

Staff Briefing Papers

| Meeting Date | March 8, 2018 | Agenda Item #6 | | | | |
|--------------|--|--------------------------|--------------|--|--|--|
| Company | Minnesota Power | | | | | |
| Docket No. | E-015/D-17-118 | | | | | |
| | In the Matter of Minnesota Depreciation Petition | | | | | |
| lssue | Should the Commission appression appres | | | | | |
| Staff | Ann Schwieger <u>Ar</u> | nn.schwieger@state.mn.us | 651-201-2238 | | | |

| ✓ Relevant Documents | Date |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------|
| Minnesota Power – Initial Filing | February 1, 2017 |
| MN OAG – Comments | March 2, 2017 |
| Minnesota Power – Letter | March 13, 2017 |
| Minnesota Power – Letter | June 29, 2017 |
| Department of Commerce – Comments | December 18, 2017 |
| Minnesota Power - Letter | December 22, 2017 |

These materials are work papers of the Commission Staff. They are intended for use by the Public Utilities Commission and are based upon information already in the record unless noted otherwise.

To request this document in another format such as large print or audio, call 651.296.0406 (voice). Persons with a hearing or speech impairment may call using their preferred Telecommunications Relay Service or email <u>consumer.puc@state.mn.us</u> for assistance.

I. Statement of the Issue

Should the Commission approve Minnesota Power's 2017 remaining lives depreciation petition to reflect the decisions made in the Company's recent general rate case?

II. Background

The Commission needs to approve Minnesota Power's 2017 remaining lives depreciation petition to reflect the decisions made in the Company's recent general rate case, Docket No. E-015/GR-16-664. All interested parties agreed that the decisions made in the Company's rate case would be reflected in the Company's 2017 remaining lives depreciation petition. The petition has been updated to reflect the Commission's decision to extend the life of Hibbard Energy Center to 2029 to match the economic life approved by the Commission in Minnesota Power's most recent IRP.

The filing has also been updated to reflect the decision to shorten the remaining lives of Boswell Energy Center Units 1 & 2 by two years, to 2022. The Commission set the remaining accounting life of Units 3 and 4 and the common facilities at 2050. The Commission also granted a variance to the rules that require Unit 3 and Unit 4's accounting lives to match their probable service lives. The variance will remain in effect until terminated by the Commission. The extension of the accounting life of Units 3 & 4 was used as a rate mitigation tool and does not extend the service or operational life of these facilities.

<u>February 1, 2017</u>: Minnesota Power filed its 2017 remaining lives depreciation petition and requested that the remaining lives of all facilities be adjusted for one year's passage of time except for Boswell Energy Center. Minnesota Power requested that the remaining life of all portions of the Boswell Energy Center be consolidated into one remaining life and the life extended to 2050.

Minnesota Power stated Boswell should be treated as one unit for depreciation purposes because the units share critical infrastructure which makes it difficult to separate the units and the entire facility has been well maintained to extend the operations to 2050. The Company argued that treating BEC as one unit for depreciation purposes would create certainty with regard to cost recovery while reducing the annual cost to its customers.

The Company stated that the primary driver behind its request was the recently completed retrofit of BEC Units 3 & 4. The retrofit justifies an extended life for the length of time the equipment may operate. In support of its position, the Company obtained an opinion from Burns & McDonnell which concluded that:

"Industry experience has shown that with proper maintenance and investments into replacements and upgrades (environmental, performance, and otherwise), that similar coal fired facilities have achieved physical lives well past their originally planned lives. From our knowledge of the BEC facilities, we don't see any reason that the BEC facility is an outlier. Therefore, based upon industry experience, we see no technical reasons that Boswell Energy Center could not physically be operated until 2050, with appropriate maintenance and investments into replacements and upgrades."

<u>March 2, 2017</u>: The OAG submitted comments and stated that because of the factual and procedural complexity of the issue, the Commission should decline to make a decision on the remaining lives of the BEC investments in this proceeding, and instead reserve the issue for the rate case.

<u>March 13, 2017</u>: Minnesota Power submitted reply comments and stated that a deferral of a decision to its rate case, rather than in this depreciation filing would prevent the Company from being able to properly account for the depreciation rates in the current financial year. The Company argued there would be both procedural and real financial impacts if the decision in this petition was deferred to the rate case.

<u>June 29, 2017</u>: Minnesota Power submitted an updated filing based on the testimony of the Department in the rate case. The Company stated it agreed with the Department's position that the life of the Hibbard Renewable Energy Center (HREC) be extended to 2029 to match the life approved by the Commission in Minnesota Power's most recent IRP.

<u>December 18, 2017</u>: The Department submitted Comments and made the following recommendations:

- Approve the proposed remaining lives and salvage rates (including the proposed life extension for the Hibbard Renewable Energy Center) for all of Minnesota Power's production plants and general plant accounts, except for the remaining lives proposed for the Boswell Energy Center;
- Approve remaining lives of six years as of January 1, 2017, for Boswell Units 1 and 2, based on a retirement year of 2022;
- Approve remaining lives of 34 years for Boswell Units 3 and 4 and the Common facilities, based on a retirement year of 2050;
- Require Minnesota Power to include in future depreciation filings a comparison of the remaining lives used in its depreciation filing to the operating lives used in the Company's most recent integrated resource plan and explain any differences;
- Require Minnesota Power to make a compliance filing to report either (a) the journal entries associated with the sale of its aircraft and the Company's proposed treatment of any associated gain or loss, or (b) Minnesota Power's plans if it decides not to sell its aircraft;
- Require Minnesota Power to make its next depreciation filing on or before September 3, 2018 to establish depreciation parameters and rates to be effective January 1, 2018.

<u>December 22, 2017</u>: Minnesota Power submitted reply comments and stated it agreed with the Department's recommendation that the life of Hibbard Energy Center should be extended to 2029 to match the life approved by the Commission in Minnesota Power's most recent IRP.

Minnesota Power continued to support its proposal to consolidate all of the Boswell Units into one Unit and extend that life to 2050. The Company stated that whatever decision the Commission makes in the rate case should be reflected in this Docket and be made effective as of January 1, 2017.

January 18, 2018: Minnesota Power's rate case, in Docket No. E015/GR-16-664, was heard by the Commission. Determinations in the rate case were made with the understanding that this depreciation docket would be updated to reflect the Commission's decisions.

Hibbard Generator

Commissioner Lipschultz moved that the Commission:

• Extend the depreciation life of the Hibbard Renewable Energy Center to 2029 to match the economic life currently approved by the Commission in the IRP.

The motion passed 5–0.

Boswell Energy Center

Commissioner Lipschultz moved that the Commission:

• Set the remaining accounting lives for Boswell Units 1 and 2 at 2022. Set the remaining accounting life of Units 3 and 4 and the common facilities at 2050. Vary the rules that require Unit 3 and Unit 4's accounting lives to match their probable service lives. The variance shall remain in effect until terminated by the Commission. The extension of the accounting life of Units 3 & 4 does not extend the service or operational life of these facilities.

The motion passed 5–0.

In the rate case, MP and the Department supported the Commission's decisions. OAG did not support the Commission's BEC decision.

An order reflecting the Commission's decision in the rate case is expected to be issued no later than March 12, 2018.

III. Staff Analysis

The Commission's decision results in an approximately \$21.2 million reduction in the Company's 2017 depreciation expense. The overall effect is shown in the attached schedule. On the schedule, it is interesting to note that prior to Minnesota Power filing its rate case, the Company had been accruing only fifty percent of the estimated salvage rate for Boswell, Hibbard and Taconite Harbor. The Company had been using probabilities to calculate salvage value based on the probability of the unit being decommissioned at the end of its projected life. In E,G-999/CI-13-626, the Commission ordered a generic docket to investigate this practice and determined Minnesota Power shall quit using probabilities in its next rate case.

IV. Decision Alternatives

The following decision alternatives are based on the Department's recommendation which Minnesota Power has agreed to and is consistent with the Commission's decision in the rate case:

- Approve the proposed remaining lives and salvage rates (including the proposed life extension for the Hibbard Renewable Energy Center) for all of Minnesota Power's production plants and general plant accounts, except for the remaining lives proposed for the Boswell Energy Center;
- Approve remaining lives of six years as of January 1, 2017, for Boswell Units 1 and 2, based on a retirement year of 2022;
- Approve remaining lives of 34 years for Boswell Units 3 and 4 and the Common facilities, based on a retirement year of 2050;
- Require Minnesota Power to include in future depreciation filings a comparison of the remaining lives used in its depreciation filing to the operating lives used in the Company's most recent integrated resource plan and explain any differences;
- Require Minnesota Power to make a compliance filing to report either (a) the journal entries associated with the sale of its aircraft and the Company's proposed treatment of any associated gain or loss, or (b) Minnesota Power's plans if it decides not to sell its aircraft;
- Require Minnesota Power to make its next depreciation filing on or before September 3, 2018 to establish depreciation parameters and rates to be effective January 1, 2018.

MINNESOTA POWER PRODUCTION PLANT COMPARISON OF PRESENT AND PROPOSED REMAINING LIVES 2017

| | | | Current Rates | | | Proposed Rates | | | Effect of |
|--|------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|------------------|---------------------|-------------------|------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|
| | Depreciable Plant Balance | Depreciation Reserve | Remaining Life | Salvage Value | 2017 Annual | Remaining Life | Salvage Value | 2017 Annual | Rate Changes to 2017 |
| Steam Generation | 12/31/16 | 12/31/16 | (01/01/17) | (01/01/17) | Accrual | (01/01/17) | (01/01/17) | Accrual | Accrual |
| Hibbard SE Station: | 93,461,883 | 53,764,885 | 8 | -1.10% | 5,090,635 | 13 | -2.11% | 3,205,311 | (1,885,324) |
| Laskin Energy Center | 86,433,501 | 57,409,356 | 14 | -24.00% | 3,554,870 | 14 | -24.12% | 3,562,279 | 7,409 |
| Boswell Energy Center: | 1,331,403,558 | 492,059,792 | | | 53,271,033 | | | 33,751,798 | (19,519,235) |
| Unit No. 1 | 45,101,081 | 28,621,210 | 8 | -7.90% | 2,505,357 | 6 | -16.08% | 3,955,354 | 1,449,997 |
| Unit No. 2 | 40,144,937 | 27,024,581 | 8 | -9.99% | 2,141,354 | 6 | -18.06% | 3,395,089 | 1,253,735 |
| Unit No. 3 | 450,258,763 | 160,642,947 | 18 | -5.85% | 17,553,109 | 34 | -7.92% | 9,566,950 | (7,986,159) |
| Unit No. 4 | 599,540,549 | 170,825,337 | 19 | -3.69% | 23,728,329 | 34 | -7.42% | 13,917,680 | (9,810,649) |
| Common | 196,358,228 | 104,945,717 | 13 | -2.06% | 7,342,884 | 34 | -3.95% | 2,916,725 | (4,426,159) |
| Taconite Harbor Energy Center | 141,989,417 | 58,135,869 | | | 9,174,365 | | | 9,375,727 | 201,362 |
| Structure/Unit | 136,980,992 | 53,127,444 | 10 | -5.76% | 9,174,365 | 10 | -7.23% | 9,375,727 | 201,362 |
| Ash Ponds* | 5,008,425 | 5,008,425 | - | -5.76% | - | - | -7.23% | - | - |
| Total Steam Generation | 1,653,288,359 | 661,369,902 | | | 71,090,903 | | | 49,895,115 | (21,195,788) |
| Wind Generation | | | | | | | | | |
| Bison 1A | 76,533,973 | 13,973,718 | 28 | -0.95% | 2,260,262 | 28 | -0.95% | 2,260,262 | - |
| Bison 1B | 73,258,168 | 8,603,654 | 29 | -0.93% | 2,252,959 | 29 | -0.93% | 2,252,959 | - |
| Bison 2 | 150,269,187 | 18,712,866 | 30 | -0.35% | 4,402,742 | 30 | -0.35% | 4,402,742 | - |
| Bison 3 | 149,415,160 | 17,326,319 | 30 | -0.42% | 4,423,879 | 30 | -0.42% | 4,423,879 | |
| Bison 4 | 325,257,649 | 19,188,481 | 32 | 0.03% | 9,561,612 | 32 | 0.03% | 9,561,612 | - |
| Subtotal Bison | 774,734,137 | 77,805,038 | | | 22,901,454 | | | 22,901,454 | - |
| Taconite Ridge I Energy Center | 47,824,453 | 5,289,179 | 26 | -0.32% | 1,641,858 | 26 | -0.31% | 1,641,674 | (184) |
| Total Wind Generation | 822,558,590 | 83,094,217 | | | 24,543,312 | | | 24,543,128 | (184) |
| Hydroelectric Production Plants | | | | | | | | | |
| Birch Lake Reservoir | 3,588,177 | 3,591 | 47 | 0.00% | 76,268 | 47 | 0.00% | 76,268 | - |
| Blanchard HE Station | 11,920,919 | 5,329,552 | 47 | 0.00% | 140,242 | 47 | 0.00% | 140,242 | - |
| Boulder Lake Reservoir | 519,530 | 323,837 | 47 | 0.00% | 4,164 | 47 | 0.00% | 4,164 | - |
| Fish Lake Reservoir | 945,803 | 245,397 | 47 | 0.00% | 14,902 | 47 | 0.00% | 14,902 | - |
| Fond du Lac HE Station | 18,094,873 | 3,732,563 | 47 | 0.00% | 305,581 | 47 | 0.00% | 305,581 | - |
| Gauging Stations | 125,451 | 63,673 | 47 | 0.00% | 1,314 | 47 | 0.00% | 1,314 | - |
| Island Lake Reservoir | 12,522,498 | 1,090,295 | 47 | 0.00% | 243,238 | 47 | 0.00% | 243,238 | - |
| Knife Falls HE Station | 3,556,172 | 1,832,171 | 47 | 0.00% | 36,681 | 47 | 0.00% | 36,681 | - |
| Little Falls HE Station | 8,947,421 | 4,249,205 | 47 | 0.00% | 99,962 | 47 | 0.00% | 99,962 | - |
| Pillager HE Station | 2,320,626 | 1,296,654 | 47 | 0.00% | 21,787 | 47 | 0.00% | 21,787 | - |
| Prairie River HE Station | 4,664,659 | 957,656 | 47 | 0.00% | 78,872 | 47 | 0.00% | 78,872 | - |
| Rice Lake Reservoir | 219,176 | 56,653 | 47 | 0.00% | 3,458 | 47 | 0.00% | 3,458 | - |
| Scanlon HE Station | 3,570,519 | 1,453,623 | 47 | 0.00% | 45,040 | 47 | 0.00% | 45,040 | - |
| Sylvan HE Station | 2,252,289 | 1,525,518 | 47 47 | 0.00% 0.00% | 15,463 | 47 47 | 0.00% 0.00% | 15,463 | - |
| Thomson HE Station White Iron Lake Reservoir | 100,189,337 28,934 | 4,298,901 14,327 | 47 | 0.00% | 2,040,222 311 | 47 47 | 0.00% | 2,040,222 311 | - |
| White Iron Lake Reservoir Whiteface Reservoir | | | 47 | 0.00% | | 47 47 | 0.00% | 311 14,680 | - |
| Whiteface Reservoir Winton HE Station | 1,295,371 | 605,391 2,548,327 | 47 | 0.00% | 14,680 | 47 47 | | | - |
| Total Hydroelectric Production Plants | 5,161,797 179,923,552 | 29,627,334 | 47 | 0.00% | 55,606 3,197,791 | 47 | 0.00% | 55,606 3,197,791 | |
| Total Generation | 2,655,770,501 | 774,091,453 | | - | 98,832,006 | | _ | 77,636,034 | (21,195,972) |
| • | | | | = | | | | | |

* The ash ponds have a 5 year life, as they are built and filled in on a 5-year cycle. New Ash Ponds with 5 year life added in 2010.