COMMERCE DEPARTMENT

April 16, 2018

Daniel P. Wolf Executive Secretary Minnesota Public Utilities Commission 121 7th Place East, Suite 350 St. Paul, MN 55101-2147

RE: In the Matter of Northern States Power Company's, doing business as Xcel Energy, Annual Report of its Incentive Compensation Plan Docket Nos. E002/GR-92-1185; G002/GR-92-1186; and E,G002/M-17-429

Dear Mr. Wolf:

Attached are the complete Comments (including Attachment A) of the Minnesota Department of Commerce, Division of Energy Resources (Department) in response to the Minnesota Public Utilities Commission's (Commission) March 14, 2018 *Notice of Comment Period*.

The Department apologizes for inadvertently excluding Attachment A from its April 12, 2018 Comments.

The Department is available to answer any questions the Commission may have.

Sincerely,

/s/ DALE V. LUSTI Public Utility Financial Analyst

DVL/ja Attachment

COMMERCE DEPARTMENT

Before the Minnesota Public Utilities Commission

Comments of the Minnesota Department of Commerce Division of Energy Resources

Docket No. E-002/GR-92-1185 Docket No. G-002/GR-92-1186 Docket No. E,G-002/M-17-429

I. INTRODUCTION

On March 14, 2018, the Minnesota Public Utilities Commission (Commission) issued a request for Initial Comments by April 12, 2018 (April 12, 2018 Notice) on the issue of the "Calculation of incentive compensation funds collected in rates that are not paid." The Commission requested Comments on the following topics:

- In determining whether a ratepayer refund is due, should Xcel compare the amount approved in base rates to (1) the amount eligible for recovery that was actually paid, or (2) the total amount of incentive compensation paid, including both the current amount that is eligible for cost recovery and the amount ineligible for cost recovery?
- Are there other issues or concerns related to this matter?

II. BACKGROUND INFORMATION

Before specifically responding to the request, the Department provides the following background on the Commission's treatment of incentive compensation in several of Xcel's rate cases.

The Commission in its November 27, 1991 FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW, AND ORDER in Docket No. E-002/GR-91-1 (its 91-1 Order), beginning at page 55 stated:

Finally, the Commission notes that a threshold earnings per share of \$2.75 must be met before any incentive compensation is paid under the program to any NSP employee. The Commission finds that this component of the Company's incentive program is unreasonable and unacceptable.

Because compensation is linked to Company earnings per share, employees may be motivated to gauge their actions by immediate

Company earnings rather than overall Company benefit. NSP's incentive compensation program may motivate employees towards decisions bringing immediate profit, regardless of the long-term consequences.

Although NSP's incentive plan incorporates some individual performance goals, an employee who meets those goals will still be denied compensation if the Company's earnings per share threshold is not reached. It would be unreasonable and unfair to deny an employee the compensation he or she may have personally earned because the utility's earnings per share reach \$2.74 rather than the required \$2.75. This hypothetical would hold true under NSP's plan, even though the Company's failure to reach targeted goals had nothing to do with the employee's performance.

The Commission concludes that NSP's incentive compensation program is an improper effort by the Company to pass the risk of operations from shareholders to ratepayers and employees. This program is an attempt to maximize shareholders' benefits at the expense of ratepayers. The Commission will exclude all test year incentive compensation costs.

The Commission in its January 14, 1994 ORDER AFTER RECONSIDERATION in Docket No. E-002/GR-92-1185, beginning at page 7 stated:

All these considerations together lead the Commission to conclude that granting partial recovery of incentive compensation expenses would be a better course than totally disallowing them. The Commission will therefore allow recovery of incentive compensation costs as outlined below.

1. Recoverable Payments limited to 15 percent of Base Salary

The Commission continues to believe, for the reasons set forth in the Original Order, that the officers' and executives' plans allow too high a proportion of these employees' total wages to come from incentive compensation. (These plans provide for incentive payments of up to 40 percent of base pay.) The Commission will

limit recoverable incentive payments to 15 percent of an individual's base salary.

2. Shortfall to be Held for Ratepayers

In the original Order, the Commission expressed strong disapproval of the Company's retention of the right not to make incentive payments earned under the plan. The Commission continues to view this as an inappropriate transfer of risk from shareholders to ratepayers and as inconsistent with the test year concept on which rates are based. The Commission will therefore require the Company to record all earned but unpaid incentive compensation recoverable in rates under this Order for future return to ratepayers. This will adequately protect ratepayers' interests and prevent erosion of the test year concept.

The Administrative Law Judge in her February 22, 2012 ORDER in Docket No. E-002/GR-10-971, on page 29, paragraph 159 stated:

The Company also stressed that it has agreed to limit the level of AIP included in Test Year expenses to 15 percent of base salary which assures that the ratepayers are not paying more for compensation than is reasonable. Also, any AIP [Annual Incentive Plan] that is not earned by employees (hence, not expended by the Company) will be returned to ratepayers, as part of a refund mechanism that has been in operation for several years.

Conclusion – Cash Compensation

Page 30, paragraph 167 – ...The Company has agreed that it will refund to ratepayers any AIP that is not awarded to its employees.

The Commission in its September 3, 2013 FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW, AND ORDER in Docket No. E-002/GR-12-961, at Order point 29 stated:

> Xcel shall retain its existing refund mechanism, which provides customer refunds in the event the incentive compensation payouts are lower than the test-year level approved in rates.

Ms. Anne E. Heuer, Director of Revenue Analysis for Xcel Energy Services, Inc., describes on Page 78 of her pre-filed Direct Testimony in Xcel's most recent rate case, Docket No. E002/GR-15-826 (the 15-826 docket), how Xcel limited its AIP cost recovery request for incentive compensation as follows:

Consistent with prior rate case treatment, we have adjusted 2016 test year costs to exclude the budgeted costs for: 1) the long-term portion of the incentive compensation; 2) any non-corporate incentive plan costs, and 3) all Annual Incentive Plan amounts above 15 percent of each individual's base pay. Company witness Ms. Ruth Lowenthal discusses incentive compensation in her Direct Testimony.

Ms. Ruth K. Lowenthal, Vice President, Total Rewards for Xcel Energy Services, Inc., describes on Page 8 of her pre-filed Direct Testimony in Xcel's most recent rate case, Docket No. E002/GR-15-826, how Xcel limited its AIP cost recovery request for incentive compensation as follows:

We have limited our request for AIP cost recovery in several ways, consistent with previous rate cases and Commission precedent. First, we are requesting rate recovery of our incentive compensation costs subject to a four-year average pay-out of AIP – capped at 100 percent performance target and limited to a cap of 15 percent of base salary. Therefore, customers are not funding the full cost of employee compensation. This cap not only implements the Commission's original intent¹ of excluding recovery of part of the incentive compensation costs related to executives, but it also excludes recovery of part of the incentive compensation paid to middle management employees as part of their total compensation package.

¹ Docket Nos. E002/GR-92-1185 and G002/GR-92-1186.

> Second, we are proposing to retain the refund mechanism that would provide customer refunds if actual incentive compensation payments are lower than the test year level approved in rates.

III. DEPARTMENT ANALYSIS

A. WHAT ITEMS SHOULD BE COMPARED TO DETERMINE WHETHER A REFUND IS DUE IN THE UTILITY'S ANNUAL INCENTIVE COMPENSATION REPORT?

The Department uses Attachment A to this response, in its discussion of the two options specified in the Commission's April 12, 2018 Notice to determine whether a ratepayer refund is due.

Option 1 – This option compares the amount of AIP paid out that was eligible for recovery from ratepayers (this amount excludes AIP in excess of 15 percent of an individual's base pay) to the amount included in base rates. In this option, if the amount of AIP paid during the year that was eligible for rate recovery is greater than the amount approved in base rates, there would be no refund due. In the year 2016, using information provided in the Company's May 26, 2017 Incentive Compensation Annual Report, Attachment E, Page 1 of 1, (and also as included in Attachment A to these Comments), the Company's AIP compensation that was eligible for recovery from Xcel Electric customers was \$18,114,696. Thus, because the amount approved in electric base rates was \$19,393,351, and is larger than the amount eligible for recovery, there would be an over-collection of \$1,278,656 eligible for refund.

Option 2 – This option compares the total amount of AIP paid (including both amounts eligible for recovery as well as those ineligible for recovery) to the amount included in base rates. In this option, if the amount of AIP paid during the year is greater than the amount approved in base rates, there would be no refund due. Thus, in the year 2016, as shown in Attachment A, the Company paid \$22,443,649 in Minnesota jurisdictional incentive compensation. Since the amount of incentive compensation approved in base rates in its most recent rate case was \$19,393,351, which is less than the amount paid, there would be no refund due. Additionally, the Company would have recovered through base rates, 86.4 percent of the incentive compensation paid out in 2016.

The Department notes under Option 1, there would have been refunds due to ratepayers in the years 2015 and 2016. However, under Option 2, there would be no refunds due ratepayers in any of the years 2012 thru 2016 as can be seen in Attachment A.

B. ARE THERE OTHER ISSUES OR CONCERNS RELATED TO THIS MATTER?

The Department notes that Xcel's May 26, 2017 compensation report filed in Docket E,G002/M-17-429 inappropriately summed up the incentive compensation levels for both Xcel Gas and Xcel Electric. However, since Xcel Gas and Xcel Electric are two different utilities with two different sets of rates, Option 1 requires that the incentive compensation paid to the employees in these two companies be compared to the amounts of incentive compensation reflected in the two different sets of rates for Xcel Gas and Xcel Electric.

Unfortunately, the Department's comments filed on June 22, 2017 focused on the text of Xcel's filing and did not identify that Attachment C of Xcel's May 26, 2017 filing showed that the incentive compensation paid by Xcel Electric was less than the amount built into rates. The Department apologizes for this inadvertent oversight. Specifically, as noted above, Xcel Electric paid \$18,114,696 in annual incentive compensation while charging Xcel Electric Ratepayers \$19,393,351. As a result, under Option 1, the Department now concludes that Xcel Electric owes its ratepayers \$1,278,656.²

The Department also notes that over the years the topic of AIP compensation has existed, the recoverable part of incentive compensation sometimes has been stated as limited to 15 percent of base compensation; whereas, other times it has been stated as limited to 15 percent of an individual employee's base salary. As noted above in the BACKGROUND section of these *Comments*, in the 92-1185 docket – the first Xcel rate case where it specifically allowed recovery of incentive compensation – the Commission limited recoverable incentive payments to 15 percent of an individual's base salary. Similarly, in Xcel's most recent rate case, the 15-826 docket, Xcel witness Ms. Heuer testified that she has adjusted 2016 test year costs to exclude all Annual Incentive Plan amounts above 15 percent of each individual's base pay. The similarity above is that in both the Commission's Order in the 92-1185 docket, and Ms. Heuer's testimony in the most recent rate case, the 15-826 docket, all AIP payments above 15 percent of an individual's base pay were treated as non-recoverable compensation.

From a review of Xcel's Incentive Compensation Annual Reports, the Department notes that Xcel does calculate both the amount of AIP paid during the year that was eligible for rate recovery, and the amount approvsed in base rates.

² A similar circumstance occurred in Docket No, E,G002/M-16-482, with Xcel Electric paying \$17,254,100 in annual incentive compensation while charging ratepayers \$17,584,311, resulting in an overcharge of \$330,211. However, the Commission issued its Order in that proceeding on October 3, 2016.

IV. CONCLUSION

The Department asserts that Option 1 is the appropriate comparison based on the fact that the amount of incentive compensation approved by the Commission in recent rate cases is based on the summation of all individual eligible employees' AIP compensation being limited to 15 percent of his/her base compensation.

As first stated by the Commission in its 92-1185 Order identified above, "the Commission will limit recoverable incentive payments to 15 percent of an individual's base salary." The methodology of calculating Xcel's test-year AIP compensation in recent rate cases by both the Company and the Department has been to limit recoverable payments to 15 percent of an individual's base compensation.

Refunding to ratepayers AIP amounts included in base rates that exceed amounts paid that are eligible for recovery is in keeping with the Commission's 92-1185 Order identified above, which limits recoverable compensation to 15 percent of an individual's base compensation. Therefore, the Department concludes that Xcel Electric owes its ratepayers \$1,278,656.

/ja

		Northern States Power Company		Attachmen	tA
		DOC Review of Annual Incentive Compensation Plan Reports For the Years 2012 through 2016			
					· ···
Line			2016	2016	2016
No.	Year		Total	Electric	Gas
1	2016	AIP paid, State of MN Jurisdictional amount	23,774,717	22,443,649	1,331,068
2	2016	Amount eligible for recovery. Excludes AIP over 15% of base pay	19,237,706	18,114,695	1,123,011
3	2016	Amount approved in base rates	20,321,236	19,393,351	927,885
4	2016	Percent recovered [Lines (3) / (1)]	85.5%	86.4%	69.79
5	2016	Ratepayer refund [Lines (3) - (1). If negative, show 0.	-	-	-
		DOC calculation of the potential ratepayer refund [Lines (3) - (2). If			
6	2016	negative, show 0. 2/	1,278,656	1,278,656	-
			2015	2015	2015
	Year		Total	Electric	Gas
7	2015	AIP paid, State of MN Jurisdictional amount	22 500 512	21 225 904	1 272 700
8	2015	Amount eligible for recovery. Excludes AIP over 15% of base pay	22,599,513 18,343,064	21,225,804	1,373,709
9	2015	Amount approved in base rates	18,543,084	17,254,100 17,584,311	1,088,964 927,885
10	2015	Percent recovered [Lines (9) / (7)]	81.9%	82.8%	927,885 67.59
10	2015	Ratepayer refund [Lines (9) - (7). If negative, show 0.	81.9%	02.070	07.57
T T	2015	DOC calculation of the potential ratepayer refund [Lines (9) - (8). If	-	-	-
12	2015	negative, show 0. 2/	330,211	330,211	-
			2014	2014	2014
	Year		Total	Electric	Gas
	rear		Total	LICCUIC	003
13	2014	AIP paid, State of MN Jurisdictional amount	24,797,810	23,337,575	1,460,235
14	2014	Amount eligible for recovery. Excludes AIP over 15% of base pay	19,577,456	18,455,221	1,122,235
15	2014	Amount approved in base rates	18,512,196	17,584,311	927,885
16	2014	Percent recovered [Lines (15) / (13)]	74.7%	75.3%	63.5%
17	2014	Ratepayer refund [Lines (15) - (13). If negative, show 0.	-	-	-
18	2014	DOC calculation of the potential ratepayer refund [Lines (15) - (14). If negative, show 0. 2/	_	-	-
	Vacre		2013 Tatal	2013	2013
	Year		Total	Electric	Gas
19	2013	AIP paid, State of MN Jurisdictional amount	27,762,500	26,207,706	1,554,793
	2013	Amount eligible for recovery. Excludes AIP over 15% of base pay			1,106,039
20		Amount engine for recovery, excludes AIP over 15% of base pay	21,004,309	19,898,270	
20 21	2013	Amount approved in base rates	21,004,309 19,817,654	19,898,270 18,889,769	
				19,898,270 18,889,769 72.1%	927,885
21	2013	Amount approved in base rates Percent recovered [Lines (21) / (19)] Ratepayer refund [Lines (21) - (19). If negative, show 0.	19,817,654	18,889,769	927,885
21 22	2013 2013	Amount approved in base rates Percent recovered [Lines (21) / (19)] Ratepayer refund [Lines (21) - (19). If negative, show 0. DOC calculation of the potential ratepayer refund [Lines (21) - (20). If	19,817,654	18,889,769	927,885
21 22 23	2013 2013 2013	Amount approved in base rates Percent recovered [Lines (21) / (19)] Ratepayer refund [Lines (21) - (19). If negative, show 0.	19,817,654 71.4% - -	18,889,769 72.1% - -	927,885
21 22 23	2013 2013 2013 2013 2013	Amount approved in base rates Percent recovered [Lines (21) / (19)] Ratepayer refund [Lines (21) - (19). If negative, show 0. DOC calculation of the potential ratepayer refund [Lines (21) - (20). If	19,817,654 71.4% - - 2012	18,889,769 72.1% - - 2012	927,885 59.7% - - 2012
21 22 23	2013 2013 2013	Amount approved in base rates Percent recovered [Lines (21) / (19)] Ratepayer refund [Lines (21) - (19). If negative, show 0. DOC calculation of the potential ratepayer refund [Lines (21) - (20). If	19,817,654 71.4% - -	18,889,769 72.1% - -	927,885 59.7% - -
21 22 23	2013 2013 2013 2013 2013	Amount approved in base rates Percent recovered [Lines (21) / (19)] Ratepayer refund [Lines (21) - (19). If negative, show 0. DOC calculation of the potential ratepayer refund [Lines (21) - (20). If negative, show 0. 2/	19,817,654 71.4% - - 2012 Total	18,889,769 72.1% - 2012 Electric	927,885 59.7% - - 2012 Gas
21 22 23 24	2013 2013 2013 2013 2013 Year	Amount approved in base rates Percent recovered [Lines (21) / (19)] Ratepayer refund [Lines (21) - (19). If negative, show 0. DOC calculation of the potential ratepayer refund [Lines (21) - (20). If	19,817,654 71.4% - 2012 Total 23,834,174	18,889,769 72.1% - 2012 Electric 22,286,161	927,885 59.7% - - 2012 Gas 1,548,013
21 22 23 24 25	2013 2013 2013 2013 Year 2012	Amount approved in base rates Percent recovered [Lines (21) / (19)] Ratepayer refund [Lines (21) - (19). If negative, show 0. DOC calculation of the potential ratepayer refund [Lines (21) - (20). If negative, show 0. 2/ AIP paid, State of MN Jurisdictional amount	19,817,654 71.4% - 2012 Total 23,834,174 18,217,814	18,889,769 72.1% - 2012 Electric 22,286,161 17,100,102	927,885 59.7% - - 2012 Gas 1,548,013 1,117,713
21 22 23 24 24 25 26	2013 2013 2013 2013 Year 2012 2012	Amount approved in base rates Percent recovered [Lines (21) / (19)] Ratepayer refund [Lines (21) - (19). If negative, show 0. DOC calculation of the potential ratepayer refund [Lines (21) - (20). If negative, show 0. 2/ AIP paid, State of MN Jurisdictional amount Amount eligible for recovery. Excludes AIP over 15% of base pay	19,817,654 71.4% - 2012 Total 23,834,174	18,889,769 72.1% - 2012 Electric 22,286,161	927,885 59.7% - - 2012 Gas 1,548,013
21 22 23 24 24 25 25 26 27	2013 2013 2013 2013 Year 2012 2012 2012	Amount approved in base rates Percent recovered [Lines (21) / (19)] Ratepayer refund [Lines (21) - (19). If negative, show 0. DOC calculation of the potential ratepayer refund [Lines (21) - (20). If negative, show 0. 2/ AIP paid, State of MN Jurisdictional amount Amount eligible for recovery. Excludes AIP over 15% of base pay Amount approved in base rates Percent recovered [Lines (27) / (25)]	19,817,654 71.4% - 2012 Total 23,834,174 18,217,814 13,132,031	18,889,769 72.1% - 2012 Electric 22,286,161 17,100,102 12,204,146	927,885 59.7% - - 2012 Gas 1,548,013 1,117,713 927,885
21 22 23 24 24 25 25 26 27 28	2013 2013 2013 2013 Year 2012 2012 2012 2012	Amount approved in base rates Percent recovered [Lines (21) / (19)] Ratepayer refund [Lines (21) - (19). If negative, show 0. DOC calculation of the potential ratepayer refund [Lines (21) - (20). If negative, show 0. 2/ AIP paid, State of MN Jurisdictional amount Amount eligible for recovery. Excludes AIP over 15% of base pay Amount approved in base rates Percent recovered [Lines (27) / (25)] Ratepayer refund [Lines (27) - (25). If negative, show 0.	19,817,654 71.4% - 2012 Total 23,834,174 18,217,814 13,132,031	18,889,769 72.1% - 2012 Electric 22,286,161 17,100,102 12,204,146	927,885 59.7% - - 2012 Gas 1,548,013 1,117,713 927,885
21 22 23 24 24 25 25 26 27 28	2013 2013 2013 2013 Year 2012 2012 2012 2012	Amount approved in base rates Percent recovered [Lines (21) / (19)] Ratepayer refund [Lines (21) - (19). If negative, show 0. DOC calculation of the potential ratepayer refund [Lines (21) - (20). If negative, show 0. 2/ AIP paid, State of MN Jurisdictional amount Amount eligible for recovery. Excludes AIP over 15% of base pay Amount approved in base rates Percent recovered [Lines (27) / (25)]	19,817,654 71.4% - 2012 Total 23,834,174 18,217,814 13,132,031	18,889,769 72.1% - 2012 Electric 22,286,161 17,100,102 12,204,146	927,885 59.7% - - 2012 Gas 1,548,013 1,117,713 927,885
21 22 23 24 24 25 26 27 28 29	2013 2013 2013 2013 Year 2012 2012 2012 2012 2012	Amount approved in base rates Percent recovered [Lines (21) / (19)] Ratepayer refund [Lines (21) - (19). If negative, show 0. DOC calculation of the potential ratepayer refund [Lines (21) - (20). If negative, show 0. 2/ AIP paid, State of MN Jurisdictional amount Amount eligible for recovery. Excludes AIP over 15% of base pay Amount approved in base rates Percent recovered [Lines (27) / (25)] Ratepayer refund [Lines (27) - (25). If negative, show 0. DOC calculation of the potential ratepayer refund [Lines (27) - (26). If	19,817,654 71.4% - 2012 Total 23,834,174 18,217,814 13,132,031 55.1% -	18,889,769 72.1% - 2012 Electric 22,286,161 17,100,102 12,204,146	927,885 59.7% - - 2012 Gas 1,548,013 1,117,713 927,885

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Marcella Emeott, hereby certify that I have this day served copies of the following document on the attached list of persons by electronic filing, e-mail, or by depositing a true and correct copy thereof properly enveloped with postage paid in the United States Mail at St. Paul, Minnesota.

MINNESOTA DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE – COMMENTS

Docket Nos. **Docket No. E-002/GR-92-1185 Docket No. G-002/GR-92-1186 Docket No. E, G-002/M-17-429**

Dated this **16th** day of **April 2018.** /s/Marcella Emeott

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
lames J.	Bertrand	james.bertrand@stinson.co m	Stinson Leonard Street LLP	50 S 6th St Ste 2600 Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_92-1185_1
James	Bode	N/A	Board of Water Commissioners	1900 N. Rice Street St. Paul, MN 55113	Paper Service	No	OFF_SL_92-1185_1
Generic Notice	Commerce Attorneys	commerce.attorneys@ag.st ate.mn.us	Office of the Attorney General-DOC	445 Minnesota Street Suite 1800 St. Paul, MN 55101	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_92-1185_1
Carl	Cronin	Regulatory.records@xcele nergy.com	Xcel Energy	414 Nicollet Mall FL 7 Minneapolis, MN 554011993	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_92-1185_1
lan	Dobson	residential.utilities@ag.stat e.mn.us	Office of the Attorney General-RUD	1400 BRM Tower 445 Minnesota St St. Paul, MN 551012130	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_92-1185_1
Sharon	Ferguson	sharon.ferguson@state.mn .us	Department of Commerce	85 7th Place E Ste 280 Saint Paul, MN 551012198	Electronic Service	Yes	OFF_SL_92-1185_1
William	Flynn, Esq.		LINDQUIST & VENNUM P.L.L.P.	4200 IDS Center 80 South 8th Street Minneapolis, MN 55402	Paper Service	No	OFF_SL_92-1185_1
Sam	Hanson	shanson@briggs.com	Briggs And Morgan, P.A.	2200 IDS Center 80 South Eighth Stree Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_92-1185_1
Michael	Loeffler	mike.loeffler@nngco.com	Northern Natural Gas Co.	CORP HQ, 714 1111 So. 103rd Street Omaha, NE 681241000	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_92-1185_1
Pam	Marshall	pam@energycents.org	Energy CENTS Coalition	823 7th St E St. Paul, MN 55106	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_92-1185_1

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
Tom	Meersman	meersman@startribune.co m	Minneapolis Star Tribune	425 Portland Ave S Minneapolis, MN 55488	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_92-1185_1
Andrew	Moratzka	andrew.moratzka@stoel.co m	Stoel Rives LLP	33 South Sixth St Ste 4200 Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_92-1185_1
Russ	Stark	Russ.Stark@ci.stpaul.mn.u s	City of St. Paul	390 City Hall 15 West Kellogg Boul Saint Paul, MN 55102	Electronic Service evard	No	OFF_SL_92-1185_1
Daniel P	Wolf	dan.wolf@state.mn.us	Public Utilities Commission	121 7th Place East Suite 350 St. Paul, MN 551012147	Electronic Service	Yes	OFF_SL_92-1185_1
Katy	Wortel	enviros@hickorytech.net	Mankato Area Environmentalists	1411 Pohl Road Mankato, MN 560015751	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_92-1185_1

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
James J.	Bertrand	james.bertrand@stinson.co m	Stinson Leonard Street LLP	50 S 6th St Ste 2600 Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_92-1186_1
Generic Notice	Commerce Attorneys	commerce.attorneys@ag.st ate.mn.us	Office of the Attorney General-DOC	445 Minnesota Street Suite 1800 St. Paul, MN 55101	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_92-1186_1
Carl	Cronin	Regulatory.records@xcele nergy.com	Xcel Energy	414 Nicollet Mall FL 7 Minneapolis, MN 554011993	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_92-1186_1
lan	Dobson	residential.utilities@ag.stat e.mn.us	Office of the Attorney General-RUD	1400 BRM Tower 445 Minnesota St St. Paul, MN 551012130	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_92-1186_1
Sharon	Ferguson	sharon.ferguson@state.mn .us	Department of Commerce	85 7th Place E Ste 280 Saint Paul, MN 551012198	Electronic Service	Yes	OFF_SL_92-1186_1
Michael	Loeffler	mike.loeffler@nngco.com	Northern Natural Gas Co.	CORP HQ, 714 1111 So. 103rd Street Omaha, NE 681241000	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_92-1186_1
Russ	Stark	Russ.Stark@ci.stpaul.mn.u s	City of St. Paul	390 City Hall 15 West Kellogg Boule Saint Paul, MN 55102	Electronic Service avard	No	OFF_SL_92-1186_1
James M.	Strommen	jstrommen@kennedy- graven.com	Kennedy & Graven, Chartered	470 U.S. Bank Plaza 200 South Sixth Stree Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_92-1186_1
Scott M.	Wilensky	scott.wilensky@xcelenergy. com	Xcel Energy	7th Floor 414 Nicollet Mall Minneapolis, MN 554011993	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_92-1186_1
Daniel P	Wolf	dan.wolf@state.mn.us	Public Utilities Commission	121 7th Place East Suite 350 St. Paul, MN 551012147	Electronic Service	Yes	OFF_SL_92-1186_1

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
David	Aafedt	daafedt@winthrop.com	Winthrop & Weinstine, P.A.	Suite 3500, 225 South Sixth Street Minneapolis, MN 554024629	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-429_M-17-429
David	Amster Olzweski	david@mysunshare.com	SunShare, LLC	1774 Platte St Denver, CO 80202	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-429_M-17-429
Christopher	Anderson	canderson@allete.com	Minnesota Power	30 W Superior St Duluth, MN 558022191	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-429_M-17-429
Alison C	Archer	aarcher@misoenergy.org	MISO	2985 Ames Crossing Rd Eagan, MN 55121	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-429_M-17-429
Ryan	Barlow	Ryan.Barlow@ag.state.mn. us	Office of the Attorney General-RUD	445 Minnesota Street Bremer Tower, Suite 1 St. Paul, Minnesota 55101	Electronic Service 400	No	OFF_SL_17-429_M-17-429
James J.	Bertrand	james.bertrand@stinson.co m	Stinson Leonard Street LLP	50 S 6th St Ste 2600 Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-429_M-17-429
William A.	Blazar	bblazar@mnchamber.com	Minnesota Chamber Of Commerce	Suite 1500 400 Robert Street Nor St. Paul, MN 55101	Electronic Service th	No	OFF_SL_17-429_M-17-429
James	Bode	N/A	Board of Water Commissioners	1900 N. Rice Street St. Paul, MN 55113	Paper Service	No	OFF_SL_17-429_M-17-429
James	Canaday	james.canaday@ag.state. mn.us	Office of the Attorney General-RUD	Suite 1400 445 Minnesota St. St. Paul, MN 55101	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-429_M-17-429
Jeanne	Cochran	Jeanne.Cochran@state.mn .us	Office of Administrative Hearings		Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-429_M-17-429

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
John	Coffman	john@johncoffman.net	AARP	871 Tuxedo Blvd. St, Louis, MO 63119-2044	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-429_M-17-429
Generic Notice	Commerce Attorneys	commerce.attorneys@ag.st ate.mn.us	Office of the Attorney General-DOC	445 Minnesota Street Suite 1800 St. Paul, MN 55101	Electronic Service	Yes	OFF_SL_17-429_M-17-429
Corey	Conover	corey.conover@minneapoli smn.gov	Minneapolis City Attorney	350 S. Fifth Street City Hall, Room 210 Minneapolis, MN 554022453	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-429_M-17-429
George	Crocker	gwillc@nawo.org	North American Water Office	PO Box 174 Lake Elmo, MN 55042	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-429_M-17-429
Carl	Cronin	Regulatory.records@xcele nergy.com	Xcel Energy	414 Nicollet Mall FL 7 Minneapolis, MN 554011993	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-429_M-17-429
Joseph	Dammel	joseph.dammel@ag.state. mn.us	Office of the Attorney General-RUD	Bremer Tower, Suite 1400 445 Minnesota Street St. Paul, MN 55101-2131	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-429_M-17-429
John	Farrell	jfarrell@ilsr.org	Institute for Local Self- Reliance	1313 5th St SE #303 Minneapolis, MN 55414	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-429_M-17-429
Sharon	Ferguson	sharon.ferguson@state.mn .us	Department of Commerce	85 7th Place E Ste 280 Saint Paul, MN 551012198	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-429_M-17-429
William	Flynn, Esq.		LINDQUIST & VENNUM P.L.L.P.	4200 IDS Center 80 South 8th Street Minneapolis, MN 55402	Paper Service	No	OFF_SL_17-429_M-17-429

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
Stephen	Fogel	Stephen.E.Fogel@XcelEne rgy.com	Xcel Energy Services, Inc.	816 Congress Ave, Suite 1650 Austin, TX 78701	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-429_M-17-429
Edward	Garvey	edward.garvey@AESLcons ulting.com	AESL Consulting	32 Lawton St Saint Paul, MN 55102-2617	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-429_M-17-429
Janet	Gonzalez	Janet.gonzalez@state.mn. us	Public Utilities Commission	Suite 350 121 7th Place East St. Paul, MN 55101	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-429_M-17-429
Todd J.	Guerrero	todd.guerrero@kutakrock.c om	Kutak Rock LLP	Suite 1750 220 South Sixth Stree Minneapolis, MN 554021425	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-429_M-17-429
Sam	Hanson	shanson@briggs.com	Briggs And Morgan, P.A.	2200 IDS Center 80 South Eighth Stree Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-429_M-17-429
Kimberly	Hellwig	kimberly.hellwig@stoel.co m	Stoel Rives LLP	33 South Sixth Street Suite 4200 Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-429_M-17-429
Annete	Henkel	mui@mnutilityinvestors.org	Minnesota Utility Investors	413 Wacouta Street #230 St.Paul, MN 55101	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-429_M-17-429
Michael	Норре	il23@mtn.org	Local Union 23, I.B.E.W.	932 Payne Avenue St. Paul, MN 55130	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-429_M-17-429
Julia	Jazynka	jjazynka@energyfreedomc oalition.com	Energy Freedom Coalition of America	101 Constitution Ave NW Ste 525 East Washington, DC 20001	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-429_M-17-429

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
Alan	Jenkins	aj@jenkinsatlaw.com	Jenkins at Law	2265 Roswell Road Suite 100 Marietta, GA 30062	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-429_M-17-429
Linda	Jensen	linda.s.jensen@ag.state.m n.us	Office of the Attorney General-DOC	1800 BRM Tower 445 Minnesota Street St. Paul, MN 551012134	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-429_M-17-429
Richard	Johnson	Rick.Johnson@lawmoss.co m	Moss & Barnett	150 S. 5th Street Suite 1200 Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-429_M-17-429
Sarah	Johnson Phillips	sarah.phillips@stoel.com	Stoel Rives LLP	33 South Sixth Street Suite 4200 Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-429_M-17-429
Mark J.	Kaufman	mkaufman@ibewlocal949.o rg	IBEW Local Union 949	12908 Nicollet Avenue South Burnsville, MN 55337	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-429_M-17-429
Thomas	Koehler	TGK@IBEW160.org	Local Union #160, IBEW	2909 Anthony Ln St Anthony Village, MN 55418-3238	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-429_M-17-429
Michael	Krikava	mkrikava@briggs.com	Briggs And Morgan, P.A.	2200 IDS Center 80 S 8th St Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-429_M-17-429
Peder	Larson	plarson@larkinhoffman.co m	Larkin Hoffman Daly & Lindgren, Ltd.	8300 Norman Center Drive Suite 1000 Bloomington, MN 55437	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-429_M-17-429
Douglas	Larson	dlarson@dakotaelectric.co m	Dakota Electric Association	4300 220th St W Farmington, MN 55024	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-429_M-17-429

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
Eric	Lipman	eric.lipman@state.mn.us	Office of Administrative Hearings	PO Box 64620 St. Paul, MN 551640620	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-429_M-17-429
Michael	Loeffler	mike.loeffler@nngco.com	Northern Natural Gas Co.	CORP HQ, 714 1111 So. 103rd Street Omaha, NE 681241000	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-429_M-17-429
Peter	Madsen	peter.madsen@ag.state.m n.us	Office of the Attorney General-DOC	Bremer Tower, Suite 1800 445 Minnesota Street St. Paul, Minnesota 55101	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-429_M-17-429
Kavita	Maini	kmaini@wi.rr.com	KM Energy Consulting LLC	961 N Lost Woods Rd Oconomowoc, WI 53066	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-429_M-17-429
Pam	Marshall	pam@energycents.org	Energy CENTS Coalition	823 7th St E St. Paul, MN 55106	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-429_M-17-429
Tom	Meersman	meersman@startribune.co m	Minneapolis Star Tribune	425 Portland Ave S Minneapolis, MN 55488	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-429_M-17-429
Joseph	Meyer	joseph.meyer@ag.state.mn .us	Office of the Attorney General-RUD	Bremer Tower, Suite 1400 445 Minnesota Street St Paul, MN 55101-2131	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-429_M-17-429
David	Moeller	dmoeller@allete.com	Minnesota Power	30 W Superior St Duluth, MN 558022093	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-429_M-17-429
Andrew	Moratzka	andrew.moratzka@stoel.co m	Stoel Rives LLP	33 South Sixth St Ste 4200 Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-429_M-17-429
David	Niles	david.niles@avantenergy.c om	Minnesota Municipal Power Agency	220 South Sixth Street Suite 1300 Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-429_M-17-429

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
Carol A.	Overland	overland@legalectric.org	Legalectric - Overland Law Office	1110 West Avenue Red Wing, MN 55066	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-429_M-17-429
Jeff	Oxley	jeff.oxley@state.mn.us	Office of Administrative Hearings	600 North Robert Street St. Paul, MN 55101	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-429_M-17-429
Kevin	Reuther	kreuther@mncenter.org	MN Center for Environmental Advocacy	26 E Exchange St, Ste 206 St. Paul, MN 551011667	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-429_M-17-429
Richard	Savelkoul	rsavelkoul@martinsquires.c om	Martin & Squires, P.A.	332 Minnesota Street Ste W2750 St. Paul, MN 55101	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-429_M-17-429
Inga	Schuchard	ischuchard@larkinhoffman. com	Larkin Hoffman	8300 Norman Center Drive Suite 1000 Minneapolis, MN 55437	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-429_M-17-429
Zeviel	Simpser	zsimpser@briggs.com	Briggs and Morgan PA	2200 IDS Center80 South Eighth Street Minneapolis, MN 554022157	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-429_M-17-429
Ken	Smith	ken.smith@districtenergy.c om	District Energy St. Paul Inc.	76 W Kellogg Blvd St. Paul, MN 55102	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-429_M-17-429
Russ	Stark	Russ.Stark@ci.stpaul.mn.u s	City of St. Paul	390 City Hall 15 West Kellogg Bould Saint Paul, MN 55102	Electronic Service evard	No	OFF_SL_17-429_M-17-429
Byron E.	Starns	byron.starns@stinson.com	Stinson Leonard Street LLP	50 S 6th St Ste 2600 Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-429_M-17-429

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
James M.	Strommen	jstrommen@kennedy- graven.com	Kennedy & Graven, Chartered	470 U.S. Bank Plaza 200 South Sixth Stree Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-429_M-17-429
Eric	Swanson	eswanson@winthrop.com	Winthrop & Weinstine	225 S 6th St Ste 3500 Capella Tower Minneapolis, MN 554024629	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-429_M-17-429
Lisa	Veith	lisa.veith@ci.stpaul.mn.us	City of St. Paul	400 City Hall and Courthouse 15 West Kellogg Blvd. St. Paul, MN 55102	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-429_M-17-429
Scott M.	Wilensky	scott.wilensky@xcelenergy. com	Xcel Energy	7th Floor 414 Nicollet Mall Minneapolis, MN 554011993	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-429_M-17-429
Samantha	Williams	swilliams@nrdc.org	Natural Resources Defense Council	20 N. Wacker Drive Ste 1600 Chicago, IL 60606	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-429_M-17-429
Joseph	Windler	jwindler@winthrop.com	Winthrop & Weinstine	225 South Sixth Street, Suite 3500 Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-429_M-17-429
Cam	Winton	cwinton@mnchamber.com	Minnesota Chamber of Commerce	400 Robert Street North Suite 1500 St. Paul, Minnesota 55101	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-429_M-17-429
Daniel P	Wolf	dan.wolf@state.mn.us	Public Utilities Commission	121 7th Place East Suite 350 St. Paul, MN 551012147	Electronic Service	Yes	OFF_SL_17-429_M-17-429
Katy	Wortel	enviros@hickorytech.net	Mankato Area Environmentalists	1411 Pohl Road Mankato, MN 560015751	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-429_M-17-429

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
Patrick	Zomer	Patrick.Zomer@lawmoss.c om	Moss & Barnett a Professional Association	150 S. 5th Street, #1200 Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-429_M-17-429