2016 True-up Amount to be Included in the Calculation of the 2017 RDF Ride Total Amount to be Recovered Through the 2017 Rider

| $\$$ | $20,516,208$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| $\$$ | - |
| $\$$ | $20,516,208$ |


|  | Forecas <br> Jan 2017 | Forecast <br> Feb 2017 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Forecast } \\ \text { Mar } 2017 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Forecast Apr 2017 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Forecast } \\ \text { May } 2017 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Forecast <br> Jun 2017 | Forecast Jul 2017 | Forecast Aug 2017 | Forecast Sep 2017 | Forecast Oct 2017 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Forecast } \\ \text { Nov } 2017 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Forecast <br> Dec 2017 | Total 2016 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2017 MN Calendar Month kWh Sales | 2,657,525,306 | 2,337,384,929 | 2,509,520,862 | 2,271,228,096 | 2,371,523,352 | 2,625,737,431 | 2,974,911,258 | 2,903,857,067 | 2,567,083,240 | 2,476,295,262 | 2,418,109,799 | 2,582,772,614 | 30,695,949,217 |

2017 Minnesota RDF Rider Factor
$\$ \quad 0.000668$

|  |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Forecast } \\ \text { Jan } 2017 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Forecast } \\ \text { Feb } 2017 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Forecast } \\ \text { Mar } 2017 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Forecast } \\ \text { Apr } 2017 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Forecast } \\ \text { May } 2017 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Forecast } \\ \text { Jun } 2017 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | Forecast Jul 2017 |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Forecast } \\ \text { Aug } 2017 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Forecast } \\ \text { Sep } 2017 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Forecast } \\ \text { Oct } 2017 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Forecast } \\ \text { Nov } 2017 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Forecast } \\ \text { Dec } 2017 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | Total 2017 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Previous Year True-Up | \$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ |  |
| Actual Expenses | \$ | 165,627 | \$ | 13,839,606 | \$ | 1,286,185 | \$ | 153,046 | \$ | 688,224 | \$ | 152,158 | \$ | 1,172,775 | \$ | 1,688,408 | \$ | 291,394 | \$ | 462,450 | \$ | 365,883 | \$ | 250,451 | \$ | 20,516,208 |
| Actual Retail Rider Revenues | \$ | 1,776,206 | \$ | 1,562,235 | \$ | 1,677,285 | \$ | 1,518,017 | \$ | 1,585,052 | \$ | 1,754,960 | \$ | 1,988,337 | \$ | 1,940,847 | \$ | 1,715,758 | \$ | 1,655,078 | \$ | 1,616,189 | \$ | 1,726,244 | \$ | 20,516,208 |
| Grant Project Revenue |  |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  |
| Balance | \$ | $(1,610,579)$ | \$ | 12,277,372 | \$ | $(391,100)$ | \$ | $(1,364,972)$ | \$ | $(896,827)$ | \$ | $(1,602,803)$ | \$ | $(815,562)$ | \$ | $(252,439)$ | \$ | $(1,424,364)$ | \$ | $(1,192,628)$ | \$ | $(1,250,306)$ | \$ | $(1,475,793)$ | \$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2017 RDF Rider True-Up |  |  |  |  |  |  |



Cycle 1 Projects
Category A


| - | , |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ment 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Forec | Futur |  |  |  |
| Work Order | Contract Number | Project Status | Contract Awardee | Project | Amount Awarded | $\begin{gathered} \text { Amount } \\ \text { Dispersed } \\ \text { through August } \\ 2015 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | September to December 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | Total <br> Disbursmen <br> (Actual + <br> Forecast) | Difference Between Award and Forecast |

Other Legislative Mandates

| 10349848 | U of M (2) | Complete | U of M, IREE | Hydrogen Research |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 10736059 | Excelsior (4) | Complete | Excelsior Energy | Indovative Energy Project |
| 10707553 | AURI (5) | Complete | AURI | BioFuel Projects |
| 1061105 | PCA REPI Pay (6) | Complete | PCA REP Payment | PCA REPI Payment |
| 11000275 | Next Gen Incent (7) | Complete | Next Gen Incentives | Next Gen Incentives |
| 11255981 | DER Program Support (9) | Complete | DER Program Support | DER Program Support |
| 11262958 | IREE Program Support (8) | Compete | REE Program Support | IREE Program Support |
| 11495577 | Solar Rebates, MN Made (10) | Complete | Solar Program Incentives | Solar Program Incentives |
| 11927339 | DOC Solar Incentive Program (11) | Ongoing | DOC Solar Incentive Program | Solar Program Incentives |
| 11965275 | Solar Rewards (12) | Ongoing | Solar Rewards | Solar Program Incentives |


| \$ | 10,000,000 | \$ | 10,000,000 | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ | 10,000,000 | \$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$ | 10,000,000 | \$ | 10,000,000 | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ | 10,000,000 | \$ | (0) |
| \$ | 150,000 | \$ | 150,000 | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ | 150,000 | \$ |  |
| \$ | $(4,000,000)$ | \$ | $(4,000,000)$ | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ | $(4,000,000)$ | \$ |  |
| \$ | 15,250,000 | \$ | 15,250,011 | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ | 15,250,011 | \$ | 11 |
| \$ | 1,975,000 | \$ | 1,975,000 | \$ |  | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 1,975,000 | \$ |  |
| \$ | 15,000,000 | \$ | 15,000,000 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ |  | \$ | 15,000,000 | \$ |  |
| \$ | 21,000,000 | \$ | 10,230,517 | \$ | 1,965,468 | \$ | 3,817,458 | \$ | 2,472,891 | \$ | 18,486,334 | \$ | $(2,513,666)$ |
| \$ | 120,000,000 | \$ | 24,035,967 | \$ |  | \$ | 12,014,672 | \$ | 12,014,672 | \$ | 48,065,311 | \$ | (71,934,689) |
| \$ | 25,000,000 | \$ | 132,575 | \$ | 33,104 | \$ | 942,193 | s | 1,431,121 | \$ | 2,538,993 | \$ | (22,461,007) |
| \$ | 214,375,000 | \$ | 82,774,069 | \$ | 1,998,572 |  | 16,774,323 |  | 15,918,684 | \$ | 117,465,649 | \$ | (96,909,351) |


| \$4.5 M for 2003-2005 and \$9.4 for 2006-200 | \$ | 51,100,000 | \$ | 53,724,567 | \$ | 613,475 | \$ | 291,891 | s |  | \$ | 54,629,933 | \$ | 3,529,933 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$1.5 M for 2003-2009 | \$ | 10,500,000 | \$ | 3,525,488 | \$ | 337,699 | \$ | 1,010,320 | s | 1,010,320 | \$ | 5,883,82 | \$ | (4,616,173) |
|  | \$ |  | \$ | 29,493,629 | \$ |  | \$ |  | s |  | \$ | 29,493,629 | \$ | 29,493,629 |

## $\begin{array}{llllllll}\$ 275,975,000 & \$ 169,517,753 & \$ 2,949,746 & \$ 18,076,534 & \$ 16,929,004 & \$ 207,473,037 & \$(68,501,963)\end{array}$

## TOTAL All Legislative Mandates

## ADMINISTRATIVE COSTS

Cycle 1 Administrative Work Orders (1)
10182282 Contract Admin.
$10184439 \quad \begin{array}{ll}\text { RFP Process Cost } \\ 10184487 & \text { Negotiation Cost }\end{array}$
TOTAL Cycle 1 Admin Costs
Cycle 2 Administrative Work Orders (1)
10248981 Contract Admin.
10248982 Negotiation Costs
TOTAL Cycle 2 Admin Costs
Cycle 3 Administrative Work Orders (1)
10942097 Contract Admin.
10942105 RFP Process Cost
10942103 Negotiation Cost

Cycle 4 Administrative Work Orders
11742217 Contract Admin.
TOTAL Cycle 4 Admin Costs


TOTAL Admin Costs


TOTAL ALL RDF
$\begin{array}{llllllllllll} & 114,650 & \$ & 1,371,614 & \$ & 34,644 & \$ & 122,186 & \$ & 129,196 & \$ & 1,657,640\end{array}$

See Attachment 17 for an explanation of all footnotes.

Forecast through December 2017


## Total Other Legislative Mandates


administrative costs

| y.yle 1 Adminisistative Work Orders (1) |
| :--- |
| 10182282 |

10182822
1018439
OTAL Cycle 1 Admin Costs


Cycle 2 Administrative Work Orders (1) ${ }_{10248983}^{1024881}$
1024892
${ }_{\text {TOTAL Cycle }} 2$ Admin Costs
Cycle 3 Administrative Work Orders (1) 10942105 10942105
10942103
OTAL Cycle 3 Admin Cost
ycle 4 daminis
11742217
OTAL Cycle 4 Admin Cost
Added Admin Costs and Fuutre Funding Cycles


## TOTAL Fund Administration

$\$$

ee Attachment 17 for an explanation of all footrotes.

Forecast through December 2017



Total Other Legislative Mandates
ADMINISTRATIVE COSTS
Cycle 1 Administrative Work Orders (1)
10182282
1018439
10184487
TOTAL Cycle 1 Admin Costs


Cycle 2 Administrative Work Orders (1) ${ }_{1024898}$
12489
TOTAL Cycle 2 Admin Costs
Cycle 3 Administrative Work Orders (1)
10942097
${ }^{1094209297}$
10942105
10942103
TOTAL Cycle 3 Admin Costs
Cycle 4 Administrative Work Orders (1)
OTAL Cycle
Added Admin Costs and Future Funding Cycles


## OTAL Fund Administration

RENEWABLE DEVELOPMENT FUND
Annual Compliance Report - Cycle 2 Projects
Actuals through August 2015
Forecast through December 2017
ALL CYCLE 2 PROJECTS COMPLETED - No Future Grant Payments

| Project <br> No.$\quad$ Name | Total Amount Awarded | Actual Through Aug 2015 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Forecast } \\ \text { Sept-Dec } 2015 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Forecast } \\ & 2016 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Forecast } \\ 2017 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | Project Totals |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EP-26 Hilltop Farm Cooperative | 1,200,000 | 1,200,000 | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ 1,200,000 |
| EP-34 Lower St. Anthony Falls | 2,000,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ 2,000,000 |
| EP-39 St. Olaf College | 1,500,000 | 1,500,000 | \$ - | \$ | - |  | - | \$ 1,500,000 |
| EP-44 Biomass Cogen Demo | 2,000,000 | 400,000 | \$ - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ 400,000 |
| EP-51 Diamond K and Greden | 936,530 | 344,175 | \$ - | \$ | - | S | - | \$ 344,175 |
| Total Energy Production | 7,636,530 | 5,444,175 | \$ - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ 5,444,175 |
| RD-22 Energy Conversion Devices | 900,000 | 900,000 | \$ - | \$ | - | \$ | - | 900,000 |
| RD-26 Coaltec Energy | 450,000 | 450,000 | \$ - | \$ | - |  | - | 450,000 |
| RD-27 Rural Advantage | 318,800 | 318,800 | \$ - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ 318,800 |
| RD-29 U of M | 299,284 | 299,284 | \$ - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ 299,284 |
| RD-34 University of Florida | 999,995 | 996,874 | \$ - | \$ | - | \$ |  | \$ 996,874 |
| RD-38* Gas Technology Institute | 861,860 | 861,860 | \$ - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ 861,860 |
| RD-50 Energy Perf Systems | 957,929 | 957,929 | \$ - | \$ | - | \$ |  | 957,929 |
| RD-56 U of M | 858,363 | 803,246 | \$ - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ 803,246 |
| RD-57 WindLogics | 997,000 | 997,000 | \$ - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ 997,000 |
| RD-69 AURI | 760,000 | 760,000 | \$ - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ 760,000 |
| RD-72 Production Specialties | 228,735 | 228,735 | \$ - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ 228,735 |
| RD-78 Interphases Research | 1,000,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ 1,000,000 |
| RD-87 Global Energy Concepts | 370,000 | 370,000 | \$ - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ 370,000 |
| RD-93 NREL | 1,000,000 | 949,005 | \$ - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ 949,005 |
| RD-94 Center for Energy and Env | 397,500 | 397,500 | \$ - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ 397,500 |
| RD-107 NREL | 1,000,000 | 944,452 | \$ - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ 944,452 |
| RD-110 Center for Sustainable Env-Iowa St Univ | 405,000 | 98,343 | \$ - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ 98,343 |
| Total Research \& Dev. | 11,804,466 | 11,333,028 | \$ - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ 11,333,028 |
| Total Cycle 2 Projects | 19,440,996 | 16,777,203 | \$ - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ 16,777,203 |
| EP-43 Excelsior Energy (4) | 10,000,000 | 10,000,000 | \$ - | \$ | - | \$ |  | \$ 10,000,000 |
| Total Cycle 2 Projects Plus Excelsior | 29,440,996 | 26,777,203 | \$ | \$ | - |  | - | \$ 26,777,203 |

See Attachment 17 for an explanation of all footnotes.

Annual Compliance Report - Cycle 3 Projects
Forecast through December 2017

| $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Project } \\ \text { No. } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Name |  | tal Amount Awarded |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ctual Through } \\ & \text { Dec } 2014 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Actual } \\ \text { Jan } 2015 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Actual } \\ \text { Feb } 2015 \end{gathered}$ |  | Actual Mar 2015 |  | Actual Apr 2015 |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Actual } \\ \text { May } 2015 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Actual } \\ \text { Jun } 2015 \end{gathered}$ |  | Actual Jul 2015 | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Actual } \\ \text { Aug } 2015 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | Forecast Sep 2015 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Forecast } \\ & \text { Oct } 2015 \end{aligned}$ |  | Forecast <br> Nov 2015 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EP3-2 | Merrick | \$ | 735,000 | \$ | 735,000 | \$ |  | - | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |  |  | \$ | - \$ | \$ - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | \$ |  | \$ | \$ | - | \$ |
| EP3-3 | Best Power International | \$ | 1,994,480 | \$ | 1,994,480 | \$ |  |  | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |  | \$ | \$ | - \$ | \$ - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | \$ |  | - \$ | \$ | - | \$ |
| EP3-10 | Outland Renewable Energy | \$ | 2,000,000 | \$ | , | \$ |  |  | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |  | \$ | \$ | - \$ | \$ - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | \$ |  | - \$ | \$ | - | \$ |
| EP3-11 | EyeOn Energy/Minneapolis | \$ | 2,000,000 | \$ | 2,000,000 | \$ |  |  | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |  | \$ | \$ | - \$ | \$ - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | \$ |  | - \$ | \$ | - | \$ |
| EP3-12 | Freenerg | \$ | 1,488,922 | \$ | 1,488,922 | \$ |  | - | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |  | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | \$ |  | - \$ | \$ | - | \$ |
| EP3-13 | Minnesota DNR | \$ | 894,000 | \$ | 760,557 | \$ |  | - | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |  | \$ | \$ | - \$ | \$ - | \$ | - | \$ | - | S | \$ |  | - \$ | S | - | \$ |
| Total En | ergy Production | \$ | 9,112,402 | \$ | 6,978,959 | \$ |  | - | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |  | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | \$ | \$ | - \$ | \$ | - | \$ |
| RD3-1 | U of M (Koda Energy) | \$ | 992,989 | \$ | 605,855 | s |  | - | \$ | \$ | \$ 74,629 | \$ |  | \$ | \$ | \$ | 787,903 | \$ | (124,981) | \$ | - | \$ | \$ |  | - \$ | \$ | - | \$ |
| RD3-2 | SarTec Corporation | \$ | 350,000 | \$ | 350,000 | \$ |  | - | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |  | \$ | \$ | - \$ | \$ - | \$ | - | \$ | - | S | \$ |  | - \$ | \$ | - | \$ |
| RD3-4 | Bepex International | \$ | 924,671 | \$ | 924,671 | s |  |  | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |  | \$ | \$ | - \$ | \$ - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | \$ |  | - \$ | \$ | - | \$ |
| RD3-12 | Xcel Energy | \$ | 1,000,000 | \$ | 1,000,000 | \$ |  | - | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |  | \$ | \$ |  | \$ - | \$ | - | \$ | - | s | \$ |  | - \$ | \$ | - | \$ |
| RD3-21 | Northern Planins Power | \$ | 493,608 | \$ | 493,608 |  |  | - | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |  | \$ | \$ |  | \$ - | \$ | - | \$ | - | s | - \$ |  | - \$ | \$ | - | \$ |
| RD3-23 | U of M (Biomass) | \$ | 819,159 | \$ | 729,717 | \$ |  | - | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |  | \$ | \$ |  | \$ - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | \$ |  | - \$ | \$ | - | \$ |
| RD3-25 | U of M (Nanocrystals) | \$ | 732,032 | \$ | 732,032 | \$ |  |  | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |  | \$ | \$ |  | \$ - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | \$ |  | - \$ | \$ | - | \$ |
| RD3-28 | U of M (Cropping) | \$ | 979,082 | \$ | 703,196 | \$ |  |  | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |  | \$ | \$ |  | \$ - | \$ | - | \$ | - |  | \$ |  | - \$ |  | - | \$ |
| RD3-42 | U of M (Hydro) | \$ | 999,999 | \$ | 834,432 | \$ |  | - | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |  | \$ | \$ |  | \$ - | \$ | - | \$ | - |  | \$ |  | - \$ | \$ | - | \$ |
| RD3-53 | InterPhases Research | \$ | 1,000,000 | \$ | 1,000,000 | \$ |  | - | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |  | \$ | \$ |  | \$ - | \$ | - | \$ | - |  | \$ |  | - \$ | \$ | - | \$ |
| RD3-58 | West Central Telephone | \$ | 137,000 | \$ | 137,000 | \$ |  |  | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |  | \$ | \$ |  | \$ - | \$ | - | \$ | - |  | \$ |  | - \$ | \$ | - | \$ |
| RD3-66 | UND | \$ | 999,065 | \$ | 998,697 | \$ |  | - | \$ | - \$ | \$ | \$ |  | \$ | \$ |  |  | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | \$ |  | - \$ | \$ | - | \$ |
| RD3-68 | UND | s | 970,558 | \$ | 970,480 | \$ |  |  | \$ |  | \$ | \$ |  | \$ | \$ |  |  | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | \$ |  | - \$ |  | - | \$ |
| RD3-69 | MVAP | \$ | 1,000,000 | \$ | 162,568 | \$ |  |  | \$ |  | \$ | \$ |  | - \$ | \$ |  | \$ - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - \$ |  | - \$ |  | - | \$ |
| RD3-71 | UND | \$ | 999,728 | \$ | 999,438 | \$ |  | - | \$ |  | \$ | \$ |  | - \$ | \$ | - \$ | \$ | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | \$ |  | \$ |  | - | \$ |
| RD3-77 | Coaltec | \$ | 1,000,000 | \$ | 850,000 | \$ |  | - | \$ |  | \$ | \$ |  | \$ | \$ | \$ |  | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | \$ |  | - \$ | S | $-$ | \$ |
| Total Res | search \& Dev. | \$ | 13,397,891 | \$ | 11,491,693 | \$ |  | - | \$ | - \$ | \$ 74,629 | \$ |  | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ 787,903 | \$ | $(124,981)$ | \$ | - | \$ | \$ | \$ | - \$ | \$ | - | \$ |
| Board Pil | lot Investment (13) | \$ | 5,000,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Cyc | cle 3 Projects | \$ | 27,510,293 | \$ | 18,470,652 | \$ |  | - | \$ | - \$ | 74,629 | \$ |  | - \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ 787,903 | \$ | $(124,981)$ | \$ | - | \$ | - \$ |  | - \$ | \$ | - | \$ |

## RENEWABLE DEVELOPMENT FUND

## Annual Compliance Report - Cycle 3 Projects

Actuals through August 2015
orecast through December 2017


Board Pilot Investment (13)
Total Cycle 3 Projects

Actuals through August 2015
Forecast through December 2017

| $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { Project } \\ \text { No. } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Name | Forecast Mar 2017 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Forecast } \\ & \text { Apr } 2017 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Forecast } \\ & \text { May } 2017 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | Forecast Jun 2017 |  | Forecast Jul 2017 |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Forecast } \\ \text { Aug } 2017 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Forecast } \\ & \text { Sep } 2017 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Forecast } \\ & \text { Oct } 2017 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | Forecast <br> Nov 2017 |  | Forecast Dec 2017 |  |  | Project Totals |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EP3-2 | Merrick | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 735,000 |
| EP3-3 | Best Power International | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ |  | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 1,994,480 |
| EP3-10 | Outland Renewable Energy | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ |  | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| EP3-11 | EyeOn Energy/Minneapolis | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ |  | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 2,000,000 |
| EP3-12 | Freenerg | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ |  | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 1,488,922 |
| EP3-13 | Minnesota DNR | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 760,557 |
| Total Energy Production |  | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 6,978,959 |
| RD3-1 | U of M (Koda Energy) | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 1,343,406 |
| RD3-2 | SarTec Corporation | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 350,000 |
| RD3-4 | Bepex International | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 924,671 |
| RD3-12 | Xcel Energy | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 1,000,000 |
| RD3-21 | Northern Planins Power | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 493,608 |
| RD3-23 | U of M (Biomass) | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 729,717 |
| RD3-25 | U of M (Nanocrystals) | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 732,032 |
| RD3-28 | U of M (Cropping) | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 703,196 |
| RD3-42 | U of M (Hydro) | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ |  | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 834,432 |
| RD3-53 | InterPhases Research | s | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ |  | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 1,000,000 |
| RD3-58 | West Central Telephone | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ |  | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 137,000 |
| RD3-66 | UND | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ |  | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 998,697 |
| RD3-68 | UND | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ |  | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 970,480 |
| RD3-69 | MVAP | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 162,568 |
| RD3-71 | UND | \$ | - | S | - | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 999,438 |
| RD3-77 | Coaltec | \$ | - | S | - | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 850,000 |
| Total Re | search \& Dev. | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ - | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 12,229,243 |

Board Pilot Investment (13)
Total Cycle 3 Projects $\qquad$ - \$
tuals through August 2015
Forecast through December 2017


See Attachment 17 for an explanation of all footnotes.

Actuals through August 2015
Forecast through December 2017


See Attachment 17 for an explanation of all footnotes.

## Annual Compliance Report - Cycle 4 Projects

Actuals through August 2015
Forecast through December 2017

| $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Project } \\ \text { No. } \end{gathered}$ | Name |  | Forecast Jul 2017 |  | Forecast Aug 2017 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Forecast } \\ & \text { Sep } 2017 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | Forecast Oct 2017 |  | Forecast <br> Nov 2017 |  | Forecast <br> Dec 2017 |  |  | Project Totals |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EP4-5 | Best Power (SSND) | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ |  | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 900,000 |
| EP4-6 | Best Power (St. John's) | \$ |  | \$ | - | \$ |  |  | \$ |  | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| EP4-20 | Target Corporation | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  |  | \$ |  | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 583,513 |
| EP4-21 | Farmamerica | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ |  | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| EP4-34 | City of St Paul (Saints Stadium) | \$ |  | \$ | 55,575 | \$ |  |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ | - | \$ | 555,750 |
| EP4-41 | City of Hutchinson | \$ |  | \$ | - | \$ |  | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 958,369 |
| EP4-48 | Oak Leaf Energy Partners | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ |  | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 2,000,000 |
| Total Energy Production |  | \$ | - | \$ | 55,575 | \$ |  | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 4,997,632 |
| HE4-2 | U of St Thomas | \$ | 719,072 | \$ | - | \$ |  | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 2,157,215 |
| HE4-3 | U of Minnesota | \$ |  | \$ | 1,000,000 | \$ |  | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 3,000,000 |
| Total Research \& Development |  | \$ | 719,072 | \$ | 1,000,000 | \$ |  | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 5,157,215 |
| Total Cycle 4 Projects |  | \$ | 719,072 | \$ | 1,055,575 | \$ |  | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 10,154,847 |

See Attachment 17 for an explanation of all footnotes.

## Previous Cycle RDF Grant Project Payments Not Included in 2015 Forecast for Cost Recovery*

| Sep-15 | Oct-15 | Nov-15 | Dec-15 | Total |  |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crown Hydro | - | - | - | - | - |
| Coaltec | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Monthly Sub Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cumulative Obligation | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |

* Based on information from project sponsors and/or prior project performance.
** The timing and amount of these payments is based on prior project performance and budget status rather than the timing and amounts reflected in RDF grant contract milestone schedules.

Previous Cycle RDF Grant Project Payments Not Included in 2016 Forecast for Cost Recovery*

## Crown Hydro

Coaltec
Innovative Pwr Sys
MN Renew Energy Soc
Mnpls Park \& Rec Bd
Bergey Windpower
Univ of Minn Dairy
UofM NRRI Torrefact
UofM Noise
UofM V W S
Monthly Sub Total
Cumulative Obligation

| Jan-16 | Feb-16 | Mar-16 | Apr-16 | May-16 | Jun-16 | Jul-16 | Aug-16 | Sep-16 | Oct-16 | Nov-16 | Dec-16 | Total |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 100,00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100,000 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $1,300,000$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $1,300,000$ | $2,600,000$ |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 969,741 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 969,741 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 155,000 | 0 | 155,000 | 0 | 0 | 155,000 | 0 | 465,000 |
| 203,639 | 0 | 0 | 101,820 | 0 | 0 | 249,117 | 0 | 0 | 27,574 | 0 | 0 | 582,150 |
| 0 | 0 | 290,375 | 0 | 0 | 478,619 | 0 | 0 | 640,657 | 0 | 0 | 89,272 | $1,498,923$ |
| 78,088 | 0 | 0 | 78,088 | 0 | 0 | 78,088 | 0 | 0 | 44,112 | 0 | 0 | 278,376 |
| 231,280 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 231,280 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 462,560 |
| 513,007 | 0 | 290,375 | $1,249,649$ | 0 | $1,933,619$ | 558,485 | 155,000 | 640,657 | 71,686 | 155,000 | $1,389,272$ | $6,956,750$ |
| 513,007 | 513,007 | 803,382 | $2,053,031$ | $2,053,031$ | $3,986,650$ | $4,545,135$ | $4,700,135$ | $5,340,792$ | $5,412,478$ | $5,567,478$ | $6,956,750$ |  |

Previous Cycle RDF Grant Project Payments Not Included in 2017 Forecast for Cost Recovery*

Crown Hydro
Coaltec
Innovative Pwr Sys
MN Renew Energy Soc
Mnpls Park \& Rec Bd

| Jan-17 | Feb-17 | Mar-17 | Apr-17 | May-17 | Jun-17 | Jul-17 | Aug-17 | Sep-17 | Oct-17 | Nov-17 | Dec-17 | Total |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 761,409 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 750,000 | 0 | 0 | 900,000 | 0 | 0 | 650,000 | 0 | $3,061,409$ |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 50,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 50,000 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $1,850,000$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $1,850,000$ |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 61,320 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 61,320 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21,600 | 0 | 21,600 |
| 27,574 | 0 | 0 | 37,574 | 0 | 0 | 45,146 | 0 | 0 | 37,629 | 0 | 0 | 147,923 |
| 0 | 0 | 74,393 | 0 | 0 | 74,393 | 0 | 0 | 59,515 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 208,301 |
| 44,113 | 0 | 0 | 44,113 | 0 | 0 | 44,113 | 0 | 0 | 27,260 | 0 | 0 | 159,599 |
| 154,812 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 154,813 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 309,625 |
| 987,908 | 0 | 74,393 | 131,687 | 811,320 | 74,393 | $2,094,072$ | 900,000 | 59,515 | 64,889 | 671,600 | 0 | $5,869,777$ |

Monthly Sub Total
Cumulative Obligation

* Based on information from project sponsors and/or prior project performance.


## RENEWABLE DEVELOPMENT FUND

Annual Compliance Report - Cycle 4 Projects
In Contract Development and not Included for Recovery

| $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { Project } \\ \text { No. } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Name | Total Amount Awarded | Status | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Forecast } \\ 2015 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Forecast } \\ 2016 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Forecast } \\ 2017 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Forecast } \\ 2018 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Forecast } \\ 2019 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EP4-3 | Mpls Public School - Edison HS | 917,250 | Negotiating |  | 0 | 917,250 | 0 | 0 |
| EP4-4 | SGE Partners LLC | 5,000,000 | Negotiating |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5,000,000 |
| EP4-7 | Anoka Ramsey Community College | 828,900 | Negotiating |  | 0 | 828,900 | 0 | 0 |
| EP4-9 | Mondovi Energy Systems | 2,000,000 | Negotiating |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,000,000 |
| EP4-13 | Metropolitan Airports Commission | 2,022,507 | Negotiating |  | 2,022,507 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| EP4-29 | Dragonfly Solar LLC | 1,650,000 | Negotiating |  | 0 | 0 | 1,650,000 | 0 |
| EP4-39 | Goodwill Solar LLC | 1,075,250 | Negotiating |  | 0 | 1,075,250 | 0 | 0 |
| EP4-42 | Aurora St. Anthony | 398,000 | Negotiating |  | 0 | 0 | 398,000 | 0 |
| EP4-43 | Cornerstone Group | 310,310 | Negotiating |  | 310,310 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Energy Production |  | 14,202,217 |  |  | 2,332,817 | 2,821,400 | 2,048,000 | 7,000,000 |
| RD4-4 | Xcel Energy Business Systems | 390,000 | Negotiating |  | 0 | 0 | 390,000 | 0 |
| RD4-14 | Barr Engineering | 161,081 | Negotiating |  | 87,850 | 0 | 73,231 | 0 |
| HE4-1 | MnSCU | 5,500,000 | Negotiating |  | 1,833,333 | 1,833,333 | 1,833,333 | 0 |
| Total Research and Development |  | 6,051,081 |  |  | 1,921,183 | 1,833,333 | 2,296,564 | 0 |
| EP4-36 | City of Austin (14) | 3,565,000 | Reserve |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| EP4-44 | Region 5 Dev Comm (14) | 1,993,659 | Reserve |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| RD4-8 | City of Red Wing (14) | 1,999,500 | Reserve |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| RD4-5 | University of Florida (14) | 1,109,538 | Reserve |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| RD4-7 | Interphases Solar (14) | 1,000,000 | Reserve |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| RD4-1 | U of M Gasification (14) | 999,999 | Reserve |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Reserve |  | 10,667,696 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Cy | cle 4 Projects (15) | 30,920,994 |  |  | 4,254,000 | 4,654,733 | 4,344,564 | 7,000,000 |

## RDF Use of Funds Summary (Grants Awarded)

Page 1 of 3

| Contract | Project Name | Grant | Type | Cycle | Renewable Category | Status | Scheduled Completion |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AB-07 | AnAerobics, Inc | \$1,300,000 | EP | 1 | Biomass | complete |  |
| AH-01 | Crown Hydro | \$5,100,000 | EP | 1 | Hydro | current | 01/2018* |
| AS-05 | MN Dept. of Commerce | \$1,150,000 | EP | 1 | Solar | complete |  |
| AS-06 | Science Museum | \$100,000 | EP | 1 | Solar | complete |  |
| AW-03 | Project Resource Corp | \$900,000 | EP | 1 | Wind | complete |  |
| AW-10 | Pipestone Jasper School | \$752,835 | EP | 1 | Wind | complete |  |
| BB-03 | Sebesta Blomberg | \$738,654 | RD | 1 | Biomass | complete |  |
| BB-06 | Energy Performance Systems | \$266,508 | RD | 1 | Biomass | complete |  |
| BB-09 | University of ND - Cofiring | \$444,478 | RD | 1 | Biomass | complete |  |
| BB-10 | Community Power Corp. | \$638,635 | RD | 1 | Biomass | complete |  |
| BB-12 | Univ of ND - SCR Performance | \$60,000 | RD | 1 | Biomass | complete |  |
| BW-06 | Blattner and Sons | \$68,470 | RD | 1 | Wind | complete |  |
| CB-07 | Colorado School of Mines | \$1,116,742 | RD | 1 | Biomass | complete |  |
| CB-08 | Univ. of ND - SOFC | \$1,250,142 | RD | 1 | Biomass | complete |  |
| CS-05 | NREL | \$934,628 | RD | 1 | Solar | complete |  |
| CW-02 | Global Energy Concepts | \$75,000 | RD | 1 | Wind | complete |  |
| CW-06 | University of Minnesota | \$654,309 | RD | 1 | Wind | complete |  |
| EP-26 | Hilltop | \$1,200,000 | EP | 2 | Wind | complete |  |
| EP-34 | Lower St. Anthony Falls | \$2,000,000 | EP | 2 | Hydro | complete |  |
| EP-39 | St. Olaf | \$1,500,000 | EP | 2 | Wind | complete |  |
| EP-43 | Mesaba/Excelsior Energy | \$10,000,000 | EP | 2 | Innovative | complete |  |
| EP-44 | CMEC | \$2,000,000 | EP | 2 | Biomass | complete |  |
| EP-51 | Diamond K Dairy | \$936,530 | EP | 2 | Biomass | complete |  |
| RD-22 | Energy Conversion Devices | \$900,000 | RD | 2 | Biomass | complete |  |
| RD-26 | Coaltec | \$450,000 | RD | 2 | Biomass | complete |  |
| RD-27 | Rural Advantage | \$318,800 | RD | 2 | Biomass | complete |  |
| RD-29 | University of Minnesota | \$299,284 | RD | 2 | Biomass | complete |  |
| RD-34 | University of Florida | \$999,995 | RD | 2 | Biomass | complete |  |
| RD-38 | Gas Technology Institute | \$861,860 | RD | 2 | Biomass | complete |  |
| RD-50 | Energy Performance Systems | \$957,929 | RD | 2 | Biomass | complete |  |
| RD-56 | University of Minnesota | \$858,363 | RD | 2 | Biomass | complete |  |
| RD-57 | Windlogics | \$997,000 | RD | 2 | Wind | complete |  |
| RD-69 | AURI | \$760,000 | RD | 2 | Biomass | complete |  |
| RD-72 | Production Specialties | \$228,735 | RD | 2 | Biomass | complete |  |
| RD-78 | Interphases Research | \$1,000,000 | RD | 2 | Solar | complete |  |
| RD-87 | Global Energy Concepts | \$370,000 | RD | 2 | Wind | complete |  |
| RD-93 | NREL - Inkjet Solar Cells | \$1,000,000 | RD | 2 | Solar | complete |  |
| RD-94 | Center for Energy and the Environment | \$397,500 | RD | 2 | Biomass | complete |  |
| RD-107 | NREL-Low Band Gap-Solar | \$1,000,000 | RD | 2 | Solar | complete |  |
| RD-110 | CSET and Iowa State University | \$405,000 | RD | 2 | Biomass | complete |  |
| EP3-2 | Merrick | \$735,000 | EP | 3 | Solar | complete |  |
| EP3-3 | Best Power International | \$1,994,480 | EP | 3 | Solar | complete |  |
| EP3-10 | Outland Renewable Energy | \$2,000,000 | EP | 3 | Solar | complete |  |
| EP3-11 | City of Minneapolis | \$2,000,000 | EP | 3 | Solar | complete |  |
| EP3-12 | freEner-g | \$1,488,922 | EP | 3 | Solar | complete |  |

## RDF Use of Funds Summary (Grants Awarded)
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| Contract | Project Name | Grant | Type | Cycle | Renewable Category | Status | Scheduled Completion |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EP3-13 | Minnesota Department of Natural Resourd | \$894,000 | EP | 3 | Solar | complete |  |
| RD3-1 | University of Minnesota | \$992,989 | RD | 3 | Biomass | complete |  |
| RD3-2 | SarTec Corporation | \$350,000 | RD | 3 | Biofuel | complete |  |
| RD3-4 | Bepex International | \$924,671 | RD | 3 | Biomass | complete |  |
| RD3-12 | Xcel Energy | \$1,000,000 | RD | 3 | Wind | complete |  |
| RD3-21 | Northern Plains Power Technologies | \$493,608 | RD | 3 | Solar | complete |  |
| RD3-23 | University of Minnesota | \$819,159 | RD | 3 | Biomass | complete |  |
| RD3-25 | University of Minnesota | \$732,032 | RD | 3 | Solar | complete |  |
| RD3-28 | University of Minnesota | \$979,082 | RD | 3 | Biomass | complete |  |
| RD3-42 | University of Minnesota | \$999,999 | RD | 3 | Wind | complete |  |
| RD3-53 | Interphases Solar | \$1,000,000 | RD | 3 | Solar | complete |  |
| RD3-58 | West Central Telephone Association | \$137,000 | RD | 3 | Wind/Solar | complete |  |
| RD3-66 | University of North Dakota | \$999,065 | RD | 3 | Biomass | complete |  |
| RD3-68 | University of North Dakota | \$970,558 | RD | 3 | Biomass | complete |  |
| RD3-69 | Minnesota Valley Alfalfa Producers | \$1,000,000 | RD | 3 | Biomass | complete |  |
| RD3-71 | University of North Dakota | \$999,728 | RD | 3 | Biomass | complete |  |
| RD3-77 | Coaltec Energy USA | \$1,000,000 | RD | 3 | Biomass | current | 4/2017* |
| EP4-3 | Minneapolis Public School | \$917,250 | EP | 4 | Solar | negotiations | TBD |
| EP4-4 | SGE Partners LLC | \$5,000,000 | EP | 4 | Biomass | negotiations | TBD |
| EP4-5 | Best Power Intl - SSND | \$900,000 | EP | 4 | Solar | current | 10/2016* |
| EP4-6 | Best Power Intl - St. John's | \$172,213 | EP | 4 | Solar | complete |  |
| EP4-7 | Anoka Ramsey Community College | \$828,900 | EP | 4 | Solar | negotiations | TBD |
| EP4-9 | Mondovi Energy Systems | \$2,000,000 | EP | 4 | Biomass | negotiations | TBD |
| EP4-11 | Innovative Power Systems | \$1,850,000 | EP | 4 | Solar | current | 7/2017* |
| EP4-13 | Metropolitan Airports Commission | \$2,022,507 | EP | 4 | Solar | negotiations | TBD |
| EP4-15 | Minnesota Renewable Energy Society | \$2,661,320 | EP | 4 | Solar | current | 5/2017* |
| EP4-20 | Target Corporation | \$583,513 | EP | 4 | Solar | current | 6/2016* |
| EP4-21 | Farmamerica | \$600,000 | EP | 4 | solar/wind | complete |  |
| EP4-22 | Minneapolis Park \& Recreation Board | \$969,741 | EP | 4 | solar | current | 4/2016* |
| EP4-24 | Bergey Windpower | \$1,106,600 | EP | 4 | wind | current | 11/2017* |
| EP4-29 | Dragonfly Solar | \$1,650,000 | EP | 4 | solar | negotiations | TBD |
| EP4-34 | City of St Paul | \$555,750 | EP | 4 | solar | current | 6/2017* |
| EP4-39 | Goodwill Solar, LLC | \$1,075,250 | EP | 4 | solar | negotiations | TBD |
| EP4-41 | City of Hutchinson | \$958,369 | EP | 4 | solar | current | 6/2016* |
| EP4-42 | Aurora St. Anthony | \$398,000 | EP | 4 | solar | negotiations | TBD |
| EP4-43 | Cornerstone Group | \$310,310 | EP | 4 | solar | negotiations | TBD |
| EP4-48 | Oak Leaf Energy | \$2,000,000 | EP | 4 | solar | current | 1/2017* |
| EP4-36 | City of Austin (Reserve) | \$3,565,000 | EP | 4 | Biomass | reserve | TBD |
| EP4-44 | Region 5 Dev. Commission (Reserve) | \$1,993,659 | EP | 4 | solar | reserve | TBD |
| RD4-2 | University of Minnesota - Dairy | \$982,408 | RD | 4 | solar/wind | current | 6/2018* |
| RD4-4 | Xcel Energy | \$390,000 | RD | 4 | solar | negotiations | TBD |
| RD4-11 | University of Minnesota - Torrefaction | \$1,899,449 | RD | 4 | biomass | current | 9/2017* |
| RD4-12 | University of Minnesota - Noise | \$625,102 | RD | 4 | wind | current | 9/2018* |
| RD4-13 | University of Minnesota - VWS | \$1,391,684 | RD | 4 | wind | current | 6/2020* |
| RD4-14 | Barr Engineering | \$161,081 | RD | 4 | wind | negotiations | TBD |

## RDF Use of Funds Summary (Grants Awarded)

| Contract | Project Name | Grant | Type | Cycle | Renewable Category | Status | Scheduled Completion |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RD4-8 | City of Red Wing (Reserve) | \$1,999,500 | RD | 4 | biomass | reserve | TBD |
| RD4-5 | University of Florida (Reserve) | \$1,109,538 | RD | 4 | Biomass | reserve | TBD |
| RD4-7 | Interphases Solar (Reserve) | \$1,000,000 | RD | 4 | solar | reserve | TBD |
| RD4-1 | Univ. of Minn - Gasification (Reserve) | \$999,999 | RD | 4 | Biomass | reserve | TBD |
| HE4-1 | Minnesota State Colleges \& Universities | \$5,500,000 | HE | 4 | all types | negotiations | TBD |
| HE4-2 | U of St Thomas | \$2,157,215 | HE | 4 | all types | current | 8/2018* |
| HE4-3 | U of Minnesota | \$3,000,000 | HE | 4 | all types | current | 8/2018* |
| * Based on information from project sponsor and subject to change. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | 2004-2010 |  | 2011 |  | 2012 |  | 2013 |  | 2014 | 2004-2014 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total RDF Grant Payments | \$ 41,914,870 | \$ | 6,943,768 | \$ | 1,902,837 | \$ | 3,142,992 | \$ | 680,843 | \$ 54,585,310 |
| Administrative Expenses | \$ 1,589,310 | \$ | 139,629 | \$ | 101,533 | \$ | 178,458 | \$ | 24,781 | \$ 2,033,711 |
| Ratio of Adm. Expenses | 3.8\% |  | 2.0\% |  | 5.3\% |  | 5.7\% |  | 3.6\% | 3.7\% |


|  | 2004-2010 | 2011 |  | 2012 |  | 2013 |  | 2014 |  | 2015 Fcst* |  | 2016 Fcst* |  | 2004-2016 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total RDF Grant Payments | \$ 41,914,870 | \$ | 6,943,768 | \$ | 1,902,837 | \$ | 3,142,992 | \$ | 680,843 | \$ | 1,909,764 | \$ | 5,324,625 |  | 61,819,699 |
| Administrative Expenses | \$ 1,589,310 | \$ | 139,629 | \$ | 101,533 | \$ | 178,458 | \$ | 24,781 | \$ | 48,272 | \$ | 122,186 | \$ | 2,204,168 |
| Ratio of Adm. Expenses | 3.8\% |  | 2.0\% |  | 5.3\% |  | 5.7\% |  | 3.6\% |  | 2.5\% |  | 2.3\% |  | 3.6\% |

*2015 and 2016 Grant Payments reflect only those included for recovery.

## RENEWABLE DEVELOPMENT FUND

Annual Compliance Report
Report of Fund Liability
Through December 31, 2014

|  | Up to 2003 |  | 2004 |  | 2005 |  |  | 2006 | 2007 |  | 2008 |  | 2009 |  | 2010 |  | 2011 |  | 2012 |  | 2013 |  | 2014 |  | 2003-2014 |  | Total Amount of Awards |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. RDF Grant Payments ( $\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{b}+\mathrm{c}$ ) | S | 5,782,469 | s | 1,917,256 | \$ | 3,761,907 | \$ | 6,753,411 | \$ | 10,384,214 | S | 3,238,627 | s | 7,533,073 | S | 8,326,383 | \$ | 6,943,768 | S | 1,902,837 | s | 3,142,992 | S | 680,843 | S | 60,367,779 | \$ | 110,168,352 |
| Cycle 1-EP | \$ | 3,759,426 | \$ | 221,000 | \$ | 196,000 | \$ | 965,000 | \$ | 400,000 | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | S |  | \$ |  | \$ | 5,541,426 | \$ | 9,302,835 |
| Cycle 2-EP | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 400,000 | \$ | 3,436,360 | \$ | (520,000) | \$ | 242,730 | \$ | 40,910 | \$ | 1,500,000 | \$ | 0 | \$ | 344,175 | \$ | 592,355 | \$ | 6,036,530 | \$ | 7,636,530 |
| Cycle 3-EP | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ | 735,000 | \$ | 205,090 | \$ | 3,161,687 | \$ | 2,400,806 | \$ | 476,376 | \$ | 2,118,409 | \$ |  | \$ | 9,097,368 | \$ | 9,112,402 |
| Cycle 4-EP | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 26,559,723 |
| a. Total Cat A | \$ | 3,759,426 | S | 221,000 | \$ | 196,000 | \$ | 1,365,000 | \$ | 3,836,360 | \$ | 215,000 | \$ | 447,820 | \$ | 3,202,597 | \$ | 3,900,806 | \$ | 476,376 | S | 2,462,584 | \$ | 592,355 | S | 20,675,324 | \$ | 52,611,490 |
| Cycle 1-R\&D | \$ | 2,023,043 | \$ | 1,696,256 | \$ | 1,410,660 | \$ | 607,120 | \$ | 284,011 | \$ | 62,748 | \$ | 46,620 | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ | 6,130,458 | \$ | 6,247,566 |
| Cycle 2-R\&D | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 155,247 | \$ | 2,781,291 | \$ | 4,556,660 | \$ | 2,470,103 | \$ | 939,061 | \$ | 201,306 | \$ |  | \$ | 197,741 | \$ | 31,619 | \$ | - | \$ | 11,333,028 | \$ | 11,804,466 |
| Cycle 3-R\&D | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ |  | \$ | - | \$ | 100,000 | \$ | 2,928,537 | \$ | 4,191,473 | \$ | 3,042,962 | \$ | 1,228,720 | \$ | 648,789 | \$ | 88,488 | \$ | 12,228,970 | \$ | 13,397,891 |
| Cycle 4-R\&D | \$ | - | \$ | - | s | - | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | s |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | s |  | \$ | - | \$ |  | \$ | 16,106,939 |
| b. Total Cat B | \$ | 2,023,043 | \$ | 1,696,256 | S | 1,565,907 | S | 3,388,411 | \$ | 4,840,671 | \$ | 2,632,851 | \$ | 3,914,218 | \$ | 4,392,779 | \$ | 3,042,962 | \$ | 1,426,461 | s | 680,408 | \$ | 88,488 | \$ | 29,692,456 | S | 47,55,, 862 |
| c. Excelsior | S | - | s | - | \$ | 2,000,000 | \$ | 2,000,000 | \$ | 1,707,182 | \$ | 390,776 | s | 3,171,035 | s | 731,007 | \$ |  | \$ |  | S |  | \$ |  | \$ | 10,000,000 | S | 10,000,000 |

2. Total Administrative Costs $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllll} & \$ & 207,924 & \$ & 342,320 & \$ & 135,366 & \$ & 188,682 & \$ & 431,952 & \$ & 225,705 & \$ & 118,052 & \$ & 147,234 & \$ & 139,629 & \$ & 101,533 & \$\end{array}$促 $\begin{array}{lll}\text { Hotal Other Legislative Mandates } \$ & 10,037,78,\end{array}$
REP - Wind
REPI - Biogas
AURI Biofuel
MPCA Deposit
Next Generation Initiative
REP Administered by DOC
olar Rebates, MN Made (10)
Solar Rewards (12)

| 785 |
| :--- |
| , 000 |
| , 785 |
| - |


| $1,513,011$ | $\$$ | $4,460,426$ | $\$$ | 8,01 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $1,478,867$ | $\$$ | $4,268, i 28$ | $\$$ | 7, |
| 34,144 | $\$$ | 4,298 | $\$$ |  |
| - | $\$$ | 150,000 | $\$$ |  |
| - | $\$$ | - | $\$$ |  |
| - | $\$$ | - | $\$$ |  |
| - | $\$$ | - | $\$$ |  |
| - | $\$$ | - | $\$$ |  |
| - | $\$$ | - | $\$$ |  |
| - | $\$$ | - | $\$$ |  |


| $8,015,781$ | $\$$ | 9, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $7,953,355$ | $\$$ | 9 |
| 62,426 | $\$$ |  |
| - | $\$$ |  |
| - | $\$$ | $(4$, |
| - | $\$$ |  |
| - | $\$$ |  |
| - | $\$$ |  |
| - | $\$$ |  |


| $\$$ | $9,481,847$ | $\$$ | $16,673,693$ | $\$$ | 16 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | - | $\$$ | - | $\$$ | 2 |
| $\$$ | $9,633,251$ | $\$$ | $9,011,870$ | $\$$ | 9 |
| $\$$ | 36,085 | $\$$ | 36,823 | $\$$ |  |
| $\$$ | $(4,000,000)$ | $\$$ | - | $\$$ | $\$$ |
| $\$$ | $3,812,511$ | $\$$ | $7,625,000$ | $\$$ |  |
| $\$$ | - | $\$$ | - | $\$$ |  |
| $\$$ | - | $\$$ | - | $\$$ |  |
| $\$$ | - | $\$$ | - | $\$$ |  |
| $\$$ | - | $\$$ | - | $\$$ |  |


| S | 2,500,000 | S | 5,000,000 | \$ | 5,000,000 | \$ | 2,500,000 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S | 9,465,152 | \$ | 9,239,984 | \$ | 8,511,746 | \$ | 8,494,153 | \$ | 6, |
| S | 292,335 | \$ | 392,045 | \$ | 522,260 | \$ | 523,737 | \$ |  |
| s | - | \$ | - | \$ |  | \$ | - | \$ |  |
| S |  | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ |  | \$ |  |
| S | 3,812,500 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ |  |
| s | 675,000 | \$ | 987,500 | \$ | 312,500 | \$ | - | s |  |
| s | - | \$ | - | \$ | 382,541 | \$ | 1,808,050 | \$ |  |
|  | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ |  |
|  |  | s | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ |  |


| $1,531,960$ | $\$$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $6,950,346$ | $\$$ |
| $\$$ | $\$ 62,556$ | $\$$ |
| $\$$ | - | $\$$ |
| $\$$ | - | $\$$ |
| $\$$ | - | $\$$ |
| $\$$ | - | $\$$ |
| $\$$ | $2,119,059$ | $\$$ |
| $\$$ | - | $\$$ |
| $\$$ | - | $\$$ |


|  | 21,460,068 | S | 141,594,073 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$ |  | \$ | 25,000,000 |
| \$ | 5,316,377 | \$ | 80,361,013 |
| \$ | 380,557 | \$ | 2,785,265 |
| \$ |  | \$ | 150,000 |
| \$ |  | \$ | $(4,000,000)$ |
| \$ |  | \$ | 15,250,011 |
| \$ | - | \$ | 1,975,000 |
| \$ | 3,686,335 | \$ | 7,995,986 |
| \$ | 12,021,295 | \$ | 12,021,295 |
| \$ | 55,503 | \$ | 55,503 |



| As of December 31, 2014 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. Total Amount Credited to RDF | 250,500,000 |
| 2. Total RDF Payments | 204,203,487 |
| 3. Total Amount of Awards | 110,168,352 |
| 4. Amount of RDF Grants Paid | 60,367,779 |
| Unencumbered |  |
| Cumulative Balance | \$ (3,504,059) |
| 1-2-3+ |  |

## RENEWABLE DEVELOPMENT FUND

## 2016 Rider Calculation

Compare MN State Sales Allocator based on RDF and FCA Sales
All Sales in mWh

|  | a <br> RDF Total <br> System <br> Calendar <br> Month Sales | b | c | d | $\mathrm{f}=\mathrm{b}+\mathrm{c}+\mathrm{d}$ | $\mathrm{g}=\mathrm{f} / \mathrm{a}$ | h | i | j | k | $1=\mathrm{k} / \mathrm{j}$ | $\mathrm{m}=\mathrm{h}-\mathrm{a}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | FCA Total | FCA MN |  | FCA MN |  | Total |
|  |  | MN State | ND State | SD State | RDF NSPM | RDF MN | System | State | FCA Total System | State Cal Mo | FCA MN | System |
|  |  | Calendar | Calendar | Calendar | Calendar | State Sales | Calendar | Calendar | Cal Mo Sales less | Sales less | State Sales | Sales |
|  |  | Month | Month | Month | Month Sales | Allocator | Month Sales | Month Sales | Windsource | Windsource | Allocator | Difference |
| Sep-14 | 3,370,156 | 2,516,897 | 164,462 | 158,518 | 2,839,877 | 84.27\% | 3,370,156 | 2,516,896 | 3,355,891 | 2,502,631 | 74.57\% | 0 |
| Oct-14 | 3,312,705 | 2,442,025 | 171,811 | 160,298 | 2,774,134 | 83.74\% | 3,312,705 | 2,442,026 | 3,298,633 | 2,427,954 | 73.60\% | 0 |
| Nov-14 | 3,362,295 | 2,442,354 | 195,314 | 167,738 | 2,805,406 | 83.44\% | 3,362,295 | 2,442,354 | 3,350,154 | 2,430,213 | 72.54\% | 0 |
| Dec-14 | 3,564,948 | 2,577,853 | 214,798 | 170,373 | 2,963,024 | 83.12\% | 3,564,948 | 2,577,853 | 3,550,963 | 2,563,868 | 72.20\% | 0 |
| Jan-15 | 3,630,891 | 2,616,082 | 224,915 | 178,902 | 3,019,899 | 83.17\% | 3,630,891 | 2,616,082 | 3,616,540 | 2,601,731 | 71.94\% | 0 |
| Feb-15 | 3,257,897 | 2,345,601 | 203,177 | 158,698 | 2,707,476 | 83.11\% | 3,257,897 | 2,345,601 | 3,246,071 | 2,333,775 | 71.90\% | 0 |
| Mar-15 | 3,402,309 | 2,466,541 | 199,937 | 163,371 | 2,829,849 | 83.17\% | 3,402,309 | 2,466,541 | 3,388,147 | 2,452,379 | 72.38\% | 0 |
| Apr-15 | 3,060,887 | 2,248,153 | 166,528 | 146,375 | 2,561,056 | 83.67\% | 3,060,887 | 2,248,153 | 3,048,044 | 2,235,310 | 73.34\% | 0 |
| May-15 | 3,181,146 | 2,348,012 | 160,860 | 154,471 | 2,663,343 | 83.72\% | 3,181,146 | 2,348,012 | 3,170,009 | 2,336,875 | 73.72\% | 0 |
| Jun-15 | 3,458,996 | 2,576,393 | 170,060 | 183,207 | 2,929,660 | 84.70\% | 3,458,996 | 2,576,393 | 3,448,215 | 2,565,612 | 74.40\% | 0 |
| Jul-15 | 3,914,116 | 2,920,992 | 196,398 | 207,497 | 3,324,887 | 84.95\% | 3,914,116 | 2,920,992 | 3,901,567 | 2,908,443 | 74.55\% | 0 |
| Aug-15 | 3,847,860 | 2,858,348 | 194,125 | 198,581 | 3,251,054 | 84.49\% | 3,847,861 | 2,858,348 | 3,835,631 | 2,846,118 | 74.20\% | 1 |

a Total System Sales as reported by Xcel Energy in 2016 RDF Rider filing
b Minnesota State Electric sales, as reported by Xcel Energy
c North Dakota State Electric sales, as reported by Xcel Energy
South Dakota State Electric sales, as reported by Xcel Energy
Wholesale Jurisdiction Electric sales, as reported by Xcel Energy
Sum of Minnesota, North Dakota, South Dakota, and Wholesale Electric sales (know as "NSPM"); corresponds to NSPM sales used to create MN State Sales Allocator in 2014 RDF Rider filing
Ratio of NSPM to Total System Electric sales; corresponds to the MN State Sales Allocator in the 2015 RDF Rider filing
Total System Sales as reported by Xcel Energy in monthly FCA filings
Minnesota State Electric sales, as reported by Xcel Energy in monthly FCA filings
Total System Sales as reported by Xcel Energy in monthly FCA filings less Windsource sales not included in FCA calculation
Minnesota State Electric sales, as reported by Xcel Energy in monthly FCA filings less Windsource sales not included in FCA calculation
Ratio of NSPM to Total System Electric sales as reported in monthly FCA filings
m Comparison of Total System Sales reported in 2014 RDF Rider filing and monthly FCA filings

## RENEWABLE DEVELOPMENT FUND

## 2016 Rate Rider Calculation

Compare Actual RDF Rate Rider Revenues to Revenues Calculated using FCA sales
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## RENEWABLE DEVELOPMENT FUND

## 2016 Rate Rider Calculation

Compare Actual RDF Rate Rider Revenues to Revenues Calculated using FCA sales

| Month | Rider | CRS Tariff | Business <br> Unit | Object | a kWh Billing Month Volume | b Amount | $c=b / a$ <br> Average Rider Rate | Description | d FCA Sales | $e=a-d$ <br> Difference | $\mathrm{f}=\mathrm{d}^{*} \mathrm{e}$ <br> Revenue | $g=b-f$ <br> Difference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| May-15 | RDF <br> RDF <br> RDF <br> RDF | 6447 MNRRDF:[AResource Adjustment 6448 MNCRDF:[AResource Adjustment 6449 MNPRDF:[AResource Adjustment 7295 MNMRDF:[AResource Adjustment | $\begin{aligned} & 450006 \\ & 450016 \\ & 450016 \\ & 450016 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 511115 \\ & 511215 \\ & 511415 \\ & 511615 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | Less: Wholesale | $(240,444)$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Less: Net Wholesale Unbilled | - |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Add: Interdepartmental | 67 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Less: Windsource | $(11,137)$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 515,880,051 | 290,485.99 | 0.000563 | Total MN Cal Mo Retail | 2,336,875 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1,609,601,902 | 906,238.24 | 0.000563 | Less: Net Unbilled | $(208,535)$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 9,435,186 | 5,312.41 | 0.000563 | Add: Windsource | 11,137 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 4,493,071 | 2,529.55 | 0.000563 | Less: Interdepartmental | (67) |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 2,139,410,210 | 1,204,566.19 |  | Total MN Bill Mo Retail | 2,139,410 | - | 1,204,487.83 | 78 |
| Jun-15 | RDF | 6447 MNRRDF:[AResource Adjustment | $450006$ |  |  |  |  | Total MN Cal Mo Sales | 2,857,794 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Less: Wholesale | $(284,166)$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Less: Net Wholesale Unbilled | - |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Add: Interdepartmental | 2,765 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Less: Windsource | $(10,781)$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 511115 | 657,631,388 | 370,296.54 | 0.000563 | Total MN Cal Mo Retail | 2,565,612 |  |  |  |
|  | RDF | 6448 MNCRDF:[AResource Adjustment | 450016 | 511215 | 1,831,672,270 | 1,031,242.18 | 0.000563 | Less: Net Unbilled | $(69,967)$ |  |  |  |
|  | RDFRDF | 6449 MNPRDF:[AResource Adjustment | 450016 | 511415 | 9,111,068 | 5,130.07 | 0.000563 | Add: Windsource | 10,781 |  |  |  |
|  |  | 7295 MNMRDF:[AResource Adjustment | 450016 | 511615 | $5,246,943$ | 2,954.08 | 0.000563 | Less: Interdepartmental | $(2,765)$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 2,503,661,669 | 1,409,622.87 |  | Total MN Bill Mo Retail | 2,503,661 | 1 | 1,409,561.14 | 62 |
| Jul-15 |  | 6447 MNRRDF:[AResource Adjustment |  |  |  |  |  | Total MN Cal Mo Sales | 3,230,124 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Less: Wholesale | $(310,895)$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Less: Net Wholesale Unbilled | - |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Add: Interdepartmental | 1,763 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Less: Windsource | $(12,549)$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 450006 | 511115 | 877,055,084 | 493,837.66 | 0.000563 | Total MN Cal Mo Retail | 2,908,443 |  |  |  |
|  | RDFRDFRDF | 6448 MNCRDF:[AResource Adjustment | 450016 | 511215 | 1,974,857,309 | 1,111,851.82 | 0.000563 | Less: Net Unbilled | $(54,519)$ |  |  |  |
|  |  | 6449 MNPRDF:[AResource Adjustment | 450016 | 511415 | 7,204,286 | 4,056.53 | 0.000563 | Add: Windsource | 12,549 |  |  |  |
|  |  | 7295 MNMRDF:[AResource Adjustment | 450016 | 511615 |  | $\begin{array}{r} 3,155.39 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 0.000563 |  | $(1,763)$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | $2,864,720,983$ | 1,612,901.40 |  | Total MN Bill Mo Retail | $2,864,710$ | 11 | 1,612,831.73 | 70 |
| Aug-15 | RDF |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total MN Cal Mo Sales | 3,200,305 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Less: Wholesale | $(342,556)$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Less: Net Wholesale Unbilled | - |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Add: Interdepartmental | 599 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Less: Windsource | $(12,230)$ |  |  |  |
|  |  | 6447 MNRRDF:[AResource Adjustment | 450006 | 511115 | 902,323,987 | 508,044.18 | 0.000563 | Total MN Cal Mo Retail | 2,846,118 |  |  |  |
|  | RDF | 6448 MNCRDF:[AResource Adjustment | 450016 | 511215 | 1,994,305,498 | 1,122,819.75 | 0.000563 | Less: Net Unbilled | 54,270 |  |  |  |
|  | RDF | 6449 MNPRDF:[AResource Adjustment | 450016 | 511415 | 9,311,540 | 5,242.60 | 0.000563 | Add: Windsource | 12,230 |  |  |  |
|  | RDF | 7295 MNMRDF:[AResource Adjustment | 450016 | 511615 | 6,096,284 | 3,432.52 | 0.000563 | Less: Interdepartmental | (599) |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 2,912,037,309 | 1,639,539.05 |  | Total MN Bill Mo Retail | 2,912,019 | 18 | 1,639,466.70 | 72 |

## RENEWABLE DEVELOPMENT FUND

## Footnote

## Footnote Description

Administration costs recovered from Minnesota customers are equal to the Total Administrative costs multiplied by the MN Admin Cost Recovery Percentage. The MN Admin Cost Recovery Percentage for the forecast period is based on the ratio of EP and R\&D Grant Awards in Cycle 4.

2 Mandated by the Minnesota Legislature in 2003 for the Initiative for Renewable Energy and the Environment

3 Mandated by the Minnesota Legislature in 2003 for Renewable Energy Production Incentive payments

4 Mandated by the Minnesota Legislature in 2003 for the Excelsior Energy project to be paid over 5 years

5 Mandated by the Minnesota Legislature in 2005 for the Agricultural Utilization Research Institute

6 Mandated by the Minnesota Legislature in 2005 requiring the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency to make a deposit to the RDF account by 2007

7 Mandated by the Minnesota Legislature in 2009 for a payment in the amount of $\$ 15.25$ million over two fiscal years for the Next Gen Initiative
Mandated by the Minnesota Legislature in 2009 to pay $\$ 20$ million to IREE over four years. In 2011, the Minnesota legislature eliminated the final year payment of $\$ 5$ million, reducing the total to $\$ 15$ million
9 Mandated by the Minnesota Legislature in 2009 for a payment in the amount of $\$ 1.975$ million over two fiscal years for projects and programs administrered by the DOC

10 Mandated by the Minnesota Legislature in 2010 to pay $\$ 21$ million over five years for Minnesota Bonus Solar Rebates

Made in Minnesota Solar Energy Production Incentive Account - A ten year, $\$ 15$ million/year "Made In MN" incentive fund from 2014-2023 to be 11 administered by the DOC. About $\$ 3$ million/year will come from CIP funds and the balance of $\$ 12$ million/year is from the RDF. Expected total obligation from the RDF is $\$ 120$ million. (Statute

Solar Energy Incentive Program - A five year, $\$ 5$ million/year solar production incentive program from 2014-2018 with payouts over ten years
12 (approximately 2015-2028). Total obligation of $\$ 25$ million from the RDF. Sign up and installation in 2014 with first payments to begin in 2015 . RDF payment expected to peak at $\$ 2.5$ million/year by 2019 . Sign-up estimated at $\$ .5$ million/year.

13 In 2013, the $\$ 5$ million earmarked for future pilot investments was reallocated to Cycle 4 Grant awards.
March 11, 2014 Order (Docket No. E002/M-12-1278) approved Xcel Energy's December 12, 2013 selection of reserve projects and the process for using available funds to fund projects on the reserve list.

15 No grant disbursements are forcasted for reserve projects until funds from a project currently in negotiation are reallocated by the MPUC Executive Secretary.

## Redline

## RENEWABLE DEVELOPMENT FUND RIDER Section No. 5

15th16th Revised Sheet No. 143

## APPLICATION

Applicable to bills for electric service provided under the Company's retail rate schedules.

## RIDER

There shall be included on each customer's monthly bill a Renewable Development Fund charge that shall be the applicable Renewable Development Fund factor multiplied by the customer's monthly kWh electric consumption.

## DETERMINATION OF RENEWABLE DEVELOPMENT FUND FACTOR

The applicable Renewable Development Fund factor shall be the quotient obtained by dividing the annual Renewable Development Fund Tracker amount by the annual forecasted kWh sales. The factor may be adjusted annually with approval of the Minnesota Public Utilities Commission.

Residential<br>Commercial

$\$ 0.000563 \$ 0.000902$ per kWh
$\$ 0.000563 \$ 0.000902$ per kWh

## Recoverable Renewable Development Fund Expense

All costs appropriately charged to the Renewable Development Fund Tracker account shall be eligible for recovery through this Rider, and all revenues received from the Renewable Development Fund portion of the Resource Adjustment shall be credited to the Renewable Development Fund Tracker account.

## PROVISION OF FORECAST DATA

To assist commercial and industrial customers in budgeting and managing their energy costs, the Company will annually make available on October $1^{\text {st }}$ a 24 -month forecast of the Renewable Development Fund Factor applicable to demand billed C\&I customers under this Rider. The forecast period begins January $1^{\text {st }}$ of the following year. This forecast will be provided only to customers who have signed a protective agreement with the Company.


## Clean

## APPLICATION

Applicable to bills for electric service provided under the Company's retail rate schedules.

## RIDER

There shall be included on each customer's monthly bill a Renewable Development Fund charge that shall be the applicable Renewable Development Fund factor multiplied by the customer's monthly kWh electric consumption.

## DETERMINATION OF RENEWABLE DEVELOPMENT FUND FACTOR

The applicable Renewable Development Fund factor shall be the quotient obtained by dividing the annual Renewable Development Fund Tracker amount by the annual forecasted kWh sales. The factor may be adjusted annually with approval of the Minnesota Public Utilities Commission.

| Residential | $\$ 0.000902$ per kWh | R |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Commercial | $\$ 0.000902$ per kWh | R |

## Recoverable Renewable Development Fund Expense

All costs appropriately charged to the Renewable Development Fund Tracker account shall be eligible for recovery through this Rider, and all revenues received from the Renewable Development Fund portion of the Resource Adjustment shall be credited to the Renewable Development Fund Tracker account.

## PROVISION OF FORECAST DATA

To assist commercial and industrial customers in budgeting and managing their energy costs, the Company will annually make available on October $1^{\text {st }}$ a 24 -month forecast of the Renewable Development Fund Factor applicable to demand billed C\&I customers under this Rider. The forecast period begins January $1^{\text {st }}$ of the following year. This forecast will be provided only to customers who have signed a protective agreement with the Company.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ June 11, 2004 ORDER, Docket No. E002/M-03-2018 (Changing Inter-Jurisdictional Cost Allocations, Establishing Rate Rider, and Removing Renewable Development Fund Expenses from the Fuel Clause)
    December 7, 2007 ORDER, Docket No. E002/M-07-1274 (Petition for Approval of the RDF Annual Report, Tracker Account True-up, and New 2008 Rate Rider Factor)
    March 17, 2011 ORDER, Docket No. E002/M-10-1054 (Approving 2011 Renewable Development Fund Rider Factor)
    June 6, 2011 OrDER, Docket No. E002/M-10-1054 (After Reconsideration Modifying March 17, 2011 Order and Reallocating Expenses)
    February 17, 2012 ORDER, Docket No. E002/M-11-1007 (Petition for Approval of the RDF Annual Report, Tracker Account True-up, and New 2012 Rate Rider Factor)
    December 21, 2012 ORDER, Docket No. E002/M-12-1062 (Petition for Approval of the RDF Annual Report, Tracker Account True-up, and New 2013 Rate Rider Factor)
    December 11, 2013 ORDER, Docket No. E002/M-13-905 (Petition for Approval of the RDF Annual Report, Tracker Account True-up, and New 2014 Rate Rider Factor) December 12, 2014 ORDER, Docket No. E002/M-14-814 (Petition for Approval of the RDF Annual Report, Tracker Account True-up, and New 2015 Rate Rider Factor)

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ In the 2013 RDF Rate Rider filing, Docket No. E002/M-12-1062, we inadvertently calculated the 2012 carry forward based on the filed rate for 2012, not the final rate for 2012. This error impacted the 2012 carry forward used in the calculation of the 2013 rider rate. Because the carry forward was negative (an over collection), making the change would have increased the proposed 2013 rate rider. We agreed in our Reply Comments not to make that change. While this table shows the 2012 carry forward based on the filed rate for 2012, this issue has no impact on the 2016 rate calculation. We have updated with 2012 actual data, and calculated the actual carry forward.
    ${ }^{3}$ The 2015 Rate Factor shown reflects the Company's original request. The final approved RDF Rate Factor of $\$ 0.000563$ included a revision for the AnAerobics disallowance.

[^2]:    ${ }^{4}$ March 11, 2014 ORDER, Docket No. E002/M-12-1278 (Order Approving RDF Grant Recommendations, Establishing Funding Procedures, and Requiring Compliance Filings). Awards were approved for twenty energy production projects, totaling $\$ 26,559,723$, six research and development projects, totaling $\$ 5,449,774$, and three higher education research programs, totaling $\$ 10,657,215$. In addition six projects were approved as reserves, totaling $\$ 10,667,696$, to replace an approved project if a grant contract cannot be developed.

[^3]:    ${ }^{5}$ We made a similar adjustment in the Transmission Cost Recovery (TCR) Tracker in our June 30, 2015 compliance filing in Docket No. E002/M-14-852. See Footnote 1 and Attachment A, pages 1 and 3 of that filing.

