

Ms. Tricia L. DeBleeckere  
Minnesota Public Utilities Commission  
121 7<sup>th</sup> Place East STE 350, St. Paul, MN 55101

RE: Big Blue Site Permit Major Deviations

Dear Ms. DeBleeckere

Big Blue made some major deviations from the permit, to what they actually built. It's like they applied for one project, but built a totally different project. They did not adhere to the Site Permit granted by the PUC on August 17, 2011.

**18 month noise study never submitted**

Another breach of the Big Blue permit is the order to submit a noise study 18 months after commercial operations date. (Docket ID #201012-57186-10: Application Page 22 Sec 8.33) Big Blue admits they did not submit the study because of an "administrative oversight". Never the less the study was never submitted. Since then they have conducted at least 2 more noise studies, but they have never publicly released the results. If these turbines are within the noise compliance, why haven't they released the results? These turbines are extremely loud, and if a noise study is ordered by the PUC, then the study needs to be by a third person, also the operations of the project need to be studied too. I do not trust Big Blue to operate the project normally so the results will be accurate. Nor do I trust Big Blue to conduct the noise study at the receiver's houses in a way that the results will be accurate.

As you can see, the Big Blue project has some major deviations from the Site Permit that have never been addressed by the Minnesota Public Utilities Commission, nor have these deviations been explained by Big Blue. Therefore I ask the Site Permit be suspended until the PUC and Big Blue can fix each issue, or revoke the Site Permit completely so decommissioning can begin.

Sincerely,

Dan Moore  
Farmer  
Blue Earth, Mn